

## A NOTE ON THE CONTINUITY OF AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS OF GROUPS

KAZUYUKI SAITÔ<sup>\*)</sup>

(Received October 30, 1975)

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with identity operator  $1$  acting on a *not necessarily separable* Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and  $M$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by  $A$ . Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$  be a representation of  $G$  into the group  $\text{Aut}^*(A)$  of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $A$ . Suppose that for each  $g \in G$ ,  $\alpha_g$  is extendable on  $M$  as a  $*$ -automorphism  $\beta_g$  of  $M$  (it is easy to check that  $g \rightarrow \beta_g$  is also a representation of  $G$  into  $\text{Aut}^*(M)$ ) and suppose that the mapping  $g \rightarrow (\alpha_g(a)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $G$  for each  $a \in A$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then it is natural to ask whether the mapping  $g \rightarrow (\beta_g(b)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $G$  for each  $b \in M$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$  or not. For the physical background of this problem, see [3] and [5].

In this paper, we will introduce two assumptions on the action of  $G$  ( $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$  below) and then under the condition that  $G$  is topologized by a complete metric, will give the affirmative answer to the above problem. Next we will apply this to a result for non separable case which plays a rôle in the theorem concerning the existence of invariant traces [8].

Our first assumption is

( $\alpha$ ). For each  $g \in G$ , there exists a unitary operator  $u_g$  on such that  $\alpha_g = \text{Ad } u_g | A$  (where  $(\text{Ad } u_g | A)(x) = u_g x u_g^*$  for all  $x \in A$ ).

We do not assume that the map  $G \ni g \rightarrow u_g$  is either a representation or continuous, however we suppose

( $\beta$ ). There is a sequence  $\{a(n)\}$  of weakly continuous mapping of  $G$  into  $A$  such that  $u_g \in \{a(n)(g), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ " (the double commutant of  $\{a(n)(g), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  [4]) for each  $g \in G$ .

The key point of the proof is, roughly speaking, how to reduce the problem to the case that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is separable. To do this, the Pedersen's theorem ([9]) concerning "monotone closures in operator algebras" plays an important rôle. In the case that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is separable and the topology of  $G$  is given by a complete metric, R. R. Kallman ([6]) solved the problem in the affirmative.

---

<sup>\*)</sup> The author is partially supported by the Sakkokai Foundation.

Under a certain joint continuity on the map  $G \times A \ni (g, a) \rightarrow \alpha_g(a) \in A$ , J. F. Aarnes ([1]) gave the affirmative answer to the question.

**2. Proofs of Theorem.** First of all, we shall show the following generalization of the Kallman's result.

**PROPOSITION.** *Keeping the notations as above, instead of the postulates  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$ , we suppose that  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. If  $G$  is topologized by a complete metric, then  $g \rightarrow (\beta_g(x)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $G$  for each  $x \in M$ ,  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ .*

**PROOF.** We have only to show that for any sequence  $\{g_m\}$  in  $G$  which converges to  $g_0$  in  $G$  and any self-adjoint element  $z$  ( $\|z\| \leq 1$ ) in  $M$ ,  $\beta_{g_m}(z) \rightarrow \beta_{g_0}(z)$  weakly in  $M$ . By the Kaplansky's density theorem ([4]), we can choose a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in the self-adjoint part of  $A$  ( $\|z_n\| \leq 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) strongly. Let  $G_\infty$  be the group generated algebraically by  $\{g_m; m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Let  $H$  be the closure of  $G_\infty$  in  $G$ . Then  $H$  is a complete separable metric group with the countable dense subgroup  $G_\infty$ . Let  $B$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $\{\alpha_h(z_n); h \in H, n = 1, 2, \dots; 1$  (the identity operator on  $\mathfrak{F})\}$ . Then one can easily check that  $\alpha_h(B) = B$  for each  $h \in H$  and  $h \rightarrow (\alpha_h(a)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $H$  for all  $a \in B$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, the weak closure  $N$  of  $B$  is also  $\sigma$ -finite and there exists a faithful normal state  $\phi$  on  $N$ . Let  $(\pi_\phi, \mathfrak{F}_\phi, \xi_\phi)$  be the cyclic representation of  $N$  induced by  $\phi$ . Then  $\pi_\phi$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $N$  onto the von Neumann algebra  $\pi_\phi(N)$  on  $\mathfrak{F}_\phi$ . Noting that  $\mathfrak{N} \equiv \{p(\alpha_{h_1}(z_{n_1}), \dots, \alpha_{h_k}(z_{n_k})); h_i \in G_\infty, i = 1, 2, \dots, k; z_{n_1}, \dots, z_{n_k} \in \{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty; p(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  a polynomial with rational coefficients in  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  is countable and  $\{\pi_\phi(a)\xi_\phi, a \in \mathfrak{N}\}$  is uniformly dense in  $\mathfrak{F}_\phi$ , by the normality of  $\pi_\phi$  and the continuity of the action  $\alpha_g$  on  $A$  of  $H$ , we can easily show that  $\mathfrak{F}_\phi$  is separable. Let  $\gamma_g = \pi_\phi \circ \beta_g \circ \pi_\phi^{-1}$  on  $\pi_\phi(N)$  for each  $g \in H$ . Then  $g \rightarrow \gamma_g$  is a representation of  $H$  into  $\text{Aut}^*(\pi_\phi(N))$  such that  $\gamma_g(\pi_\phi(a)) = \pi_\phi(\alpha_g(a))$  for each  $g \in H$  and  $a \in B$ . Thus  $g \rightarrow \gamma_g|_{\pi_\phi(B)}$  is weakly continuous on  $H$  and hence  $\{\pi_\phi(B), \widehat{\pi_\phi(B)} (= \pi_\phi(N)), \mathfrak{F}_\phi, \gamma, H\}$  satisfies the assumption of the Kallman's Theorem ([6]). Hence by [6], for each  $a \in \pi_\phi(N)$ ,  $g \rightarrow \gamma_g(a)$  is weakly continuous on  $H$ . This implies by the normality of  $\pi_\phi$ ,  $g \rightarrow (\beta_g(b)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $H$  for each  $b \in N$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $\{g_m, g_0\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset H$  and  $z \in N$ , we can show the desired statement cited in the first paragraph of the proof. The Proposition follows.

**THEOREM.** *Keeping the notations in Proposition, we do not assume that  $M$  is  $\sigma$ -finite but suppose the postulates  $(\alpha)$  and  $(\beta)$ . Then, under*

the condition that the topology of  $G$  is given by a complete metric,  $g \rightarrow (\beta_g(a)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous for any  $a \in M$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

PROOF. First of all, we shall show that for any non-negative element  $z$  in  $M$  such that there is a sequence  $\{z_n\} (\|z_n\| \leq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots)$  in the non-negative part of  $A$  with  $z_n \rightarrow z$  strongly, and for any normal state  $\phi$  of  $M$ ,  $g \rightarrow \phi(\beta_g(z))$  is continuous on  $G$ . To do this, it is sufficient to show that  $\phi(\beta_{g_m}(z)) \rightarrow \phi(\beta_{g_0}(z))$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any sequence  $\{g_n\}$  in  $G$  with  $g_n \rightarrow g_0$  in  $G$ . By the same way as that in Proposition, let  $G_\infty$  be the countable subgroup of  $G$  generated algebraically by  $\{g_m, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $H$  be the closure of  $G_\infty$  in  $G$ . Let  $C$  be the  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  generated by  $\{\beta_h(a(n)(g)), h \in H; n = 1, 2, \dots; g \in G_\infty\}$ ,  $\{\beta_h(z_n), n = 1, 2, \dots; h \in H\}$  and  $1_\mathfrak{A}$ . Then we can easily check that  $\beta_g(C) = C$  for all  $g \in H$ . Since  $C \subset A$ ,  $g \rightarrow (\beta_g(a)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $H$  for any  $a \in C$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Let  $\tilde{C}$  be the weak closure of  $C$  and let  $\psi = \phi|_{\tilde{C}}$  (where  $\phi$  is an arbitrarily given normal state of  $M$ ) and  $e_\psi$  be the support of  $\psi$  in  $\tilde{C}$ . Then  $e_\psi$  is  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$ . Next we shall show that the central support (in  $\tilde{C}$ )  $z_\psi$  of  $e_\psi$  is also  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$ . At first, we claim that  $\tilde{C}$  is countably generated. In fact, since  $\mathfrak{A} = \{\beta_h(a(n)(g)); 1_\mathfrak{A}; h \in G_\infty; n = 1, 2, \dots; g \in G_\infty\}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \{\beta_n(z_n), n = 1, 2, 3, \dots; h \in G_\infty\}$  are countable sets, the set  $\mathfrak{X} = \{a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_k b_k, a_i \in \mathfrak{A}, b_i \in \mathfrak{B}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k \in N\}$  (where  $N$  is the set of natural numbers) is countable and by the continuity of the action  $\alpha_g$  of  $G$  in  $\text{Aut}^*(B)$ , the countable set of rational linear combinations of elements in  $\mathfrak{X}$  is strongly dense in  $\tilde{C}$ . The statement follows. Hence there exists a strongly dense countable subset  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\tilde{C}$ . Let  $f_n \in \tilde{C}$  be the range projection of  $a_n e_\psi$ . Then  $f_n < e_\psi$  for each  $n$  and thus,  $f_n$  is  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$  for each  $n$ . Let  $f = \bigvee_{n=1}^\infty f_n$  in  $\tilde{C}$ . Then one can easily check that  $f$  is also  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$ . We claim that  $f = z_\psi$ . Since  $z_\psi \mathfrak{H} = [\tilde{C} e_\psi \mathfrak{H}]$  ([4]) (where  $[\mathfrak{R}]$  is the closed linear span of  $\mathfrak{R}$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ),  $z_\psi \geq f$  follows. Conversely, each  $a e_\psi \eta$  ( $a \in \tilde{C} \cap \mathfrak{H}$ ) can be approximated in the norm by an element of the form  $a_{n_i} e_\psi \eta(a_{n_i} \in \{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty)$ ,  $z_\psi \leq f$  follows immediately and  $z_\psi = f$  is  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$ . Since for each  $g \in G_\infty$ ,  $u_g \in \{a(n)(g); n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $u_g \in \tilde{C}$  for all  $g \in G_\infty$ . For any  $g \in H$ , there is a sequence  $\{g_m\}$  in  $G_\infty$  such that  $d(g_m, g) \rightarrow 0$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ). By Postulate ( $\beta$ ),  $a(n)(g_m) \rightarrow a(n)(g)$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) weakly in  $\tilde{C}$  for each  $n$ . Thus  $\{a(n)(g), n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \{a(n)(g_m); n = 1, 2, \dots; m = 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \tilde{C}$  and  $u_g \in \tilde{C}$  for any  $g \in H$  by Postulate ( $\beta$ ). This implies by Postulate ( $\alpha$ ),  $\beta_g(z_\psi) = z_\psi$  for all  $g \in H$ .

For any  $a \in \tilde{C} z_\psi$  ([4]), let  $\gamma_g(a z_\psi) = \beta_g(a) z_\psi$ , then noting that  $\beta_g(a z_\psi) = \beta_g(a) z_\psi$ , it is well-defined and  $g \rightarrow \gamma_g$  is a representation of  $H$  into  $\text{Aut}^*(\tilde{C} z_\psi)$ . Moreover,  $\gamma_g(C z_\psi) = C z_\psi$  for each  $g \in H$  and  $g \rightarrow \gamma_g|_{C z_\psi}$

is strongly continuous. Thus the system  $\{Cz_\psi, \tilde{C}z_\psi (= \widetilde{Cz_\psi}), \gamma, H\}$  satisfies the condition of Proposition, because  $z_\psi$  is  $\sigma$ -finite in  $\tilde{C}$ . Hence, noting that  $z \in \tilde{C}$  and  $\{g_m, g_0\} \subset H$ ,  $\gamma_{g_m}(zz_\psi) \rightarrow \gamma_{g_0}(zz_\psi)$  (strongly) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $\beta_{g_m}(z)z_\psi \rightarrow \beta_{g_0}(z)z_\psi$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) strongly. Since  $z_\psi \geq e_\psi$  and  $\psi = \psi(z_\psi \cdot)$ ,  $\varphi(\beta_{g_m}(z)) = \psi(\beta_{g_m}(z)) = \psi(\beta_{g_m}(z)z_\psi) \rightarrow \psi(\beta_{g_0}(z)z_\psi) = \psi(\beta_{g_0}(z)) = \varphi(\beta_{g_0}(z))$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ). Denote the predual action of  $G$  by  $g \rightarrow T_g$  ( $g \in G$ ) (that is,  $(T_g\psi)(x) = \psi(\alpha_g(x))$  for all  $x \in M$ ,  $g \in G$ ), this implies  $T_{g_m}\phi \rightarrow T_{g_0}\phi$  in  $A_\sigma$  (where  $A_\sigma$  is the set of operators in  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$  which can be strongly approximated by a monotone increasing sequence from  $A$  [9]) ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ). Now, the argument of Akemann, Dodds and Gamlen ([2] Theorem 3.2. See also [9].) tells us that  $T_{g_m}\phi \rightarrow T_{g_0}\phi$  ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) weakly in  $M_*$  (the predual of  $M$ ) for each  $\phi \in M_*$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{g_m}(a) \rightarrow \alpha_{g_0}(a)$  weakly ( $m \rightarrow \infty$ ) for any  $a \in M$ . This completes the proof of Theorem.

**3. Applications.** This section concerns with an application of the above discussions to a result which plays a rôle in a theorem concerning the existence of invariant traces in semi-finite von Neumann algebras [8]. Before going into discussions, we prepare the following lemma which is a modification of [8, Lemma 2].

**LEMMA.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra acting on a not necessarily separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $N$  be a von Neumann subalgebra such that there is a normal projection of norm one  $\Phi$  from  $M$  onto  $N$ . Let  $u$  be a unitary operator in  $M$  such that  $u^{-1/n}\Phi(u^{1/n}) \in Z$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) (where  $Z$  is the center of  $M$ ). Then there exists a unitary operator  $\nu$  in  $\{\Phi(u^m), m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}'$  ( $\equiv \mathfrak{N} \subset N$ ) satisfying that  $\text{Ad } u = \text{Ad } \nu$  on  $M$  (that is,  $u$  and  $\nu$  implement the same  $*$ -automorphism of  $M$ ).*

**PROOF.** Let  $b_n = \Phi(u^{1/n})$  and  $c_n = u^{-1/n}b_n$  for each natural number  $n$ . Then  $b_n \in \mathfrak{N}$ ,  $c_n \in Z$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $b_n = \nu_n |b_n|$  (resp.  $c_n = u_n |c_n|$ ) be the polar decomposition of  $b_n$  (resp.  $c_n$ ). The unicity of the polar decomposition implies that  $|b_n| = |c_n| \in Z \cap \mathfrak{N}$  and  $u^{1/n}u_n = \nu_n \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Let  $q_n$  be the range projection of  $|c_n|$  (and thus, of  $|b_n|$ ). Then  $q_n \in \mathfrak{N} \cap Z$ . Note that  $u_n \in Z$ , we have  $\text{Ad}(u^{1/n}) = \text{Ad}(\nu_n)$  on  $Mq_n$ . Since the normality of  $\Phi$  tells us that  $c_n \rightarrow 1$  strongly ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that  $c_{n_0} \neq 0$  and  $q_{n_0} \neq 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Ad}(uq_{n_0}) = \text{Ad}(\nu_{n_0}^{n_0})$  on  $Mq_{n_0}$ . Let  $\{\nu_\alpha, q_\alpha\}$  be a maximal family such that  $\nu_\alpha$  is a unitary element in  $\mathfrak{N} \cap Mq_\alpha$ ,  $q_\alpha$  is a projection in  $Z \cap \mathfrak{N}$  for each  $\alpha$ ,  $q_\alpha q_{\alpha'} = 0$  ( $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ ) and  $\text{Ad}(\nu_\alpha) = \text{Ad}(uq_\alpha)$  on  $Mq_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ . Then, since  $\Phi(axb) = a\Phi(x)b$  for any  $x \in M$ ,  $a, b \in N$ , the above arguments implies that  $\sum_\alpha q_\alpha = 1$ . Let  $\nu = \sum_\alpha \nu_\alpha q_\alpha$  ( $\in \mathfrak{N}$ ). Then  $\text{Ad}(\nu) = \text{Ad}(u)$  on  $M$ . The lemma follows.

Keep the notations as above, let  $G$  be a topological group whose

topology is given by a complete metric, and let  $g \rightarrow u_g$  be a  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous unitary representation of  $G$  into  $M$  such that  $u_g^{-1/n} \Phi(u_g^{1/n}) \in Z$  for each  $g \in G$  and positive integer  $n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M} = (M, N)''$ . Then by the above lemma, for each  $g \in G$ , there is a unitary element  $\nu_g$  in  $\{\Phi(u_g^m); m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}'' (\subset N)$  such that  $\text{Ad } \nu_g = \text{Ad } u_g$  on  $M$ . Let  $\sigma_g = \text{Ad } \nu_g | \mathfrak{M}$ , and let  $A$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $M$  and  $N' (\tilde{A} = \mathfrak{M})$ . Then by the arguments of [8],  $g \rightarrow \sigma_g$  ( $g \in G$ ) is a representation of  $G$  into  $\text{Aut}^*(\mathfrak{M})$  such that  $\sigma_g(A) = A$  for each  $g$  and  $g \rightarrow \sigma_g(a)$  is weakly continuous on  $G$  for each  $a \in A$ .

In fact, let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in M$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in N'$ . Then, noting that  $\nu_g \in N$  for each  $g$ , we get that  $\sigma_g \sigma_h (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n) = \nu_g \nu_h (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n) (\nu_g \nu_h)^* = u_g u_h a_1 (u_g u_h)^* b_1 \dots (u_g u_h) a_n (u_g u_h)^* b_n = u_{gh} a_1 u_{gh}^* b_1 \dots u_{gh} a_n u_{gh}^* b_n = \nu_{gh} a_1 \nu_{gh}^* b_1 \dots \nu_{gh} a_n \nu_{gh}^* b_n = \sigma_{gh} (a_1 b_1 \dots a_n b_n)$ . Thus  $g \rightarrow \sigma_g$  is an automorphic representation of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that  $\sigma_g(A) = A$  for each  $g$ . Since  $g \rightarrow u_g$  is a strongly continuous unitary representation of  $G$  into  $M$ ,  $\sigma_g (a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \dots a_n b_n) = u_g a_1 u_g^* b_1 \dots u_g a_n u_g^* b_n$  implies that  $g \rightarrow \sigma_g(a)$  is strongly continuous on  $G$  for each  $a \in A$ . Note that  $\nu_g \in \{\Phi(u_g^m); m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}''$  and  $g \rightarrow \Phi(u_g^m)$  is  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous on  $G$  for each  $m$ , by Theorem, we get that  $g \rightarrow (\sigma_g(b)\xi, \eta)$  is continuous on  $G$  for each  $b \in \mathfrak{M}$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{S}$ . Thus we have:

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra with center  $Z$  acting on a not necessarily separable Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{S}$  and let  $G$  be a group of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $M$  with the action  $g \rightarrow \alpha_g \in \text{Aut}^*(M)$  for each  $g \in G$ .*

*Suppose that there is a faithful normal  $G$ -invariant state  $\varphi$  (thus, by a Theorem of Kovacs-Szücs [7], there exists a faithful normal  $G$ -invariant projection  $\Phi$  of norm one from  $M$  onto the fixed subalgebra  $M^G$  of  $M$  under  $G$ ) and  $M$  is semi-finite. Let  $\tau$  be a normal faithful semi-finite trace of  $M$ . Then by Radon-Nikodym theorem (see [4]), there is a non-negative self-adjoint densely defined operator  $h$  affiliated with  $M$  such that  $\varphi(a) = \tau(ha)$  for each  $a \in M$ . Since  $h^{it}$  and  $\alpha_g(h^{it})$  implement the same automorphism of  $M$  for each real number  $t$ ,  $h^{-it} \Phi(h^{it}) \in Z$  for each  $t$ . Let  $v_t$  be the unitary operator in  $\{\Phi(\{h^{it}\})''\}''$  given in Lemma and let  $\mathfrak{M} = (M, (M^G)')''$ . Then  $t \rightarrow \text{Ad } v_t | \mathfrak{M}$  is a strongly continuous one-parameter automorphism group of  $\mathfrak{M}$  (In [8], Nest assumes that  $\mathfrak{S}$  is separable).*

REFERENCES

[1] J. F. AARNES, On the continuity of automorphic representations of groups, Commun. Math. Phys. 7 (1968), 332-336.  
 [2] C. A. AKEMANN, P. G. DODDS AND J. L. B. GAMLEN, Weak compactness in the dual space

- of a  $C^*$ -algebra, *J. Functional Anal.*, 10 (1972), 446-450.
- [3] G. E. DELL'ANTONIO, On some groups of automorphisms of physical observables, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2 (1966), 384-397.
  - [4] J. DIXMIER, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, 2<sup>e</sup> édition, Paris, Gauthier-villars 1969.
  - [5] R. V. KADISON, Transformations of states in operator theory and dynamics, *Topology* 3 (1965), 177-198.
  - [6] R. R. KALLMAN, A remark on a paper of J. F. Aarnes, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 14 (1969), 13-14.
  - [7] I. KOVACS AND J. SZÜCS, Ergodic type theorems in von Neumann algebras, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 27 (1966), 233-246.
  - [8] R. NEST, Invariant weights of operator algebras satisfying the *KMS* condition, Preprint series 1973 No. 10 (University of Copenhagen).
  - [9] G. K. PEDERSEN, Monotone closures in operator algebras, *Amer. J. Math.*, 94 (1972), 955-962.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE  
TOHOKU UNIVERSITY  
SENDAI, JAPAN