

## PINCHING DEFORMATIONS OF FUCHSIAN GROUPS

HIRO-O YAMAMOTO

(Received May 26, 1980, revised February 2, 1981)

**Introduction.** Limits of sequences of Kleinian groups have been investigated by many authors (cf. Abikoff [1], Bers [2], Chuckrow [3], Marden [4]). Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of quasiconformal automorphisms of the extended complex plane  $\hat{C}$  compatible with a Kleinian group  $\Gamma$  such that  $w_n\Gamma w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\phi_G$  of  $\Gamma$  onto  $G$  (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6]). The group  $G$  is called a cusp if there exists a loxodromic element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\phi_G(\gamma)$  is parabolic. Though cusps play important roles in the theory of Kleinian groups, even the existence of cusps is unknown in general. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated torsion free Fuchsian group of the first kind keeping  $U$  and  $L$ , the upper and the lower half planes, invariant. In this note we show the existence of cusps which are limits of sequences  $\{w_n\Gamma w_n^{-1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of quasi-Fuchsian groups, where the automorphisms  $w_n$  of  $\hat{C}$  are not conformal but quasi-conformal in  $U \cup L$ . This is an affirmative answer to the problem raised by Marden [4, p. 290]

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to the referee for various valuable suggestions without which this note would never have attained the present clarity.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $G$  be a group of Moebius transformations acting on the Riemann sphere  $\hat{C} = C \cup \{\infty\}$ . The ordinary set  $\Omega(G)$  of  $G$  is the maximal subset of  $\hat{C}$  where  $G$  acts discontinuously. The group  $G$  is said to be Kleinian if  $\Omega(G)$  is not void and if  $\hat{C} - \Omega(G)$  contains more than two points. If a Kleinian group keeps the upper half plane  $U$  invariant, then the group is said to be Fuchsian. Throughout this note  $\Gamma$  denotes a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind without elliptic elements. Let  $F$  be a quasi-conformal automorphism of  $\hat{C}$  compatible with  $\Gamma$ , that is,  $F\Gamma F^{-1}$  is again Kleinian. Then  $F$  induces a quasi-conformal homeomorphism  $f$  of the quotient space  $\Omega(\Gamma)/\Gamma$  onto  $\Omega(F\Gamma F^{-1})/F\Gamma F^{-1}$  with  $\Pi \circ F = f \circ \pi$ , where  $\Pi: \Omega(F\Gamma F^{-1}) \rightarrow \Omega(F\Gamma F^{-1})/F\Gamma F^{-1}$  and  $\pi: \Omega(\Gamma) \rightarrow \Omega(\Gamma)/\Gamma$  are natural projections.

A set  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^q$  of simple analytic loops on a Riemann surface is said

to be homotopically independent, if the following is satisfied:

- (i)  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$  are mutually disjoint,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,
- (ii)  $\alpha_i$  is not freely homotopic to  $\alpha_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,

and

- (iii)  $\alpha_i$  bounds neither a disc nor a punctured disc,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ .

Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset U/\Gamma$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=p+1}^q \subset L/\Gamma$  be homotopically independent sets of loops, where  $L$  is the lower half plane. Then we can find a doubly connected region  $D_i$  containing  $\alpha_i$  with  $\text{Cl } D_i \cap \text{Cl } D_j = \emptyset$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q$ . Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  compatible with  $\Gamma$  and keeping three points in  $\Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$  invariant such that on any set  $E \subset \Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$   $F_n$  is uniformly  $K(E)$ -quasi-conformal and such that  $f_n(D_i)$  is conformally equivalent to the annulus  $1 < |z| < n$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is said to be pinched along  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^q$  by  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  if  $n$  tends to  $\infty$ .

A sequence of Moebius transformations  $z \rightarrow (a_n z + b_n)/(c_n z + d_n)$  is said to converge to another  $z \rightarrow (az + b)/(cz + d)$  if  $a_n, b_n, c_n$  and  $d_n$  converge to  $a, b, c$  and  $d$ , respectively. A group of Moebius transformations generated by  $g_{1,n}, \dots, g_{t,n}$  is said to converge to that generated by  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  in the sense of generator convergence, if  $g_{s,n}$  converges to  $g_s$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq t$ . Denote by  $\tau(\alpha_i)$  the set of all elements of  $\Gamma$  keeping a component of  $\pi^{-1}(\alpha_i)$  invariant.

**2. Statement of Theorem.** The purpose of this note is to prove the following, which gives an answer to a problem raised by Marden [4, p. 290].

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated Fuchsian group of the first kind without elliptic elements. Let  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset U/\Gamma$  and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=p+1}^q \subset L/\Gamma$  be homotopically independent sets of geodesic loops such that  $(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \tau(\alpha_i)) \cap (\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \tau(\alpha_i))$  consists only of the identity. If  $\Gamma$  is pinched along  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^q$  by  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{F_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $F_{n_k} \Gamma F_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to a Kleinian group in the sense of generator convergence. Moreover  $F_{n_k} \gamma F_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to a parabolic transformation for each  $\gamma \in \mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \tau(\alpha_i)$ .*

Bers [2] proved Theorem in the case  $p(q - p) = 0$ . See also Abikoff [1]. Therefore we give a proof of Theorem in the case  $p(q - p) \neq 0$ . Note that the second statement of Theorem is clear from Bers'  $\log \lambda$  inequality (Bers [2]) and the first one.

**3. Lemmas.** For two points  $x, y \in \hat{C}$ , denote by  $[x, y]$  the spherical distance between  $x$  and  $y$ . For a loxodromic Moebius transformation  $g$ , which may be hyperbolic, denote by  $\xi(g)$  and  $\xi'(g)$  the attracting and

repelling fixed points, respectively. First we state a well-known result without proof.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $\{z_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{z'_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be sequences of points of  $\hat{C}$  converging to  $z_i$  and  $z'_i$ , respectively,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , such that the sequences  $\{\{z_{i,n}, z_{j,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\{z'_{i,n}, z'_{j,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are bounded away from zero,  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ . Let  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of Moebius transformations with  $h_n(z_{i,n}) = z'_{i,n}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Then  $h_n$  converges to a Moebius transformation.*

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G$  be a Kleinian group keeping a region  $\Omega_0$  invariant. Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  compatible with  $G$  such that for each region  $A \subset \Omega_0$  the restriction  $w_n|_A$  of  $w_n$  to  $A$  is uniformly  $K(A)$ -quasi-conformal. Assume the existence of a loxodromic element  $g$  of  $G$  and of a point  $z_0$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that  $w_n g w_n^{-1}$  converges to a parabolic transformation and such that  $\{\{w_n(z_0), \xi(w_n g w_n^{-1})\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. Then, for each region  $B \subset \Omega_0$  there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $w_{n_k}|_B$  converges to a  $K(B)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism uniformly on  $B$  and such that  $w_{n_k} G w_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. Since  $w_n g w_n^{-1}$  converges to a parabolic transformation,  $[\xi(w_n g w_n^{-1}), \xi'(w_n g w_n^{-1})]$  converges to zero. Therefore, both  $\{\{w_n(z_0), \xi(w_n g w_n^{-1})\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\{w_n(z_0), \xi'(w_n g w_n^{-1})\}_{n=1}^\infty$  are bounded away from zero, and so is  $\{\{(w_n g w_n^{-1})^u(w_n(z_0)), (w_n g w_n^{-1})^v(w_n(z_0))\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{\{w_n(g^u(z_0)), w_n(g^v(z_0))\}_{n=1}^\infty$ ,  $0 \leq u < v \leq 2$ . Let  $\{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$  be a system of generators for  $G$ . Let  $\hat{B} \subset \Omega_0$  be a region containing the set  $B \cup \{g^u(z_0); 0 \leq u \leq 2\} \cup \{g^j(z_i); 1 \leq i \leq 3, 0 \leq j \leq 1, 1 \leq s \leq t\}$ , where  $z_i$  is a point of  $\Omega_0$ . Since  $\{w_n|_{\hat{B}}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a normal family (Lehto-Virtanen [5, p. 73]), there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $w_{n_k}|_B$  converges to a mapping  $W$  of  $B$ . Since  $[W(g^u(z_0)), W(g^v(z_0))] > 0$ ,  $0 \leq u < v \leq 2$ , the mapping  $W$  is a  $K(B)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism of  $B$  (Lehto-Virtanen [5, p. 74]). Therefore  $w_{n_k}|_B$  converges to a  $K(B)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism of  $B$ . In Lemma 1, we put  $z_{i,k} = w_{n_k}(z_i)$ ,  $z'_{i,k} = w_{n_k}(g_s(z_i))$  and  $h_j = w_{n_k} g_s w_{n_k}^{-1}$  to obtain that  $w_{n_k} g_s w_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to a Moebius transformation,  $1 \leq s \leq t$ , so that  $w_{n_k} G w_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $G$  be a Kleinian group keeping a region  $\Omega_0$  invariant. Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  compatible with  $G$  such that for each region  $A \subset \Omega_0$  the restriction  $w_n|_A$  of  $w_n$  to  $A$  is uniformly  $K(A)$ -quasi-conformal. Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  be loxodromic elements of  $G$  such that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are not commutative. Assume that*

both  $w_n g_1 w_n^{-1}$  and  $w_n g_2 w_n^{-1}$  converge to parabolic transformations. Then for each region  $B \subset \Omega_0$  there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $w_{n_k}|_B$  converges to a  $K(B)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism of  $B$  uniformly on  $B$  and such that  $w_{n_k} G w_{n_k}^{-1}$  converges to group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.

PROOF. Since  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are not commutative, neither are  $\hat{g}_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n g_1 w_n^{-1}$  and  $\hat{g}_2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n g_2 w_n^{-1}$  (Chuckrow [3]). Therefore the fixed point of  $\hat{g}_1$  and that of  $\hat{g}_2$  are distinct from each other. So  $\{\xi(w_n g_1 w_n^{-1}), \xi(w_n g_2 w_n^{-1})\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. Let  $z_0$  be a point  $\Omega_0$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that at least one of  $\{[w_{n_k}(z_0), \xi(w_{n_k} g_1 w_{n_k}^{-1})]\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and  $\{[w_{n_k}(z_0), \xi(w_{n_k} g_2 w_{n_k}^{-1})]\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. Using Lemma 2, we obtain the desired conclusion.

Let  $A \subset \hat{C}$  be a domain with more than two boundary points. Then, as is well known, the Poincaré metric  $\rho_A(z)|dz|$  with the negative constant curvature  $-1$  can be defined on  $A$ . We denote by  $d(z', z''; A)$  the distance measured by  $\rho_A(z)|dz|$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of  $K$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphisms of a domain  $A_0$  with more than two boundary points and let  $z'$  and  $z''$  be points of  $A_0$ . Then  $d(w_n(z'), w_n(z''); A_n)$  is bounded, where  $A_n = w_n(A_0)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\Delta_n = \{|\zeta| < 1\}$  be the universal covering surface of  $A_n$  with the natural projection  $\tilde{\pi}_n, n = 0, 1, \dots$ . Let  $\tilde{w}_n$  be the  $K$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism of  $\Delta_0$  onto  $\Delta_n$  keeping 0 and 1 invariant such that  $w_n \tilde{\pi}_0 = \tilde{\pi}_n \tilde{w}_n$ . Let  $\zeta'$  and  $\zeta''$  be points of  $\Delta_0$  with  $\tilde{\pi}_0(\zeta') = z'$  and  $\tilde{\pi}_0(\zeta'') = z''$ , respectively. Then  $d(w_n(z'), w_n(z''); A_n) \leq d(w_n(\zeta'), w_n(\zeta''); \Delta_n) \leq \phi_K \cdot d(\zeta', \zeta''; \Delta_0)$ , where  $\phi_K$  is a positive constant depending only on  $K$  (Lehto-Virtanen [5, p. 65]). Thus we have proved Lemma 4.

4. **Proof of Theorem.** In this section we give the proof of Theorem, which are divided into Lemmas 5-14.

For the sake of simplicity, we merely say that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converges when a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  does. This convention will be valid from here to the end of this note.

Let  $\Omega_1$  be a component of  $U - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i)$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}$  a bounded component of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i)$  lying on the boundary  $\partial\Omega_1$  of  $\Omega_1$ . Let  $\delta$  be a hyperbolic element of the stabilizer subgroup  $\text{Stab } \tilde{\alpha} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; \gamma(\tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{\alpha}\}$  of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  in  $\Gamma$ . Denote by  $A$  the anti-conformal automorphism of  $C$  mapping  $z$  into the complex conjugate of  $z$ . By the assumption that  $(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \tau(\alpha_i)) \cap (\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \tau(\alpha_i))$  consists only of the identity, we can find a component  $\Omega_1^*$  of  $L -$

$\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \cap \Omega_1^* \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \subset \Omega_1^*$ , then we fix a point  $\zeta_1$  of  $A(\tilde{\alpha})$ . If  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \not\subset \Omega_1^*$ , then we denote by  $\eta_1$  the point on  $\partial\Omega_1^* \cap A(\tilde{\alpha})$  such that the hyperbolic half line joining  $\eta_1$  to the repelling fixed point of  $\delta$  does not meet  $\Omega_1^*$ . Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  be the hyperbolic segment joining  $\eta_1$  to  $\delta(\eta_1)$ . Let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{t-1}, \eta_t = \delta(\eta_1)$  be the complete list of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i) \cap \hat{\alpha}$  such that  $\eta_s$  separates  $\eta_{s-1}$  from  $\eta_{s+1}$ ,  $2 \leq s \leq t-1$ . Denote by  $\theta_s$  the component of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  containing  $\eta_s$  and by  $\zeta_s$  the fixed point of some  $\gamma_s \in \text{Stab } \theta_s$  in the bounded domain surrounded by  $\text{Cl}(\tilde{\alpha} \cup A(\tilde{\alpha}))$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq t$ .

In either case, let  $w_n$  be the quasi-conformal automorphism of  $\hat{C}$  keeping  $\xi(\delta)$ ,  $\zeta_1$  and  $\delta(\zeta_1)$  invariant with the same Beltrami coefficient on  $\hat{C}$  as  $F_n$ .

LEMMA 5. *The loxodromic transformation  $w_n \delta w_n^{-1}$  converges to a parabolic one.*

PROOF. Let  $h$  be the Moebius transformation mapping  $\zeta_1, \delta(\zeta_1)$  and  $\xi(\delta)$  into  $0, 1$  and  $\infty$ , respectively. Then  $\delta_n = h w_n \delta w_n^{-1} h^{-1}$  is of the form  $z \rightarrow a_n z + b_n$ . Since  $1 = \delta_n(0) = b_n$  and since  $a_n \rightarrow 1$  (Bers [2]),  $\delta_n$  converges to a parabolic transformation, so does  $w_n \delta w_n^{-1} = h^{-1} \delta_n h$ .

LEMMA 6. *If  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \subset \Omega_1^*$ , then  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_1^*) w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. Note that for each region  $A \subset \Omega_1^*$ , the restriction of  $w_n$  to  $A$  is uniformly  $K(A)$ -quasi-conformal by the definition of pinching deformations. By Lemma 5,  $w_n \delta w_n^{-1}$  converges to a parabolic transformation. Note that  $w_n(\zeta_1) = \zeta_1 \in \Omega_1^*$  and  $\xi(w_n \delta w_n^{-1}) = w_n(\xi(\delta)) = \xi(\delta)$ . Then  $\{[w_n(\zeta_1), \xi(w_n \delta w_n^{-1})]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. So our assertion is evident from Lemma 2.

LEMMA 7. *If  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \not\subset \Omega_1^*$ , then for each component  $\Omega^*$  of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $\Omega^* \cap A(\tilde{\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega^*) w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. Let  $\Omega_s^*$  be the component of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  whose closure contains both  $\theta_s$  and  $\theta_{s+1}$ . Then both  $\gamma_s$  and  $\gamma_{s+1}$  belong to  $\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*$ . Since  $\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} [w_n(\zeta_s), w_n(\zeta_{s+1})] \geq [w_n(\zeta_1), w_n(\zeta_t)] = [\zeta_1, \zeta_t]$ , there exists an integer  $r \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$  such that  $\{[w_n(\zeta_r), w_n(\zeta_{r+1})]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. Let  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of Moebius transformations converging to a Moebius transformation such that  $h_n(w_n(\zeta_r)) = 0$  and  $h_n(w_n(\zeta_{r+1})) = \infty$ . Then  $\gamma_{r,n} = h_n w_n \gamma_r w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  is of the form  $z \rightarrow a_n z / (c_n z + a_n^{-1})$  and  $\gamma_{r+1,n} = h_n w_n \gamma_{r+1} w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  is of the form  $z \rightarrow (u_n z + v_n) / u_n^{-1}$ . It was proved in Bers

[2] that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^2 = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^2 = 1$ . By Lemma 5,  $w_n \delta w_n^{-1}$  converges to a Moebius transformation, and so does  $h_n w_n \delta w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$ . Therefore, on applying a result of Chuckrow [3, Lemma 4] to the two generator groups  $\langle \gamma_{r,n}, h_n w_n \delta w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle \gamma_{r+1,n}, h_n w_n \delta w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1} \rangle$ , we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \neq 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \infty$ . For a point  $z^* \in \Omega_i^*$ , at least one of  $\{h_n w_n(z^*)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{\gamma_{r+1,n}(h_n w_n(z^*))\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{u_n^2 h_n w_n(z^*) + u_n v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. Denote the point by  $z_n$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{r,n}(z_n) = 0$  since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = \infty$  and since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = 1$ . Note that the point  $z_0 = (h_n w_n)^{-1}(z_n) \in \Omega_i^*$  is constant. Let  $\zeta_n \in \{z \in \mathbf{C}; |z| = 1\}$  be a point in the limit set of the quasi-Fuchsian group  $h_n w_n \Gamma w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  which contains both 0 and  $\infty$ . Let  $A \subset \Omega^*$  be a region containing  $z_0$  and  $\gamma_r(z_0)$ . By Lemma 2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \infty > M &\geq d(h_n w_n(z_0), h_n w_n(\gamma_r(z_0))); h_n w_n(A) \\ &\geq d(z_n, \gamma_{r,n}(z_n); \mathbf{C} - \{0, \zeta_n\}) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Because of this contradiction, we see that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$  is a non-zero and finite complex number and that  $\gamma_{r,n}$  converges to a parabolic transformation. In the same way as above, we can prove that  $\gamma_{r+1,n}$  also converges to a parabolic transformation. By Lemma 3,  $h_n w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_r^*) w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence, and so does  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_r^*) w_n^{-1}$ . Set  $\gamma_{s+t-1} = \delta \gamma_s \delta^{-1}$  and  $\Omega_{s+t-1}^* = \delta(\Omega_s^*)$ ,  $s = 2, \dots, r - 1$ . Note that  $\sum_{s=r+1}^{t+r-2} [\xi(w_n \gamma_{s+1} w_n^{-1}), \xi(w_n \gamma_s w_n^{-1})] \geq [\xi(w_n \gamma_{t+r-1} w_n^{-1}), \xi(w_n \gamma_{r+1} w_n^{-1})]$ . Assume that the left hand side of the above inequality converges to zero. Then so does the right hand side. Since two parabolic transformations  $\hat{\gamma}_{r+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \gamma_{r+1} w_n^{-1}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{t+r-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \gamma_{t+r-1} w_n^{-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \delta w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n \gamma_r w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n \delta w_n^{-1}$  have a common fixed point  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n \gamma_{r+1} w_n^{-1})$ , we see that  $\hat{\gamma}_{r+1}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{t+r-1}$  are commutative. On the other hand, since  $\gamma_{r+1}$  and  $\gamma_{t+r-1}$  are not commutative, neither are  $\hat{\gamma}_{r+1}$  and  $\hat{\gamma}_{t+r-1}$  (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6]). This is a contradiction. So we can find some  $\gamma_m \in \{\gamma_{r+1}, \dots, \gamma_{t+r-2}\}$  such that  $\{[\xi(w_n \gamma_m w_n^{-1}), \xi(w_n \gamma_{m+1} w_n^{-1})]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero. In the same way as above, we can prove that  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_m^*) w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence. Therefore so does  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*) w_n^{-1}$ , where  $s = m$  if  $1 \leq m \leq t - 1$ , and  $s = m - t + 1$  if  $t \leq m \leq t + r - 1$ . Note that  $s$  is distinct from  $r$ . Repeat this procedure finitely many times. Then  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*) w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence,  $1 \leq s \leq t - 1$ . Let  $\Omega^*$  be a component of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^i \alpha_i)$  with  $A(\tilde{\alpha}) \cap \Omega^* \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exist some  $s \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  and some integer  $l$  with  $\text{Stab } \Omega^* = \delta^l(\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*) \delta^{-l}$ . Since  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*) w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence, so does

$w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega^*)w_n^{-1} = w_n\delta^l w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_s^*)w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n\delta^{-l} w_n^{-1}$ . Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 7.

LEMMA 8. *The Kleinian group  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_1)w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. First we consider the case where  $\Lambda(\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i) \cap \partial\Omega_1^*) \cap \Omega_1 = \emptyset$ . In this case it holds that  $\Omega_1 \subset \Lambda(\Omega_1^*)$ , so that  $\text{Stab } \Omega_1 \subset \text{Stab } \Omega_1^*$ . Then our assertion is clear by Lemmas 6 and 7.

Next we consider the other case. Then there exists a component  $\alpha^*$  of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i) \cap \partial\Omega_1^*$  with  $\Lambda(\alpha^*) \cap \Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\Lambda(\alpha^*) \subset \Omega_1$ , then a  $\gamma^* \in \text{Stab } \alpha^*$  belongs to  $\text{Stab } \Omega_1 \cap \text{Stab } \Omega_1^*$ . Since loxodromic transformations  $\delta$  and  $\gamma^*$  are not commutative and since both  $w_n\delta w_n^{-1}$  and  $w_n\gamma^* w_n^{-1}$  converge to parabolic transformations by Lemmas 5 and 6, our assertion is evident from Lemma 3. If  $\Lambda(\alpha^*) \not\subset \Omega_1$ , then we use Lemma 7 here. Let  $h_n$  be the Moebius transformation mapping  $\xi(w_n\delta w_n^{-1})$ ,  $\xi(w_n\gamma^*\delta\gamma^{*-1}w_n^{-1})$  and  $\xi(w_n\gamma^*w_n^{-1})$  into 0, 1 and  $\infty$ , respectively. Since all  $w_n\delta w_n^{-1}$ ,  $w_n\gamma^*\delta\gamma^{*-1}w_n^{-1}$  and  $w_n\gamma^*w_n^{-1}$  converge to parabolic transformations by Lemmas 5, 6 and 7, all points  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n\delta w_n^{-1})$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n\gamma^*\delta\gamma^{*-1}w_n^{-1})$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n\gamma^*w_n^{-1})$  are distinct from one another (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6]). Therefore  $h_n$  converges to a Moebius transformation by Lemma 1. In Lemma 7 we put  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha^*$ ,  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_1^*$  and  $w_n = h_n w_n$  to obtain the conclusion that  $h_n w_n (\text{Stab } \Omega_1) w_n^{-1} h_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence. Therefore  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_1)w_n^{-1}$  also does, and we have proved Lemma 8.

Here we show an auxiliary lemma for our later use.

LEMMA 9. *Let  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  and  $\{G'_j\}_{j \in J}$  be families of Kleinian groups. Let  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{w'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be sequences of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  compatible with each  $G_i$  and with each  $G'_j$ , respectively, such that  $w_n$  and  $w'_n$  have the same Beltrami coefficients and such that  $w_n G_i w_n^{-1}$  and  $w'_n G'_j w_n^{-1}$  converge to groups of Moebius transformations for each  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ . Assume that  $G_1 \cap G'_1$  contains a non-elementary Kleinian group. Then  $w_n G'_j w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence for each  $j \in J$ .*

PROOF. Set  $h_n = w'_n w_n^{-1}$ . Then  $h_n$  is a Moebius transformation. Let  $g_1, g_2$  and  $g_3$  be loxodromic elements of  $G_1 \cap G'_1$  such that  $g_l$  and  $g_m$  are not commutative,  $1 \leq l < m \leq 3$ . Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(\xi(g_l)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n g_l w_n^{-1}) \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w_n g_m w_n^{-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(\xi(g_m))$  and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w'_n(\xi(g_l)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w'_n g_l w_n^{-1}) \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi(w'_n g_m w_n^{-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w'_n(\xi(g_m))$  (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6] and [6]). Then  $h_n$  mapping  $w_n(\xi(g_m))$  into

$w'_n(\xi(g_m))$  converges to a Moebius transformation by Lemma 1. Since  $w'_n G'_j w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence, so does  $w_n G'_j w_n^{-1} = h_n^{-1} \cdot w'_n G'_j w_n^{-1} \cdot h_n$ .

Now we return to the proof of Theorem.

LEMMA 10. *Let  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be a component of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $A(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $w_n(\text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega})w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. First we consider the case where  $A(\tilde{\Omega}) \subset \Omega_1$ . Then  $\text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega} = \text{Stab } A(\tilde{\Omega}) \subset \text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega}_1$ . Therefore our assertion is evident by Lemma 8.

Next we consider the other case. In this case there exists a component of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i) \cap \partial\Omega_1$  whose image under  $A$  meets  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Let  $\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_m$  be a maximal list of non-conjugate components of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i) \cap \partial\Omega_1$  under  $\text{Stab } \Omega_1$ . As was shown in the proofs of Lemmas 6, 7 and 8, we can find a sequence  $\{w_n^{(l)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  such that  $w_n^{(l)}$  has the same Beltrami differential as  $w_n$  and such that for all components  $\Omega^{(l)}$ 's of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $\Omega^{(l)} \cap A(\tilde{\alpha}_i) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $w_n^{(l)}(\text{Stab } \Omega_1)w_n^{(l)-1}$  and  $w_n^{(l)}(\text{Stab } \Omega^{(l)})w_n^{(l)-1}$  converge to groups of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence,  $1 \leq l \leq m$ . Using Lemma 9 finitely many times, we see that our assertion is true for each component  $\hat{\Omega}$  of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $\hat{\Omega} \cap A(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be an arbitrary component of  $L - (\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  meeting  $A(\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i) \cap \partial\Omega_1)$ . Then there exist an element  $\gamma \in \text{Stab } \Omega_1$  and a component  $\hat{\Omega}$  of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  meeting  $A(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i)$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \gamma(\hat{\Omega})$ . Since  $w_n(\text{Stab } \hat{\Omega})w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence, so does  $w_n(\text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega})w_n^{-1} = w_n \gamma w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n(\text{Stab } \hat{\Omega})w_n^{-1} \cdot w_n \gamma^{-1} w_n^{-1}$ . Thus we have proved Lemma 10.

Let  $\Omega'$  be a component of  $U - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i)$  such that  $A(\Omega')$  meets some  $\tilde{\Omega}$  which is a component of  $L - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=p+1}^q \alpha_i)$  with  $A(\tilde{\Omega}) \cap \Omega_i \neq \emptyset$ . Then in the same way as in the proofs of Lemmas 6, 7, 8 and 10, there exists a sequence  $\{w'_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of quasi-conformal automorphisms of  $\hat{C}$  such that  $w'_n$  has the same Beltrami coefficient as  $w_n$  and such that  $w'_n(\text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega})w_n^{-1}$  and  $w'_n(\text{Stab } \Omega')w_n^{-1}$  converge to groups of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence. Using Lemma 9, we see that  $w_n(\text{Stab } \tilde{\Omega})w_n^{-1}$  and  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega')w_n^{-1}$  also do. Repeat this procedure finitely many times. Then we have the following.

LEMMA 11. *Let  $\Omega^1, \dots, \Omega^l$  be a finite number of components of  $\Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^l \alpha_i)$ . Then  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega^k)w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence,  $1 \leq k \leq l$ .*



LEMMA 12. *The Kleinian group  $w_n\Gamma w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. Let  $\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_3$  be components of  $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^p \alpha_i) \cap \Omega_1$ . Let  $\xi(\delta_k)$  be the attracting fixed point of a loxodromic element  $\delta_k$  of  $\text{Stab } \tilde{\beta}_k$ . Let  $\{\tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_t\}$  be a system of generators for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\omega_{3s+k}$  be a component of  $\Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$  such that the point  $\tilde{\gamma}_s(\xi(\delta_k)) = \xi(\tilde{\gamma}_s \delta_k \tilde{\gamma}_s^{-1})$  is kept invariant by an element of  $\text{Stab } \omega_{3s+k}$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq t, 1 \leq k \leq 3$ . It follows from Lemma 11 that the group generated by  $\{w_n(\text{Stab } \omega_u)w_n^{-1}\}_{u=1}^{3t+3}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence. So  $\{[w_n \tilde{\gamma}_s(\xi(\delta_k)), w_n \tilde{\gamma}_s(\xi(\delta_l))]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero,  $1 \leq s \leq t, 1 \leq k < l \leq 3$  (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6]). Since  $w_n(\text{Stab } \Omega_1)w_n^{-1}$  converges to a group of Moebius transformations in the sense of generator convergence,  $\{[w_n(\xi(\delta_k)), w_n(\xi(\delta_l))]\}_{n=1}^\infty = \{[\xi(w_n \delta_k w_n^{-1}), \xi(w_n \delta_l w_n^{-1})]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero (Chuckrow [3, Theorem 6]). Therefore the Moebius transformation  $w_n \tilde{\gamma}_s w_n^{-1}$  mapping the point  $w_n(\xi(\delta_k))$  to  $w_n \tilde{\gamma}_s(\xi(\delta_k))$  converges to a Moebius transformation by Lemma 1,  $1 \leq s \leq t$ . Now we are done.

LEMMA 13. *The group  $G = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \Gamma w_n^{-1}$  is Kleinian.*

PROOF. Let  $V \subset \Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$  be a region such that  $\gamma(V) \cap V = \emptyset$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma - \{\text{id}\}$ . By Lemmas 3 and 12, the restriction of  $w_n$  to  $V$  converges uniformly to a  $K(V)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism  $W$ . Assume the existence of an element  $g$  of  $G - \{\text{id}\}$  with  $g(W(V)) \cap W(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the element of  $\Gamma - \{\text{id}\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n \gamma w_n^{-1} = g$ . Then, for a sufficiently large integer  $n$ ,

$$\emptyset \neq (w_n \gamma w_n^{-1})(w_n(V)) \cap w_n(V) = w_n(\gamma(V)) \cap w_n(V) = w_n(\gamma(V) \cap V) = \emptyset .$$

This contradiction implies that  $G$  is discontinuous, so that  $G$  is Kleinian.

LEMMA 14. *The Kleinian group  $F_n \Gamma F_n^{-1}$  converges to a Kleinian group in the sense of generator convergence.*

PROOF. Set  $h_n = w_n F_n^{-1}$ , which is a Moebius transformation. Let  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  and  $\psi_3$  be the points of  $\Omega(\Gamma) - \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$  kept invariant under  $F_n$ . Then  $h_n$  maps  $\psi_k$  to  $w_n(\psi_k)$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . Let  $V_k \subset \Omega(\Gamma) - (\mathbf{U}_{i=1}^q \alpha_i)$  be a region containing  $\psi_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq 3$ . Then  $w_n | \mathbf{U}_{k=1}^3 V_k$  converges to a  $K(\mathbf{U}_{k=1}^3 V_k)$ -quasi-conformal homeomorphism. So  $\{[w_n(\psi_k), w_n(\psi_l)]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded away from zero,  $1 \leq k < l \leq 3$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that  $h_n$  mapping  $\psi_k$  into  $w_n(\psi_k)$  converges to a Moebius transformation. Since  $w_n \Gamma w_n^{-1}$  converges to a Kleinian group in the sense of generator convergence by Lemma 13, so does  $F_n \Gamma F_n^{-1} = h_n^{-1} w_n \Gamma w_n^{-1} h_n$ . Thus we complete the proof of Theorem.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. ABIKOFF, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups III, *Acta Math.* 134 (1975), 211-237.
- [2] L. BERS, On boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups I, *Ann. of Math.* 91 (1970), 570-600.
- [3] V. CHUCKROW, On Schottky groups with applications to Kleinian groups, *Ann. of Math.* 88 (1968), 47-61.
- [4] A. MARDEN, Geometrically finite Kleinian groups and their deformation spaces, in "Discrete groups and automorphic functions", Academic Press, London, 1977, 259-293.
- [5] O. LEHTO AND K. VIRTANEN, *Quasi-conformal mappings in the plane*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [6] H. YAMAMOTO, Squeezing deformations in Schottky spaces, *J. Math. Soc. of Japan*, 31 (1979), 227-243.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, 980  
JAPAN