

Some Results on Additive Number Theory V

Minoru TANAKA

Gakushuin University

§1. The main theorem.

Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of a positive integer n .

Let k and l be positive integers;

let a_1, \dots, a_k be distinct non-zero integers;

let a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{k+l} be distinct integers.

We put, for $\alpha_i < \beta_i$, $i=1, \dots, k+l$,

$$\Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\alpha_i}^{\beta_i} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) dx.$$

Let N be a positive integer, which will be assumed to be sufficiently large as occasion demands.

THEOREM. Let $A(N) = A(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l})$ denote the number of representations of N as the sum of the form $N = p + n$, where p is prime, and n is a positive integer such that

$$\log \log N + \alpha_i \sqrt{\log \log N} < \omega(p + a_i) < \log \log N + \beta_i \sqrt{\log \log N}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k$, and

$$\log \log N + \alpha_i \sqrt{\log \log N} < \omega(n + a_i) < \log \log N + \beta_i \sqrt{\log \log N}$$

for $i=k+1, \dots, k+l$ simultaneously. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$A(N) \sim \frac{N}{\log N} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_i).$$

The paper will be read without making any references to author's previous papers, except for the proof of Lemma 4.

The author expresses his thanks to Prof. S. Iyanaga for his kind advices.

§ 2. Proof of the theorem.

For any non-negative integers b and c , we put

$$E(b, c) = \sum_{i=0}^{2c} (-1)^i \binom{b}{i}, \quad F(b, c) = \sum_{i=0}^{2c+1} (-1)^i \binom{b}{i},$$

then, as simple properties of binomial coefficients, we have

$$E(b, c) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{when } b=0, \\ \geq 0 & \text{when } b>0, \end{cases} \quad F(b, c) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{when } b=0, \\ \leq 0 & \text{when } b>0. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 1. Let b_1, \dots, b_t and c_1, \dots, c_t be non-negative integers, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \left\{ \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^t E(b_i, c_i) \cdot F(b_j, c_j) \right\} - (t-1) \prod_{i=1}^t E(b_i, c_i) \\ \begin{cases} = 1, & \text{when } b_1 = \dots = b_t = 0, \\ \leq 0, & \text{when at least one of the } b\text{'s is positive.} \end{cases}$$

We omit the proof.

We put

$$D(N) = D(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^k a_i \cdot \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+l} (N+a_j) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=k+1}^{k+l} (N+a_i+a_j) \\ \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_i - a_j) \cdot \prod_{k+1 \leq i < j \leq k+l} (a_i - a_j),$$

and define the set S consisting of primes p^* as

$$S = \{p^* : p^* \nmid D(N), e^{(\log \log N)^2} < p^* < N^{(\log \log N)^{-2}}\}.$$

We put

$$y(N) = \sum_{p^* \in S} \frac{1}{p^*}.$$

LEMMA 2. $y(N) = \log \log N + O(\log \log \log N)$.

PROOF. As is well-known,

$$(1) \quad \sum_{p^* \leq x} \frac{1}{p^*} = \log \log x + O(1).$$

Since

$$2^{\omega(D(N))} \leq D(N), \quad \omega\{D(N)\} \leq \log D(N)/\log 2,$$

replacing x in (1) by $\log D(N)/\log 2$, we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{p^*|D(N)} \frac{1}{p^*} \leq \sum_{p^* \leq D(N)} \frac{1}{p^*} = O(\log \log \log N).$$

Hence if we replace x in (1) by the upper and lower limits of p^* in the definition of the set S , easy calculations will give the lemma.

By this lemma, $y(N) < 2 \log \log N$ for large N . This inequality will frequently be used in the sequel.

We denote by $\omega^*(N; n)$ the number of distinct prime factors of a positive integer n , which belong to the set S :

$$\omega^*(N; n) = \sum_{p^*|n, p^* \in S} 1.$$

We shall in the sequel mainly concern with $\omega^*(N; n)$, and a result obtained for $\omega^*(N; n)$ will in the last step be transformed to our theorem for $\omega(n)$.

We define, for any positive integer t , the set $M(t)$ consisting of positive integers as

$$M(t) = \{m; m \text{ is squarefree,} \\ m \text{ is composed only of primes } \in S, \\ m \text{ has } t \text{ prime factors}\};$$

the 2nd and 3rd conditions may be rewritten as $\omega(n) = \omega^*(N; n) = t$. We put for convenience $M(0) = \{1\}$.

For any positive integers t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} , we denote by $F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l})$ the number of representations of N as the sum of the form $N = p + n$, where p is prime, and n is a positive integer such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^*(N; p + a_i) &= t_i & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k, \\ \omega^*(N; n + a_i) &= t_i & \text{for } i = k+1, \dots, k+l \end{aligned}$$

simultaneously.

For any positive integers m_1, \dots, m_{k+l} such that $m_1 \in M(t_1), \dots, m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})$ with some positive integers t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} , we denote by $G(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l})$ the number of representations of N as the sum of the form $N = p + n$, where p is prime, and n is a positive integer such that

$$(3) \quad \prod_{\substack{p^* | (p+a_i) \\ p^* \in S}} p^* = m_i \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, k,$$

$$(4) \quad \prod_{\substack{p^* | (n+a_i) \\ p^* \in S}} p^* = m_i \quad \text{for } i=k+1, \dots, k+l$$

simultaneously.

We have

$$F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}) = \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} G(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}).$$

We shall give some more functions. For any positive integers $t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}, T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}), \\ & \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1+\dots+\tau_{k+l}} \mathcal{L}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}), \\ & \mathcal{L}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}} \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ \mu_i \mu_i | (p+a_i) \quad (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i \mu_i | (n+a_i) \quad (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation-variables p and n in the innermost sum run through primes and positive integers respectively such that $p+n=N$ and the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_i m_i | (p+a_i) \quad \text{for } i=1, \dots, k, \\ & \mu_i m_i | (n+a_i) \quad \text{for } i=k+1, \dots, k+l \end{aligned}$$

hold simultaneously.

Similarly we put, for $i=1, \dots, k+l$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}), \\ & \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_i=0}^{2T_i+1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1+\dots+\tau_{k+l}} \mathcal{L}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}), \end{aligned}$$

where the summation-variable τ_i runs through the integers $0, \dots, 2T_i+1$, and other τ_j 's run through the integers $0, \dots, 2T_j$, respectively.

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad - (k+l-1) \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \leq F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Changing the order of summations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ m_i | (p+a_i) \ (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i | (n+a_i) \ (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in M(\tau_i) \\ (\mu_i, m_i)=1 \\ \mu_i | (p+a_i)}} 1 \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{k+l} \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in M(\tau_i) \\ (\mu_i, m_i)=1 \\ \mu_i | (n+a_i)}} 1 \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ m_i | (p+a_i) \ (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i | (n+a_i) \ (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} \prod_{i=1}^k \binom{\omega^*(N; p+a_i) - t_i}{\tau_i} \prod_{i=k+1}^{k+l} \binom{\omega^*(N; n+a_i) - t_i}{\tau_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) & = \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ m_i | (p+a_i) \ (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i | (n+a_i) \ (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} \delta(p, n), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) & \\ & \quad - (k+l-1) \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & = \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ m_i | (p+a_i) \ (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i | (n+a_i) \ (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} \delta'(p, n), \end{aligned}$$

then, using the notations in Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p, n) & = \prod_{i=1}^k E\{\omega^*(N; p+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{k+l} E\{\omega^*(N; n+a_i) - t_i, T_i\}, \\ \delta'(p, n) & = \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k E\{\omega^*(N; p+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \cdot F\{\omega^*(N; p+a_j) - t_j, T_j\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{k+l} E\{\omega^*(N; n+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k+l} \left[\prod_{i=1}^k E\{\omega^*(N; p+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=k+1 \\ i \neq j}}^{k+l} E\{\omega^*(N; n+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \cdot F\{\omega^*(N; n+a_j) - t_j, T_j\} \right] \\ & \quad - (k+l-1) \prod_{i=1}^k E\{\omega^*(N; p+a_i) - t_i, T_i\} \cdot \prod_{i=k+1}^{k+l} E\{\omega^*(N; n+a_i) - t_i, T_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now in the definition of $G(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l})$, (3) and (4) hold simultaneously when and only when

$$(5) \quad \omega^*(N; p + a_i) = t_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$(6) \quad \omega^*(N; n + a_i) = t_i \quad \text{for } i = k+1, \dots, k+l$$

hold simultaneously, and by Lemma 1, for such p and n , we have $\delta(p, n) = \delta'(p, n) = 1$; when at least one of the equations (3) and (4) does not hold, or at least one of the equations (5) and (6) does not hold, we have $\delta(p, n) \geq 0$ and $\delta'(p, n) \leq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{k+l} \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad - (k+l-1) \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \leq G(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}) \leq \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up thus obtained inequalities, we get the lemma.

We shall further introduce some functions. We put

$$\begin{aligned} & H^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} K^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}), \\ & K^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{k+l}} L(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}), \\ & L(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}}^* \frac{1}{\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the asterisk attached to the summation symbols means that $m_1 \mu_1, \dots, m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$ are relatively prime in pairs, and $\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})$ is Euler's function of $m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & H_1^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} K_1^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}), \\ & K_1^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ & \quad = \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{k+l}} L_1(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}), \end{aligned}$$

$$L_1(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}}^* \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}},$$

where the asterisk has the same meaning as before, and, in each summand, $\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})$ is replaced by $m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$;

$$H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} K_2^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}),$$

$$K_2^{(0)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1+\dots+\tau_{k+l}} L_2(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}),$$

$$L_2(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}) = \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}}^{**} \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}},$$

where the double asterisks indicate that $m_1 \mu_1, \dots, m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$ are not coprime, or not relatively prime in pairs.

LEMMA 4. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H_1^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) + H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. We have

$$H_1^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) + H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \sum_{m_i \in M(t_i)} \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{\tau_i=0}^{2T_i} (-1)^{\tau_i} \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in M(\tau_i) \\ (\mu_i, m_i)=1}} \frac{1}{\mu_i}.$$

Hence it will suffice to show that

$$\sum_{m_i \in M(t_i)} \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{\tau_i=0}^{2T_i} (-1)^{\tau_i} \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \in M(\tau_i) \\ (\mu_i, m_i)=1}} \frac{1}{\mu_i} = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_i} e^{-y(N)}}{t_i!} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in $t_i < 2y(N)$ for each index i . The proof can be carried out similarly as [3], the proof of Lemma 6.

LEMMA 5. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} \cdot o(1)$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. Recalling the definition of $H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l})$, we have

$$(7) \quad H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \leq \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}} \frac{1}{m_1 \ell_1 \dots m_{k+l} \ell_{k+l}},$$

where the double asterisks indicate that, for each summand, $m_i \ell_i, \dots, m_{k+l} \ell_{k+l}$ are not relatively prime in pairs, or there exists a couple of indices i_1 and i_2 with $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq k+l$ such that $(m_{i_1} \ell_{i_1}, m_{i_2} \ell_{i_2}) > 1$. If we put $d = (m_{i_1} \ell_{i_1}, m_{i_2} \ell_{i_2})$, $m_{i_1} \ell_{i_1} = d m'_{i_1} \ell'_{i_1}$, $m'_{i_1} | m_{i_1}$, $\ell'_{i_1} | \ell_{i_1}$, $m_{i_2} \ell_{i_2} = d m'_{i_2} \ell'_{i_2}$, $m'_{i_2} | m_{i_2}$, $\ell'_{i_2} | \ell_{i_2}$, and $m'_i = m_i$, $\ell'_i = \ell_i$ for $i \neq i_1, i_2$, then

$$\frac{1}{m_1 \ell_1 \dots m_{k+l} \ell_{k+l}} = \frac{1}{d^2} \cdot \frac{1}{m'_1 \ell'_1 \dots m'_{k+l} \ell'_{k+l}}.$$

Hence rewriting the right-hand side of (7), we have

$$H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \leq \sum_d \frac{1}{d^2} \cdot \sum_{t'_1=0}^{t_1} \dots \sum_{t'_{k+l}=0}^{t_{k+l}} \sum_{m'_1 \in M(t'_1)} \dots \sum_{m'_{k+l} \in M(t'_{k+l})} \sum_{\tau'_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau'_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} \sum_{\mu'_1 \in M(\tau'_1)} \dots \sum_{\mu'_{k+l} \in M(\tau'_{k+l})} \frac{1}{m'_1 \ell'_1 \dots m'_{k+l} \ell'_{k+l}},$$

which gives

$$(8) \quad H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \leq \sum_d \frac{1}{d^2} \cdot \left(\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in M(t)} \frac{1}{m} \right)^{2(k+l)},$$

where the summation-variable d runs through integers greater than 1, and each factor of d belongs to S , so that $d > \exp\{(\log \log N)^2\}$, hence

$$\sum_d \frac{1}{d^2} = O(e^{-(\log \log N)^2}).$$

Also

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in M(t)} \frac{1}{m} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{\{y(N)\}^t}{t!} = e^{y(N)} = O(e^{2 \log \log N}).$$

Hence it follows from (8) that

$$H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = O(e^{2(k+l) \log \log N - (\log \log N)^2}).$$

Since

$$\frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} > \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}}}{\{2y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}}} 2^{-(t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l})} > e^{-2(k+l)y(N)} > e^{-4(k+l) \log \log N},$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned} H_2^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} \cdot O(e^{2(k+l) \log \log N - (\log \log N)^2}), \end{aligned}$$

which holds uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

LEMMA 6. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H_1^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. From Lemmas 4 and 5, we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 7. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1 + \dots + t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}!} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. Since $\omega(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}) < 12(k+l)y(N) < 24(k+l) \log \log N$, and each factor of $m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$ belongs to S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})} \\ &= \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} \prod_{p | m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} \prod_{p|m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} \left(1 + \frac{2}{p}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}} (1 + 2e^{-(\log \log N)^2})^{24(k+l) \log \log N}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})} = \frac{1 + o(1)}{m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}}$$

uniformly in $m_1 \mu_1, \dots, m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$. From this and Lemma 6, we obtain the lemma.

Next we put

$$\begin{aligned} &H^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}(t_1)} \cdots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in \mathcal{M}(t_{k+l})} K^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}), \\ &K^{(i)}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \cdots \sum_{\tau_i=0}^{2T_i+1} \cdots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} (-1)^{\tau_1+\dots+\tau_{k+l}} L(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l}), \end{aligned}$$

where the summation variable τ_i runs through the integers $0, \dots, 2T_i+1$, and other τ_j 's run through the integers $0, \dots, 2T_j$, respectively.

LEMMA 8. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \cdots t_{k+l}!} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. Similarly as Lemmas 4, 5, 6 and 7, we obtain the lemma.

In the proof of next lemma, we shall use Bombieri's theorem (cf. [1], [2]).

LEMMA 9. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \\ &- H^{(0)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \text{li}(N-1) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log^\alpha N}\right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} , where $\text{li}(N-1)$ is the logarithmic integral of $N-1$, and α is an arbitrary positive constant.

PROOF. In the definition of $\mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l})$, each summand of $\mathcal{L}(N; m_1, \dots, m_{k+l}; \tau_1, \dots, \tau_{k+l})$ has the form

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\substack{p+n=N \\ m_i \mu_i | (p+a_i) \ (i=1, \dots, k) \\ m_i \mu_i | (n+a_i) \ (i=k+1, \dots, k+l)}} 1;$$

the prime factors of $m_1 \mu_1, \dots, m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$ belong to the set S , and do not contain the prime factors of $D(N)$. It follows that $m_1 \mu_1, \dots, m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}$ are relatively prime in pairs; in fact, if a prime p^* exists such that $p^* | (p+a_i)$ and $p^* | (p+a_j)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq k$, then $p^* | (a_i - a_j)$, and such p^* is excluded from the set S ; if a prime p^* exists such that $p^* | (n+a_i)$ and $p^* | (n+a_j)$ with $k+1 \leq i < j \leq k+l$, then $p^* | (a_i - a_j)$ and such p^* is excluded from the set S ; if a prime p^* exists such that $p^* | (p+a_i)$ and $p^* | (n+a_j)$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq k+l$, then $p^* | (N+a_i+a_j)$, and such p^* is excluded from the set S .

Now the simultaneous congruences

$$p \equiv -a_i \pmod{m_i \mu_i}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k$ with relatively prime moduli in pairs are equivalent to a congruence

$$(10) \quad p \equiv r_1 \pmod{m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_k \mu_k}$$

with suitable r_1 . Since the set S excludes the prime factors of a_1, \dots, a_k , we have $(r_1, m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_k \mu_k) = 1$.

The simultaneous congruences

$$n \equiv -a_i \pmod{m_i \mu_i}$$

for $i=k+1, \dots, k+l$ with relatively prime moduli in pairs, since $p+n=N$, may be rewritten as

$$p \equiv N+a_i \pmod{m_i \mu_i}.$$

These congruences are equivalent to a congruence

$$(11) \quad p \equiv r_2 \pmod{m_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}}.$$

Since the set S excludes the prime factors of $N+a_{k+1}, \dots, N+a_{k+l}$, we have $(r_2, m_{k+1} \mu_{k+1} \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}) = 1$.

The two congruences (10) and (11) are again equivalent to a single congruence

$$p \equiv r \pmod{m_1 \mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}},$$

where $(r, m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}) = 1$, and (9) may be written as $\pi(N-1; m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}, r)$.

It follows from the considerations on (9) that

$$(12) \quad \left| \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) - H^{(0)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \operatorname{li}(N-1) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{m_1 \in M(t_1)} \cdots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in M(t_{k+l})} \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \cdots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in M(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \cdots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in M(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}}^* \\ \left| \pi(N-1; m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}, r) - \frac{\operatorname{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l})} \right|.$$

In order to avoid the complexity caused by r , we replace each summand by

$$\max_{\rho} \left| \pi(N-1; m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}, \rho) - \frac{\operatorname{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l})} \right|,$$

where ρ runs through a reduced residue system modulo $m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}$.

If we put $\nu = m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l}$, then

$$\omega(\nu) < 12(k+l)y(N) < 24(k+l)\log \log N;$$

each prime factor of ν belongs to S , so that

$$\nu < (N^{(\log \log N)^{-2}})^{24(k+l)\log \log N} = N^{24(k+l)/\log \log N},$$

for each ν , the number of summands for which $m_1\mu_1 \cdots m_{k+l}\mu_{k+l} = \nu$ does not exceed $\{\omega(\nu)\}^{2(k+l)} < \{24(k+l)\log \log N\}^{2(k+l)}$.

Thus from (12) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \left| \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) - H^{(0)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \operatorname{li}(N-1) \right| \\ \leq \{24(k+l)\log \log N\}^{2(k+l)} \sum_{\nu} \max_{\rho} \left| \pi(N-1; \nu, \rho) - \frac{\operatorname{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(\nu)} \right|,$$

where ν runs through positive integers not exceeding $N^{24(k+l)/\log \log N}$. Now by Bombieri's theorem

$$\sum_{\nu} \max_{\rho} \left| \pi(N-1; \nu, \rho) - \frac{\operatorname{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(\nu)} \right| = O\left(\frac{N}{\log^{\alpha} N}\right)$$

with arbitrary positive constant α . Hence by (13) we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 10. *Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i = 1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) - H^{(i)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \text{li}(N-1) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log^\alpha N}\right)$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} , where α is an arbitrary positive constant.

PROOF. Similarly as (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) - H^{(i)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \text{li}(N-1) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}(t_1)} \dots \sum_{m_{k+l} \in \mathcal{M}(t_{k+l})} \sum_{\tau_1=0}^{2T_1} \dots \sum_{\tau_i=0}^{2T_i+1} \dots \sum_{\tau_{k+l}=0}^{2T_{k+l}} \sum_{\substack{\mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_1) \\ (\mu_1, m_1)=1}} \dots \sum_{\substack{\mu_{k+l} \in \mathcal{M}(\tau_{k+l}) \\ (\mu_{k+l}, m_{k+l})=1}}^* \\ & \left| \pi(N-1; m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l}, r) - \frac{\text{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(m_1 \mu_1 \dots m_{k+l} \mu_{k+l})} \right| \\ & \leq \{24(k+l) \log \log N\}^{2(k+l)} \sum_{\nu} \max_{\rho} \left| \pi(N-1; \nu, \rho) - \frac{\text{li}(N-1)}{\varphi(\nu)} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying again Bombieri's theorem, we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 11. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ and $T_i = [5y(N)]$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{N\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}! \log N} \{1 + o(1)\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) = \frac{N\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}! \log N} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k+l$ uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF.

$$\frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_i}}{t_i!} > \left(\frac{t_i}{2}\right)^{t_i} \cdot \frac{1}{t_i^{t_i}} = 2^{-t_i} > e^{-2y(N)} > (\log N)^{-4}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Hence by the preceding lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}^{(0)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) - H^{(0)}(N-1; t_1, \dots, t_{k+i}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}) \text{li}(N-1) \\ & = O\left[\frac{N\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} (\log N)^{4(k+l)}}{t_1! \dots t_{k+l}! \log^\alpha N}\right], \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for

$$\mathcal{H}^{(i)}(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}; T_1, \dots, T_{k+l}).$$

Since $e^{y(N)} < e^{2 \log \log N} = \log^2 N$ and α is arbitrary, from above formulas, Lemmas 7 and 8, we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 12. Let $t_i < 2y(N)$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}) = \frac{N\{y(N)\}^{t_1+\dots+t_{k+l}} e^{-(k+l)y(N)}}{t_1! \cdots t_{k+l}! \log N} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. From Lemmas 3 and 11, we obtain the lemma.

LEMMA 13. Let $\alpha_i < \beta_i$, and let t_i be positive integers such that $t_i = y(N) + x_i \sqrt{y(N)}$ with $\alpha_i < x_i < \beta_i$ for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}) = \frac{N}{\log N} \{2\pi y(N)\}^{-(k+l)/2} e^{-(x_1^2 + \dots + x_{k+l}^2)/2} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

uniformly in t_1, \dots, t_{k+l} .

PROOF. In Stirling's formula

$$t! = \sqrt{2\pi t} t^{t+1/2} e^{-t} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\},$$

we put $t = t_i = y(N) + x_i \sqrt{y(N)}$, then easy calculations give

$$t_i! = \sqrt{2\pi} \{y(N)\}^{y(N) + k_i \sqrt{y(N)} + 1/2} e^{-y(N) + x_i^2/2} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y(N)}}\right)\right\},$$

or

$$\frac{\{y(N)\}^{t_i} e^{-y(N)}}{t_i!} = \frac{e^{-x_i^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi y(N)}} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{y(N)}}\right)\right\}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k+l$. Multiplying thus obtained formulas, we have the lemma.

LEMMA 14. Let a_1, \dots, a_{k+l} , $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l}$ be as in the theorem. Let $A^*(N) = A^*(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l})$ denote the number of representations of N as the sum of the form $N = p + n$, where p is prime, and n is a positive integer such that

$$y(N) + \alpha_i \sqrt{y(N)} < \omega^*(N; p + a_i) < y(N) + \beta_i \sqrt{y(N)}$$

for $i=1, \dots, k$, and

$$y(N) + \alpha_i \sqrt{y(N)} < \omega^*(N; n + a_i) < y(N) + \beta_i \sqrt{y(N)}$$

for $i = k + 1, \dots, k + l$ simultaneously. Then, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$A^*(N) \sim \frac{N}{\log N} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_i).$$

PROOF. By the definition of $F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l})$, we can write

$$A^*(N) = \sum_{t_1} \cdots \sum_{t_{k+l}} F(N; t_1, \dots, t_{k+l}),$$

the summation extending over the $k + l$ positive integers such that

$$y(N) + \alpha_i \sqrt{y(N)} < t_i < y(N) + \beta_i \sqrt{y(N)}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k + l$; let these values of t_i be $t_{i\nu} = y(N) + x_{i\nu} \sqrt{y(N)}$ with $\nu = 1, \dots, s_i$; then

$$x_{i,\nu+1} - x_{i\nu} = \{y(N)\}^{-1/2}$$

for $\nu = 1, \dots, s_i - 1$; thus by Lemma 13, we can write

$$A^*(N) = \{1 + o(1)\} \frac{N}{\log N} \cdot (2\pi)^{-(k+l)/2} \prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \sum_{\nu=1}^{s_i-1} e^{-x_{i\nu}^2/2} (x_{i,\nu+1} - x_{i\nu}),$$

which proves the lemma.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. By the aid of Titchmarsh's theorem (cf. [1], [2]), we can transform the result for $A^*(N)$ to that for $A(N)$.

Let $S' = \{1, \dots, 2N\} - S$, then, for $i = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p+n=N} \{\omega(p + a_i) - \omega^*(N; p + a_i)\} \\ &= \sum_{p+n=N} \sum_{\substack{p^* | (p+a_i) \\ p^* \in S'}} 1 = \sum_{p < N} \sum_{\substack{p^* | (p+a_i) \\ p^* \in S'}} 1 \leq \sum_{\substack{p^* \leq N+a_i \\ p^* \in S'}} \pi(N; p^*, -a_i). \end{aligned}$$

Since any positive number has at most one prime factor greater than the square root of itself, the last sum may be estimated by

$$\sum_{\substack{p^* \leq \sqrt{2N} \\ p^* \in S'}} \pi(N; p^*, -a_i) + O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right).$$

Here we quote Titchmarsh's theorem, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p^* \leq \sqrt{2N} \\ p^* \in S'}} \pi(N; p^*, -a_i) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log N} \cdot \sum_{p^* \in S'} \frac{1}{p^*}\right).$$

Let N be so large that the set $\{1, \dots, 2N\}$ contains the set S and prime factors of $D(N)$. Then S' contains the prime factors of $D(N)$. But (2) holds. Hence, if we replace x in (1) by $2N$ and then by upper and lower limits of p^* in the definition of the set S , easy calculations will give

$$\sum_{p^* \in S'} \frac{1}{p^*} = O(\log \log \log N).$$

Thus, for $i=1, \dots, k$, we have

$$(14) \quad \sum_{p+n=N} \{\omega(p+a_i) - \omega^*(N; p+a_i)\} = o\left(\frac{N}{\log N} \sqrt{y(N)}\right).$$

For $i=k+1, \dots, k+l$, using again Titchmarsh's theorem, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p+n=N} \{\omega(n+a_i) - \omega^*(N; n+a_i)\} &= \sum_{p+n=N} \sum_{\substack{p^* | (n+a_i) \\ p^* \in S'}} 1 = \sum_{p < N} \sum_{\substack{p^* | (N-p+a_i) \\ p^* \in S'}} 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{p^* \leq N+a_i \\ p^* \in S'}} \pi(N; p^*, N+a_i) = \sum_{\substack{p^* \leq \sqrt{2N} \\ p^* \in S'}} \pi(N; p^*, N+a_i) + O\left(\frac{N}{\log N}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{N}{\log N} \sum_{p^* \in S'} \frac{1}{p^*}\right) = O\left(\frac{N}{\log N} \log \log \log N\right), \end{aligned}$$

so that, for $i=k+1, \dots, k+l$, we have

$$(15) \quad \sum_{p+n=N} \{\omega(n+a_i) - \omega^*(N; n+a_i)\} = o\left(\frac{N}{\log N} \sqrt{y(N)}\right).$$

It follows from (14), (15) and Lemma 2 that, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can take $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon)$ so large that, for $N > N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &A^*(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1 + \varepsilon, \beta_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \alpha_{k+l} + \varepsilon, \beta_{k+l} - \varepsilon) - \frac{\varepsilon N}{\log N} \\ &< A(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l}) \\ &< A^*(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1 - \varepsilon, \beta_1 + \varepsilon, \dots, \alpha_{k+l} - \varepsilon, \beta_{k+l} + \varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon N}{\log N}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Lemma 14, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \Phi(\alpha_i + \varepsilon, \beta_i - \varepsilon) - \varepsilon \\ &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l}) \log N}{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(N; a_1, \dots, a_{k+l}; \alpha_1, \beta_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+l}, \beta_{k+l}) \log N}{N} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+l} \Phi(\alpha_i - \varepsilon, \beta_i + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is an arbitrary positive number, this gives the theorem.

References

- [1] H. HALBERSTAM and H.-E. RICHERT, *Sieve Methods*, Academic Press, 1974.
- [2] T. MITSUI, *The theory of numbers*, Shibundo, Tokyo, 1970 (in Japanese).
- [3] M. TANAKA, Some results on additive number theory III, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **2** (1979), 159-182.
- [4] M. TANAKA, Some results on additive number theory IV, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **2** (1979), 183-191.

Present Address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GAKUSHUIN UNIVERSITY
MEJIRO, TOSHIMA-KU, TOKYO 171, JAPAN