

M_0 -SPACES ARE μ -SPACES

By

Munehiko Itō

1. Introduction. The μ -spaces were introduced by K. Nagami [N]. A space X is said to be a μ -space if X is embedded in the countable product of F_σ -metrizable paracompact spaces. The class of M_3 - μ -spaces is a harmonious class in dimension theory and is a subclass of hereditary M_1 -spaces (see [M] and [T]). Especially every 0-dimensional M_3 - μ -space has a σ -closure preserving clopen base. Heath and Junnila [HJ] called such a space an M_0 -space. Then, what spaces are M_3 -spaces to be μ -spaces? There was no result on this question yet. In this paper we shed some light on this question.

Throughout this paper all spaces are assumed to be regular T_1 and all maps are assumed to be continuous. The letter N denotes the positive integers.

2. Results.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let X be an M_3 -space with a peripherally compact σ -closure preserving quasi-base. Then X is embedded in the countable product of F_σ -metrizable M_3 -spaces and is therefore a μ -space.*

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{B}_n : n \in N\}$ be a peripherally compact σ -closure preserving closed quasi-base of X . Let $n \in N$. To construct a space M_n , let us fix n . Let $V(x) = X - \cup\{B \in \mathcal{B}_n : x \notin B\}$ and $\hat{x} = \{y \in X : V(x) = V(y)\}$. Then by [J, Theorem 4.8], there exists a σ -discrete closed refinement $H = \cup\{H_m : m \in N\}$ of $\{\hat{x} : x \in X\}$. By [O, Lemma 3.2], there exist a metrizable space Z and a one-to-one onto map $f : X \rightarrow Z$ such that every $f(H_m)$ is a discrete closed family and $f(\mathcal{B}_n)$ is a closure preserving closed family. For $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$, there exists a map $\Psi'_B : f(B) \rightarrow I$ such that $\Psi'^{-1}_B(0) = f(\partial B)$, because ∂B is compact, where ∂B denotes the boundary of B . Let $\Psi_B : X \rightarrow I$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_B(x) &= \Psi'_B \circ f(x) \text{ if } x \in B; \text{ and} \\ \Psi_B(x) &= 0 \text{ if } x \notin B.\end{aligned}$$

Received June 11, 1983.

This result was announced in "General Topology Symposium, Japan", December 1983.

Then Ψ_B is continuous. We define

$$\begin{aligned} g_B &: X \rightarrow Z \times I \text{ by } g_B(x) = (f(x), \Psi_B(x)); \\ h_B &: g_B(X) \rightarrow Z \text{ by } h_B(f(x), \Psi_B(x)) = f(x); \\ g_n &: X \rightarrow \prod_{B \in \mathcal{B}_n} g_B(x) \text{ by } g_n(x) = (g_B(x)); \\ M_n &= g_n(X); \text{ and} \\ \pi_B &: M_n \rightarrow g_B(X) \text{ by } \pi_B((x_B)) = x_B. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h_B|_{g_B(B)}$ and $h_B|_{g_B(X-B)}$ are homeomorphisms.

Now, we show that M_n is an F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space. It is obvious that M_n is regular T_1 . To prove that M_n is F_σ -metrizable, let $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since \mathcal{H} is a σ -discrete closed cover of X , it is enough to show that $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)} : g_n(H) \rightarrow f(H)$ is homeomorphic. Obviously $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}$ is a continuous one-to-one onto map. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$, U an open set of $g_B(H)$ and $W = \pi_B^{-1}(U)$. Note that $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W) = h_B|_{g_B(H)}(U)$. If $H \cap B = \emptyset$, then $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W)$ is open in $f(H)$. Because $h_B|_{g_B(X-B)}$ is a homeomorphism and $H \subset X - B$. Let $H \cap B \neq \emptyset$. There exists $x \in X$ such that $H \subset \hat{x}$. Then $\hat{x} \cap B \neq \emptyset$. From the definition of \hat{x} , $\hat{x} \subset B$. Hence $H \subset B$. Since $h_B|_{g_B(B)}$ is a homeomorphism, $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}(W)$ is open in $f(H)$. Therefore $f \circ g_n^{-1}|_{g_n(H)}$ is an open map and is a homeomorphism. To show that M_n is an M_3 -space, let \mathcal{W} be a σ -discrete closed quasi-base of Z . Then $g_n(\mathcal{B}_n) \cup g_n \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ is a quasi-subbase of M_n , because $\{g_B(B)\} \cup g_B \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ is a quasi-subbase of $g_B(X)$. Obviously $g_n(\mathcal{B}_n) \cup g_n \circ f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$ is a σ -closure preserving closed family. Therefore M_n is an M_3 -space.

Let $g : X \rightarrow \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n$ such that $g(x) = (g_n(x))$. Then g is clearly an embedding and the proof is completed.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let X be an M_0 -space. Then X is embedded in the countable product of F_σ -metrizable M_0 -spaces and is therefore a μ -space.*

PROOF. In the above proof, replace I with $\{0, 1\} \subset I$, and Z with a 0-dimensional one (see [P, Theorem 2]).

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let X be a closed image of an F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space with $\dim X = 0$. Then X is a μ -space.*

PROOF. Let $X = \cup \{X_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where each X_n is a closed Lašnev subspace. Then each X_n has an M -structure, so by [M, Theorem 3.15], X has an M -structure. By [M, Theorem 2.1], X is an M_0 -space. Therefore by the above corollary, X is a μ -space.

We do not know whether every perfect image of a μ -space is a μ -space. This problem has already been posed by K. Nagami [N]. Perhaps the following two problems are some approach to this problem in the class of M_3 -spaces.

PROBLEM 2.4. *Is every closed image of an F_σ -metrizable M_3 -space a μ -space?*

PROBLEM 2.5. *Is every M_3 - μ -space embedded in the countable product of F_σ -metrizable M_3 -spaces?*

COROLLARY 2.6. *Every M_3 - μ -space is a perfect image of a 0-dimensional M_3 - μ -space.*

PROOF. T. Mizokami [M] proved that every M_3 - μ -space is a perfect image of an M_0 -space. But by Corollary 2.2, every M_0 -space is a μ -space.

An inner characterization of M_3 - μ -spaces is not obtained yet. So many proofs on M_3 - μ -spaces have returned to the definition and have been therefore complicated. But for 0-dimensional spaces, we have the following characterizations.

THEOREM 2.7. *For a 0-dimensional space X , the following statements are mutually equivalent.*

- (1) X is an M_3 - μ -space.
- (2) X is an M_0 -space.
- (3) X is an M_3 -space with an M -structure (for the definition, see [M]).
- (4) X is a regularly stratifiable space (for the definition, see [T]).
- (5) X is a strongly regularly stratifiable space (for the definition, see [T]).

PROOF. (1) \iff (3) follows from [M, Theorem 4.5]. (3) \iff (2) follows from [M, Theorem 2.1]. (2) \iff (1) follows from Corollary 2.2. (1) \iff (5) follows from [T, Theorem 5.4]. (5) \iff (4) is trivial. (4) \iff (2) follows from [T, Corollary 6.3].

PROBLEM 2.8. *Find an inner characterization of M_3 - μ -spaces. Are M_3 -spaces with an M -structure or regularly stratifiable spaces μ -spaces?*

References

- [C] Ceder, J.G., *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961) 105-125.
 [HJ] Heath, R.W. and Junnila, H.J.K., *Stratifiable spaces as subspaces and continuous images of M_1 -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1981) 146-148.
 [J] Junnila, H.J.K., *Neighbornets*, Pacific J. Math. **76** (1978) 83-108.
 [M] Mizokami, T., *On M -structures*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984) 63-89.

- [N] Nagami, K., *Normality of products*, Actes Congrès intern. Math. **2** (1970) 33-37.
- [O] Oka, S., *Dimension of stratifiable spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **275** (1983) 231-243.
- [P] Pasynkov, B. A., *Factorization theorems in dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **36** (1981) 175-209.
- [T] Tamano, K., *Stratifiable spaces defined by pair collections*, Topology Appl. **16** (1983) 287-301.

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba
Ibaraki, 305 Japan