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#### Abstract

We prove singular Conley index continuation results for a class of scalar parabolic equations with locally large diffusion considered by Fusco [7] and Carvalho and Pereira [5].


## 1. Introduction

Evolution equations with large diffusion were studied in numerous papers, starting with the work [8] by Hale, cf. also [4], [9], [7], [5], [10], [11]. In those papers results like global bounds of solutions, asymptotic spatial homogenization, existence of invariant manifolds and existence of global attractors and their upper or lower semicontinuity, as the diffusion goes to infinity, are obtained.

In a pioneering work [7] Fusco considered the scalar reaction diffusion problem
$\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad u_{t}=\left(a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}\right)_{x}+f(x, u), \quad 0<x<1, \quad t>0$,
subject to the following separated boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}\rho u-(1-\rho) a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}=0, & x=0, t>0 \\ \sigma u+(1-\sigma) a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}=0, & x=1, t>0\end{cases}
$$

[^0]Here, $0 \leq \rho, \sigma<1$ and $f$ is a $C^{3}$ dissipative map. Moreover, the diffusion coefficient $a_{\varepsilon}$ is large except in a neighbourhood of a finite number of points where it becomes small as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and there is some transitory behavior between such neighbourhoods. More precisely, let $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{0} \in\right] 0, \infty\right]$, let $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j \in[1 . . n]},\left(l_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ and $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 \ldots n]}$ be sequences of positive constants and let $\left(l_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]},\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j \in[0 \ldots n]}$ be two sequences of positive functions of $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} l_{j}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=l_{j} \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b_{j}^{\prime}(\varepsilon)=b_{j} \quad \text { for } j \in[0 \ldots n]
$$

Let $\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[ }$ be a family of positive $C^{2}$ functions defined on $[0,1], n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ be a strictly increasing sequence in $[0,1]$ with $x_{0}=0$ with $x_{n}=1$ and such that for each $j \in[1 . . n]$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \frac{e_{j}}{\varepsilon}, & \text { for } x_{j-1}+\varepsilon l_{j-1}^{\prime} \leq x \leq x_{j}-\varepsilon l_{j}^{\prime} \\
a_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq \varepsilon b_{j}, & \text { for } x_{j}-\varepsilon l_{j}^{\prime} \leq x \leq x_{j}+\varepsilon l_{j}^{\prime} \\
a_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq \varepsilon b_{j}^{\prime}, & \text { for } x_{j}-\varepsilon l_{j} \leq x \leq x_{j}+\varepsilon l_{j}
\end{array}
$$

Here $x_{0}-\varepsilon l_{0}^{\prime}=x_{0}-\varepsilon l_{0}=0$ and $x_{n}+\varepsilon l_{n}^{\prime}=x_{n}+\varepsilon l_{n}=1$.
(Note that Fusco writes $\nu$ instead of $\varepsilon$, and $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ and $\left(a_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ instead of $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ and $\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$, respectively.)

Under some additional technical hypothesis ( $H$ ), Fusco constructed a system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}+A_{0} z=g(z) \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

of ordinary differential equations, essentially by discretizing $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$. He proved that the (semi)flow $\pi_{0}$ associated to this system is equivalent (in the sense of Definition 1 in [7]) to the semiflow $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ defined by $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ (cf. Theorems 3 and 4 in [7]).

The phase space of $\left(E_{0}\right)$ is the $n$-dimensional subspace of $L^{2}(0,1)$ formed by all step functions with respect to the partition $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$, so it can naturally be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

By introducing a nonlinear change of coordinates $u \mapsto(z, v)$ (see equation (9) in [7]) the author proved, under some dissipativeness condition on the nonlinearity, that on the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ of the semiflow associated to equation $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$, the variable $v$ becomes very small as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is contained in an invariant manifold on which $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is actually given by a system of ordinary differential equations close to $\left(E_{0}\right)$, cf. Theorems 1 and 2 in [7]. He also obtained some generic structural stability results for $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$.

In the important follow-up paper [5], Carvalho and Pereira approached the above problem from the point of view of spectral convergence: the map

$$
u \mapsto-\left(a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}\right)_{x}
$$

with boundary conditions $\left(S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ generates a linear operator $A_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}(0,1)$ which has a simple spectrum $\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ and corresponding appropriately normalized eigenfunctions $\left(\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$. The authors proved that the above linear operator $A_{0}$ has a simple spectrum $\left(\mu_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ and corresponding appropriately normalized eigenvectors $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ such that, for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \lambda_{l, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mu_{l}$ for $l \in[1 \ldots n]$ and $\lambda_{l, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ for $l>n$. Moreover, for $l \in[1 . . n]$, in some sense, $\varphi_{l, \varepsilon} \rightarrow z_{l}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, cf. Section 3 below for the precise statement of these results.

Now, using the linear map $J_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow H^{1}(0,1), \sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{l} z_{l} \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^{n} v_{l} \varphi_{l, \varepsilon}$ the authors 'embedded' the (semi)flow $\pi_{0}$ into the semiflow $\pi_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon>0$. This approach allowed them to prove some of the results from [7] without Fusco's technical hypothesis $(H)$. In addition, they proved an upper semicontinuity result for global attractors and obtained a structural stability result (cf. Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.3 in [5]).

It is the purpose of this note to prove Conley index and homology index braids continuation results for the above family $\left(\pi_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}[ \right.}$ (without any dissipativeness assumption on the nonlinearity), showing in particular that isolated invariant sets $K_{0}$ of $\pi_{0}$ continue, for small $\varepsilon>0$, to isolated invariant sets $K_{\varepsilon}$ of $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ with $K_{\varepsilon}$ 'close' to $J_{\varepsilon}\left(K_{0}\right)$, and $K_{0}$ and $K_{\varepsilon}$ have the same Conley index. In particular, some aspects of the dynamics of the simpler flow $\pi_{0}$ can be found in the more complicated semiflow $\pi_{\varepsilon}$. The precise statements of the continuation results and our assumptions on the nonlinearities involved are given in Section 4 below.

To prove our results we use slight extensions of some abstract continuation results established in our previous papers [2], [3]. These results are summarized in Section 2 below.

In this paper, all linear spaces are defined over the field of real numbers.

## 2. Conley index and homology index braid continuation results

In this section, we will collect, without proof, some abstract singular Conley index continuation results. These results were established in the paper [3] (with some proofs contained in the work [2]) in the special case in which the embedding $J_{\varepsilon}$ considered here is just set inclusion. A careful inspection of the arguments contained in those papers leads to proofs of the more general results presented here.

We start by introducing a basic abstract spectral convergence condition.
Definition 2.1. Given $\widehat{\varepsilon} \in] 0, \infty[$, we say that the family

$$
\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in[0, \hat{\varepsilon}]}
$$

satisfies condition (FSpec) if the following properties hold:
(1) for every $\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}],\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}\right)$ is a Hilbert space and $A_{\varepsilon}: D\left(A_{\varepsilon}\right) \subset$ $H^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{\varepsilon}$ is a densely defined nonnegative self-adjoint linear operator on
$\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}\right)$ with $\left(A_{\varepsilon}+I_{\varepsilon}\right)^{-1}: H^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{\varepsilon}$ compact. Here, $I_{\varepsilon}: H^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{\varepsilon}$ is the identity operator. For $\alpha \in\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ write $H_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}:=D\left(A_{\varepsilon}+I_{\varepsilon}\right)^{\alpha / 2}$. In particular, $H_{0}^{\varepsilon}=H^{\varepsilon}$.
(2) $H^{0}$ is $n$-dimensional with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ while $H^{\varepsilon}$ is infinite dimensional for $\varepsilon \in] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$.
(3) For each $\varepsilon \in] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}], J_{\varepsilon}$ is a linear continuous injection from $H_{1}^{0}$ to $H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ and $J_{0}$ is the identity operator on $H_{1}^{0}$.
(4) There exists a constant $C \in] 1, \infty[$ such that

$$
\left|J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \leq C|u|_{H_{1}^{0}} \quad \text { and } \quad|u|_{H_{1}^{0}} \leq C\left|J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}
$$

for all $u \in H_{1}^{0}$ and all $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$.
(5) For every $\varepsilon \in] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$ let $\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ be the repeated sequence of eigenvalues of $A_{\varepsilon}$ and $\left(\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ be the corresponding $H^{\varepsilon}$-orthonormal sequence of eigenfunctions. Furthermore, let $\left(\mu_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ be the repeated sequence of eigenvalues of $A_{0}$.
Whenever $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ is a null sequence in $\left.] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$, then
(a) $\lambda_{l, \varepsilon_{m}} \rightarrow \mu_{l}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $l \in[1 \ldots n]$.
(b) $\lambda_{l, \varepsilon_{m}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $l>n$.

Moreover, there is a subsequence $\left(\varepsilon_{m}^{1}\right)_{m}$ of $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ and there is an $H^{0}$ orthonormal sequence of eigenfunctions $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ of $A_{0}$ corresponding to $\left(\mu_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ such that
(c) $\left|\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}^{1}}-J_{\varepsilon_{m}^{1}} z_{l}\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon_{m}^{1}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $l \in[1 \ldots n]$.
(d) $\left\langle J_{\varepsilon_{m}^{1}} u, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}^{1}}\right\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon_{m}^{1}}} \rightarrow\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{H^{0}}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, for all $u \in H_{1}^{0}$ and all $l \in[1 . . n]$.
Such a sequence $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ is called adapted to the sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{m}^{1}\right)_{m}$.
The following technical result will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.4 below.
Theorem 2.2 (cf. the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [3]). $\operatorname{Let}\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)$, $\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$ satisfy condition (FSpec). Suppose $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ is a null sequence in $\left.] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$. Let $u_{0} \in H^{0}$ be arbitrary and let $\left(u_{m}\right)_{m}$ be a sequence such that $u_{m} \in H^{\varepsilon_{m}}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that
(a) whenever $\left(m_{k}\right)_{k}$ is a strictly increasing sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ and $\left(\varepsilon_{m}^{1}\right)_{m}$ is a subsequence of $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ defined by $\varepsilon_{k}^{1}=\varepsilon_{m_{k}}$, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and whenever $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ is adapted to $\left(\varepsilon_{m}^{1}\right)_{m}$, then $\left\langle u_{m_{k}}, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{k}^{1}}\right\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon_{k}^{1}}} \rightarrow\left\langle u_{0}, z_{l}\right\rangle_{H^{0}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for all $l \in[1 \ldots n]$.
(b) $\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left|u_{m}\right|_{H^{\varepsilon_{m}}}<\infty$.

Then, for every $\beta \in] 0, \infty[$,

$$
\sup _{t \in[\beta, \infty[ }\left|e^{-t A_{\varepsilon_{m}}} u_{m}-J_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(e^{-t A_{0}} u_{0}\right)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon_{m}}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

We now introduce an abstract nonlinear convergence condition.

Definition 2.3. Let $\widehat{\varepsilon} \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ be arbitrary and $\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]}$ be a family satisfying condition (FSpec). We say that the family $\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]}$ of maps satisfies condition (Conv) if the following properties hold:
(a) $f_{\varepsilon}: H_{1}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow H^{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$.
(b) $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left|e^{-t A_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon}\left(J_{\varepsilon} u\right)-J_{\varepsilon}\left(e^{-t A_{0}} f_{0}(u)\right)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}=0$ for every $u \in H_{1}^{0}$ and every $t \in] 0, \infty[$.
(c) For every $M \in\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ there is an $L=L_{M} \in[0, \infty[$ such that

$$
\left|f_{\varepsilon}(u)-f_{\varepsilon}(v)\right|_{H^{\varepsilon}} \leq L|u-v|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$ and $u, v \in H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ satisfying $|u|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}},|v|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \leq M$.
(d) For every $u \in H_{1}^{0}$ there is an $\left.\left.\widehat{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$ such that

$$
\sup _{\varepsilon \in\left[0,,^{\prime}\right]}\left|f_{\varepsilon}\left(J_{\varepsilon} u\right)\right|_{H^{\varepsilon}}<\infty
$$

For the rest of this section we assume that the families $\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $f_{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$, are as in Definition 2.3. For every $\varepsilon \in[0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$, let $\pi_{\varepsilon}:=\pi_{A_{\varepsilon}, f_{\varepsilon}}$ be the local semiflow on $H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ generated by the abstract parabolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-A_{\varepsilon} u+f_{\varepsilon}(u) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\varepsilon \in] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}]$ let $Q_{\varepsilon}: H_{1}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ be the $H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$-orthogonal projection of $H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ onto (its closed subspace) $J_{\varepsilon}\left(H_{1}^{0}\right)$. Moreover, let $R_{\varepsilon}: J_{\varepsilon}\left(H_{1}^{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{1}^{0}$ be the inverse of $J_{\varepsilon}: H_{1}^{0} \rightarrow J_{\varepsilon}\left(H_{1}^{0}\right)$.

We can now state the following Conley index continuation principle:
Theorem 2.4 (cf. Theorem 4.8 in [3]). Let $N$ be a closed and bounded isolating neighbourhood of an invariant set $K_{0}$ relative to $\pi_{0}$. For $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$ and for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty[$ set

$$
N_{\varepsilon, \eta}:=\left\{u \in H_{1}^{\varepsilon} \mid R_{\varepsilon} Q_{\varepsilon} u \in N \text { and }\left|\left(I-Q_{\varepsilon}\right) u\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \leq \eta\right\}
$$

and $K_{\varepsilon, \eta}:=\operatorname{Inv}_{\pi_{\varepsilon}}\left(N_{\varepsilon, \eta}\right)$, i.e. $K_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ is the largest $\pi_{\varepsilon}$-invariant set in $N_{\varepsilon, \eta}$. Then for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ there exists an $\left.\left.\varepsilon^{c}=\varepsilon^{c}(\eta) \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$ such that for every $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon^{\mathrm{c}}\right]$ the set $N_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ is a strongly admissible isolating neighbourhood of $K_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ relative to $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ and

$$
h\left(\pi_{\varepsilon}, K_{\varepsilon, \eta}\right)=h\left(\pi_{0}, K_{0}\right) .
$$

Here, as usual, $h(\pi, K)$ denotes the Conley index of an isolated invariant set $K$ relative to a local semiflow $\pi$. Furthermore, for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty[$, the family $\left(K_{\varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon^{c}(\eta)\right]}$ of invariant sets, where $K_{0, \eta}=K_{0}$, is upper semicontinuous at $\varepsilon=0$ with respect to the family $|\cdot|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}$ of norms, i.e.

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup _{w \in K_{\varepsilon, \eta}} \inf _{u \in K_{0}}\left|w-J_{\varepsilon} u\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}=0
$$

The family $\left(K_{\varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon^{c}(\eta)\right]}$ is asymptotically independent of $\eta$, i.e whenever $\eta_{1}$ and $\left.\eta_{2} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ then there is an $\left.\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \min \left(\varepsilon^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\eta_{1}\right), \varepsilon^{\mathrm{c}}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)\right]$ such that $K_{\varepsilon, \eta_{1}}=$ $K_{\varepsilon, \eta_{2}}$ for $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right]$.

Finally, we have the following homology index braids continuation principle:
Theorem 2.5 (cf. Theorem 4.10 in [3]). Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.4 and for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ let $\left.\left.\varepsilon^{\mathrm{c}}(\eta) \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$ be as in that theorem. Let $(P, \prec)$ be a finite poset. Let $\left(M_{p, 0}\right)_{p \in P}$ be a $\prec$-ordered Morse decomposition of $K_{0}$ relative to $\pi_{0}$. For each $p \in P$, let $V_{p} \subset N$ be closed in $X_{0}$ and such that $M_{p, 0}=\operatorname{Inv}_{\pi_{0}}\left(V_{p}\right) \subset \operatorname{Int}_{H_{1}^{0}}\left(V_{p}\right)$. (Such sets $V_{p}, p \in P$, exist.) For $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \widehat{\varepsilon}\right]$, for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ and $p \in P$ set $M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}:=\operatorname{Inv}_{\pi_{\varepsilon}}\left(V_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)$, where

$$
V_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}:=\left\{u \in H_{1}^{\varepsilon} \mid R_{\varepsilon} Q_{\varepsilon} u \in V_{p} \text { and }\left|\left(I-Q_{\varepsilon}\right) u\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \leq \eta\right\} .
$$

Then for every $\eta \in] 0, \infty[$ there is an $\left.\widetilde{\varepsilon}=\widetilde{\varepsilon}(\eta) \in] 0, \varepsilon^{c}(\eta)\right]$ such that for every $\varepsilon \in] 0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}]$ and $p \in P, M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta} \subset \operatorname{Int}_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}\left(V_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)$ and the family $\left(M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{p \in P}$ is a $\prec-$ ordered Morse decomposition of $K_{\varepsilon, \eta}$ relative to $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ and the homology index braids of $\left(\pi_{0}, K_{0},\left(M_{p, 0}\right)_{p \in P}\right)$ and $\left.\left.\left(\pi_{\varepsilon}, K_{\varepsilon, \eta},\left(M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{p \in P}\right), \varepsilon \in\right] 0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}\right]$, are isomorphic and so they determine the same collection of $C$-connection matrices. For each $p \in P$, the family $\left(M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{\varepsilon \in[0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}(\eta)]}$, where $M_{p, 0, \eta}=M_{p, 0}$, is upper semicontinuous at $\varepsilon=0$ with respect to the family $|\cdot|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}$ of norms and the family $\left(M_{p, \varepsilon, \eta}\right)_{\varepsilon \in] 0, \widetilde{\varepsilon}(\eta)]}$ is asymptotically independent of $\eta$.

## 3. The spectral convergence result

In this section we will state the spectral convergence result proved in [5]. In what follows let $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{0} \in\right] 0, \infty\right],\left(a_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[ },\left(x_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]},\left(l_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ and $\left(b_{j}\right)_{j \in[0 . . n]}$ be as in Section 1. Set $K_{j}=\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]$ and $L_{j}=x_{j+1}-x_{j}$ for $j \in[0 . . n-1]$.

For each $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$ there is a linear operator $A_{\varepsilon}: D_{\varepsilon} \subset H^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{2}(0,1)$ associated to problem $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ defined as follows: $D_{\varepsilon}$ is the set of all $u \in H^{2}(0,1)$ with $\rho u(0)-(1-\rho) a_{\varepsilon}(0) u_{x}(0)=\sigma u(0)+(1-\sigma) a_{\varepsilon}(1) u_{x}(1)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\varepsilon} u=-\left(a_{\varepsilon} \cdot u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \quad \text { for } u \in D_{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a matter of fact, the operator $A_{\varepsilon}: D_{\varepsilon} \subset H^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{2}(0,1)$ is generated by the pair $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{L^{2}}\right)$, where $\tau_{\varepsilon}: H^{1}(0,1) \times H^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the bilinear form given by
$\tau_{\varepsilon}(u, v)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot u^{\prime} \cdot v^{\prime} d x+\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} u(0) v(0)+\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} u(1) v(1), \quad u, v \in H^{1}(0,1)$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{L^{2}}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{L^{2}(0,1)}$ is the standard scalar product on $L^{2}=L^{2}(0,1)$.

Let $\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ be the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $A_{\varepsilon}$ (which are all simple). Let $\left(\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ be an (appropriately normalized) $L^{2}$-orthogonal sequence such that $\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}$ is an eigenfunction of $A_{\varepsilon}$ corresponding to $\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now define the 'limit' bilinear form $\tau_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{0}(y, z)= & \frac{b_{0} \rho}{l_{0} \rho+b_{0}(1-\rho)} y_{0} z_{0} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_{j}}{2 l_{j}}\left(y_{j}-y_{j-1}\right)\left(z_{j}-z_{j-1}\right)+\frac{b_{n} \sigma}{l_{n} \sigma+b_{n}(1-\sigma)} y_{n-1} z_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\langle y, z\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} L_{j} y_{j} z_{j}, \quad y=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right), z=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(3.2) Let $A_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the linear map defined by the pair $\left(\tau_{0},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}\right)$.

The matrix representation of $A_{0}$ in terms of the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given as $M^{-1} B$, where $M=\operatorname{diag}\left(L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right)$ and $B$ is the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
m_{1} & r_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
r_{1} & m_{2} & r_{2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & r_{2} & m_{3} & r_{3} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & r_{3} & m_{4} & r_{4} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & r_{n-3} & m_{n-2} & r_{n-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & r_{n-2} & m_{n-1} & r_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_{n-1} & m_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{1} & =\frac{b_{0} \rho}{\rho l_{0}+b_{0}(1-\rho)}+\frac{b_{1}}{2 l_{1}}, \quad m_{n}=\frac{b_{n-1}}{2 l_{n-1}}+\frac{b_{n} \sigma}{\sigma l_{n}+b_{n}(1-\sigma)} \\
m_{k} & =\frac{b_{k-1}}{2 l_{k-1}}+\frac{b_{k}}{2 l_{k}}, \quad k \in[2 \ldots n-1]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $r_{k}=b_{k} / 2 l_{k}, k \in[1 \ldots n-1]$. It follows that the map $A_{0}$ is $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}$-symmetric and all of its eigenvalues are simple. Denote by $\left(\mu_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $A_{0}$ and by $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ a corresponding appropriately normalized $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}$-orthogonal sequence of eigenvectors.

Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.5 from [5] imply the following spectral convergence result:

Theorem 3.1. With the above notation and hypotheses the following assertions hold:
(a) $\lambda_{n+1, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(b) For each $l \in[1 . . n], \lambda_{l, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \mu_{l}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(c) If the families $\left(\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}\right)_{l}$ and $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ are properly chosen, then

$$
\sup _{x \in K_{j, \varepsilon}}\left|\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}(x)-z_{l, j}\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text {, }
$$

where $z_{l, j}$ is the $j$-th component of the vector $z_{l}$ and

$$
K_{j, \varepsilon}:=\left[x_{j}+\varepsilon l_{j}, x_{j+1}-\varepsilon l_{j+1}\right], \quad j \in[0 . . n-1] .
$$

## 4. Conley index continuation and scalar reaction diffusion equations with large diffusion

In this section we use the notation of Sections 1 and 3. For each $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[$ define $H^{\varepsilon}=L^{2},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{L^{2}}$ and $A_{\varepsilon}$ as in (3.1). Define also $H^{0}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{0}}=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $A_{0}$ as in (3.2).

In this note we will consider the following norms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}:=\tau_{\varepsilon}(u, u)+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, & \varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[, u \in H^{1}(0,1),\right. \\
\|u\|_{0}^{2}:=\tau_{0}(u, u)+\|u\|_{\mathbb{L}}^{2}, & u \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that for each $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[, H_{1}^{\varepsilon}=H^{1}(0,1)\right.$ and $|\cdot|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}=\|\cdot\|_{\varepsilon}$. Moreover, $H_{1}^{0}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $|\cdot|_{H_{1}^{0}}=\|\cdot\|_{0}$.

Theorem 4.1. There exists an $\left.\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$ and a family $\left(J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]}$ such that the family $\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]}$ satisfies condition (FSpec).

To prove the existence of an embedding family $\left(J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]}$ let us establish some preliminary estimates. We have

$$
\left.\|v\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}+1\right)\left|\left\langle v, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}\right|^{2}, \quad v \in H^{1}(0,1) \text { and } \varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\|u\|_{0}^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\mu_{l}+1\right)\left|\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}\right|^{2}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. It is clear that (1) and (2) of condition (FSpec) hold.

Define the embedding $J_{\varepsilon}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow H^{1}(0,1)$ by

$$
J_{\varepsilon}(u)=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi_{l, \varepsilon}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

It follows that $J_{\varepsilon}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear. Suppose that $J_{\varepsilon}(u)=0$. Since $\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}, l \in[1 \ldots n]$, are linearly independent, we have $\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}=0$ for all $l \in[1 . . n]$. Recall that $\left(z_{l}\right)_{l \in[1 . . n]}$ is an $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}$-orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Therefore, $u=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} z_{l}=0$. Thus $J_{\varepsilon}$ is injective.

Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $v=J_{\varepsilon}(u) \in H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$. A quick calculation shows that

$$
\|v\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}=\sum_{l=1}^{n}\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}+1\right)\left|\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}\right|^{2} .
$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exist a constant $C \in] 1, \infty\left[\right.$ and an $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \in$ $] 0, \varepsilon_{0}$ [ such that $0 \leq \lambda_{l, \varepsilon}+1 \leq C^{2}$ and $0 \leq \mu_{l}+1 \leq C^{2}$, and so $\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}+1 \leq C^{2}\left(\mu_{l}+1\right)$ and $\mu_{l}+1 \leq C^{2}\left(\lambda_{l, \varepsilon}+1\right)$ for $l \in[1 . . n]$ and $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{0}^{2} \leq C^{2}\left\|J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} \leq C^{2}\|u\|_{0}^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Now inequalities (4.2) imply (3) and (4) of condition (FSpec).

Let $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ be an arbitrary null sequence in $\left.] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that (5) (a) and (5) (b) of condition (FSpec) hold. To complete the proof we need to show that (5) (c) and (5) (d) of condition (FSpec) also hold.

Let $l \in[1 . . n]$ be arbitrary and define $\varepsilon_{m}^{1}=\varepsilon_{m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$
\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}-J_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(z_{l}\right)=\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}-\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\langle z_{l}, z_{p}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi_{p, \varepsilon_{m}}=\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}-\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}=0
$$

Thus, we see that (5) (c) of condition (FSpec) holds. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}=H_{1}^{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\left\langle J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon_{m}}}=\left\langle J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{p}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}\left\langle\varphi_{p, \varepsilon_{m}}, \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\left\langle u, z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} .
$$

Therefore (5) (d) of condition (FSpec) holds.
Now consider the following nonlinear convergence hypothesis:
Assumption 4.2. (a) For each $\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.\right.$ the function $g_{\varepsilon}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and such that for each $M \in] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ there exists an $\left.L_{M} \in\right] 0, \infty[$ such that for $|s| \leq M$ and $\left|s^{\prime}\right| \leq M$

$$
\left|g_{\varepsilon}(x, s)-g_{\varepsilon}\left(x, s^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq L_{M}\left|s-s^{\prime}\right|, \quad \text { for all } x \in[0,1], \varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}[\right.
$$

(b) There is an $\left.\varepsilon_{2}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[$ such that

$$
\sup _{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}\right]} \sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)\right|<\infty .
$$

(c) For each $x \in[0,1]$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}, g_{\varepsilon}(x, s) \rightarrow g_{0}(x, s)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let $\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$. Note that each $u \in H^{1}(0,1)$ is (uniquely represented by) a continuous function. Hence the map $\widehat{g}_{\varepsilon}(u):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\widehat{g}_{\varepsilon}(u)(x)=g_{\varepsilon}(x, u(x)), \quad x \in[0,1],
$$

is continuous and bounded. Moreover, $\widehat{g}_{\varepsilon}(u)$ is Lebesgue measurable and so it lies in $L^{2}(0,1)$. Therefore for each $\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}[$ we obtain a well-defined map $f_{\varepsilon}: H^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{2}$ given by $f_{\varepsilon}(u)=\widehat{g}_{\varepsilon}(u), u \in H^{1}(0,1)$. Moreover, define $f_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $f_{0}(u)=\left(f_{0}(u)_{1}, \ldots, f_{0}(u)_{n}\right)$, where

$$
f_{0}(u)_{j}=\frac{1}{L_{j}} \int_{K_{j}} g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right) d x
$$

$u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, for $j \in[1 \ldots n]$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]}$ be as Theorem 4.1. Then there exist an $\left.\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$ and a $\left.C_{1}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ such that for every $v \in H^{1}(0,1)$ and every $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}\right]$,

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|v(x)| \leq C_{1}^{\prime}\|v\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

Proof. Consider first the case $(\rho, \sigma) \neq(0,0)$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 from [5] that there exist an $\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$ and a $\left.C^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \infty[$ such that for every $v \in H^{1}(0,1)$ and every $\left.\left.\varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon^{\prime}\right]$

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|v(x)| \leq C^{\prime}\left(\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Thus

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|v(x)| \leq C^{\prime} \tau_{\varepsilon}(v, v)^{1 / 2} \leq C^{\prime}\|v\|_{\varepsilon}
$$

Define $\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}=C^{\prime}$ for this case.
Now consider the case $(\rho, \sigma)=(0,0)$. It follows from Lemma 4.2 from [5] that there exist an $\left.\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{0}\left[\right.$ and a $\left.C^{\prime \prime} \in\right] 0, \infty\left[\right.$ such that for every $\phi \in H^{1}(0,1)$ with $\phi \perp 1$ and every $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right]$

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|\phi(x)| \leq C^{\prime \prime}\left(\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Let $v \in H^{1}(0,1)$. Hence there are a constant $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi \in H^{1}(0,1)$ with $\phi \perp 1$ such that $u=\alpha+\phi$. Let $x \in[0,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)|^{2} & \leq 2 \alpha^{2}+2|\phi(x)|^{2} \leq 2 \alpha^{2}+2\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x \\
& =2 \alpha^{2}+2\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x \leq \widehat{C}\left(\alpha^{2}+\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widehat{C}=\max \left\{2,2\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}\right\}$. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^{2} & =\tau_{\varepsilon}(u, u)+\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1}(\alpha+\phi)^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \alpha^{2} d x+2 \alpha \int_{0}^{1} \phi d x+\int_{0}^{1} \phi^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} a_{\varepsilon} \cdot\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} d x+\alpha^{2}+\int_{0}^{1} \phi^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $|u(x)|^{2} \leq \widehat{C}\|u\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}$, for all $x \in[0,1]$. Define $\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime}=(\widehat{C})^{1 / 2}$ for this case.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\left(H^{\varepsilon},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H^{\varepsilon}}, A_{\varepsilon}, J_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]}$ be as Theorem 4.1. There exists an $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{4}^{\prime} \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ such that the family $\left(f_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]}$ satisfies condition (Conv).

Proof. Let $\varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}=\min \left\{\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$. Part (a) of condition (Conv) has just been proved. Let $M \in] 0, \infty[$ be arbitrary. Let $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}\right]$ and $u, v \in H_{1}^{\varepsilon}$ be such that $|u|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}},|v|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}} \leq M$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$
\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|u(x)| \leq C_{1}^{\prime} M \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{x \in[0,1]}|v(x)| \leq C_{1}^{\prime} M .
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(x, u(x))-g_{\varepsilon}(x, v(x))\right|^{2} d x \leq L_{\widetilde{M}}^{2} \int_{0}^{1}|u(x)-v(x)|^{2} d x \leq L_{\widetilde{M}}^{2}\|u-v\|_{\varepsilon}^{2}
$$

where $\widetilde{M}=C_{1}^{\prime} M$. This implies that

$$
\left.\left.\left|f_{\varepsilon}(u)-f_{\varepsilon}(v)\right|_{H^{\varepsilon}} \leq L_{\widetilde{M}}|u-v|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}, \quad \text { for all } \varepsilon \in\right] 0, \varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}\right]
$$

Moreover, let $u, v \in H_{1}^{0}$ satisfy $|u|_{H_{1}^{0}},|v|_{H_{1}^{0}} \leq M$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| f_{0}(u) & -f_{0}(v) \|_{\mathbb{L}}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left(f_{0}(u)_{j}-f_{0}(v)_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j} \frac{1}{L_{j}^{2}}\left(\int_{K_{j}}\left(g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right)-g_{0}\left(x, v_{j}\right)\right) d x\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{L_{j}}\left(\int_{K_{j}}\left|g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right)-g_{0}\left(x, v_{j}\right)\right| d x\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{L_{M^{\prime}}^{2}}{L_{j}}\left(\int_{K_{j}}\left|u_{j}-v_{j}\right| d x\right)^{2} \\
& =L_{M^{\prime}}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\left|u_{j}-v_{j}\right|^{2}=L_{M^{\prime}}^{2}\|u-v\|_{\mathbb{L}}^{2} \leq L_{M^{\prime}}^{2}\|u-v\|_{0}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M^{\prime}=M\left(\min _{j \in[1 . . n]} L_{j}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. This implies that

$$
\left|f_{0}(u)-f_{0}(v)\right|_{H^{0}} \leq L_{M^{\prime}}|u-v|_{H_{1}^{0}} .
$$

It follows that part (c) of condition (Conv) holds.
Let $C$ be as in formula (4.2). Let $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\left(J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} & \leq\left\|f_{\varepsilon}\left(J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right)-f_{\varepsilon}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|f_{\varepsilon}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq L_{M}\left\|J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right\|_{\varepsilon}+\left\|f_{\varepsilon}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq L_{M} C\|u\|_{0}+\left\|f_{\varepsilon}(0)\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq L_{M} C\|u\|_{0}+K,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=C\|u\|_{0}$ and $K=\sup _{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]} \sup _{x \in[0,1]}\left|g_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)\right|$. This implies that statement (d) of condition (Conv) holds.

To complete the proof we need to show that (b) of condition (Conv) holds. To this end we will use Theorem 2.2, which holds in the present case in view of

Theorem 4.1. We claim that:
(4.3) Let $u \in H_{1}^{0}=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\left.t \in\right] 0, \infty[$. Then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left|e^{-t A_{\varepsilon}} f_{\varepsilon}\left(J_{\varepsilon}(u)\right)-J_{\varepsilon}\left(e^{-t A_{0}} f_{0}(u)\right)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon}}=0
$$

Let $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)_{m}$ be a null sequence in $\left.] 0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]$. Notice that $f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right) \in H^{\varepsilon_{m}}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (d) of condition (Conv) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left|f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}}(u)\right)\right|_{H^{\varepsilon_{m}}}<\infty \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that for each $l \in[1 \ldots n]$ and $j \in[1 . . n]$,

$$
\sup _{x \in K_{j, \varepsilon_{m}}}\left|\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x)-z_{l, j}\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Let $l \in[1 . . n]$. We will show that

$$
\left\langle f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right), \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \rightarrow\left\langle f_{0}(u), z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\left\langle f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right), \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}}=\int_{0}^{1} g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x,\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)\right) \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x) d x=: \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{K_{j}} T_{j}(x) d x
$$

where $T_{j}(x)=g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x,\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)\right) \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x), x \in K_{j}, j \in[1 \ldots n]$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in K_{j}$ and $j \in[1 . . n]$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{j}(x)= & \left(g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x,\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)\right)-g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x, u_{j}\right)\right) \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x)+g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x, u_{j}\right)\left(\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x)-z_{l, j}\right) \\
& +\left(g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x, u_{j}\right)-g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right)\right) z_{l, j}+g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right) z_{l, j} \\
= & S_{1, m}^{j}(x)+S_{2, m}^{j}(x)+S_{3, m}^{j}(x)+S_{4, m}^{j}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M \in] 0, \infty[$ be a positive constant such that for all $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right], j \in[1 . . n]$, $x \in[0,1]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(J_{\varepsilon} u\right)(x)\right| & \leq M, \quad\left|\varphi_{l, \varepsilon}(x)\right|
\end{aligned} \leq M, ~=M, \quad\left|g_{\varepsilon}\left(x, u_{j}\right)\right| \leq M . ~ \$ u_{j} \mid \leq M,
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|S_{1, m}^{j}(x)\right| \leq L_{M}\left|\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)-u_{j}\right| M, \quad \text { for all } j \in[1 \ldots n], x \in[0,1] \text { and } m \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and

$$
\left|S_{2, m}^{j}(x)\right| \leq M\left|\varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}(x)-z_{l, j}\right|, \quad \text { for all } j \in[1 \ldots n], x \in[0,1] \text { and } m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Recall that

$$
\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{p}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} \varphi_{p, \varepsilon_{m}}(x), \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1] \text { and } m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Let $j \in[1 . . n]$. Since $u_{j}=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{p}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} z_{p, j}$ we obtain

$$
\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)(x)-u_{j}=\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left\langle u, z_{p}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}\left(\varphi_{p, \varepsilon_{m}}(x)-z_{p, j}\right) .
$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 and our choice of $M$ that

$$
\sup _{x \in K_{j, \varepsilon_{m}}}\left|J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u(x)-u_{j}\right| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty,
$$

and

$$
\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{x \in K_{j}}\left|J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u(x)-u_{j}\right|<\infty .
$$

Since the Lebesgue measure of $K_{j} \backslash K_{j, \varepsilon_{m}}$ goes to zero as $m \rightarrow \infty$ it follows that

$$
\int_{K_{j}} S_{1, m}^{j}(x) d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Similarly we show that

$$
\sup _{x \in K_{j, \varepsilon_{m}}}\left|S_{2, m}^{j}(x)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \quad \sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{x \in K_{j}}\left|S_{2, m}^{j}(x)\right|<\infty
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{K_{j}} S_{2, m}^{j}(x) d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $g_{\varepsilon}(x, s) \rightarrow g_{0}(x, s)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\sup _{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup _{x \in K_{j}}\left|g_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(x, u_{j}\right)\right|<\infty$, the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem implies that

$$
\int_{K_{j}} S_{3, m}^{j}(x) d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Finally

$$
\int_{K_{j}} S_{4, m}^{j}(x) d x=\int_{K_{j}} g_{0}\left(x, u_{j}\right) z_{l, j} d x=L_{j}\left(f_{0}(u)\right)_{j} z_{l, j} .
$$

Thus

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{K_{j}} S_{4, m}^{j}(x) d x=\left\langle f_{0}(u), z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}
$$

and so

$$
\left\langle f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right), \varphi_{l, \varepsilon_{m}}\right\rangle_{L^{2}} \rightarrow\left\langle f_{0}(u), z_{l}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}} \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

This together with (4.4) and Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$
\left|e^{-t A_{\varepsilon_{m}}} f_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(J_{\varepsilon_{m}} u\right)-J_{\varepsilon_{m}}\left(e^{-t A_{0}} f_{0}(u)\right)\right|_{H_{1}^{\varepsilon m}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty .
$$

This proves claim (4.3).

By the results of Section 2 we may now consider, for each $\left.\varepsilon \in] 0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]$, the abstract parabolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}=-A_{\varepsilon} u+f_{\varepsilon}(u) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $H^{1}(0,1)$. This equation generates a local semiflow $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ on $H^{1}(0,1)$. Equation (4.5) is an abstract formulation of the boundary value problem
$\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \begin{cases}u_{t}=\left(a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}\right)_{x}+g_{\varepsilon}(x, u), & 0<x<1, t>0, \\ \rho u-(1-\rho) a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}=0, & x=0, t>0, \\ \sigma u+(1-\sigma) a_{\varepsilon} u_{x}=0, & x=1, t>0 .\end{cases}$
Moreover, we may also consider the system of ordinary diferential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{z}=-A_{0} z+f_{0}(z) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This system generates a local (semi)flow $\pi_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We now conclude that

Theorem 4.5. The Conley index and homology index braid continuation results, Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, hold for the family $\left(\pi_{\varepsilon}\right)_{\varepsilon \in\left[0, \varepsilon_{4}^{\prime}\right]}$.

Remark 4.6. If $f$ is sufficiently smooth and dissipative, then by results in [5] the semiflows $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ generated by $\left(E_{\varepsilon}, S_{\varepsilon}\right)$ have a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ and the limit flow $\pi_{0}$ of the limit equation (4.6) has a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_{0}$. If, in addition, $\pi_{0}$ is structurally stable on $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, then by Corollary 4.3 in [5], for small $\varepsilon$, the flow $\pi_{\varepsilon}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon}$ is equivalent to the flow $\pi_{0}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, and this in particular implies the assertions of Theorem 4.5. Note, however, that we do not make any dissipativeness or structural stability assumptions here.
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