

The Order of the p -Selmer Groups and the Rank of Elliptic Curves

Hisayoshi SATO

Kyushu University
(Communicated by K. Katayama)

1. Introduction.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field k . Then the set $E(k)$ of all k -rational points of E is a finitely generated abelian group. By the rank of E/k we mean the rank of the free part of $E(k)$. The rank is deeply connected with the order of the Selmer group. In this paper, we give an upper bound of the order of the p -Selmer group of E/k for a prime number p in terms of the ideal class group of certain finite extension of k . There are various results about the order of the Selmer groups. Brumer-Kramer [2], Washington [11], and Kawachi-Nakano [3] studied the case for $p=2$. For a cyclic isogeny ϕ of prime degree p , Aoki [1] estimated the order of the ϕ -Selmer group by using the genus formula.

We here follow Aoki's method in order to estimate the order of the Selmer group for the multiplication-by- p map.

In Section 2, we recall the general facts about the Selmer group and define some maps which will be needed later. In Section 3, we embed the p -Selmer group for an odd prime p in some Galois cohomology groups and estimate the order of the Selmer group by making use of the genus formula under some assumptions. For $p=2$, we discuss in Section 4. In Section 5, we show that the assumptions in Section 3 hold for an elliptic curve without complex multiplication whenever we choose a suitable prime number p and replace the base field k by some finite extension of k .

2. Preliminaries.

Let k be an algebraic number field of finite degree and E be an elliptic curve defined over k . For any integer $m \geq 2$, $E[m]$ denotes the kernel of the multiplication-by- m map $[m]$. Let S be a finite set of places of k consisting of the infinite places, those which divide m and those at which E has bad reductions, and k_S be the maximal Galois

extension of k which is unramified outside S . Then it is known that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(k_S/k, E[m]) \longrightarrow H^1(k, E[m]) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in M_k \setminus S} H^1(k_v, E),$$

where M_k means the set of all (finite, infinite) places of k and k_v denotes the completion of k at $v \in M_k$ [5]. From the above sequence, the Selmer group $S^{(m)}(E/k)$ of E/k for $[m]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(m)}(E/k) &= \text{Ker} \left\{ H^1(k, E[m]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in M_k} H^1(k_v, E) \right\} \\ &= \text{Ker} \left\{ H^1(k, E[m]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, E) \right\} \cap \text{Ker} \left\{ H^1(k, E[m]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(k_v, E) \right\} \\ &= \text{Ker} \left\{ H^1(k_S/k, E[m]) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, E) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(k)/mE(k) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(k, E[m]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(k, E)[m] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \prod \text{res}_v & & \downarrow \prod \text{res}_v \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} E(k_v)/mE(k_v) & \xrightarrow{\prod \delta_v} & \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, E[m]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, E)[m] \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where res_v means the restriction map of cohomology groups. Then, from the above discussion, the Selmer group is given by

$$S^{(m)}(E/k) = \{ \xi \in H^1(k_S/k, E[m]) \mid \text{res}_v(\xi) \in \text{Im } \delta_v \text{ for any } v \in S \}.$$

Next suppose that $E[m] = \langle P \rangle \times \langle P' \rangle \subset E(k)$, where P, P' are some generators over $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$. Let $\mu_m \subset k^\times$ be the group of m -th roots of unity and e_m be the Weil pairing

$$e_m: E[m] \times E[m] \longrightarrow \mu_m.$$

For fixed generators P, P' of $E[m]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$, it is easily seen that e_m gives an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} i: H^1(k, E[m]) &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(k, \mu_m) \times H^1(k, \mu_m), \\ \xi &\longmapsto ([\sigma \mapsto e_m(\xi(\sigma), P')], [\tau \mapsto e_m(P, \xi(\tau))]). \end{aligned}$$

Let κ be the isomorphism given by the Kummer sequence for the field k :

$$\kappa: H^1(k, \mu_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} k^\times / k^{\times m}.$$

Then using the isomorphisms κ and i , we define an isomorphism

$$j: H^1(k, E[m]) \xrightarrow{\sim} (k^\times/k^{\times m})^2,$$

$$j = (\kappa \times \kappa) \circ i.$$

For any place v of k , let i_v, κ_v, j_v denote the maps which are defined over k_v in a similar way as i, κ, j respectively. Moreover, e_m defines a cup product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v: H^1(k_v, E[m]) \times H^1(k_v, E[m]) \xrightarrow{\cup_{e_m}} H^2(k_v, \mu_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z},$$

where inv_v denotes the invariant map.

Finally, let $(\cdot, \cdot)_v: k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m} \times k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m} \rightarrow \mu_m$ be the Hilbert norm residue symbol. Then we define a bilinear map Φ as follows:

$$\Phi: (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^2 \times (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^2 \rightarrow \mu_m,$$

$$((a, b), (c, d)) \mapsto (a, d)_v (b, c)_v^{-1}.$$

LEMMA 1. *The following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k_v, E[m]) \times H^1(k_v, E[m]) & \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_v} & \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \\ \downarrow j_v \times j_v & & \downarrow i \\ (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^2 \times (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^2 & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mu_m \end{array}$$

where $i(n/m) = e_m(P, P')^n$.

PROOF. If we define a pairing

$$f: H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 \times H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 \longrightarrow H^2(k_v, \mu_m),$$

$$((\xi, \eta), (\phi, \psi)) \mapsto [(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\xi \cup \psi)(\sigma, \tau)((\eta \cup \phi)(\sigma, \tau))^{-1}],$$

then by the definition, it is easily checked that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k_v, E[m]) \times H^1(k_v, E[m]) & \xrightarrow{\cup_{e_m}} & H^2(k_v, \mu_m) \\ \downarrow i_v \times i_v & & \downarrow id \\ H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 \times H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & H^2(k_v, \mu_m). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by [7] Ch. XIV Proposition 5, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k_v, \mu_m) \times H^1(k_v, \mu_m) & \xrightarrow{\cup} & H^2(k_v, \mu_m) \\ \downarrow \kappa_v \times \kappa_v & & \downarrow \nu \\ k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m} \times k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m} & \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_v} & \mu_m \end{array}$$

where v stands for the composition of inv_v and $\iota: \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_m$. Hence for $((\xi, \eta), (\phi, \psi)) \in H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 \times H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v \circ f((\xi, \eta), (\phi, \psi)) &= v((\xi \cup \psi)(\eta \cup \phi)^{-1}) = v(\xi \cup \psi)v(\eta \cup \phi)^{-1} \\ &= (\kappa_v \xi, \kappa_v \psi)_v (\kappa_v \eta, \kappa_v \phi)_v^{-1} = \Phi((\kappa_v \xi, \kappa_v \eta), (\kappa_v \phi, \kappa_v \psi)) = \Phi \circ \kappa_v^4((\xi, \eta), (\phi, \psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 \times H^1(k_v, \mu_m)^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & H^2(k_v, \mu_m) \\ \downarrow \kappa_v^4 & & \downarrow v \\ (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^2 \times (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times m})^m & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mu_m \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Moreover, the composite map $\frac{1}{m}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{inv_v^{-1}} H^2(k_v, \mu_m) \xrightarrow{v} \mu_m$ coincides with ι by the definition of v . This gives the desired result.

Taking account of Lemma 1, let $\text{Im} \delta_v^\perp$ be the annihilator of $\text{Im} \delta_v$ with respect to \langle, \rangle_v , namely

$$\text{Im} \delta_v^\perp = \{ \xi \in H^1(k_v, E[m]) \mid \langle \eta, \xi \rangle_v = 0 \text{ for any } \eta \in \text{Im} \delta_v \}.$$

Then by the definition of e_m , it can be shown that $\text{Im} \delta_v \subset \text{Im} \delta_v^\perp$ [4].

On the other hand, the Tate pairing $E(k_v) \times H^1(k_v, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ [10], [12] induces a perfect pairing

$$E(k_v)/mE(k_v) \times H^1(k_v, E)[m] \rightarrow \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z},$$

and this pairing is commutative with \langle, \rangle_v , namely the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(k_v)/mE(k_v) \times H^1(k_v, E)[m] & \longrightarrow & \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \\ \downarrow \delta_v \times \text{lift} & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ H^1(k_v, E[m]) \times H^1(k_v, E)[m] & \longrightarrow & \frac{1}{m}\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Hence, for any $\xi \in \text{Im} \delta_v^\perp \subset H^1(k_v, E[m])$, the image $\bar{\xi}$ in $H^1(k_v, E)[m]$ is an annihilator for $E(k_v)/mE(k_v)$. But the pairing is perfect, hence we have $\bar{\xi} = \bar{0}$. Therefore ξ is in the kernel of $H^1(k_v, E[m]) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, E)[m]$ which is equal to $\text{Im} \delta_v$, hence $\text{Im} \delta_v^\perp \subset \text{Im} \delta_v$, consequently we have

$$(1) \quad \text{Im} \delta_v = \text{Im} \delta_v^\perp.$$

3. The cases for odd primes.

In this section, we will embed the Selmer group $S^{(p)}(E/k)$ for an odd prime number p in some Galois cohomology group under some assumptions, and estimate the order of $S^{(p)}(E/k)$ by making use of the genus formula.

Let assume that $E(k)$ contains the p -torsion subgroup $E[p]$. Moreover we assume that the following condition (A) holds.

(A) There are such generators P_1, P_2 of p^2 -torsion subgroup $E[p^2]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$ that the definition fields $K_1 = k(P_1), K_2 = k(P_2)$ of P_1, P_2 over k are both cyclic extensions of degree p over k , and if we put

$$G_i = \text{Gal}(K_i/k) = \langle \tau_i \rangle \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

then

$$P = P_1^{\tau_1} - P_1, \quad P' = P_2^{\tau_2} - P_2$$

generate $E[p]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$.

We take the above generators P, P' of $E[p]$ in order to define the map j in Section 2 and consider the following maps

$$E(k)/pE(k) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(k, E[p]) \xrightarrow{j} (k^\times/k^{\times p})^2.$$

Then by the definition of δ and the condition (A), for any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$

$$\delta([p]P_1)(\sigma) = P_1^\sigma - P_1 \in \langle P \rangle.$$

Hence, the second component of the image $i \circ \delta([p]P_1)$ becomes always trivial:

$$(i \circ \delta([p]P_1))_2(\sigma) = e_p(P, P_1^\sigma - P_1) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k).$$

Therefore the image $j \circ \delta([p]P_1)$ is always in the form

$$j \circ \delta([p]P_1) = (a_1, 1) \in (k^\times/k^{\times p})^2$$

for some $a_1 \in k^\times/k^{\times p}$. Similarly, the image of $[p]P_2$ is in the form

$$j \circ \delta([p]P_2) = (1, a_2) \in (k^\times/k^{\times p})^2$$

for some $a_2 \in k^\times/k^{\times p}$. For any $v \in M_k$, the images of $j_v \circ \delta_v$ are also given by

$$\begin{cases} j_v \circ \delta_v([p]P_1) = (a_1, 1) \in (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2, \\ j_v \circ \delta_v([p]P_2) = (1, a_2) \in (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, let L/K be an arbitrary field extension. Then for an elliptic curve E/K , the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
E(K)/pE(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, E[p]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (K^\times/K^{\times p})^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \\
E(L)/pE(L) & \xrightarrow{\delta_L} & H^1(L, E[p]) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (L^\times/L^{\times p})^2.
\end{array}$$

For any $P \in E(K)$, $K([p]^{-1}P)$ is contained in L if and only if P is contained in $pE(L)$, and it is equivalent to that $\delta(P)$ is an element of the kernel of the map $(K^\times/K^{\times p})^2 \rightarrow (L^\times/L^{\times p})^2$. Since the kernel is $((K^\times \cap L^{\times p})/K^{\times p})^2$, if we put $\delta(P) = (\delta(P)_1, \delta(P)_2)$, it is equivalent to that $K(\sqrt[p]{\delta(P)_1}, \sqrt[p]{\delta(P)_2})$ is contained in L . Therefore we have

$$K([p]^{-1}P) = K(\sqrt[p]{\delta(P)_1}, \sqrt[p]{\delta(P)_2}) \quad \text{for any } P \in E(K).$$

Consequently, we have

$$K_1 = k(P_1) = k(\sqrt[p]{a_1}), \quad K_2 = k(P_2) = k(\sqrt[p]{a_2}).$$

Moreover, it is clear that the subgroups of $(k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2$ generated by $(a_1, 1)$, $(1, a_2)$, denoted by $\langle (a_1, 1) \rangle_v$, $\langle (1, a_2) \rangle_v$ respectively, are contained in $\text{Im } \delta_v$. Hence, taking the annihilators with respect to the bilinear map $\Phi: (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2 \times (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2 \rightarrow \mu_p$ and by (1), we have

$$\text{Im } \delta_v = \text{Im } \delta_v^\perp \subset \langle (a_1, 1) \rangle_v^\perp, \quad \text{Im } \delta_v = \text{Im } \delta_v^\perp \subset \langle (1, a_2) \rangle_v^\perp.$$

Let $(c, d) \in (k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p})^2$ be an annihilator of $(a_1, 1)$. Then by the definition we see

$$1 = \Phi((a_1, 1), (c, d)) = (a_1, d)_v(1, c)_v^{-1} = (a_1, d)_v.$$

Hence d must be an annihilator of a_1 with respect to the Hilbert norm residue symbol and c is arbitrary. In $k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p}$ the Kummer group for $K_{1,\omega} = k_v(\sqrt[p]{a_1})$ is the subgroup generated by a_1 , denoted by $\langle a_1 \rangle_v$, and its annihilator with respect to the Hilbert norm residue symbols is the norm of $K_{1,\omega}^\times$, namely $NK_{1,\omega}^\times k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p}$. Therefore we have

$$\langle (a_1, 1) \rangle_v^\perp = k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p} \times NK_{1,\omega}^\times k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p}.$$

Similarly

$$\langle (1, a_2) \rangle_v^\perp = NK_{2,\omega'}^\times k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p} \times k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p},$$

where $K_{2,\omega'} = k_v(\sqrt[p]{a_2})$. Hence we have an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad \text{Im } \delta_v &\subseteq \langle (a_1, 1) \rangle_v^\perp \cap \langle (1, a_2) \rangle_v^\perp \\
&= NK_{2,\omega'}^\times k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p} \times NK_{1,\omega}^\times k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{1,\omega}$ denote the ring of integers of $K_{1,\omega}$. Then if $K_{1,\omega}/k_v$ is unramified, we have

$$(3) \quad NK_{1,\omega}^\times \equiv N\mathcal{O}_{1,\omega}^\times \pmod{k_v^{\times p}}.$$

Similarly, if $K_{2,\omega'}/k_v$ is unramified, then

$$(4) \quad NK_{2,\omega'}^\times \equiv N\mathcal{O}_{2,\omega'}^\times \pmod{k_v^{\times p}}.$$

Let R_i be the set of places of k which are ramified in K_i ($i=1, 2$). Moreover we put $T_i = R_i \cap S$ ($i=1, 2$), where S means the same set as in Section 2. Let T'_i be the set of places of K_i lying above places of T_i . Then T'_i -idèle group J_{K_i, T'_i} of K_i is defined by

$$J_{K_i, T'_i} := \prod_{\omega \in M_{K_i} \setminus T'_i} \mathcal{O}_{i, \omega}^\times \times \prod_{\omega \in T'_i} K_{i, \omega}^\times,$$

where for an infinite place ω , $\mathcal{O}_{i, \omega}^\times$ denotes \mathbf{C}^\times . Note that by the assumption, k contains μ_p , hence k and K_i are totally imaginary.

For each places v of k , we choose and fix a place ω of K_i lying above v once for all. Then by the semi-local theory, there exists an isomorphism

$$\hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i, T'_i}) \cong \bigoplus_{v \notin T_i} \hat{H}^0(K_{i, \omega}/k_v, \mathcal{O}_{i, \omega}^\times) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in T_i} \hat{H}^0(K_{i, \omega}/k_v, K_{i, \omega}^\times),$$

where \hat{H} means the Tate cohomology.

Let f be the composition of the following maps.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1,2} H^1(k_{T_i}/k, \mu_p) &\xrightarrow{\prod(\prod_{v \in T_i, \text{res}_v})} \prod_{i=1,2} \left(\sum_{v \in T_i} H^1(k_{T_i, v}/k_v, \mu_p) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \prod_{i=1,2} \left(\prod_{v \in T_i} \hat{H}^0(K_{i, \omega}/k_v, K_{i, \omega}^\times) \right) \\ &\hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1,2} \left(\hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i, T'_i}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 2. *There is an inclusion*

$$S^{(p)}(E/k) \hookrightarrow \text{Ker} \left\{ \prod_{i=1,2} H^1(k_{T_i}/k, \mu_p) \xrightarrow{f} \prod_{i=1,2} \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i, T'_i}) \right\}.$$

PROOF. Looking at the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E(k)/pE(k) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(k_S/k, E[p]) & \simeq & H^1(k_S/k, \mu_p) \times H^1(k_S/k, \mu_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{resoinf} & & \downarrow \\ \prod_{v \in S} E(k_v)/pE(k_v) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} H^1(k_v, E[p]) & \simeq & \prod_{v \in S} \{H^1(k_v, \mu_p) \times H^1(k_v, \mu_p)\}, \end{array}$$

the Selmer group $S^{(p)}(E/k)$ can be expressed as

$$S^{(p)}(E/k) = \{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in H^1(k_S/k, \mu_p)^2 \mid \text{res}_v(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \text{Im}(i_v \circ \delta_v) \text{ for any } v \in S\}.$$

Since the map i_v is an isomorphism, we identify $\text{Im} \delta_v$ with $\text{Im}(i_v \circ \delta_v)$. Then, by (2), (3) and (4), we have for each $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in S^{(p)}(E/k)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_v \xi_1 &\in N\mathcal{O}_{2, \omega}^\times k_v^{\times p} / k_v^{\times p} & (v \in S \setminus T_2), \\ \text{res}_v \xi_2 &\in N\mathcal{O}_{1, \omega}^\times k_v^{\times p} / k_v^{\times p} & (v \in S \setminus T_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Kummer theory, there is an isomorphism

$$H^1(k_S/k, \mu_p) \cong \{x \in k^\times/k^{\times p} \mid \text{ord}_v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for any } v \notin S\}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{cases} \text{ord}_v(\text{res}_v \xi_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} & \text{for any } v \in T_2, \\ \text{ord}_v(\text{res}_v \xi_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} & \text{for any } v \notin T_1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have an inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(p)}(E/k) &\hookrightarrow H^1(k_{T_2}/k, \mu_p) \times H^1(k_{T_1}/k, \mu_p) \\ &\cong \{x \in k^\times/k^{\times p} \mid \text{ord}_v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for any } v \notin T_2\} \\ &\quad \times \{x \in k^\times/k^{\times p} \mid \text{ord}_v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ for any } v \notin T_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $v \in T_2$

$$\text{res}_v \xi_1 \in NK_{2,\omega}^\times \cdot k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p} = \text{Ker}\{k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p} \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(K_{2,\omega}/k_v, K_{2,\omega}^\times)\}.$$

Similarly, for $v \in T_1$

$$\text{res}_v \xi_2 \in NK_{1,\omega}^\times \cdot k_v^{\times p}/k_v^{\times p} = \text{Ker}\{k_v^\times/k_v^{\times p} \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(K_{1,\omega}/k_v, K_{1,\omega}^\times)\}.$$

This gives the desired inclusion.

In general, let K/k be any finite Galois extension and $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be the Galois group. Then for a finite subset T of M_k , T -unit group $U_{k,T}$ of k is defined by

$$U_{k,T} = \{u \in k^\times \mid v(u) > 0 \text{ for all real } v \in M_k^\infty \setminus T \text{ and} \\ \text{ord}_v(u) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in M_k^0 \setminus T\},$$

where M_k^∞ (resp. M_k^0) is the set of all infinite (resp. finite) places of k . Let T' be the set of places of K lying above the places of T . Then the T' -unit group $U_{K,T'}$ is also defined in a similar way. Let $J_{K,T'}$ be the T' -idèle group of K , and $C_{K,T'}$ be a group defined by the following exact sequence

$$(5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow U_{K,T'} \xrightarrow{\alpha} J_{K,T'} \xrightarrow{\beta} C_{K,T'} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where α denotes the diagonal embedding.

Taking the Tate cohomology we obtain a long exact sequence

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots &\longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(G, U_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \hat{H}^0(G, J_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \hat{H}^0(G, C_{K,T'}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^1(G, U_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} H^1(G, J_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} H^1(G, C_{K,T'}) \\ &\longrightarrow H^2(G, U_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} H^2(G, J_{K,T'}) \xrightarrow{\beta_2} H^2(G, C_{K,T'}) \\ &\longrightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Let $Cl_{k,T}$ (resp. $Cl_{K,T'}$) be the group $J_k/k^\times J_{k,T}$ (resp. $J_K/K^\times J_{K,T'}$). Suppose that T contains all the places which ramify in K/k , and that K/k is a cyclic extension, then Aoki [1] shows the following genus formula:

Suppose that K/k is a cyclic extension. Then it holds that

$$(7) \quad |\text{Ker } \alpha_2| = \frac{[K:k] |\hat{H}^0(G, U_{K,T'})| |(Cl_{K,T'})^G|}{ef_T |Cl_{k,T}|},$$

where $e = \prod_{v \in M_k} e_v$ is the product of the relative ramification indices in K/k and $f_T = \prod_{v \in T} f_v$ is the product of the relative degree of $v \in T$ in K/k [1].

In our case, the T_i -unit group of k is given by

$$U_{k,T_i} = \{u \in k^\times \mid \text{ord}_v(u) = 0 \text{ for any } v \in M_k^0 \setminus T_i\} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

The T_i -unit group of K_i is also given in a similar form.

We define a map

$$\lambda_i: U_{k,T_i} k^{\times p} / k^{\times p} \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i,T_i})$$

by the composition of the natural surjection

$$U_{k,T_i} k^{\times p} / k^{\times p} (\cong U_{k,T_i} / U_{k,T_i} \cap k^{\times p}) \longrightarrow U_{k,T_i} / NU_{k,T_i} (\cong \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, U_{K_i,T_i})),$$

where N is the norm map from K_i^\times to k^\times , and

$$\alpha_0^{(i)}: \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, U_{K_i,T_i}) \longrightarrow \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i,T_i}),$$

where $\alpha_r^{(i)}$'s are the maps obtained from taking cohomology groups for the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{K_i,T_i} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{(i)}} J_{K_i,T_i} \xrightarrow{\beta^{(i)}} C_{K_i,T_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

as in (5), (6). Note that, since K_i/k is a cyclic extension by the condition (A), $\hat{H}^0 = H^2$ and $\alpha_0^{(i)} = \alpha_2^{(i)}$. Using the genus formula (7) in order to estimate the orders of the kernels of λ_i 's, we obtain the following upper bound of the order of the Selmer group.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that $E(k)$ contains $E[p]$ and the condition (A) holds. Then*

$$|S^{(p)}(E/k)| \leq p^{2+d-r} \frac{|(Cl_{K_1,T_1})^{G_1}| |(Cl_{K_2,T_2})^{G_2}|}{|(Cl_{k,T_1})^p| |(Cl_{k,T_2})^p|},$$

where $d = [k: \mathbf{Q}]$ and $r = |R_1 \setminus T_1| + |R_2 \setminus T_2|$.

PROOF. By the definition, the order of the kernel of λ_i can be written as

$$|\text{Ker } \lambda_i| = \frac{|U_{k,T_i} / U_{k,T_i}^p|}{|\hat{H}^0(K_i/k, U_{K_i,T_i})|} |\text{Ker } \alpha_2^{(i)}| \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

By the Dirichlet's unit theorem, we have $|U_{k,T_i}/U_{k,T_i}^p| = p^{d/2+|T_i|}$ where $d = [k:\mathbf{Q}]$.

Let Cl_{k,T_i} be the group $J_k/k^\times J_{k,T_i}$ as above. Then, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow U_{k,T_i} k^\times / k^\times \longrightarrow H^1(k_{T_i}/k, \mu_p) \longrightarrow (Cl_{k,T_i})_p \longrightarrow 0$$

where $(\)_p$ denotes the p -torsion subgroup. Moreover the next diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1,2} U_{K_i,T_i} k^\times / k^\times & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1,2} H^1(k_{T_i}/k, \mu_p) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1,2} (Cl_{k,T_i})_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \lambda := \prod \lambda_i & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{i=1,2} \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i,T_i}) & \xrightarrow{id.} & \prod_{i=1,2} \hat{H}^0(K_i/k, J_{K_i,T_i}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where f is defined in Lemma 2. Then, by the Snake Lemma we see

$$|\text{Ker } f| = \frac{|\text{Coker } f| |(Cl_{k,T_1})_p| |(Cl_{k,T_2})_p| |\text{Ker } \lambda|}{|\text{Coker } \lambda|}.$$

Since $|\text{Coker } \lambda| \geq |\text{Coker } f|$, we obtain

$$|S^{(p)}(E/k)| \leq |\text{Ker } f| \leq |(Cl_{k,T_1})_p| |(Cl_{k,T_2})_p| |\text{Ker } \lambda|.$$

On the other hand, by the genus formula, we have

$$|\text{Ker } \alpha_2^{(i)}| = \frac{[K:k] |\hat{H}^0(K_i/k, U_{K_i,T_i})|}{e_i} \frac{|(Cl_{K_i,T_i})^{G_i}|}{|Cl_{k,T_i}|} \quad (i=1,2),$$

where $e_i = \prod_{v \in M_k} e_v$ is the product of relative ramification indices in K_i/k . Note that, since K_i/k is a cyclic extension of degree p by condition (A), the residue class degrees are equal to 1 for any $v \in T_i$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Ker } \lambda| &= |\text{Ker } \lambda_1| |\text{Ker } \lambda_2| \\ &= \frac{p^{2+d+|T_1|+|T_2|}}{e_1 e_2} \frac{|(Cl_{K_1,T_1})^{G_1}|}{|Cl_{k,T_1}|} \frac{|(Cl_{K_2,T_2})^{G_2}|}{|Cl_{k,T_2}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Cl_{k,T_i}| / |(Cl_{k,T_i})_p| = |(Cl_{k,T_i})^p|$, and $e_i = p^{|R_i|}$, we obtain the desired estimate

$$|S^{(p)}(E/k)| \leq p^{2+d-r} \frac{|(Cl_{K_1,T_1})^{G_1}|}{|(Cl_{k,T_1})^p|} \frac{|(Cl_{K_2,T_2})^{G_2}|}{|(Cl_{k,T_2})^p|}.$$

Let \dim_p denote the dimension over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. By the assumption, we have $\text{rank } E(k) = \dim_p E(k)/pE(k) - 2$, and there is an injection $\delta: E(k)/pE(k) \rightarrow S^{(p)}(E/k)$. Hence we have the following

COROLLARY. *We have an inequality*

$$\text{rank } E(k) \leq d - r + \text{ord}_p \frac{|(Cl_{K_1,T_1})^{G_1}|}{|(Cl_{k,T_1})^p|} + \text{ord}_p \frac{|(Cl_{K_2,T_2})^{G_2}|}{|(Cl_{k,T_2})^p|}.$$

4. The case for $p=2$.

In the case of $p=2$, the situation on the infinite places of k is slightly different from the one for odd primes. For an odd prime p , the condition $E[p] \subset E(k)$ implies that k is a totally imaginary number field. On the other hand, we deduce nothing about the infinite places k from the condition $E[2] \subset E(k)$. However, if we make some assumption on the infinite places in order to simplify the situation (for example, k is totally imaginary), then we can estimate the 2-Selmer group for E/k in a similar way for odd primes. In the case that k is a totally real number field, we also obtain a similar estimate as follows.

Let k be a totally real number field, E be an elliptic curve defined over k . Let assume that $E[2] \subset E(k)$ and the following condition (B) holds.

(B) There are such generators P_1, P_2 of 4-torsion subgroup $E[4]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/4\mathbf{Z}$ that the definition fields $K_1 = k(P_1), K_2 = k(P_2)$ of P_1, P_2 over k are both cyclic extensions of degree 2 over k , and if we put

$$G_i = \text{Gal}(K_i/k) = \langle \tau_i \rangle \quad (i=1, 2),$$

then

$$P = P_1^{\tau_1} - P_1, \quad P' = P_2^{\tau_2} - P_2$$

generate $E[2]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

This is the same condition as (A) for $p=2$ in Section 3.

Let R_i be the set of places of k which ramify in K_i ($i=1, 2$), and put

$$T_i^{(2)} := (R_i \cap S) \cup \{\text{the places lying above } 2\} \cup M_k^\infty.$$

THEOREM 2. *Under the above conditions we have*

$$|S^{(2)}(E/k)| \leq 2^{2+r} \frac{|(Cl_{K_1, T_1^{(2)}})^{G_1}|}{|(Cl_{k, T_1^{(2)}})^2|} \frac{|(Cl_{K_2, T_2^{(2)}})^{G_2}|}{|(Cl_{k, T_2^{(2)}})^2|}$$

where $r := |T_1^{(2)}| + |T_2^{(2)}| - |R_1| - |R_2|$.

PROOF. Each element of $S \setminus T_i^{(2)}$ ($i=1, 2$) is a finite place. Hence the argument is completely similar to the one for odd primes. Note that since $T_i^{(2)}$ contains all infinite places of k , for the $T_i^{(2)}$ -unit group $U_{k, T_i^{(2)}}$, the order of the group $U_{k, T_i^{(2)}}/U_{k, T_i^{(2)}}^2$ is equal to $2^{|T_i^{(2)}|}$ by Dirichlet's theorem.

5. Elliptic curves without complex multiplication.

In this section, we show that if an elliptic curve has no complex multiplication, then choosing some prime number p and replacing k by its finite extension if necessary,

we can make the condition (A) in Section 3 to be valid.

Let E/k be an elliptic curve defined over a number field of finite degree. For any integer $m \geq 2$, $E[m]$ is a free $(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$ -module of rank 2, and $G(m) = \text{Gal}(k(m)/k)$ acts on $E[m]$ where $k(m) = k(E[m])$. Hence by taking some generators of $E[m]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$, there exists a homomorphism $G(m) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$. Let us assume that E has no complex multiplication. Then by Serre [6], [8], we know that for all but finitely many prime numbers p , the above homomorphisms are isomorphisms:

$$(8) \quad G(p^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p^n\mathbf{Z}) \quad \text{for any } n \geq 1.$$

From an elementary fact, the orders of $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})$ and $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$ can be given by

$$|GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})| = p(p^2 - 1)(p - 1), \quad |GL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})| = p^5(p^2 - 1)(p - 1).$$

Hence, for any prime p which satisfies (8), $[k(p^2) : k(p)] = p^4$. Let $P_1, P_2 \in E[p^2]$ be any generators of $E[p^2]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$. Then it is easily seen that for $\sigma \in G(p^2)$, σ fixes $E[p]$ and μ_{p^2} if and only if $([p]P_1)^\sigma = [p]P_1$, $([p]P_2)^\sigma = [p]P_2$ and $\sigma \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$, because $[p]P_1$ and $[p]P_2$ generate $E[p]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. We rewrite this condition in terms

of matrices. First, since σ fixes $[p]P_1$ and $[p]P_2$, putting $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ap \\ cp \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} bp \\ dp \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we have $a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Then if we put $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1+p\alpha & p\beta \\ p\gamma & 1+p\eta \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta \in \mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}$, since σ is in $SL_2(\mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z})$, η must be equal to $-\alpha$. Therefore $\sigma \in H := \text{Gal}(k(p^2)/(k(p))(\mu_{p^2}))$ if and only if it is in the form

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1+p\alpha & p\beta \\ p\gamma & 1-p\alpha \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for some } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{Z}/p^2\mathbf{Z}.$$

The number of such σ 's is p^3 , namely $|H| = p^3$, hence $[(k(p))(\mu_{p^2}) : k(p)] = p$. Moreover, the subgroup of H consisting of the elements which fix P_1 is a group generated by $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Similarly the subgroup which fixes P_2 is generated by $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and their orders are both p . Hence if we put

$$K = (k(p))(\mu_{p^2}, P_1) \cap (k(p))(\mu_{p^2}, P_2),$$

then $K(P_1)$ and $K(P_2)$ are both cyclic extensions of K whose Galois Groups are generated by σ_2 and σ_1 respectively:

$$G_1 = \text{Gal}(K(P_1)/K) = \langle \sigma_2 \rangle = \langle \sigma_2|_{K(P_1)} \rangle,$$

$$G_2 = \text{Gal}(K(P_2)/K) = \langle \sigma_1 \rangle = \langle \sigma_1|_{K(P_2)} \rangle.$$

Moreover,

$$P_1^{\sigma_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ p & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{pmatrix} = P_1 + [p]P_2,$$

$$P_2^{\sigma_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & p \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = [p]P_1 + P_2.$$

Hence $P_1^{\sigma_2} - P_1 = [p]P_2$ and $P_2^{\sigma_1} - P_2 = [p]P_1$ generate $E[p]$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$. Therefore we obtain the following.

PROPOSITION. *Let E/k be an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, and p be a prime number which satisfies the condition (8). Then there exists a finite extension field K of k such that the condition (A) holds for E/K and p .*

References

- [1] N. AOKI, Selmer groups and ideal class groups, *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **42** (1993), 209–229.
- [2] A. BRUMER and K. KRAMER, The rank of elliptic curves, *Duke Math. J.* **44** (1977), 715–743.
- [3] M. KAWACHI and S. NAKANO, The 2-class groups of cubic fields and 2-descents on elliptic curves, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **44** (1992), 557–565.
- [4] W. G. MCCALLUM, On the Shafarevich-Tate group of the jacobian of a quotient of the Fermat curve, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 637–666.
- [5] J. S. MILNE, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*, Academic Press (1986).
- [6] J.-P. SERRE, *Abelian l -Adic Representation and Elliptic Curves*, Benjamin (1968).
- [7] J.-P. SERRE, *Local Fields*, Springer (1979).
- [8] J.-P. SERRE, Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques, *Invent. Math.* **15** (1972), 259–331.
- [9] J. H. SILVERMAN, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer (1986).
- [10] J. TATE, Duality theorems in Galois cohomology over number fields, *Proc. Internat. Cong. Math. Stockholm* (1962), 288–295.
- [11] L. C. WASHINGTON, Class numbers of the simplest cubic fields, *Math. Computation* **48** (1987), 371–384.
- [12] L. C. WASHINGTON, Number fields and elliptic curves, *Number Theory and Applications* (R. A. Mollin ed.), Kluwer Academic Publishers (1989), 245–278.

Present Address:

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY 33,
HAKOZAKI, FUKUOKA, 812 JAPAN.