ON THE DEFINING EQUATIONS OF HYPERSURFACE PURELY ELLIPTIC SINGULARITIES

NAOHIRO KANESAKA

(Received January 25, 2001, revised May 6, 2004)

Abstract. We investigate a class of isolated hypersurface singularities, the so-called purely elliptic singularities, of complex algebraic varieties of dimension greater than or equal to two. We show that, for hypersurface purely elliptic singularities defined by nondegenerate polynomials, Calabi-Yau varieties arising among the irreducible components of the essential divisors are concretely associated with the defining equations of these singularities, and that the birational class of the Calabi-Yau varieties does not depend on the irreducible components.

Introduction. A purely elliptic singularity is by definition a normal isolated singularity (X, x) of an r -dimensional complex algebraic variety with $\delta_m(X, x) = 1$ for any positive integer m , where $r \geq 2$ and $\delta_m(X, x)$ denotes the m -th l^2 -pluri-genus of the normal isolated singularity (cf. [14]). Purely elliptic singularities can be thought of as higher dimensional analogues of simple elliptic singularities and cusps in dimension two. In general, for an isolated singularity (X, x) of a complex algebraic variety, there exists a resolution of the singularity $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings, which we call a *good resolution* of (X, x) . The exceptional set E of a good resolution of a purely elliptic singularity has a characteristic complex analytic subset E_J called the essential divisor [2], [3]; for example, in the case of a simple elliptic singularity, E_J always consists of a nonsingular elliptic curve, while in the case of a cusp, E_J consists of a cycle of nonsingular rational curves, which characterise the simple elliptic singularity and the cusp, respectively.

It was Ishii [2], [3] who first focused attention on the essential divisors of good resolutions of normal isolated $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein singularities and unveiled their general algebro-geometric structures, by which we see that the essential divisors also have characteristic significance for purely elliptic singularities. In addition to this, Ishii-Watanabe [7] and Tomari [12] showed that Calabi-Yau varieties or Abelian varieties arise in general in the essential divisors of (partial) resolutions of purely elliptic singularities.

In contrast to these general results, our results in this paper is more explicit and summarised as follows. When purely elliptic singularities are hypersurface singularities defined by nondegenerate polynomials, the Calabi-Yau varieties, arising among the irreducible components of the essential divisors of good resolutions obtained by means of toric geometry, are concretely associated with the leading parts of the defining functions of the singularities, and the birational class of the Calabi-Yau varieties does not depend on the irreducible components. We note that a relationship between the leading parts of the defining functions of purely

elliptic singularities and Calabi-Yau varieties is indicated in Yonemura [16] and Tomari [12], which motivated us to investigate this subject.

This paper is organised as follows. Section 1 is devoted to preliminaries and the statements of our results. We prove our main result in Section 2 with the help of a key lemma, which is proved in Section 3.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kimio Watanabe for his valuable comments and continuous stimulation during the preparation of this paper. He is also grateful to the referee for many thoughtful suggestions which improved the paper.

1. Preliminaries and results. 1.1. Preliminaries. First, we recall relevant definitions and known results about purely elliptic singularities. In what follows, a resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of a singularity (X, x) is called a *good resolution* if the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings.

DEFINITION 1 (Watanabe [14]). A normal isolated singularity is called a *purely elliptic singularity* if $\delta_m(X, x) = 1$ for any positive integer m . Here the m -th pluri-genus $\delta_m(X, x)$ is defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m(X, x) &:= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(X \setminus \{x\}, \mathcal{O}(mK)) / L^{2/m}(X \setminus \{x\}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \Gamma(\tilde{X} \setminus E, \mathcal{O}(mK)) / \Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}(mK + (m-1)E)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a good resolution of the singularity (X, x) and E is the reduced exceptional divisor. (We note that $\delta_m(X, x)$ is independent of the choice of a good resolution π for every m .)

DEFINITION 2 (Ishii [2], [3]). For a good resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of a normal isolated $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein singularity (X, x) , we define $K_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^* K_X + \sum_{i \in I} m_i E_i - \sum_{j \in J} m_j E_j$, where m_i ($i \in I$) and m_j ($j \in J$) are rational numbers, $m_i \geq 0$ for $i \in I$, $m_j > 0$ for $j \in J$, and E_i ($i \in I$) and E_j ($j \in J$) are the irreducible components of $E = \pi^{-1}(x)_{\text{red}}$. Denote by $[m_j]$ the integer such that $m_j - 1 < [m_j] \leq m_j$. The divisor $E_J := \sum_{j \in J} [m_j] E_j$ is called the *essential divisor*.

The essential divisors characterise purely elliptic singularities in the following manner.

PROPOSITION 1 (Ishii [2]). *Suppose that (X, x) is a normal isolated Gorenstein singularity. Then (X, x) is a purely elliptic singularity if and only if the essential divisor of a good resolution is a reduced divisor.*

In order to describe the configuration of the irreducible components of the essential divisor E_J , it is convenient to use the *dual complex* Γ_{E_J} of E_J , which is a simplicial complex defined as follows:

- (0) We associate a vertex with each irreducible component E_α ;
- (1) If a pair of irreducible components E_α, E_β intersect, then we associate a line segment between the vertices corresponding to E_α, E_β ;

(2) If three irreducible components $E_\alpha, E_\beta, E_\gamma$ intersect, we associate a triangle (two-dimensional simplex) with the vertices corresponding to $E_\alpha, E_\beta, E_\gamma$; and

(k-1) If k irreducible components intersect, then we associate a $(k - 1)$ -dimensional simplex with the vertices corresponding to $E_{v_1}, E_{v_2}, \dots, E_{v_k}$.

In [3], Ishii investigated more general periodically elliptic singularities. In that article, she introduced the notion of the “type” of a periodically elliptic singularity and classified r -dimensional periodically elliptic singularities into r classes as follows: The type of a 1-Gorenstein periodically elliptic singularity, namely a 1-Gorenstein purely elliptic singularity, is defined by the “Hodge type” of the cohomology group $H^{r-1}(E_J, \mathbb{C})$ (see [3] for the precise definition). The type of a general periodically elliptic singularity is defined by the type of the purely elliptic singularity on its canonical cover. In addition, she gave a description of Γ_{E_J} in connection with the types of periodically elliptic singularities, especially when the dimensions of the singularities are three. The following is only a part of her whole results on periodically elliptic singularities.

PROPOSITION 2 (Ishii [5], [2]). *Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a good resolution of an r -dimensional 1-Gorenstein purely elliptic singularity (X, x) . If (X, x) is of type $(0, i)$, then $\dim \Gamma_{E_J} \geq r - 1 - i$. In particular, the equality holds in case $i = 0$ or $r - 1$.*

PROPOSITION 3 (Ishii [3]). *Let (X, x) be a three-dimensional Gorenstein purely elliptic singularity with the essential divisor E_J of a good resolution $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Then the essential divisor E_J is as follows.*

- (1) *If (X, x) is of type $(0, 2)$, E_J is an irreducible surface birationally equivalent to a $K3$ -surface.*
- (2) *If (X, x) is of type $(0, 1)$, E_J is a chain of surfaces $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_s$ ($s \geq 2$) with rational surfaces E_1, E_s and elliptic ruled surfaces $E_2, \dots, E_{s-1}$, where E_i and E_{i+1} intersect along an elliptic curve for $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$.*
- (3) *If (X, x) is of type $(0, 0)$, E_J consists of rational surfaces with rational intersection curves and Γ_{E_J} is a triangulation of the real two-dimensional sphere.*

On the other hand, Tomari [12] investigated the canonical modifications of purely elliptic singularities by means of filtered blow-ups. In that work, he described a property of the exceptional set of the canonical modification of a purely elliptic singularity of type $(0, r - 1)$ under the assumption of the existence of the canonical modification. Note that, in such a case, the exceptional set of the canonical modification is birationally equivalent to the essential divisor of any good resolution of the singularity.

PROPOSITION 4 (Tomari [12]). *If an r -dimensional Gorenstein purely elliptic singularity of type $(0, r - 1)$ has the canonical model, the exceptional set consists of an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety.*

Here, we use the term *Calabi-Yau variety* in the following sense.

DEFINITION 3. A complex normal irreducible n -dimensional projective algebraic variety V with only Gorenstein canonical singularities is called a *Calabi-Yau variety* if V has trivial canonical bundle and $H^i(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = 0$ for $0 < i < n$.

1.2. Problem and results. Among the results in the preceding subsection, what we would like to emphasise is that Calabi-Yau varieties arise in general in the essential divisors of (partial) resolutions of Gorenstein purely elliptic singularities if they are not of type $(0, 0)$. Then our problem is to describe explicitly how it appears in special cases. We now consider the case where purely elliptic singularities are hypersurface singularities.

Let $(X, x) = (\{f = 0\}, O)$ be an r -dimensional isolated hypersurface singularity defined by a polynomial

$$f = \sum_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r \geq 0} a_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r} z_0^{m_0} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_r^{m_r} \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_r],$$

where O is the origin of $\mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ and $\mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_r]$ is a polynomial ring over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Throughout this paper, we always assume that $r \geq 2$. We regard an ordered collection of indices $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r)$ of each monomial $z_0^{m_0} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_r^{m_r}$ as an element of $M := \mathbb{Z}^{r+1}$, and write $\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}$ (resp. $a_{\mathbf{m}}$) for $z_0^{m_0} z_1^{m_1} \cdots z_r^{m_r}$ (resp. $a_{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_r}$). We define $\Gamma_+(f)$ to be the convex hull of the union of the subsets $\mathbf{m} + (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$ of $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ for all $\mathbf{m}$ such that $a_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0$, and denote by $\Gamma(f)$ the union of the compact faces of $\Gamma_+(f)$. With each face γ of $\Gamma(f)$, we associate a polynomial $f_{\gamma}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \gamma \cap M} a_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}$. We say that f is *nondegenerate on γ* if $\partial f_{\gamma} / \partial z_0 = \cdots = \partial f_{\gamma} / \partial z_r = 0$ has no solution in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{r+1}$, and that f is *nondegenerate* if f_{γ} is nondegenerate on any face γ of $\Gamma(f)$.

Here is a criterion for (X, x) to be a purely elliptic singularity.

PROPOSITION 5 (Watanabe [15]). *Assume that f is nondegenerate. Then $(X, x) = (\{f = 0\}, O)$ is a purely elliptic singularity if and only if $\mathbf{1} := (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \Gamma(f)$.*

By Proposition 5, for a purely elliptic singularity defined by a nondegenerate polynomial f , there exists a unique face $\gamma_{\mathbf{1}}$ of $\Gamma(f)$ containing $\mathbf{1}$ in its relative interior. This face $\gamma_{\mathbf{1}}$ has a direct connection with the nature of the hypersurface purely elliptic singularity in many ways, which we will see in the following.

Tomari [12] showed that the weighted blow-up with respect to the unique weight associated with the face $\gamma_{\mathbf{1}}$ gives the canonical model of an r -dimensional hypersurface purely elliptic singularity of type $(0, r - 1)$ if the defining function is nondegenerate. Therefore, as a corollary to Proposition 4, we have the following:

COROLLARY 6 (Tomari [12]). *The essential divisor E_J of a good resolution of a hypersurface purely elliptic singularity of type $(0, r - 1)$ is birational to an $(r - 1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety if the defining function is nondegenerate.*

Now, we investigate in detail the essential divisor of a hypersurface purely elliptic singularity by means of toric geometry. We have a standard method using toric geometry to resolve a hypersurface isolated singularity defined by a nondegenerate polynomial as follows (see [13])

for details): Let $N := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z})$ be the free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module dual to M and $N_{\mathbf{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ the scalar extension by $\mathbf{R}$. Since $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ are dual to each other as vector spaces over $\mathbf{R}$, an element $\mathbf{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_r) \in N_{\mathbf{R}}$ gives rise to a family of parallel hyperplanes in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$. Denote by $\langle *, * \rangle$ the standard bilinear form on $M_{\mathbf{R}} \times N_{\mathbf{R}}$ and define $l(\mathbf{n}) := \min\{\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \mid \mathbf{m} \in \Gamma_+(f)\}$ for $\mathbf{n} \in N \cap (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$. For a face γ of $\Gamma_+(f)$, define $\gamma^* := \{\mathbf{n} \in (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1} \mid \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = l(\mathbf{n}) \text{ for any } \mathbf{m} \in \gamma\}$. Then the set $\Sigma(f) := \{\gamma^* \mid \gamma \prec \Gamma_+(f)\}$ forms a finite subdivision of the positive orthant $\Sigma := (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1}$, where $\gamma \prec \Gamma_+(f)$ means that γ is a face of $\Gamma_+(f)$. Take a finite subdivision $\hat{\Sigma}(f)$ of $\Sigma(f)$ consisting of cones each of which is generated by a part of a basis of N . Then $\hat{\Sigma}(f)$ is also a subdivision of Σ . Dually, we obtain a proper, birational, T_N -equivariant morphism $\Pi : V_{\hat{\Sigma}(f)} \rightarrow V_{\Sigma} \cong \mathbf{C}^{r+1}$ with $V_{\hat{\Sigma}(f)}$ a nonsingular variety. Denote by $\tilde{X}$ the proper transform of X by Π . It is well-known that if the defining polynomial of (X, x) is nondegenerate, then the restriction $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of Π to $\tilde{X}$ is a good resolution of the singularity (X, x) . In this paper, we call a good resolution of the singularity obtained in this way a *toric good resolution* for convenience.

The following proposition shows that the face γ_1 of $\Gamma(f)$ has topological information of the dual complex Γ_{E_J} .

PROPOSITION 7 ([8]). *Let $(X, x) = (\{f = 0\}, O)$ be an r -dimensional hypersurface purely elliptic singularity defined by a nondegenerate polynomial f . Then the dual complex Γ_{E_J} of the essential divisor of a toric good resolution is isomorphic to a triangulation of the $(r - \dim \gamma_1)$ -dimensional sphere if $\dim \gamma_1 \geq 2$.*

Furthermore, the leading polynomial $f_{\gamma_1} = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \gamma_1 \cap M} a_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{m}}$ have algebro-geometric information about the intersections of the irreducible components corresponding to the definite parts of Γ_{E_J} . Indeed, from the polynomial f_{γ_1} and the lattice $M_1 := \mathbf{R}(\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1}) \cap M$, we can construct a $(\dim \gamma_1 - 1)$ -dimensional projective toric hypersurface, say Y , as we will see in Section 2.1, and we have the following:

PROPOSITION 8 ([8]). *Under the assumption of Proposition 7, the intersection of the irreducible components of E_J corresponding to a simplex of Γ_{E_J} of maximal dimension is birational to Y , and every irreducible component of the essential divisor E_J corresponding to a vertex contained in the interior of Γ_{E_J} is birational to $Y \times_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{C}}^{r - \dim \gamma_1}$.*

Now, we state our main result, which shows that the polynomial f_{γ_1} is concretely associated with Calabi-Yau varieties arising in E_J .

PROPOSITION 9. *The variety Y is birationally equivalent to a $(\dim \gamma_1 - 1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety.*

Combining Propositions 8 and 9, we obtain the following (cf. Propositions 3 and 4 and Corollary 6), which is our answer to the problem mentioned at the beginning of this subsection when a purely elliptic singularity is a hypersurface singularity defined by a nondegenerate polynomial.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that E_J is the essential divisor of a toric good resolution of a hypersurface purely elliptic singularity defined by a nondegenerate polynomial f . Then every irreducible component of E_J corresponding to a vertex contained in the interior of Γ_{E_J} is birational to the product of a $(\dim \gamma_1 - 1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety and the $(r - \dim \gamma_1)$ -dimensional projective space. Furthermore, the birational class of the Calabi-Yau variety is determined uniquely by the leading part f_{γ_1} of f and does not depend on the choice of an irreducible component.*

2. Proof of the results. In this section, we prove the main result stated in the previous section. We state a key lemma i.e., Lemma 1 in Section 2.1 and prove Proposition 9 in Section 2.2.

2.1. Preparations for the proof of Proposition 9. Here, we recall some techniques from toric geometry and introduce a key lemma for the proof of Proposition 9. We refer the reader to [10] for general background on toric geometry and [1] for toric hypersurfaces.

First of all, we fix a compactification of hypersurfaces of algebraic tori defined by Laurent polynomials: Let M be a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of rank n (≥ 2) and $N = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(M, \mathbf{Z})$ the $\mathbf{Z}$ -module dual to M as before. Denote by $C[M] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{m} \in M} \mathbf{C} \cdot \chi^{\mathbf{m}}$ the group algebra of M , namely, the Laurent polynomial ring. If an n -dimensional convex polyhedron Δ in $M_{\mathbf{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ is given, we can compactify the algebraic torus $T_N = \text{Spec } C[M]$ to a projective toric variety as follows. For every l -dimensional face Θ of Δ , denote by $\check{\sigma}(\Theta) \subset M_{\mathbf{R}}$ the convex n -dimensional cone consisting of all vectors $\lambda(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$, where $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathbf{p} \in \Delta$ and $\mathbf{p}' \in \Theta$. Let $\sigma(\Theta) \subset N_{\mathbf{R}}$ be the $(n - l)$ -dimensional dual cone relative to $\check{\sigma}(\Theta)$. Then the set $\Sigma(\Delta)$ of all cones $\sigma(\Theta)$, where Θ runs over all faces of Δ , determines a complete fan. As a result, we obtain a projective toric variety $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta}$ associated with $\Sigma(\Delta)$ containing T_N as an open dense subset. A Laurent polynomial $f^L = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M} c_{\mathbf{m}} \chi^{\mathbf{m}} \in C[M]$ defines a hypersurface $Z_{f^L, M} := \{f^L = 0\}$ in T_N . By taking its closure in $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta}$, a compactification $\overline{Z}_{f^L, \Delta}$ of $Z_{f^L, M}$ is obtained.

Next, let us recall the notion of Δ -regularity for Laurent polynomials. Recall that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the orbits of the action of T_N on $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta}$ and the faces of Δ . By using this correspondence, $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta}$ can be written as a direct sum $\bigsqcup_{\Theta \prec \Delta} T_{\Theta}$, where $\Theta \prec \Delta$ means that Θ is a face of Δ and T_{Θ} denotes the orbit corresponding to a face Θ of Δ . Then a Laurent polynomial f^L is said to be Δ -regular if for every face $\Theta \prec \Delta$, the variety $\overline{Z}_{f^L, \Delta} \cap T_{\Theta}$ is an empty set or a smooth subvariety of codimension 1 in T_{Θ} .

We denote by $\Delta(f^L)$ the Newton polyhedron of f^L , namely, the convex hull of the set $\{\mathbf{m} \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0\}$ in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Keeping these in mind, we now consider the projective variety Y mentioned in Propositions 8 and 9. In fact, it is constructed as follows. Recall that we identify indices of monomials with elements of $M = \mathbf{Z}^{r+1}$. Define $M_{1, \mathbf{R}} := \mathbf{R}(\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1})$, namely, the $\mathbf{R}$ -vector subspace of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ generated by the subset $\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1}$, and set $M_1 := M_{1, \mathbf{R}} \cap M$, which is a free $\mathbf{Z}$ -module of rank $i + 1$. We define a Laurent polynomial $f_{\gamma_1}^L := \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \gamma_1 \cap M} a_{\mathbf{m}} \chi^{\mathbf{m}-1} \in C[M_1]$ for the defining polynomial $f = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M} a_{\mathbf{m}} \chi^{\mathbf{m}} \in C[\mathbf{z}]$ of the singularity. Then Y is just the closure

$\overline{Z_{f_{\gamma_1}^L, \Delta(f_{\gamma_1}^L)}}$ of the hypersurface $Z_{f_{\gamma_1}^L, M_1} \subset \text{Spec } C[M_1]$ in $P_{\Delta(f_{\gamma_1}^L)}$. Proposition 9 claims that Y is birational to a Calabi-Yau variety.

In order to state our key Lemma 1 below for proving Proposition 9, we give two definitions. Although these are weaker modifications of Batyrev's reflexive pairs for n -dimensional convex polyhedra in $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ and of Δ -regularity for Laurent polynomials, they remain to be related to Calabi-Yau varieties as we will see in the lemma.

DEFINITION 4 (cf. Batyrev [1, Definition 4.1.5]). Let Δ be an n -dimensional convex polyhedron in $M_{\mathbf{Q}}$ containing the zero $\mathbf{0} \in M$ in its interior. Then the pair (Δ, M) is said to be $\mathbf{Q}$ -*reflexive* if the affine hyperplane generated by any $(n - 1)$ -dimensional face of Δ is of the form $\{\mathbf{m} \in M_{\mathbf{R}} \mid \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \rangle = -1\}$ for a primitive integral vector $\mathbf{n} \in N$.

DEFINITION 5 (cf. Batyrev [Definition 3.1.1]). Assume that (Δ, M) is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -reflexive pair and that $f^L \in C[M]$ is a Laurent polynomial with $\Delta(f^L) \subset \Delta$. We say that f^L is *quasi-regular with respect to Δ* if $\Delta(f^L)$ is n -dimensional and contains the zero $\mathbf{0} \in M$ in its interior and f^L is $\Delta(f^L)$ -regular.

LEMMA 1. *Let (Δ, M) be a $\mathbf{Q}$ -reflexive pair. If f^L is quasi-regular with respect to Δ , then $\overline{Z_{f^L, \Delta}}$ is birational to a $(\dim \Delta - 1)$ -dimensional Calabi-Yau variety in the sense of Definition 3.*

We prove this lemma in Section 3 and we prove Proposition 9 with the help of this lemma.

2.2. Proof of Proposition 9. We show that there exists a compact convex polyhedron Δ'_1 such that (Δ'_1, M_1) is a $\mathbf{Q}$ -reflexive pair and that $f_{\gamma_1}^L$ is quasi-regular with respect to Δ'_1 . Then the proposition is proved by Lemma 1, since the closures of $Z_{f_{\gamma_1}^L, M_1}$ in P_{Δ_1} and in $P_{\Delta'_1}$ are birational to each other.

Now, assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \gamma_1 = r - k$. Then there exist exactly $k + 1$ compact faces $\delta^{(0)}, \delta^{(1)}, \dots, \delta^{(k)}$ of $\Gamma(f)$ such that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \delta^{(s)} = r$ for any s ($0 \leq s \leq k$) and $\gamma_1 = \delta^{(0)} \cap \delta^{(1)} \cap \dots \cap \delta^{(k)}$. Let $H^{(0)}, H^{(1)}, \dots, H^{(k)}$ be the hyperplanes of $M_{\mathbf{R}}$ spanned by $\delta^{(0)}, \delta^{(1)}, \dots, \delta^{(k)}$, respectively. Then we have $\gamma_1 \subset (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1} \cap (\bigcap_{s=0}^k H^{(s)})$. We now define $\Delta'_1 := (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^{r+1} \cap (\bigcap_{s=0}^k H^{(s)}) - \mathbf{1}$.

CLAIM. *The pair (Δ'_1, M_1) is $\mathbf{Q}$ -reflexive and $f_{\gamma_1}^L$ is quasi-regular with respect to Δ'_1 .*

PROOF. The first part of the claim is proved in [8]. Thus we prove the second part. As we saw before, $\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1} \subset \Delta'_1$ and $\dim \gamma_1 - \mathbf{1} = \dim \Delta'_1 = \dim M_{1, \mathbf{R}}$ hold. Note that $\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1}$ is the Newton polyhedron of $f_{\gamma_1}^L$ and that $\gamma_1 - \mathbf{1}$ contains the zero $\mathbf{0}$ in its interior by the definition of γ_1 . The nondegeneracy of the defining polynomial f guarantees the $\Delta(f_{\gamma_1}^L)$ -regularity of $f_{\gamma_1}^L$. $\square$

3. Proof of Lemma 1.

3.1. Proof of Lemma 1. Let us prove Lemma 1. By Batyrev [1, Proposition 2.2.19], there exists a complete fan Σ_0 with the following properties: (i) Σ_0 is simplicial, (ii) $\Sigma_0(1) =$

$\Sigma(\Delta)(1)$, where $\Sigma_0(1)$ (resp. $\Sigma(\Delta)(1)$) denotes the set of one-dimensional cones in Σ_0 (resp. $\Sigma(\Delta)$), and (iii) the toric variety V_{Σ_0} associated with Σ_0 is projective. Note that the condition (i) implies that V_{Σ_0} has at worst $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial log-terminal singularities. Let Y_0 be the closure of $Z_{f^L, M}$ in V_{Σ_0} . Then it is birational to $\overline{Z_{f^L, \Delta}}$. We show that Y_0 is a Calabi-Yau variety by proving the following Lemmas 2, 3 and 4.

LEMMA 2. Y_0 is an anticanonical divisor of V_{Σ_0} , that is, $Y_0 + K_{V_{\Sigma_0}} \sim 0$.

LEMMA 3. Y_0 is a normal projective variety with at worst canonical singularities.

LEMMA 4. $H^k(Y_0, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$ for $0 < k < n - 1$.

Indeed, it follows that Y_0 is a Calabi-Yau variety from these lemmas. By Lemma 3, Y_0 is a normal irreducible projective variety with at worst canonical singularities. Then K_{Y_0} is a Cartier divisor in codimension two, and hence the adjunction formula $K_{Y_0} = (K_{V_{\Sigma_0}} + Y_0)|_{Y_0}$ holds (see Kollár-Mori [9, Corollary 5.18 and Remark 5.47]). Hence, by Lemma 2, K_{Y_0} is linearly equivalent to zero, that is, the canonical bundle of Y_0 is trivial. Therefore, all the singularities on Y_0 are rational and Gorenstein. In addition, by Lemma 4, $H^k(Y_0, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$ for $0 < k < n - 1$. Hence Y_0 is a Calabi-Yau variety.

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. For a Laurent polynomial $f^L = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M} c_{\mathbf{m}} \chi^{\mathbf{m}} \in \mathcal{C}[M]$ and an integral vector $\mathbf{p} \in N$, define $\mathbf{p}(f^L) := \min\{\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p} \rangle \mid c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0\}$. Then we have $\text{div}_{V_{\Sigma_0}}(f^L) = Y_0 + \sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_0(1)} \mathbf{n}_{\rho}(f^L) \cdot D_{\rho}$, where $\text{div}_{V_{\Sigma_0}}(f^L)$ denotes the principal divisor of f^L on V_{Σ_0} and $\mathbf{n}_{\rho}$ is the primitive integral generator of ρ .

Take a one-dimensional cone ρ in Σ_0 . Then, since $\Sigma_0(1) = \Sigma(\Delta)(1)$, the half-space $\{\mathbf{m} \in M_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid \langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}_{\rho} \rangle \geq -1\}$ contains Δ , and the intersection of the boundary of the half-space and Δ is a codimension-one face of Δ . Since f^L is quasi-regular with respect to Δ and the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f^L) \subset \Delta$ is an integral polyhedron containing the zero $\mathbf{0} \in M$ in its interior, there exists at least one integral point $\mathbf{m}$ with $c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0$ on each codimension-one face of Δ . Therefore $\mathbf{n}_{\rho}(f^L) = -1$ holds.

Consequently, we have $\text{div}_{V_{\Sigma_0}}(f^L) = Y_0 + (-\sum_{\rho \in \Sigma_0(1)} D_{\rho}) = Y_0 + K_{V_{\Sigma_0}}$. $\square$

In order to prove Lemmas 3 and 4, let us define another compactification Y_1 of $Z_{f^L, M}$ and birational morphisms $W \rightarrow Y_0$ and $W \rightarrow Y_1$ from a nonsingular variety W as follows: Define Y_1 to be the closure of $Z_{f^L, M}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta(f^L)}$. We note that Y_1 is an ample divisor of $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta(f^L)}$. Put $\Sigma_1 := \Sigma(\Delta(f^L))$ and take a finite common subdivision $\hat{\Sigma}$ of Σ_0 and Σ_1 consisting of cones each of which is generated by a part of a basis of M . Then we obtain a complete nonsingular variety $V_{\hat{\Sigma}}$, and proper birational morphisms $\Pi_0 : V_{\hat{\Sigma}} \rightarrow V_{\Sigma_0}$ and $\Pi_1 : V_{\hat{\Sigma}} \rightarrow V_{\Sigma_1}$. Let W be the proper transform of Y_1 with respect to Π_1 . Then $\pi_1 := \Pi_1|_W : W \rightarrow Y_1$ is a resolution of singularities, since f^L is $\Delta(f^L)$ -regular. From this, it follows that W is also the proper transform of Y_0 with respect to Π_0 , and that $\pi_0 := \Pi_0|_W : W \rightarrow Y_0$ is also a resolution of singularities.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. The following lemma due to Ishii is of essential use in our argument.

PROPOSITION 10 (Ishii [4, Lemma 2.7]). *Let $Y \subset Z$ be an irreducible Weil divisor on a variety Z . Assume that Z admits at worst $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial log-terminal singularities. Let $\psi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a resolution of singularities on Y . Assume that*

$$K_{\tilde{Y}} = \psi^*((K_Z + Y)|_Y) + \sum_i m_i E_i$$

with $m_i > -1$ for all i , where E_i 's are the irreducible components of the exceptional divisor of ψ . Then Y is normal, and Y has at worst log-terminal singularities. In particular, if $m_i \geq 0$ for all i , then Y has at worst canonical singularities.

Recall that V_{Σ_0} has at worst $\mathbf{Q}$ -factorial log-terminal singularities. On the other hand, as was seen in the proof of Lemma 2, $Y_0 + K_{V_{\Sigma_0}} = \text{div}_{V_{\Sigma_0}}(f^L)$ holds. Because of this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0^*(Y_0 + K_{V_{\Sigma_0}}) &= \Pi_0^* \text{div}_{V_{\Sigma_0}}(f^L) = \text{div}_{V_{\hat{\Sigma}}}(\Pi_0^* f^L) \\ &= W + \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in \hat{\Sigma}(1)} \mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}}(f^L) \cdot D_{\hat{\rho}} \\ &= (W + K_{V_{\hat{\Sigma}}}) + \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in \hat{\Sigma}(1) \setminus \Sigma_0(1)} (\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}}(f^L) + 1) \cdot D_{\hat{\rho}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$K_{V_{\hat{\Sigma}}} + W = \Pi_0^*(K_{V_{\Sigma_0}} + Y_0) + \sum_{\hat{\rho} \in \hat{\Sigma}(1) \setminus \Sigma_0(1)} (-\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}}(f^L) - 1) \cdot D_{\hat{\rho}}.$$

Since the Newton polyhedron $\Delta(f^L)$ of f^L contains the zero $\mathbf{0} \in M$ in its interior, we have

$$\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}}(f^L) = \min\{\langle \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}} \rangle \mid c_{\mathbf{m}} \neq 0\} \leq -1,$$

and hence $-\mathbf{n}_{\hat{\rho}}(f^L) - 1 \geq 0$ for any $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{\Sigma}(1) \setminus \Sigma_0(1)$. Therefore, by the adjunction formula, we obtain

$$K_W = (K_{V_{\hat{\Sigma}}} + W)|_W = \pi_0^*((K_{V_{\Sigma_0}} + Y_0)|_{Y_0}) + \sum_i \beta_i \cdot E_i$$

with $\beta_i \geq 0$. Hence, by Proposition 10, Y_0 is normal and has at worst canonical singularities. Since V_{Σ_0} is projective, so is Y_0 . $\square$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4. Recall that the other compactification Y_1 of $Z_{f^L, M}$ in $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta(f^L)}$ is an ample divisor of $\mathbf{P}_{\Delta(f^L)}$. By the vanishing theorem for arbitrary ample divisors on toric varieties, we have $H^k(Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_1}) = 0$ for $0 < k < n - 1$. Moreover, by Batyrev [1, Corollary 3.1.6], every singularity on Y_1 is analytically equivalent to a toric singularity, so that it is a rational singularity.

Since Y_0 (resp. Y_1) has at worst rational singularities and $\pi_0 : W \rightarrow Y_0$ (resp. $\pi_1 : W \rightarrow Y_1$) is a resolution of singularities, we have $R^k \pi_{0*} \mathcal{O}_W = 0$ (resp. $R^k \pi_{1*} \mathcal{O}_W = 0$) for $0 < k$.

Hence, for $0 < k$,

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(W, \mathcal{O}_W) &\cong H^k(Y_0, \pi_{0*}\mathcal{O}_W) = H^k(Y_0, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) \\ (\text{resp. } H^k(W, \mathcal{O}_W) &\cong H^k(Y_1, \pi_{1*}\mathcal{O}_W) = H^k(Y_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_1})). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain $H^k(Y_0, \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}) = 0$ for $0 < k < n - 1$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. V. BATYREV, Dual polyhedra and mirror symmetry for Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces in toric varieties, J. Algebraic Geom. 3 (1994), 493–535.
- [2] S. ISHII, On isolated Gorenstein singularities, Math. Ann. 270 (1985), 541–554.
- [3] S. ISHII, Isolated $\mathbb{Q}$ -Gorenstein singularities of dimension three, Complex Analytic Singularities (T. Suwa and P. Wagreich editors), 165–198, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., 8, Kinokuniya, Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1986.
- [4] S. ISHII, The canonical modifications by weighted blowups, J. Algebraic Geom. 5 (1996), 783–799.
- [5] S. ISHII, Introduction to singularities (in Japanese), Springer-Verlag Tokyo, Tokyo, 1997.
- [6] S. ISHII, Minimal, canonical and log-canonical models of hypersurface singularities, Birational algebraic geometry (Baltimore, MD, 1996), 63–77, Contemp. Math., 207, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [7] S. ISHII AND K. WATANABE, A geometric characterisation of a simple $K3$ singularity, Tôhoku Math. J. 44 (1992), 19–24.
- [8] N. Kanesaka, Explicit structures of three-dimensional hypersurface purely elliptic singularities of type $(0, 1)$, Tsukuba J. Math. 25 (2001), 47–73.
- [9] J. KOLLÁR AND S. MORI, Birational Geometry of Algebraic Varieties, Cambridge Tracts in Math., 134, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [10] T. ODA, Convex bodies and algebraic geometry, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1988.
- [11] M. TOMARI AND K.-I. WATANABE, Filtered rings, filtered blowing-ups and normal two-dimensional singularities with “star-shaped” resolution, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 25 (1989), 681–740.
- [12] M. TOMARI, The canonical filtration of higher dimensional purely elliptic singularity of a special type, Invent. Math. 104 (1991), 497–520.
- [13] A. N. VARCHENKO, Zeta-function of monodromy and Newton’s diagram, Invent. Math. 37 (1976), 253–262.
- [14] K. WATANABE, On plurigenera of normal isolated singularities I, Math. Ann. 250 (1980), 65–94.
- [15] K. WATANABE, On plurigenera of normal isolated singularities II, Complex Analytic Singularities (T. Suwa and P. Wagreich editors), 671–685, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., 8, Kinokuniya, Tokyo and North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York and Oxford, 1986.
- [16] T. YONEMURA, Hypersurface simple $K3$ singularities, Tôhoku Math. J. 42 (1990), 351–380.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOYOTA NATIONAL COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
2-1, EISEICHO, TOYOTA 471-8525
JAPAN

E-mail address: kanesaka@toyota-ct.ac.jp