## THE NONMINIMALITY OF THE DIFFERENTIAL CLOSURE

## MAXWELL ROSENLICHT

The differential closure of a given ordinary differential field k is characterized to within (differential) k-isomorphism as a differentially closed (differential) extension field  $\hat{k}$  of k which is k-isomorphic to a subfield of any differentially closed extension field of k. It has been conjectured that, in analogy to the cases of the algebraic closure of a field and the real closure of an ordered field, the differential closure of any differential field k is minimal, that is, not k-isomorphic to a proper subfield of itself. The conjecture is here shown to be false.

Let k be a differential field (ordinary, that is with one specified derivation) of characteristic zero and let  $k\{y\}$  be the differential ring of differential polynomials over k in the differential indeterminate y. Recall that the order of a nonzero differential polynomial in  $k\{y\}$  is simply the smallest integer  $r \ge -1$  such that the differential polynomial involves none of the derivatives  $y^{(r+1)}$ ,  $y^{(r+2)}$ , .... According to Lenore Blum's definition, k is differentially closed if, for any  $f, g \in k\{y\}$  with g of smaller order than f, there is a zero of f in k that is not a zero of g. For any differential field k, a differential closure of k is a differential extension field  $\hat{k}$  of k that is differentially closed and that can be k-embedded in any differentially closed differential extension field of k. Blum has used the methods of model theory to show the existence of  $\hat{k}$  and to derive a number of its properties [2], appreciably extending and simplifying a theory initiated by Abraham Robinson [5]. The uniqueness of  $\hat{k}$  to within differential k-isomorphism follows from a recent result of Shelah [7]. The differential closure  $\hat{k}$  of k is called minimal if there is no (differential) k-isomorphism of  $\hat{k}$  with a proper subfield of itself. One of the unsolved problems of the theory has been to determine whether or not k is always minimal. Sacks has conjectured [6] that k is minimal over k in the special case k=Q. It is proved here, among other things, that this conjecture is false. It was learned after the completion of this paper that this result has also been proved by Kolchin [4] and announced by Shelah [8]. The author is greatly indebted to Lenore Blum for calling his attention to the problem and for numerous conversations on her work.

We begin by recalling some facts outlined in a recent paper of Ax [1]. Let  $k \subset K$  be fields. There is a K-module  $\Omega^1_{K/k}$ , the space of differential forms of degree one of K/k, and a k-linear map  $d: K \to \Omega^1_{K/k}$  such that d(xy) = xdy + ydx for all  $x, y \in K$  (and these can be