

Correction to : A Characterization of the Poisson Kernel Associated with $SU(1, n)$

(Tokyo Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11, No. 1, 1988, pp. 37–55)

Takeshi KAWAZOE and Taoufiq TAHANI

Keio University and Université de Lille I

In Corollary 6 (iii), which appears in page 45 of the paper above, the numerator in the right hand side of the second equation should be equal to 4 instead of 2. As a consequence the numerators $2(2n^2 - 9n + 1)$ and $4n(6n^2 + 5n - 5)$ in (21) must be changed into $-2(n^2 + 3n + 2)$ and $8n(3n^2 + n - 1)$ respectively, and then the equation below (24) into $A = \bar{A}$. Therefore, the argument in p. 51 that deduces (8d) collapses. We replace it as follows.

Let F be a real valued, C^2 function on G/K satisfying $F(0) = 1$ and (2a), (2b), (2c) in Lemma 1. We here put $[F](g) = \int_M f(mg) dm$ ($g \in G$) and $R = F - [F]$. Then $[F]$ satisfies $[F](0) = 1$, (2a) and (2c), and R satisfies $R(0) = 0$, (2a) and $(\partial R / \partial \zeta_i)(0) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Especially, if we denote by $[F] = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} [F]_N$ (resp. $R = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} R_N$) a homogeneous expansion of $[F]$ (resp. R) with respect to $\zeta_1, \bar{\zeta}_1, \zeta_2, \bar{\zeta}_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \bar{\zeta}_n$, we see that

$$(1a) \quad [F]_0 = 1, \quad [F]_1 = n(\zeta_1 + \bar{\zeta}_1),$$

$$(1b) \quad R_0 = R_1 = 0.$$

Since $P(\zeta) = P(\zeta, e_1)$ and $[F]$ are M -invariant eigenfunctions of D , it follows from Proposition 7 that they have expansions of the forms:

$$(2a) \quad P(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} P_{pq}(r) \phi_{pq}(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} Q_{pq}^0(r) \zeta_1^p \bar{\zeta}_1^q,$$

$$(2b) \quad [F](\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} C_{pq} P_{pq}(r) \phi_{pq}(\zeta) = \sum_{p, q \geq 0} Q_{pq}(r) \zeta_1^p \bar{\zeta}_1^q,$$

where $r^2 = |\zeta|^2$, $\zeta = \zeta/r$, $C_{pq} \in \mathbb{C}$ and ϕ_{pq} is a spherical harmonic on K/M (see [1], p. 144). Since $\phi_{00}(\zeta) = 1$, $\phi_{10}(\zeta) = \zeta_1$ and $\phi_{01}(\zeta) = \bar{\zeta}_1$, it follows from (1a) that

$$(3a) \quad Q_{00} = Q_{00}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n,$$

$$(3b) \quad Q_{10} = Q_{01} = Q_{10}^0 = Q_{01}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n n.$$

Moreover, comparing with the coefficient of $1 = \zeta_1^0 \bar{\zeta}_1^0$ in $D[F] = 0$, we see from (3a) that

$$(4) \quad Q_{11} = Q_{11}^0 = (1 - r^2)^n n^2.$$

Therefore, noting the relations among coordinates in p. 41, we can deduce that $[F]$ is of the form:

$$(5) \quad [F] = 1 + n(\xi + \bar{\xi}) + \alpha \xi^2 + \bar{\alpha} \bar{\xi}^2 + n^2 |\xi|^2 - nr^2 + \dots$$

We next substitute $F = [F] + R$ for (2b) in Lemma 1:

$$(6) \quad 8n^2([F]^2 + 2[F]R + R^2) = |\nabla|^2([F]) + 2\nabla([F], R) + |\nabla|^2(R),$$

where $\nabla(f, g) = \Delta(fg) - \Delta(f)g - f(\Delta g)$ and $|\nabla|^2(f) = \nabla(f^2)$. Since $[\nabla([F], R)] = [\Delta([F]R)] = \Delta([F][R]) = 0$, the average of (6) over M is given by

$$(7) \quad 8n^2([F]^2 + [R^2]) = |\nabla|^2([F]) + [|\nabla|^2(R)].$$

Then, comparing with the homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 in (7), we see from (1b) that

$$(8) \quad 8 \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial \zeta_i} \right|^2 \right] = \text{the homogeneous polynomial of degree 2} \\ \text{in } 8n^2[F]^2 - |\nabla|^2([F]).$$

We here let $\zeta = \zeta_0 = (0, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n)$. Then (3) implies that

$$(9) \quad \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial R_2}{\partial \zeta_i} \right|^2 (\zeta_0) \right] = 0.$$

This means that $\partial R_2 / \partial \zeta_i = \partial R_2 / \partial \bar{\zeta}_i = 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$), so R_2 is a function of ζ_1 and $\bar{\zeta}_1$. Since $[R] = 0$, we can deduce that

$$(10) \quad R_2 = 0.$$

Then, it follows from (1b) and (10) that $F_i = [F]_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and thus, F is of the same form as (5). Therefore, noting the relations among coordinates in p. 41, we see that $G = e^{-2n\tau} F$ is of the form:

$$(11) \quad G = 1 + a\xi^2 + \bar{a}\bar{\xi}^2 + \dots$$

Therefore, in $H_2(\xi, z)$ (see p. 49) $B = D_i = 0$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$). Then it follows from (15) and (16) that $B = \text{Re}(A) = 0$, so we recover (8d).

The idea used in this correction can be generalized to the case of $Sp(n, 1)$ (see [2]).

References

- [1] K. D. JOHNSON and N. R. WALLACH, Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **229** (1977), 137-173.

- [2] T. KAWAZOE, A characterization of the Poisson kernel on the classical rank one symmetric spaces, Tokyo J. Math. **15** (1992), 365–379.

Present Addresses:

TAKESHI KAWAZOE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY,
HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, 223 JAPAN.

TAOUFIQ TAHANI
U.F.R. DE MATHÉMATIQUES,
F-59655 VILLENEUVE d'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE.