

## ON THE CESÀRO SUMMABILITY OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES

YU-AN SHIH CHOW\*

(Received December 15, 1953)

1. F. T. Wang [3], [4] has proved that, if  $\varphi_x(t) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2s]$  and

$$\int_0^t \varphi_x(u) du = o\left(t \log \frac{1}{t}\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

then the Fourier series of  $f(t)$  is summable  $(C, 1)$  at  $t = x$ ; and if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and

$$\int_0^t \varphi_x(u) du = o(t^{1/\alpha}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

then the Fourier series of  $f(t)$  is summable  $(C, \alpha)$  at  $t = x$ . In this note, we generalize these results to the double Fourier series.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that the function  $f(u, v)$  is integrable in the Lebesgue sense, over the square  $(-\pi, \pi; -\pi, \pi)$  and is periodic with period  $2\pi$  in each variable. Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u, v) = \varphi_{x,y}(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} & \left[ f(x+u, y+v) + f(x+u, y-v) \right. \\ & \left. + f(x-u, y+v) + f(x-u, y-v) - 4s \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If, as  $u \rightarrow +0, v \rightarrow +0$ ,

$$\Phi(u, v) = \int_0^u ds \int_0^v \varphi(s, t) dt = o\left(uv \log \frac{1}{u} \log \frac{1}{v}\right),$$

$$\int_0^\pi dt \left| \int_0^u \varphi(s, t) ds \right| = O\left(u \log \frac{1}{u}\right),$$

$$\int_0^\pi ds \left| \int_0^v \varphi(s, t) dt \right| = O\left(v \log \frac{1}{v}\right),$$

then the double Fourier series of  $f(u, v)$  is summable  $(C, 1, 1)$  to sum  $s$  at  $u = x, v = y$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta < 1$  and as  $u \rightarrow +0, v \rightarrow +0$ ,*

$$\Phi(u, v) = o\left(u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} v^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\right),$$

---

\* The author is indebted to Prof. H. C. Chow for many helpful suggestions.

$$\int_0^\pi dt \left| \int_0^u \varphi(s,t) ds \right| = o(u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}),$$

$$\int_0^\pi ds \left| \int_0^v \varphi(s,t) dt \right| = o(v^{\frac{1}{\beta}}),$$

then the double Fourier series of  $f(u, v)$  is summable  $(C, \alpha, \beta)$  to sum  $s$  at  $u = x$ ,  $v = y$ .

2. To prove these theorems we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $K_m(t)$  be the Fejér kernel of order 1 and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then<sup>1)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq K_m(t) &= O(m^{-1}t^{-2}), & (m^{-1} \leq t \leq \pi), \\ 0 \leq K_m(t) &= O(m^{-1+2r}), & (m^{-r} \leq t \leq \pi), \\ \frac{d}{dt} K_m(t) \equiv K'_m(t) &= O(mt^{-1}), & (0 < t \leq m^{-1}), \\ K'_m(t) &= O(t^{-2}), & (m^{-1} \leq t \leq \pi). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $K_m^\alpha(t)$  is the Fejér kernel of order  $\alpha$ , then<sup>1)</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} K_m^\alpha(t) &= O(m^{-\alpha}t^{1-\alpha}), & (m^{-1} \leq t \leq \pi), \\ \frac{d}{dt} K_m^\alpha(t) \equiv [K_m^\alpha(t)]' &= O(n^2), & (0 \leq t \leq \pi), \\ [K_m^\alpha(t)]' &= O(m^{1-\alpha}t^{-1-\alpha}), & (m^{-1} \leq t \leq \pi). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 is obvious, for  $K_m(t) = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left( \frac{\sin \frac{m+1}{2} t}{\sin \frac{1}{2} t} \right)^2$  and Lemma 2

is known [2].

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

$\pi^2 \sigma_{m,n}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \varphi(u, v) K_m(u) K_n(v) du dv \\ &= \left( \int_0^{m^{-r}} \int_0^{n^{-r}} + \int_0^{m^{-r}} \int_{n^{-r}}^\pi + \int_{m^{-r}}^\pi \int_0^{n^{-r}} + \int_{m^{-r}}^\pi \int_{n^{-r}}^\pi \right) \varphi(u, v) K_m(u) K_n(v) du dv \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

say. By Lemma 1,

$$I_4 = O\left(m^{-1+2r} n^{-1+2r} \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi |\varphi(u, v)| du dv\right) = o(1)$$

<sup>1)</sup>  $O$  is independent of  $t, m$  and  $n$ .

for  $0 < r < \frac{1}{2}$ . By partial integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} K_m(u) du \int_0^{n^{-r}} \varphi(u, v) K_n(v) dv \\
 &= \int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} K_m(u) du \left[ \Phi_1(u, n^{-r}) K_n(n^{-r}) - \int_0^{n^{-r}} \Phi_1(u, v) K'_n(v) dv \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_1(u, v) = \int_0^v \varphi(u, t) dt$  provided this integral exists, and is  $\infty$  otherwise.

Since, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} K_m(u) \Phi_1(u, n^{-r}) K_n(n^{-r}) du &= O\left(m^{-1+2r} |K_n(n^{-r})| \int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} |\Phi_1(u, n^{-r})| du\right) \\
 &= O(m^{-1+2r} n^{-1+2r} n^{-r} (\log n^r)^{-1}) = o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} K_m(u) du \int_0^{n^{-r}} \Phi_1(u, v) K'_n(v) dv \\
 &= O\left(m^{-1+2r} \left[ \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \right] K'_n(v) dv \int_{m^{-r}}^{\pi} |\Phi_1(u, v)| du\right) \\
 &= o\left\{ \left[ \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \right] |K'_n(v)| v \left(\log \frac{1}{v}\right)^{-1} dv \right\} \\
 &= o\left(n \int_0^{n^{-1}} \left(\log \frac{1}{v}\right)^{-1} dv\right) + o\left(\int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \frac{dv}{v \log \frac{1}{v}}\right) \\
 &= o(1) + o(1) = o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

we have  $I_3 = o(1)$ . Similarly  $I_2 = o(1)$ . By partial integration for the double integral [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_0^{m^{-r}} \int_0^{n^{-r}} \varphi(u, v) K_m(u) K_n(v) du dv \\
 &= \Phi(m^{-r}, n^{-r}) K_m(m^{-r}) K_n(n^{-r}) - K_n(n^{-r}) \int_0^{m^{-r}} \Phi(u, n^{-r}) K'_m(u) du \\
 &\quad - K_m(m^{-r}) \int_0^{n^{-r}} \Phi(m^{-r}, v) K'_n(v) dv + \int_0^{m^{-r}} \int_0^{n^{-r}} \Phi(u, v) K'_m(u) K'_n(v) du dv
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14},$$

say. Now,

$$I_{11} = O\left(m^{-1+2r} n^{-1+2r} \frac{m^{-r} n^{-r}}{r^2 \log m \log n}\right) = o(1),$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{12} &= O\left(n^{-1+2r} \left[ \int_0^{m^{-1}} + \int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \right] |\Phi(u, n^{-r}) K'_m(u)| du\right) \\ &= o\left(m \int_0^{m^{-1}} \frac{du}{\log \frac{1}{u}}\right) + o\left(\int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \frac{du}{u \log \frac{1}{u}}\right) = o(1) + o(1) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{13} = O\left(m^{-1+2r} \left[ \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \right] |\Phi(m^{-r}, v) K'_n(v)| dv\right) = o(1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{14}| &\leq \left( \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} + \int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \right) \\ &\quad |\Phi(u, v) K'_m(u) K'_n(v)| du dv \\ &= O\left(mn \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} \frac{du dv}{\log \frac{1}{u} \log \frac{1}{v}}\right) + O\left(m \int_0^{m^{-1}} \frac{du}{\log \frac{1}{u}} \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \frac{dv}{v \log \frac{1}{v}}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(n \int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \frac{du}{u \log \frac{1}{u}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} \frac{dv}{\log \frac{1}{v}}\right) + o\left(\int_{m^{-1}}^{m^{-r}} \int_{n^{-1}}^{n^{-r}} \frac{du dv}{uv \log \frac{1}{u} \log \frac{1}{v}}\right) \\ &= o(1) + o(1) + o(1) + o(1) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $I_1 = o(1)$  and this completes the proof.

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^2 \sigma_{m,n}^{\alpha,\beta} &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^\pi \varphi(u, v) K_m^\alpha(u) K_n^\beta(v) du dv \\ &= \left( \int_0^A \int_0^B + \int_0^A \int_B^\tau + \int_A^\pi \int_0^B + \int_A^\pi \int_B^\pi \right) \varphi(u, v) K_m^\alpha(u) K_n^\beta(v) du dv \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

say, where  $A = \psi_n \cdot m^{-\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha}}$ ,  $B = \psi_n n^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}}$  and  $0 < \psi_n \rightarrow \infty$  sufficiently slowly

By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= O\left(m^{-\alpha}n^{-\beta} \int_A^\pi \int_B^\pi \frac{|\varphi(u, v)|}{u^{1+\alpha}v^{1+\beta}} du dv\right) \\ &= O\left(m^{-\alpha}n^{-\beta}A^{-1-\alpha}B^{-1-\beta} \int_A^\pi \int_B^\pi |\varphi(u, v)| du dv\right) \\ &= O(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}\psi_n^{-1-\beta}) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

By partial integration,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \int_A^\pi \int_0^B \varphi(u, v)K_m^\alpha(u)K_n^\beta(v) du dv \\ &= \int_A^\pi K_m^\alpha(u) du \left\{ \Phi_1(u, B) K_n^\beta(B) - \int_0^B \Phi_1(u, v)[K_n^\beta(v)]' dv \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^\pi K_m^\alpha(u)\Phi_1(u, B)K_n^\beta(v)dv &= O\left(m^{-\alpha}|K_n^\beta(B)| \int_A^\pi \frac{|\Phi_1(u, B)|}{u^{1+\alpha}} du\right) \\ &= O\left(m^{-\alpha}A^{-1-\alpha}|K_n^\beta(B)| \int_A^\pi |\Phi_1(u, B)| du\right) \\ &= O\left(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}\psi_n^{-1-\beta} \int_A^\pi |\Phi_1(u, B)| du\right) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_A^\pi K_m^\alpha(u)du \int_0^B \Phi_1(u, v)[K_n^\beta(v)]' dv \\ &= O\left(m^{-\alpha}A^{-1-\alpha} \int_A^\pi \int_0^B |\Phi_1(u, v)[K_n^\beta(v)]'| du dv\right) \\ &= O\left(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha} \int_A^\pi du \left[ \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{n^{-1}}^B \right] |\Phi_1(u, v)[K_n^\beta(v)]'| dv\right) \\ &= O\left(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}n^2 \int_0^{n^{-1}} v^{\frac{1}{\beta}} dv\right) + O\left(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}n^{1-\beta} \int_{n^{-1}}^B v^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\beta-1} dv\right) \cdot o(1) \\ &= O(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}) + O\left(\psi_m^{-1-\alpha}\psi_n^{\frac{1-\beta^2}{\beta}}\right) \cdot o(1) = o(1) + o(1) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\psi_n \rightarrow \infty$  sufficiently slowly. We have  $I_3 = o(1)$ .

Similarly, we can show that  $I_2 = o(1)$ . Integrating by parts [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^A \int_0^B \varphi(u, v) K_m^\alpha(u) K_n^\beta(v) du dv \\ &= \Phi(A, B) K_m^\alpha(A) K_n^\beta(B) - K_n^\beta(B) \int_0^A \Phi(u, B) [K_m^\alpha(u)]' du \\ &\quad - K_m^\alpha(A) \int_0^B \Phi(A, v) [K_n^\beta(v)]' dv + \int_0^A \int_0^B \Phi(u, v) [K_m^\alpha(u)]' [K_n^\beta(v)]' du dv \\ &= I_{11} - K_n^\beta(B) I_{12} - K_m^\alpha(A) I_{13} + I_{14}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,  $I_{11} = o(1)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{12}| &\leq \left( \int_0^{m^{-1}} + \int_0^A \right) |\Phi(u, B) [K_m^\alpha(u)]'| du \\ &= O\left(m^2 \int_0^{m^{-1}} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} du\right) + o(1) O\left(m^{1-\alpha} \int_{m^{-1}}^A u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1-\alpha} du\right) \\ &= O\left(m^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\right) + o(1) \cdot O\left(\psi_m^{\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\alpha}}\right) = o(1) + o(1) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\psi_m \rightarrow \infty$  sufficiently slowly, and similarly,  $I_{13} = o(1)$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{14}| &\leq \left( \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_{n^{-1}}^B + \int_{m^{-1}}^A \int_0^{n^{-1}} + \int_{m^{-1}}^A \int_{n^{-1}}^B \right) |\Phi(u, v) [K_m^\alpha(u)]' [K_n^\beta(v)]'| du dv \\ &= o\left(m^2 n^2 \int_0^{m^{-1}} \int_0^{n^{-1}} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} v^{\frac{1}{\beta}} du dv\right) \\ &\quad + o\left(m^2 n^{1-\beta} \int_0^{m^{-1}} u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} du \int_{n^{-1}}^B v^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\beta-1} dv\right) \\ &\quad + o\left(n^2 m^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{n^{-1}} v^{\frac{1}{\beta}} dv \int_{m^{-1}}^A u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\alpha-1} du\right) \\ &\quad + o\left(m^{1-\alpha} n^{1-\beta} \int_{m^{-1}}^A u^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\alpha-1} du \int_{n^{-1}}^B v^{\frac{1}{\beta}-\beta-1} dv\right) \\ &= o(1) + o(1) + o(1) + o(1) = o(1) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\psi_m \rightarrow \infty$  sufficiently slowly, we have  $I_1 = o(1)$ . The proof is thus completed.

## LITERATURE

- [1] GERGEN, J. J., Convergence criteria for double Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35(1933), 29-63.
- [2] IZUMI, S. and SUNOUCHI, G., Theorems concerning Cesàro summability, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.*, (2), 1(1950), 313-326.
- [3] WANG, F. T., A note on Cesàro summability of Fourier series, *Ann. of Math.*, 44 (1943), 397-400.
- [4] WANG, F. T., A remark on (C) summability of Fourier series, *Journ. London Math. Soc.*, 22(1947), 40-47.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY,  
TAIPEH, TAIWAN, CHINA.