

ON ASYMPTOTICALLY ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE

TAMOTSU TSUCHIKURA

(Received February 6, 1951)

Let us consider the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

of real numbers a_n . We shall say that the series (1) is *asymptotically absolutely convergent* if there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}$ such that $k/n_k \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and the subseries

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n_k}$$

converges absolutely.

We shall establish, in this note, a theorem of Tauberian type and some results for trigonometrical series.

1. Tauberian theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the series (1) is asymptotically absolutely convergent, and one of the following three conditions is satisfied:*

- (i) $\{|a_n|\}$ is a monotone sequence;
- (ii) $|a_{n+1}| < (1 + C/n)|a_n|$ ($n \geq n_0$), where C and n_0 are positive constants independent of n ;
- (iii) for some B which is independent of $N = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n |a_n| - |a_{n+1}| + N|a_N| \leq B \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|.$$

Then the series (1) converges absolutely.

PROOF. If (i) is satisfied, then the absolute convergence of the series of type (2) implies the decreasesness of $|a_n|$; and (i) is included in (ii). On the other hand, (ii) implies the inequality (3). For, Supposing $n_0 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n |a_n| - |a_{n+1}| + N|a_N| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n \frac{C}{n} |a_n| + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{C}{N-1}\right) \left(1 + \frac{C}{N-2}\right) \cdots \left(1 + \frac{C}{n}\right) |a_n| \\ & \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} |a_n| + e^C \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \leq (C + e^C) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it is sufficient to prove the absolute convergence of (1) under the condition (iii). Suppose that (2) converges absolutely and $k/n_k \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon_n = 1$ if $n = n_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\varepsilon_k = 0$ otherwise. Then, as we see easily,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n |a_n| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad s_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, by the Abel transformation

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n |a_n| = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n(|a_n| - |a_{n+1}|)s_n - N s_N |a_N|,$$

and if the series (1) does not converge absolutely, we see by (3) that the Toeplitz condition is satisfied for the transform of $\{s_n\}$:

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N \varepsilon_n |a_n| \right) / \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n| \right);$$

hence from the second relation of (4) we must have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n |a_n| = \infty$, which contradicts our assumption.

2. Asymptotically absolute convergence of trigonometrical series.

THEOREM 2. *If one of the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \sin nx, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos nx$$

converges absolutely at a point incommensurable with π , then the series (1) is asymptotically absolutely convergent.

PROOF. Let us consider only the sine series (the cosine case may be treated similarly), and suppose that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n \sin n\pi x_0| \equiv M < \infty \quad (x_0 \text{ irrational}).$$

Let $\{\delta_m\}$ be a positive decreasing null sequence. For every integer i , let

$$(6) \quad n_1^{(i)}, n_2^{(i)}, n_3^{(i)}, \dots$$

be the n 's for which $|\sin n\pi x_0| > \delta_i$, then by the uniform distribution of $\{nx_0\}$ we have

$$(7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k/n_k^{(i)} = 1 - \frac{\arcsin \delta_i}{\pi}.$$

We put $\varepsilon_i = (\arcsin \delta_i)/\pi$, then $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By (5) and the definition of (6) ($i = 1$) there exists an integer N_1 such that

$$\sum_{j=N_1}^{\infty} |a_{n_j^{(1)}}| < M/1^2,$$

and by (7) there is an integer $M_1 > N_1$ such that

$$\frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + (N - N_1 + 1)}{n_N^{(1)}} > 1 - 2\varepsilon_1 \quad \text{for all } N > M_1.$$

Next, by the similar reason there exist two positive integers N_2 and $M_2 > N_2$ such that

$$\sum_{j=N_2}^{\infty} |a_{n_j^{(2)}}| < M/2^2,$$

$$\frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + (L_1 - N_1) + (N - N_2 + 1)}{n_N^{(1)}} > 1 - 2\varepsilon_2 \text{ for all } N > M_2,$$

where L_1 is the maximum of N for which $n_{N_2}^{(2)} > n_N^{(1)}$ (hence $L_1 \geq M_1$).

Proceeding in this way we obtain an increasing sequence of integers

$$(8) \quad 1, 2, \dots, n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1; n_{N_1}^{(1)}, n_{N_1+1}^{(1)}, \dots, n_{M_1}^{(1)}, \dots, n_{L_1}^{(1)}; \\ n_{N_2}^{(2)}, \dots, n_{M_2}^{(2)}, \dots, n_{L_2}^{(2)}; n_{N_3}^{(3)}, \dots, \dots,$$

which we denote newly by $\{m_i\}$. For any integer i the following relations are fulfilled :

$$(9) \quad \sum_{j=N_i}^{\infty} |a_{m_j^{(i)}}| < M/i^2,$$

$$(10) \quad \frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + (L_1 - N_1) + \dots + (L_{i-1} - N_{i-1}) + (N - N_i + 1)}{n_N^{(i)}} > 1 - 2\varepsilon_i$$

for all $N > M_i$.

For any integer k , if $m_k > n_{N_i}^{(i)}$, we see by (9) that

$$\sum_{j=k}^{\infty} |a_{m_j}| \leq \frac{M}{i^2} + \frac{M}{(i+1)^2} + \dots \leq \frac{M}{i-1};$$

hence we have

$$(11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{m_j}| < \infty.$$

On the other hand, for any k , if $n_{M_i}^{(i)} \leq m_k \leq n_{L_i}^{(i)}$, then by (10) we have

$$k/m_k > 1 - 2\varepsilon_i;$$

and if $n_{N_i}^{(i)} \leq m_k \leq n_{M_i}^{(i)}$, then putting $m_k = n_K^{(i)}$ we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{k}{m_k} = \frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + (L_1 - N_1) + \dots + (L_{i-1} - N_{i-1}) + (K - N_i - 1)}{n_K^{(i)}} \\ \geq \frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + (L_1 - N_1) + \dots + (L_{i-1} - N_{i-1}) + (H - L_{i-1} + 1)}{n_{H+1}^{(i-1)}}$$

where H is the integer such that $n_H^{(i-1)} \leq n_K^{(i)} < n_{H+1}^{(i-1)}$.

By (10) the last-hand side of (12) is

$$= \frac{(n_{N_1}^{(1)} - 1) + \dots + (L_{i-2} - N_{i-2}) + (H + 1 - N_{i-1})}{n_{H+1}^{(i-1)}} > 1 - 2\varepsilon_{i-1} - \frac{1}{n_{H+1}^{(i-1)}},$$

from which we see immediately that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k/m_k = 1.$$

From (11) and (13) the theorem is proved.

COROLLARY 1. *If the series $\sum \rho_n \cos (nx + \alpha_n)$ ($\rho_n \geq 0$) converges absolutely at two points x_0, x_1 and if $x_0 - x_1$ is incommensurable with π , then the series $\sum \rho_n$ is asymptotically absolutely convergent.*

From the assumption and Salem's theorem [2] we have

$$\sum \rho_n |\sin n(x_0 - x_1)| < \infty;$$

and by Theorem 2 we get the required.

COROLLARY 2. *If the series $\sum a_n \cos nx$ or $\sum a_n \sin nx$ converges absolutely at a point incommensurable with π , and if $a_n = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we have $(|a_1| + |a_2| + \cdots + |a_n|)/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

PROOF. By theorem 2, there is a sequence $\{m_k\}$ such that $\sum |a_{m_k}| < \infty$, and $m_k/k \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\{n_k\}$ be its complementary sequence, then clearly $k/n_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N |a_k| &= \frac{1}{N} \left\{ \sum_{m_k \leq N} |a_{m_k}| + \sum_{n_k \leq N} |a_{n_k}| \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} O(1) + \frac{1}{N} O(1) \{\text{number of } n_k \text{ not greater than } N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_j \leq N < n_{j+1}$, then the last hand side is $\leq O(1/N) + O(1)j/n_j = o(1)$.

COROLLARY 3. *If the series $\sum a_n \cos nx$ or $\sum a_n \sin nx$ is a Fourier series of a function of bounded variation, and if its derived series converges absolutely at a point incommensurable with π , then the function is continuous everywhere.*

PROOF. From the assumption, we have $a_n = O(1/n)$ or $na = O(1)$. Hence consider the derived series $\sum na_n \sin nx$ or $\sum na_n \cos nx$ and apply Corollary 2. We have $(|a_1| + 2|a_2| + \cdots + n|a_n|)/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and Wiener's theorem ([3], p. 221) yields the conclusion.

COROLLARY 4. *If $\frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho_n \cos (nx + \alpha_n)$ is a Fourier series of a function of bounded variation, and if its derived series converges absolutely at two points x_0 and x_1 where $x_0 - x_1$ is incommensurable with π , then the function is continuous everywhere.*

PROOF. An easy combination of the corollaries 1 and 3.

REMARKS (i). In Theorem 2, $\sin nx$ or $\cos nx$ may be replaced by any function $f(nx)$, where $f(x) \neq 0$ is of period π and integrable in the Riemann sense. In fact, the set $(x; |f(x)| > \delta)$ being Jordan measurable for any $\delta > 0$, the sequence $\{n_i\}$ of n 's for which $|f(nx_0)| > \delta$, has the property: i/n_i tends to the measure of the set as $i \rightarrow \infty$, in virtue of the uniform distribution of $\{nx_0\}$. And clearly the Jordan measure of the sets $(x; |f(x)| > \delta)$ tends to π as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence the same argument as Theorem 2 leads us to the conclusion.

Again, to assert the above remark, it is enough to suppose that there exists a sequence $\{\delta_i\}$ such that $\delta_i \downarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and the sets $(x; |f(x)| > \delta_i)$ are Jordan measurable. For an example we shall construct a function with this property but not integrable in the Riemann sense. Let E_1 be a non dense perfect set of Jordan measure $\frac{1}{3}$ in $(0, 1)$; and in each of the contiguous intervals of E_1 we construct a non-dense perfect set of relative Jordan measure $\frac{1}{3^2} / (1 - \frac{1}{3})$, let the sum of them be E_2 ; and consider

the contiguous intervals of E_2 , and so on; we get the sequence of Jordan measurable set E_1, E_2, \dots , of measure $\frac{1}{3}, \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2, \dots$ respectively. Let $f(x) = 1/j$ if $x \in E_j$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) and $f(x) = 0$ elsewhere. Then $f(x)$ is not Riemann integrable, for the set $E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots$ is everywhere dense in $(0, 1)$ and of Lebesgue measure $\frac{1}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 + \dots = \frac{1}{2} < 1$; and for every $j > 0$ the set $E_j = \{x; |f(x)| < 1/j\}$ is Jordan measurable.

(ii) By the above remark and Theorem 1 we get easily a theorem of Szász ([1] Theorem 6):

If $f(x) \not\equiv 0$ is a Riemann integrable function of period 1, if one of the conditions of Theorem 1 holds, and if $\sum |a_n f(nx)| < \infty$ for some irrational x , then $\sum |a_n| < \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. O. SZÁSZ, On the absolute convergence of trigonometric series, Ann. Math., 47(1946) pp. 213-220.
2. R. SALEM, The absolute convergence of trigonometrical series, Duke Math. Journ., 8 (1941) pp. 317-334.
3. A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometrical series, Warszawa, 1935.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY