THE NUMBER THEORETIC FUNCTIONS

SHÔICHI TAKAHASHI

(Received November 20, 1972; Revised January 6, 1973)

k, A finite algebraic number field.

 A_k , The set of all integral ideals of k.

 o_k , The unit ideal of A_k .

 R_k , The set of all complex-valued functions on A_k .

We shall define a summation and a product in R_k as the following. For any pair $f, g \in R_k$ and $a \in A_k$ we set

$$(f+g)(\mathfrak{a}) = f(\mathfrak{a}) + g(\mathfrak{a})$$

 $(f \circ q)(\mathfrak{a}) = \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{a}} f(\mathfrak{b})g(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b})$
 $= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}} f(\mathfrak{a}_1)g(\mathfrak{a}_2)$.

THEOREM 1. R_k is a commutative ring with respect to the summation and product mentioned above.

PROOF. This is well known for the case of rational ground field. And for the case of k, the same method holds.

Now, we set the function $e_k \in R_k$ as the following.

$$e_k(\mathfrak{a}) = egin{cases} 1, \, \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{o}_k \ 0, \, \mathfrak{a}
eq \mathfrak{o}_k \end{cases}$$

Then, for $f \in R_k$, $a \in A_k$, we get

$$egin{align} (e_k \circ f)(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2 = \mathfrak{a}} e_k(\mathfrak{a}_1) f(\mathfrak{a}_2) \ &= e_k(\mathfrak{o}_k) f(\mathfrak{a}) \ &= f(\mathfrak{a}) \; . \end{split}$$

Therefore, the function e_k is the unit element in R_k . Now, the prime ideals of A_k are countable. Therefore we can take some numbering.

$$\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_n, \cdots$$

We take the dictionary order in A_k as the following. For

$$\mathfrak{a}=\prod_{i=1}^n\mathfrak{p}_i^{e(\mathfrak{a},i)}$$
 , $\mathfrak{b}=\prod_{i=1}^n\mathfrak{p}_i^{e(\mathfrak{b},i)}$

we set a < b when the following holds.

$$e(a, n) = e(b, n)$$

 $e(a, n - 1) = e(b, n - 1)$
...
 $e(a, k + 1) = e(b, k + 1)$
 $e(a, k) < e(b, k)$.

The order in A_k is totally order. When

$$lpha=\mathfrak{p}_1^{a_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_n^{a_n}\cdots$$
 $a_n
eq 0,\,a_{n+1}=a_{n+2}=\cdots=0$,

we call n the length of a and write l(a).

LEMMA 1. Any sub-set S of A_k has the minimum element in the sense of the above dictionary order.

PROOF. We set

$$egin{aligned} n &= & \min \; \{l(\mathfrak{b}) \, | \, \mathfrak{b} \in S \} \ b_n^{\mathfrak{d}} &= & \min \; \{b_n \, | \, \mathfrak{b} \in S, \; l(\mathfrak{b}) = n, \; \mathfrak{b} = \; \mathfrak{p}_1^{b_1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{b_2} \cdots \; \mathfrak{p}_n^{b_n} \} \ b_{n-1}^{\mathfrak{d}} &= & \min \; \{b_{n-1} \, | \, \mathfrak{b} \in S, \; l(\mathfrak{b}) = n, \; \mathfrak{b} = \; \mathfrak{p}_1^{b_1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{b_2} \cdots \; \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}^{b_{n-1}} \mathfrak{p}_n^{b_n^0} \} \ \cdots \ b_1^{\mathfrak{d}} &= & \min \; \{b_1 \, | \, \mathfrak{b} \in S, \; l(\mathfrak{b}) = n, \; \mathfrak{b} = \; \mathfrak{p}_1^{b_1} \mathfrak{p}_2^{b_2} \cdots \; \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}^{b_{n-1}} \mathfrak{p}_n^{b_n^0} \} \; . \end{aligned}$$

then the element

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}=\mathfrak{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{{\scriptscriptstyle b}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\mathfrak{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{{\scriptscriptstyle b}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{{\scriptscriptstyle b}_{\scriptscriptstyle n}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}}$$

is the minimum element of S.

Lemma 2. $\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} < \alpha,\, b_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \leqq b \Longrightarrow \alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} b_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} < \alpha b$.

THEOREM 2. The ring R_k is an integral domain.

PROOF. We take $f, g \in R_k$, $f \neq O_k$, $g \neq O_k$. Then, let a_0 be the minimum element of a such that $f(a) \neq 0$ holds. And let b_0 be the minimum element of b such that $f(b) \neq 0$ holds. Then

$$\begin{split} (f \circ g)(\mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}) &= \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}}} f(\mathfrak{a}) g(\mathfrak{b}) \\ &= \sum_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \leq \mathfrak{a} \atop \scriptscriptstyle{\mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \leq \mathfrak{b} \atop \scriptscriptstyle{\mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}} \mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}}}} f(\mathfrak{a}) g(\mathfrak{b}) \\ &= f(\mathfrak{a}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}) g(\mathfrak{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle{0}}) \\ &\neq 0 \end{split}$$

holds. Therefore

$$f \circ g \neq O_k$$
.

We call $f \in R_k$ "multiplicative" when the following equality holds. For $a, b \in A_k$, $(a, b) = o_k$

$$f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$$
.

Moreover, when

$$f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$$
, for any $a, b \in A_k$

we call f "completely multiplicative". Next, when the both $f, g \in R_k$ are multiplicative, for $a, b \in A_k$, $(a, b) = o_k$

$$(f \circ g)(\alpha b) = \sum_{\substack{c \mid ab \\ b' \mid b}} f(c)/g(\alpha b/c)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \mid a \\ b'' \mid b}} f(\alpha'b')g(\alpha b/\alpha'b')$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha' \mid a \\ b'' \mid b}} f(\alpha')f(b')g(\alpha/\alpha')g(b/b')$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\alpha' \mid a} f(\alpha')g(\alpha/\alpha')\right) \left(\sum_{b' \mid b} f(b')g(b/b')\right)$$

$$= (f \circ g)(\alpha) \cdot (f \circ g)(b)$$

holds. Therefore, the function $f \circ g$ is also multiplicative. Now, we set the function $l_k \in R_k$ as the following

$$l_{\scriptscriptstyle k}({\mathfrak a}) \, = \, {\mathfrak 1}$$
 , $\qquad orall {\mathfrak a} \, \in \, {A}_{\scriptscriptstyle k}$.

Obviously the function l_k is completely mutiplicative. For a non-negative rational integer e, let $_xH_e$ be a polynomial of one variable x with e-degree as the following

$$_{x}H_{e}=egin{cases} 1,\ e=0\ rac{1}{e!}(x+e-1)(x+e-2)\cdots(x+1)x,\, e\geqq 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

Then, for any complex number α we define the multiplicative function $l_k^{(\alpha)}$ as the following:

$$l_k^{\scriptscriptstyle(lpha)}(\mathfrak{p}^e)={}_lpha H_e$$
 .

THEOREM 3. (i) If we restrict α to the rational integer, $l_k^{(\alpha)}$ has the same mean as the grouptheoretical power in R_k .

(ii) For any complex number α , β

$$l_k^{(\alpha)} \circ l_k^{(\beta)} = l_k^{(\alpha+\beta)}$$

holds.

PROOF. (i) Let f be a rational integer, (a) f = 0

$$egin{align} l_k^0(\mathfrak{p}^e) &= egin{cases} 1, \ e &= 0 \ 0, \ e &\geq 1 \ &= {}_0H_e \ &= l_k^{(0)}(\mathfrak{p}^e) \ . \end{cases}$$

(b) f > 0

$$egin{aligned} l_k^f(\mathfrak{p}^e) &= egin{cases} 1, e = 0 \ \sum_{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_f = e} l_k(\mathfrak{p}^{a_1}) l_k(\mathfrak{p}^{a_2}) & \cdots & l_k(\mathfrak{p}^{a_f}) \ &= \sum_{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_f = e} 1 \ &= {}_f H_e \ &= l_k^{(f)}(\mathfrak{p}^e) \; . \end{aligned}$$

(c) f < 0

We take the function $\mu_k \in R_k$ as the following

$$\mu_k(\mathfrak{a}) = egin{cases} 0, \ \mathfrak{p}^2 \,|\, \mathfrak{a} \ (-1)^k, \ \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \mathfrak{p}_{i_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i_k} \ . \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\mu_k \circ l_k = e_k$$

holds. For $\mu_k \circ l_k(\mathfrak{o}_k) = \mu_k(\mathfrak{o}_k) l_k(\mathfrak{o}_k) = 1 = e_k(\mathfrak{o}_k)$. When $\mathfrak{a} > \mathfrak{o}_k$, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{i_k}^{a_k}$, and $a_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$,

$$egin{aligned} \mu_k \circ l_k(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{b} \mid \mathfrak{a}} \mu_k(\mathfrak{b}) \ &= 1 + \sum_j \mu_k(\mathfrak{p}_{i_j}) + \sum_{j,j'} \mu(\mathfrak{p}_{i_j} \, \mathfrak{p}_{i_{j'}}) + \cdots \ &= 1 - k + \left(rac{k}{2}
ight) - \cdots \ &= (1 - 1)^k \ &= 0 \ &= e_k(\mathfrak{a}) \end{aligned}$$

holds. On the other hand,

$$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle k}^{\scriptscriptstyle -f}(\mathfrak{p}^{\scriptscriptstyle e}) = egin{cases} 0,\; e>-f\ (-1)_{\scriptscriptstyle -f}^{\scriptscriptstyle e}C_{\scriptscriptstyle e},\; e\leqq -f \ . \end{cases}$$

Therefore we get

$$l_k^{\scriptscriptstyle (f)}(\mathfrak{p}^{\scriptscriptstyle e})=\mu_k^{\scriptscriptstyle -f}(\mathfrak{p}^{\scriptscriptstyle e})={}_fH_e$$
 .

(ii) We get

$$(l_k^{(lpha)} \circ l_k^{(eta)})(\mathfrak{p}^e) = l_k^{(lpha)}(\mathfrak{o}_k) l_k^{(eta)}(\mathfrak{p}^e) + l_k^{(lpha)}(\mathfrak{p}) l_k^{(eta)}(\mathfrak{p}^{e-1}) + \cdots + l_k^{(lpha)}(\mathfrak{p}^e) l_k^{(eta)}(\mathfrak{o}_k) \\ = {}_{lpha} H_{\mathfrak{o}} \cdot {}_{eta} H_{\mathfrak{o}} + {}_{lpha} H_{\mathfrak{o}} \cdot {}_{eta} H_{\mathfrak{o}-1} + \cdots + {}_{lpha} H_{\mathfrak{o}} \cdot {}_{eta} H_{\mathfrak{o}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$l_k^{(\alpha+\beta)}(\mathfrak{p}^e)={}_{\alpha+\beta}H_e$$
 .

Now, it is sufficient that the following polynomial identity of two variables x, y

$$H_{x+y}H_{e} = {}_{x}H_{0} \cdot {}_{y}H_{e} + {}_{x}H_{1} \cdot {}_{y}H_{e-1} + \cdots + {}_{x}H_{e} \cdot {}_{y}H_{0}$$

holds. Also it is sufficient that for the special values

$$(x, y) = (p, q), (p, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots, e)$$

holds. This is trivial. For $f \in R_k$, we consider the following function of variable s

$$\zeta_k(s,f) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in A_k} \frac{f(\mathfrak{a})}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s}$$
.

We take $f, g \in R_k$. Then for values of s such that $\zeta_k(s, f), \zeta_k(s, g)$ together absolutely converge

$$\zeta_k(s,f)\zeta_k(s,g) = \zeta_k(s,f\circ g)$$

holds. Especially, the function

$$\zeta_k(s) = \zeta_k(s, l_k) = \sum_{\alpha \in A_k} \frac{1}{N(\alpha)^s}$$

absolutely converges for the values Re s > 1. Next, for a complex value α , we define

$$((\zeta_k)(s))^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in A_k} \frac{l_k^{(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a})}{N(\mathfrak{a})^s}$$

for the complex values s such that the right-hand side absolutely converges.

THEOREM 4. (i) If we restrict α to the rational integers, $(\zeta_k(s))^{(\alpha)}$ has the same mean as natural power $(\zeta_k(s))^{\alpha}$.

(ii) For complex number α, β

$$(\zeta_k(s))^{(\alpha)}(\zeta_k(s))^{(\beta)} = (\zeta_k(s))^{(\alpha+\beta)}$$

holds.

PROOF. See the theorem 3.

Let $k \subset K$ be a finite algebraic extention. Then, we shall define a map

$$\bar{N}_{\kappa \prime \iota} : R_{\kappa} \to R_{\iota}$$

as the following. For $F \in R_K$, $a \in A_k$, we set

provided that the right-hand side represents 0 when there is no A such that

$$\mathfrak{A} \in A_k$$
, $N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{a}$.

Now, for $F, G \in R_k$ and $a \in A_k$

$$egin{aligned} ar{N}_{K/k}(F+G)(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{a}}} (F+G)(\mathfrak{A}) \ &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{a}}} F(\mathfrak{A}) + \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{a}}} G(\mathfrak{A}) \ &= ar{N}_{K/k}F(\mathfrak{a}) + ar{N}_{K/k}G(\mathfrak{a}) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Therefore we get

$$\bar{N}_{K/k}(F+G)=\bar{N}_{K/k}F+\bar{N}_{K/k}G$$
.

Next,

$$egin{aligned} ar{N}_{K/k}(F \circ G) (\mathfrak{A}) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{a}}} (F \circ G) (\mathfrak{A}) \ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{a}}} \sum_{\mathfrak{A}_1\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{A}} F(\mathfrak{A}_1) G(\mathfrak{A}_2) \end{aligned}$$

holds. On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} ((\bar{N}_{K/k}F) \circ (\bar{N}_{K/k}G))(\mathfrak{a}) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2} (\bar{N}_{K/k}F(\mathfrak{a}_1))(\bar{N}_{K/k}G(\mathfrak{a}_2)) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2} \Bigl(\sum_{\mathfrak{A}_1 \in A_k \atop N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_1} F(\mathfrak{A}_1) \Bigr) \Bigl(\sum_{\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \atop N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2} G(\mathfrak{A}_2) \Bigr) \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{A} \in A_k \atop N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_1} \sum_{\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_1\mathfrak{A}_2} F(\mathfrak{A}_1)G(\mathfrak{A}_2) \end{split}$$

holds. Therefore we get

$$ar{N}_{K/k}(F\circ G)=(ar{N}_{K/k}F)\circ (ar{N}_{K/k}G)$$
 .

From the above the map

$$\bar{N}_{{\scriptscriptstyle{K/k}}}:R_{{\scriptscriptstyle{K}}} \to R_{{\scriptscriptstyle{k}}}$$

is a into ring homomorphism. Still more, if $F \in R_k$ is multiplicative, $\bar{N}_{K/k}F \in R_k$ is also multiplicative.

Let be $a_1, a_2 \in A_k$, $(a_1, a_2) = o_k$, then

$$egin{align*} ar{N}_{K/k}F(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2) &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_1,\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_1 \mathfrak{a}_2}} F(\mathfrak{A}) \ &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_1,\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2}} F(\mathfrak{A}_1\mathfrak{A}_2), \; (\mathfrak{A}_1,\,\mathfrak{A}_2) = \mathfrak{o}_k \ &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_1,\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_1 \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2}} F(\mathfrak{A}_1)F(\mathfrak{A}_2) \ &= \sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_1,\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2}} F(\mathfrak{A}_1)F(\mathfrak{A}_2) \ &= \left(\sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_1 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_1}} F(\mathfrak{A}_1)\right) \left(\sum\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{A}_2 \in A_k \ N_{K/k}\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{a}_2}} F(\mathfrak{A}_2)\right) \ &= (ar{N}_{K/k}F(\mathfrak{A}_1))(ar{N}_{K/k}F(\mathfrak{A}_2)) \end{split}$$

holds. Now, let K/k be a non-ramified abelian extention of degree n. We take a prime ideal $\mathfrak p$ in A_k , then

$$\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\mathfrak{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\cdots\mathfrak{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle q}, \quad \mathfrak{P}_{i}$$
: a prime ideal in $A_{\scriptscriptstyle k}$ $N_{\scriptscriptstyle K/k}\mathfrak{P}_{\scriptscriptstyle k}=\mathfrak{p}^{\scriptscriptstyle f}, \, fg=n$

holds. Next, we set the completely multiplicative functions in R_k $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1}$ as the following:

$$\chi_i(\mathfrak{p}) = \zeta_f^i, \zeta_f = e^{2\pi i/f}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$
.

Theorem 5.
$$\bar{N}_{K/k}(l_K) = \chi_0 \circ \chi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \chi_{n-1}$$
.

PROOF. The both-sides are multiplicative in R_k . Therefore it is sufficient that we show that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in A_k$ the both-sides are equal for \mathfrak{p}^a . Now,

holds. Therefore $\bar{N}_{K/k}l_K(\mathfrak{p}^a)$ is equal to the coefficient of x^a in the following formal power series

$$(1 + x^f + x^{2f} + \cdots)^g = \frac{1}{(1 - x^f)^g}$$
.

On the other hand, $\chi_0 \circ \chi_1 \circ \cdots \circ \chi_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}^a)$ is equal to the coefficient of x^a in the following formal power series.

$$(1 + \chi_{0}(\mathfrak{p})x + \chi_{0}(\mathfrak{p})^{2}x^{2} + \cdots)$$

$$\cdot (1 + \chi_{1}(\mathfrak{p})x + \chi_{1}(\mathfrak{p})^{2}x^{2} + \cdots)$$

$$\cdot (1 + \chi_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})x + x_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})^{2}x^{2} + \cdots)$$

$$=\frac{1}{1-\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(\mathfrak{p})x}\cdot\frac{1}{1-\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(\mathfrak{p})x}\cdot\cdots\cdot\frac{1}{1-\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1}(\mathfrak{p})x}$$

$$=\frac{1}{\prod\limits_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-\zeta_f^ix)}$$

Now, the equality

$$(1-x^f)^g = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1-\zeta_i^f x)$$

is trivial.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY YAMAGATA, JAPAN