

On the Cyclotomic Unit Group and the p -Ideal Class Group of a Real Abelian Number Field

Manabu OZAKI

Waseda University

(Communicated by T. Suzuki)

1. Introduction.

Let p be an odd prime number, which will be fixed throughout the present paper. For any real abelian number field K , let K_∞ denote the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension of K and K_n its n -th layer over K . Let A_n and $A'_n = A_n / (\langle \text{ideal classes of } K_n \text{ which contain a prime ideal above } p \rangle \cap A_n)$ be the p -Sylow subgroups of the ideal class group and of the p -ideal class group, respectively, of K_n . Let E_n and C_n be the groups of units and of cyclotomic units in the sense of Sinnott, respectively, of K_n (cf. [7]). Denote by B_n the p -Sylow subgroup of the quotient group E_n/C_n . We write $\lambda_p(K)$ and $\mu_p(K)$ for the Iwasawa λ and μ invariants, respectively, of K_∞/K .

It is well known that the order of A_n and B_n are "almost" equal. For example, if $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$ then $\#(A_n) = \#(B_n)$ (cf. [7]). Furthermore, the Iwasawa main conjecture proved by B. Mazur and A. Wiles implies that the characteristic ideals of $\mathbf{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)]]$ -modules $\varprojlim A_n$ and $\varprojlim B_n$ coincide, where the projective limits are taken with respect to the norm maps (cf. [6], [3]). So it arises a natural question: Is there any deeper relation between the Galois module structures of A_n and B_n ?

In the present paper, we shall give an answer to the above question under the assumption that Greenberg's conjecture (cf. [2]) is valid. Specifically, we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a real abelian number field with $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$. If we assume that Greenberg's conjecture is valid for K and p , namely, that the Iwasawa invariants $\lambda_p(K)$ and $\mu_p(K)$ vanish, then A'_n is embedded in B_n as a Galois module (namely, $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ -module) for all sufficiently large n .*

We remark that $\mu_p(K)$ always vanishes in the above theorem by the Ferrero-Washington theorem (cf. [1]).

We shall prepare some results about the Galois cohomology groups of cyclotomic unit groups in section 2, and give the proof of Theorem 1 in section 3.

2. Galois cohomology groups of cyclotomic unit groups.

Let $\Gamma_{m,n} = \text{Gal}(K_m/K_n)$ and $N_{m,n} = N_{K_m/K_n}$ for $m \geq n \geq 0$. In this section, we calculate the Tate cohomology groups $\hat{H}^i(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m)$ for $i = -1, 0$, $m \geq n \geq 0$ by the method of J. M. Kim in [5].

THEOREM 2. *Let K be a real abelian number field and p an odd prime with $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$. For $m \geq n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{H}^0(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s-1},$$

where s stands for the number of primes of K above p .

To prove the above theorem, we show the following:

PROPOSITION. *Let K be a real abelian number field with $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$. Then*

$$C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}} = C_n$$

for all $m \geq n \geq 0$.

PROOF. For a finite abelian group G with $p \nmid \#(G)$, and $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times)$, we put

$$\varepsilon_\chi = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}_p(\chi(G))/\mathbf{Q}_p}(\chi(\sigma))\sigma^{-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_p[G].$$

Then, for any $\mathbf{Z}_p[G]$ -module M , we have $M = \bigoplus \varepsilon_\chi M$, where χ runs over all elements of $\text{Hom}(G, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times)$ modulo \mathbf{Q}_p -conjugacy.

We put $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})$. Let $H_\chi = \text{Ker}(\chi)$ for $\chi \in \text{Hom}(G, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_p^\times)$, and let $K^\chi = K^{H_\chi}$. We denote by K_n^χ the n -th layer of the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over K^χ . Then K_n^χ/\mathbf{Q} is a cyclic extension with Galois group $G/H_\chi \times \Gamma_{n,0}$. Let $C_{\chi,n}$ be the cyclotomic unit group of K_n^χ for $n \geq 0$. Then $C_{\chi,n} \subseteq C_n$ and $N_{K_n/K_n^\chi} C_n \subseteq C_{\chi,n}$. Since $\chi(H_\chi) = 1$, we see that

$$\varepsilon_\chi = \frac{1}{\#(G)} \sum_{\sigma \in G \bmod H_\chi} \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{Q}_p(\chi(G))/\mathbf{Q}_p}(\chi(\sigma))\sigma^{-1} \sum_{\tau \in H_\chi} \tau.$$

Hence $\varepsilon_\chi(C_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p) = \varepsilon_\chi(C_{\chi,n} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)$ for $n \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p = \bigoplus \varepsilon_\chi (C_m \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\Gamma_{m,n}} = \bigoplus \varepsilon_\chi (C_{\chi,m} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p)^{\Gamma_{m,n}} = \bigoplus \varepsilon_\chi (C_{\chi,m}^{\Gamma_{m,n}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p).$$

Since K_m^χ/\mathbf{Q} is a cyclic extension, we have $C_{\chi,m}^{\Gamma_{m,n}} = C_{\chi,n}$ by Greither's theorem (cf. [4, Satz 2.1]). Hence we obtain

$$C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p = \bigoplus \varepsilon_\chi (C_{\chi,n} \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p) = C_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}_p.$$

It follows from the above equation and $(C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}})^{p^{m-n}} = N_{m,n}(C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}) \subseteq C_n$ that $C_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}} = C_n$.

□

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let $f = p^\delta f'$ ($\delta = 0$ or 1 , and $p \nmid f'$) be the conductor of K and f_n the conductor of K_n . Then $f_n = p^{n+1} f'$ for $n \geq 1$. Put $\eta_{n,d} = N_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)/K_n \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)}(1 - \zeta_d)$ for $d \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} C'_n &= \mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q})]\eta_{n,p^{n+1}} \cap E_n, \\ C''_n &= \mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q})]\langle \eta_{n,dp^{n+1}} \mid d \mid f', d \neq 1 \rangle, \\ C &= \mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q})]\langle -1, \eta_{0,d} \mid d \mid f', d \neq 1 \rangle \cap E_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_{m,n}\eta_{m,dp^{m+1}} = \eta_{n,dp^{n+1}}$ for $d \in \mathbf{N}$ and $m \geq n \geq 0$, we obtain

$$(1) \quad N_{m,n}C'_m = C'_n \quad \text{and} \quad N_{m,n}C''_m = C''_n,$$

in particular, we see that $C'_n \subseteq C'_m$ and $C''_n \subseteq C''_m$. Then $C_n = CC'_nC''_n$ for $n \geq 0$ by [4, Folgerung 1.2]. We write K_T and $K_Z \subseteq K$ for the inertia and decomposition subfields, respectively, of K for p . Then $K_T = K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{f'})$ and $C \subseteq K_T$.

CLAIM 1. $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} N_{K_T/K_Z} C = s - 1$.

PROOF. We denote by E_F the unit group of F for any algebraic number field F . Since the conductor of K_T is f' , C is the cyclotomic unit group of K_T . It follows from [7] that E_{K_T}/C is finite. Hence $N_{K_T/K_Z} E_{K_T}/N_{K_T/K_Z} C$ is finite. Therefore $\text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} N_{K_T/K_Z} C = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} N_{K_T/K_Z} E_{K_T} = \text{rank}_{\mathbf{Z}} E_{K_Z} = s - 1$. \square

CLAIM 2.

$$C^{(\sigma_p - 1)(p-1)} \subseteq C \cap C'_nC''_n \subseteq \bar{C}$$

for $n \geq 0$, where $\sigma_p = \left(\frac{K_T/\mathbf{Q}}{p}\right)$ and $\bar{C} = \{\varepsilon \in C \mid N_{K_T/K_Z} \varepsilon = \pm 1\}$.

PROOF. Let $d \mid f', d \neq 1$. Then $(1 - \zeta_d)^{\sigma_p - 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_{pd}^{p+id})$ by [5, p. 516]. Taking the norm from $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd})$ to $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d) \cap K$, we find that $\eta_{0,d}^{(\sigma_p - 1)(p-1)} \in C \cap C'_0 \subseteq C \cap C''_n$. Hence we have $C^{(\sigma_p - 1)(p-1)} \subseteq C \cap C'_nC''_n$.

Let $\varepsilon = \left(\prod_{d \mid f', d \neq 1} \eta_{n,p^{n+1}d}^{\alpha_d} \eta_{n,p^{n+1}}^{\beta}\right)$ be any element in $C \cap C'_nC''_n$, where $\alpha_d, \beta \in \mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(K_n/\mathbf{Q})]$. Taking the norm $N_{n,0}$, we have

$$\varepsilon^{p^n} = \left(\prod_{d \mid f', d \neq 1} \eta_{0,pd}^{\alpha_d} \right) \eta_{0,p}^{\beta}.$$

By [5, p. 516], it holds that $N_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd})/\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)}(1 - \zeta_{pd}) = \frac{1 - \zeta_d^p}{1 - \zeta_d}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N_{K/K_Z} \eta_{0,pd} &= N_{K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd})/K_Z \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)} N_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd})/K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd})} (1 - \zeta_{pd})^{[K:K_Z(K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd}))]} \\ &= N_{K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)/K_Z \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)} N_{\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)/K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_d)} (1 - \zeta_d)^{(\sigma_p - 1)[K:K_Z(K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{pd}))]} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N_{K/K_Z} \eta_{0,p} = N_{K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbf{Q}} \eta_{0,p}^{[K:K_Z(K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p))]} = p^{[K:K_Z(K \cap \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p))]}$ and $\eta_{0,p}^{\beta}$ is a unit, we have $N_{K/K_Z} \eta_{0,p}^{\beta} = 1$ by the above equation. Therefore $N_{K/K_Z} \varepsilon^{p^n} = N_{K_T/K_Z} \varepsilon^{p^n [K:K_T]} = 1$. Thus we obtain $C \cap C'_nC''_n \subseteq \bar{C}$. \square

By the Proposition and (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{H}^0(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) &= C_n/N_{m,n}C_m = CC'_nC''_n/C^{p^m-n}C'_nC''_n \\ &\simeq C/C \cap C^{p^m-n}C'_nC''_n = C/C^{p^m-n}(C \cap C'_nC''_n). \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM 3. $C^{p^{m-n}}(C \cap C'_n C''_n) = C^{p^{m-n}} \bar{C}$.

PROOF. Since $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$, we see that $\#(\text{Ker}(N_{K_T/K_Z} : C \rightarrow C)/C^{\sigma_p^{-1}}) = \#(\hat{H}^{-1}(\text{Gal}(K_T/K_Z), C))$ is prime to p . So we find $p \nmid \#(\bar{C}/C^{(\sigma_p^{-1})^{p-1}})$. Hence $C^{p^{m-n}} C^{(\sigma_p^{-1})^{p-1}} = C^{p^{m-n}} \bar{C}$. The claim follows from Claim 2 and this equation. \square

It follows from Claim 1 that $C \simeq \bar{C} \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus s-1}$. By (2), Claim 3, and this formula, we see $\hat{H}^0(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \simeq C/C^{p^{m-n}} \bar{C} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n} \mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s-1}$.

CLAIM 4. $\text{Ker}(N_{m,n} : C_m \rightarrow C_n) \subseteq N_{l,m} C_l$ for $l \geq m \geq n \geq 0$.

PROOF. Let $C = \bar{C} \oplus C_f$, where $C_f \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus s-1}$. Let $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 \in \text{Ker}(N_{m,n} : C_m \rightarrow C_n)$, where $\varepsilon_1 \in \bar{C}$, $\varepsilon_2 \in C_f$, and $\varepsilon_3 \in C'_m C''_m$. Then $1 = N_{m,n} \varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)^{p^{m-n}} N_{m,n} \varepsilon_3$, hence $N_{m,n} \varepsilon_3 \in C \cap C'_n C''_n \subseteq \bar{C}$ by Claim 2. Therefore $\varepsilon_2 = 1$. It follows from (2) and Claim 3 that $\bar{C} \subseteq N_{l,m} C_l$. So we conclude $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_3 \in \bar{C} C'_m C''_m \subseteq N_{l,m} C_l$. \square

From $\hat{H}^0(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n} \mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s-1}$, Claim 4, and the same argument as in section 3 of [5], we see that $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n} \mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s}$. Thus we have completed the proof of Theorem 2. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Throughout this section, we assume that a real abelian number field K and an odd prime p satisfy the assumption of Theorem 1.

Let I_n and P_n denote the ideal group and the principal ideal group, respectively, of K_n . Denote by $I_n^{(p)}$ the subgroup of I_n such that $A_n = I_n^{(p)}/P_n$ for $n \geq 0$. We write $\mathfrak{P}_{n,i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) for the primes of K_n above p for $n \geq 0$, where s stands for the number of primes of K above p . Here, we note that all primes of K above p are totally ramified in K_∞ since $p \nmid [K : \mathbf{Q}]$. We write t_n for the non- p -part of the class number of K_n , and put $S_n = \langle \mathfrak{P}_{n,i}^{t_n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s \rangle \subseteq I_n^{(p)}$ for $n \geq 0$. We note $t_n \mid t_m$ for $m \geq n \geq 0$. Let $D_n = S_n P_n / P_n \subseteq A_n$. Then $A'_n \simeq A_n / D_n$.

Taking the cohomology sequence of the exact sequence of Galois modules

$$0 \longrightarrow C_m \longrightarrow E_m \longrightarrow E_m/C_m \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact sequence of Galois modules

$$0 \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow B_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}} \longrightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, E_m) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, B_m)$$

for $m \geq n \geq 0$. Since $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$ from the assumption, and $\#(A_n) = \#(B_n)$ (cf. [7]), there exists a number $n_0 \geq 0$ such that $B_m = B_{n_0}$ for all $m \geq n_0$, where we regard B_{n_0} as a subgroup of B_m by the injective map induced from the natural inclusion $E_{n_0} \subseteq E_m$. Note that the Proposition provides the injectivity of the map $B_n \rightarrow B_m$ for $m \geq n \geq 0$. Hence $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, B_m) \simeq B_n$ for all $m \geq n \geq n_0$ with $p^{m-n} B_{n_0} = 0$. We will identify $\hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, E_m)$ with $P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n$ by the Galois module isomorphism

$$P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n \simeq \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, E_m),$$

$$(\alpha) \bmod P_n \mapsto \alpha^{\gamma_{m,n}^{-1}} \bmod E_m^{\gamma_{m,n}^{-1}},$$

where $\gamma_{m,n} \in \Gamma_{m,n}$ is a fixed generator of $\Gamma_{m,n}$. So we have the exact sequence of Galois modules

$$(3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m) \xrightarrow{f} P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n \longrightarrow B_n$$

for $m \geq n \geq n_0$ with $p^{m-n}B_{n_0} = 0$. We shall show in the following that $\text{Coker}(f) \simeq A'_n$ as Galois modules if $m \geq n$ is sufficiently large, which implies Theorem 1.

We shall prepare some lemmas to prove Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Let $n \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n = \langle I_n^{(p)}/P_n, (P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n \rangle$$

for sufficiently large $m \geq n$.

PROOF. Since $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$, $I_n^{(p)} = I_n \cap P_m \subseteq P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}$ for sufficiently large $m \geq n$ by [2, Proposition 2]. Let (α) be any ideal in $P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}$. Then there exist $\mathfrak{A} \in I_n$ and $\mathfrak{B} \in \langle \mathfrak{P}_{m,i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s \rangle$ such that $(\alpha) = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{B}$. Since $\mathfrak{A}^{t_m} \in I_n^{(p)} = I_n \cap P_m$, we see $\mathfrak{B}^{t_m} \in P_m \cap S_m$. Thus we have $(P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}})^{t_m} \subseteq \langle I_n^{(p)}, P_m \cap S_m \rangle$. Since $p \nmid t_m$ and $P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n$ is a finite p -group, we obtain $P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n \subseteq \langle I_n^{(p)}/P_n, (P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n \rangle$. This inclusion and $P_m \cap S_m \subseteq P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}$ imply Lemma 1. \square

LEMMA 2. *Let $n \geq n_0$. Then*

$$(P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s},$$

$$((P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n) \cap I_n^{(p)}/P_n = D_n$$

for sufficiently large $m \geq n$.

PROOF. Since $I_n \cap S_m \subseteq I_n^{(p)}$ and $I_n^{(p)} \subseteq P_m$ for sufficiently large $m \geq n$ ([2, Proposition 2]), we have the following inclusions of groups for such $m \geq n$:

$$P_n \cap S_m \subseteq I_n \cap S_m \subseteq P_m \cap S_m \subseteq S_m.$$

We note that $I_n \cap S_m = S_m^{p^{m-n}} = S_n^{t_m/t_n}$, and that $S_m/P_m \cap S_m \simeq D_m$. Since $n \geq n_0$, it follows that $D_m \simeq D_n$ by the norm map $N_{m,n}$. Hence we have $S_m/P_m \cap S_m \simeq D_n$. So we find that $I_n \cap S_m/P_n \cap S_m \simeq S_n^{t_m/t_n}/P_n \cap S_n^{t_m/t_n} \simeq D_n$ and $S_m/I_n \cap S_m = S_m/S_m^{p^{m-n}} \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s}$. Therefore we obtain

$$(4) \quad \#(P_m \cap S_m/P_n \cap S_m) = p^{(m-n)s}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{B} \in P_m \cap S_m$. Taking the norm operator $N_{m,n}$ from I_m to I_n , we have $\mathfrak{B}^{p^{m-n}} = N_{m,n}\mathfrak{B} \in P_n \cap S_m$. Hence

$$(5) \quad (P_m \cap S_m/P_n \cap S_m)^{p^{m-n}} = 1.$$

It follows from $S_m \simeq \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus s}$ that

$$(6) \quad p\text{-rank}(P_m \cap S_m/P_n \cap S_m) \leq s.$$

From (4), (5), and (6), we obtain $(P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n \simeq P_m \cap S_m/P_n \cap S_m \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^{m-n}\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus s}$. The second assertion of the lemma follows from $I_n^{(p)} \cap P_m \cap S_m = I_n^{(p)} \cap S_m = S_n^{t_m/t_n}$. \square

LEMMA 3. *Let M be a finite abelian p -group. We assume that M has subgroups N and H such that $N \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus r}$, $p^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}H=0$, and $M=N+H$ for some $e \geq 0$, $r \geq 0$, where $[\]$ stands for the Gaussian symbol. Then, for any subgroup $N' \subseteq M$ with $N' \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})^{\oplus r}$, we have*

$$M = N' + H, \quad N' \cap H = N \cap H.$$

PROOF. Write $N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}n_i$, and $N' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}n'_i$, where $\mathbf{Z}n_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}n'_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z}$. From the assumption of the lemma, there exist $(a_{ij}) \in M_r(\mathbf{Z})$ and $h_i \in H$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that

$$(7) \quad n'_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}n_j + h_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Multiplying (7) by p^{e-1} , we obtain

$$p^{e-1}n'_i = \sum_{j=1}^r a_{ij}p^{e-1}n_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r,$$

since $p^{e-1}H=0$ from the assumption of the lemma. Hence we find $p^{e-1}N' = p^{e-1}N$, and $(a_{ij} \bmod p) \in GL_r(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$. Therefore there exists a matrix $(b_{ij}) \in M_r(\mathbf{Z})$ such that $(b_{ij})(a_{ij}) \equiv E_r \pmod{p^e}$, where $E_r \in M_r(\mathbf{Z})$ denotes the identity matrix. From (7), we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij}n'_j = n_i + h'_i, \quad h'_i \in H, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

It follows from the above equation that $N \subseteq N' + H$. Hence we have $M = N' + H$. Since $(b_{ij} \bmod p^e) \in GL_r(\mathbf{Z}/p^e\mathbf{Z})$, it holds $N' = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Z}(n_i + h'_i)$. Let $x = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(n_i + h'_i)$ be any element in $N' \cap H$. Then $0 = p^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}x = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i p^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}n_i$. Hence we have $p^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor} | c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. So we can see $x = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i n_i \in N$ by the assumption $p^{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}H=0$. Therefore $N' \cap H \subseteq N \cap H$. Since $M = N' + H$, the same argument shows $N \cap H \subseteq N' \cap H$. Thus we conclude $N \cap H = N' \cap H$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let $n \geq n_0$. Put $M = P_m^{\Gamma_{m,n}}/P_n$, $N = (P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n$, $N' = \text{Im}(f) \simeq \hat{H}^{-1}(\Gamma_{m,n}, C_m)$ and $H = I_n^{(p)}/P_n = A_n$ for $m \geq n$ with $p^{m-n}B_{n_0} = 0$, where f is the homomorphism in (3). By Theorem 2, Lemma 1, and Lemma 2, the above group M , N , N' , and H satisfy the assumption of Lemma 3 if $m \geq n$ is sufficiently large. Therefore $\text{Coker}(f) = M/N' = N + H/N' = N' + H/N' \simeq H/N' \cap H = H/N \cap H = A_n/D_n \simeq A'_n$ by Lemmas 1, 2 and 3. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

REMARK. The author wants to know if $\text{Im}(f) = (P_m \cap S_m)P_n/P_n$ or not.

References

- [1] B. FERRERO and L. C. WASHINGTON, The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields, *Ann. of Math.* **109** (1979), 377–395.
- [2] R. GREENBERG, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 263–284.
- [3] C. GREITHER, Class groups of abelian fields, and the main conjecture, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **42** (1992), 449–499.
- [4] C. GREITHER, Über relativ-invariante Kreiseinheiten und Stickelberger-Elemente, *Manuscripta Math.* **80** (1993), 27–43.
- [5] J. M. KIM, Cohomology groups of cyclotomic units, *J. Algebra* **152** (1992), 514–519.
- [6] B. MAZUR and A. WILES, Class fields of abelian extensions of \mathbf{Q} , *Invent. Math.* **76** (1984), 179–330.
- [7] W. SINNOTT, On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980), 181–234.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, WASEDA UNIVERSITY,
OKUBO, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO, 169–50 JAPAN.
e-mail: ozkm@mn.waseda.ac.jp