

## ON POWER SERIES WITH NEGATIVE ZEROS\*

Dedicated to Professor Gen-ichirô Sunouchi on his 60th birthday

W. B. JURKAT (Syracuse) and A. PEYERIMHOFF (Ulm)

(Received Oct. 1, 1971)

It is well known [3] that the functions  $f_\kappa(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^\kappa z^n$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ) and  $g_\kappa(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-c^n)^\kappa z^n$  ( $\kappa > 0$ ,  $0 < c < 1$ ) admit (unique) analytic extensions onto  $C^* = \{z = x + iy \mid y \neq 0 \text{ if } x \geq 1\}$ . Both functions have a finite number of zeros only. Moreover, all zeros are  $\leq 0$  and simple, and their number is  $k$ , where  $k - 1 < \kappa \leq k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . In this paper we will give some general theorems on the zeros of power series, and these results contain the information on  $f_\kappa$  and  $g_\kappa$  as special cases. Further examples are mentioned in Section 4.

We remark that our functions need not be meromorphic (like  $f_\kappa$ ) or may have infinitely many zeros and poles on  $(1, \infty)$  (like  $g_\kappa$ ) so that known results on zeros of analytic functions like those in [1] cannot be applied. Theorem 1 gives an upper estimate for the number of zeros of certain power series  $\sum a_n z^n$ , and Theorem 2 gives a lower estimate. In Theorem 1 we require that certain differences of the coefficients  $a_n$  form a completely monotone sequence (we use the definitions given in [6]). In discussing special cases it will be more convenient to require that  $a_n = a(n)$ , where  $a(x)$  satisfies a linear differential equation with completely monotone right hand side (Theorems 3 and 4).

0. In what follows we will denote by  $[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{f^{(v)}}$  the divided differences of  $f$  (see [2]). If  $C$  is a simple closed curve containing  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in its interior, and if  $f$  is holomorphic inside and on  $C$ , then

$$[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{f^{(v)}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{p(z)} dz, \quad p(z) = \prod_1^n (z - x_i).$$

The differential equation

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} y(x) = \varphi(x), \quad x_i \text{ constant}, D = \frac{d}{dx}, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

where

---

\* The research of both authors was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_{+0}^1 w^x dg(w), \quad \begin{cases} g \in V[\varepsilon, 1] \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0, \\ \int_{+0}^1 w^x |dg(w)| < \infty \text{ for every } x > 0 \end{cases}$$

has the particular solution

$$(3) \quad y(x) = \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{(x-1)v}}, \quad x > 0.$$

In order to prove this we first show that (3) exists. Let  $C$  be a simple closed curve containing  $x_1, \dots, x_k$ , and let  $\log w$  be outside of  $C$  for  $0 < w \leq w_0 < 1$ . Writing  $p(z) = \prod_1^k (z - x_i)$  we have for  $0 < w \leq w_0$

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{(x-1)v}} = \frac{w^{x-1}}{p(\log w)} + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{e^{(x-1)z}}{p(z)} \frac{dz}{z - \log w} \\ = \frac{w^{x-1}}{p(\log w)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log w}\right) \quad (w \rightarrow 0) \end{cases}$$

where the  $O$ -term is uniform in  $x$  when  $x$  is restricted to a compact interval. This shows that (3) exists. It follows from

$$(5) \quad (D - \alpha) [x_1, \dots, x_n, \alpha]_{e^{xv f(v)}} = [x_1, \dots, x_n]_{e^{xv f(v)}}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} y(x) &= \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{xv e^{-v}}} \\ &= \int_{+0}^1 w [\log w]_{e^{(x-1)v}} dg(w) = \varphi(x), \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that (3) is a solution of (1).

1. Given a sequence  $\{t_n\}_0^\infty$ , let

$$\Delta(c)t_n = \begin{cases} t_n - ct_{n-1} & \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ t_0 & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\{a_n\}_0^\infty$  be a real sequence and such that for certain integers  $0 \leq k \leq p$  and constants  $c_i \in (0, 1]$*

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^k \Delta(c_i) \right\} a_{n+p} = b_n \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

*defines a completely monotone sequence  $\{b_n\}_0^\infty$ . Then  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n$  defines on  $C^*$  (uniquely) a holomorphic function which has at most  $p$  zeros unless  $f \equiv 0$ .*

**PROOF.** This follows from the identity

$$\left( \prod_1^k (1 - c_i z) \right) \sum_0^\infty a_n z^n = \sum_1^\infty z^n \left( \prod_1^k \Delta(c_i) \right) a_n = \sum_0^{p-1} z^n \left( \prod_1^k \Delta(c_i) \right) a_n + z^p \sum_0^\infty b_n z^n$$

by Theorem 1 of [3].

Our next theorem gives a lower estimate for the number of zeros of functions of the type

$$F_m(z) = F_m(z; \tau) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+\tau)^m c(n+\tau) z^n, & \tau \in (0, 1) \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m c(n) z^n, & \tau = 0, \end{cases}$$

( $m=0, 1, \dots$ ), where the function  $c(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ , satisfies a differential equation

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r (D - \xi_i) \right\} c(x) = \int_{+0}^1 w^x dh(w), \quad \xi_i \text{ constant, } h \in V[\varepsilon, 1]$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $r = 0, 1, \dots$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\xi_i \leq 0$ , and assume that*

$$(6) \quad x \int_{+0}^{1/x} w \frac{|dh(w)|}{(\log 1/w)^r} = o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

*Then  $F_m$  is (uniquely) defined on  $C^*$ . Let  $F_0$  have (at least) the following zeros:*

$$z_\nu < z_{\nu-1} < \dots < z_1 < 0 < z'_1 < z'_2 < \dots < z'_\mu < 1 \quad (\nu, \mu = 0, 1, \dots).$$

*Then  $F_m$  ( $m=1, 2, \dots$ ) has (at least) zeros of the following kind*

$$\zeta_{m+\nu} < \dots < \zeta_1 \leq 0 < \zeta'_1 < \dots < \zeta'_\mu < 1,$$

*and  $\zeta_1 < 0$  if  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ .*

**PROOF.** We mention first two consequences of (6):

$$(7) \quad x^\tau \int_{+0}^{1/x} w^\tau \frac{|dh(w)|}{(\log 1/w)^r} = o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty, \tau \in (0, 1)),$$

$$(8) \quad x^{\tau-1} \int_{1/x}^1 w^{\tau-1} \frac{|dh(w)|}{(1 + \log 1/w)^r} = o(1) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty, \tau \in [0, 1]).$$

Writing  $dh^*(w)$  for  $(|dh(w)|)/((1 + \log 1/w)^r)$  the relation (7) follows from (6) and

$$\begin{aligned} x^\tau \int_{+0}^{1/x} w^\tau dh^*(w) &= x^\tau \int_{+0}^{1/x} w^{\tau-1} d \int_{+0}^w t dh^*(t) \\ &= x \int_{+0}^{1/x} t dh^*(t) + (1-\tau)x^\tau \int_{+0}^{1/x} w^{\tau-1} \left( \frac{1}{w} \int_{+0}^w t dh^*(t) \right) dw, \end{aligned}$$

and the relation (8) follows from (6) and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{\tau-1} \int_{1/x}^1 w^{\tau-1} dh^*(w) &= x^{\tau-1} \int_{1/x}^1 w^{\tau-2} d \int_{+0}^w t dh^*(t) \\ &= x^{\tau-1} \int_{+0}^1 t dh^*(t) - x \int_{+0}^{1/x} t dh^*(t) \\ &\quad + (2-\tau)x^{\tau-1} \int_{1/x}^1 w^{\tau-2} \left( \frac{1}{w} \int_{+0}^w t dh^*(t) \right) dw . \end{aligned}$$

We note that (7) implies

$$(9) \quad \int_{+0}^1 w^x |dh(w)| < \infty \text{ for every } x > 0^1 .$$

Next we wish to show that  $F_m$  exists on  $C^*$  and that

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} F_m(-x; 0) \longrightarrow 0 & \text{for } x \longrightarrow \infty, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \\ x^\tau F_m(-x; \tau) \longrightarrow 0 & \text{for } x \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \tau \in (0, 1), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots . \end{cases}$$

In order to prove this we note first that (3) and (9) imply

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} c(x) = c_0(x) + \int_{+0}^1 w dh(w) [\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r, \log w]_{e^{(x-1)v}}, \quad x > 0, \\ \left\{ \prod_1^r (D - \xi_i) \right\} c_0(x) = 0 . \end{cases}$$

Denoting by  $P_j$  polynomials of degree  $\leq j$ , we have for  $\rho = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$  a representation

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+\alpha)^\rho z^n = \begin{cases} P_\rho(z)/(1-z)^{\rho+1} \\ P_{\rho-1}(z)/(1-z)^{\rho+1} \end{cases} \text{ for } \alpha = 1, \rho = 1, 2, \dots .$$

(This follows from a short induction-type proof; see also [4].)

According to (11) we write  $F_m$  as a sum  $F_m^0 + \tilde{F}_m$  (where  $F_m^0$  is generated by  $c_0$ ). It follows from (11) that  $c_0(x)$  is a linear combination of functions of the type  $x^\lambda e^{\alpha \xi_i}$  for some  $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots$ , and it follows from (12) that  $F_m^0$  is a linear combination of terms of the type

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\tau \xi_i} P_{m+\lambda}(ze^{\xi_i}) / (1 - ze^{\xi_i})^{m+\lambda+1} &\quad \text{for } \tau \in (0, 1), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, \\ ze^{\xi_i} P_{m+\lambda-1}(ze^{\xi_i}) / (1 - ze^{\xi_i})^{m+\lambda+1} &\quad \text{for } \tau = 0, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that  $F_m^0$  is defined on  $C^*$  (note that  $\xi_i \leq 0$ ) and that (10) is true when  $F_m$  is replaced by  $F_m^0$ .

Using a representation similar to (4) for the divided difference in (11) we have for  $\tau \in (0, 1), m = 0, 1, \dots$

<sup>1</sup> Actually more is true, namely  $\int_{+0}^1 (|dh(w)|) / ((1 + \log 1/w)^{\tau+\eta}) < \infty$  for every  $\eta > 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_m(z) &= \int_{+0}^{w_0} w^\tau \frac{P_m(wz)}{(1-wz)^{m+1}} \frac{dh(w)}{p(\log w)} \\ &\quad + \int_{+0}^{w_0} w dh(w) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{e^{(\tau-1)\xi} P_m(ze^\xi)}{(1-ze^\xi)^{m+1}} \frac{d\xi}{p(\xi)(\xi-\log w)} \\ &\quad + \int_{w_0}^1 w dh(w) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \frac{e^{(\tau-1)\xi} P_m(ze^\xi)}{(1-ze^\xi)^{m+1}} \frac{d\xi}{p(\xi)(\xi-\log w)} \\ &= \text{I} + \text{II} + \text{III} \end{aligned}$$

( $C_1$  denotes a simple closed curve containing  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r$  and  $\log w$  for  $w_0 \leq w \leq 1$ ). The same representation holds for  $\tau = 0$  and  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $P_m(z)$  contains a factor  $z$ .

It follows from this representation that  $\tilde{F}_m$  is defined on  $C^*$  (choose  $C, C_1$  suitably). Moreover, we see that for  $z = -x < 0$  and  $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\text{II} = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \quad \text{III} = O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right),$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} |x^\tau \text{I}| &= O(1) x^\tau \int_{+0}^{1/x} w^\tau \frac{|dh(w)|}{(\log 1/w)^\tau} + O(1) x^{\tau-1} \int_{1/x}^{w_0} w^{\tau-1} \frac{|dh(w)|}{(\log 1/w)^\tau} \\ &= o(1) \end{aligned}$$

by (7) and (8) ( $\tau \neq 0$ ) or by (6) and (8) ( $\tau = 0$ ). This proves (10).

We observe that for  $\tau \in [0, 1)$

$$(13) \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left( (-x)^\tau F_m(x) \right) = -(-x)^{\tau-1} F_{m+1}(x) \quad \text{for } x < 0,$$

and

$$(14) \quad \frac{d}{dx} (x^\tau F_m(x)) = x^{\tau-1} F_{m+1}(x) \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Let  $F_i$  (for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) have the zeros

$$z_\rho < z_{\rho-1} < \dots < z_1 < 0 < z'_1 < \dots < z'_\sigma < 1,$$

and let  $\phi_i(x) = (-x)^\tau F_i(x)$  ( $x \leq 0$ ),  $\phi_i(x) = x^\tau F_i(x)$  ( $x \geq 0$ ). Then  $\phi_i(x) = 0$  when  $F_i(x) = 0$ , and  $\phi_i(0) = 0$  (note that  $F_i(0) = 0$  when  $\tau = 0$ ). Furthermore,  $\phi_i(x) \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ) by (10). It follows from (13) and (14) by Rolle's theorem that  $F_{i+1}$  has zeros  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\rho+1}, \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_\sigma$  with

$$\zeta_{\rho+1} < z_\rho < \zeta_\rho < \dots < z_1 < \zeta_1 < 0 < \zeta'_1 < z'_1 < \dots < \zeta'_\sigma < z'_\sigma < 1.$$

If  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  and  $i = 0$ , then this is also true, which proves Theorem 2 for  $\tau \neq 0$ . If  $\tau = 0$  and  $i = 0$ , the foregoing argument only shows that zeros

$\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_\rho, \zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_\sigma$  exist ( $\phi_0(x) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  may not be true, and  $\zeta_{\rho+1}$  may be lost). But  $F_i(0) = 0$  is true in this case, and this proves Theorem 2 for  $\tau = 0$ .

REMARKS. (i) Condition (6) is satisfied if  $h$  is absolutely continuous on  $(0, \varepsilon]$  (for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) and

$$(15) \quad wh'(w) = o((\log 1/w)^r) \quad \text{as } w \rightarrow 0.$$

(ii) Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 be satisfied, and let  $F_m$  (or  $F_0$ ) have a zero of order  $\lambda$  at  $z = 0$ . If  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ , then  $F_m$  has (at least)  $m + \nu + \lambda$  zeros which are  $\leq 0$  ( $m + \nu$  zeros are  $< 0$ ), and if  $\tau = 0$  and  $m \geq 1$  then  $F_m$  has (at least)  $m + \nu + \lambda - 1$  zeros which are  $\leq 0$  ( $m + \nu - 1$  zeros are  $< 0$ ).

2. In this section we shall discuss special solutions of (1), (2) under various conditions on  $g$  and for special initial conditions. These results will be needed to prove Theorems 3 and 4.

LEMMA 1. Assume that (1), (2) with  $g \uparrow$  has a solution  $\tilde{y} \in C_p [0, \infty)$  for some  $p = 0, 1, \dots$ . Then

$$(16) \quad \int_{+0}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{(1 + \log 1/w)^{k-p}} < \infty.$$

PROOF. It follows from (3) and for some  $a_0$  with  $\{\prod_1^k (D - x_i)\} a_0 = 0$  that

$$\tilde{y}(x) = a_0(x) + \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{(x-1)\nu}}, \quad x > 0,$$

and by differentiation

$$(17) \quad \tilde{y}^{(p)}(x) = a_0^{(p)}(x) + \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{\nu^p e^{(x-1)\nu}}.$$

Similarly to (4) the divided difference in this integral is ( $0 < w \leq w_0$ ).

$$(18) \quad \frac{(\log w)^p w^{x-1}}{\prod_1^k (\log w - x_i)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log w}\right) \quad (w \rightarrow 0),$$

where the  $O$ -term is uniform in  $x$  when  $x$  is restricted to a compact interval. The statement (16) now follows from (17) and (18) (note that  $a_0^{(p)} \in C(-\infty, \infty)$ , and that  $g \uparrow$ ). In what follows we use the notation

$$a(x; x_1, \dots, x_k; U(w)dg(w)) = \int_{+0}^1 U(w)dg(w) [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{x\nu}}.$$

Our next Lemma is a kind of converse of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Let  $g$  satisfy (2), and assume that

$$(19) \quad \int_{+0}^1 \frac{|dg(w)|}{(1 + \log 1/w)^{k-p}} < \infty$$

for some  $p = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). Then, for  $x > 0$ ,  $c_\nu = e^{x\nu}$ , the function  $Y_{k,p}(x)$  defined by

$$Y_{k,p}(x) = \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k a\left(x; x_1, \dots, x_\nu; \frac{w}{c_\nu} \left(\prod_{j=\nu+1}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j}\right) dg(w)\right) + a\left(x; x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}; \left(\prod_{j=p+2}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j}\right) dg(w)\right), \quad p \leq k-2$$

$$Y_{k,k-1} = a(x, x_1, \dots, x_k; dg(w)),$$

is a solution of (1), (2). Moreover,  $Y_{k,p} \in C_p[0, \infty)$  and

$$(20) \quad Y_{k,p}(0) = Y'_{k,p}(0) = \dots = Y_{k,p}^{(p)}(0) = 0.$$

For  $x > 0$  the general solution of (1), (2) with  $y \in C_p[0, \infty)$  and

$$y(0) = y'(0) = \dots = y^{(p)}(0) = 0$$

is

$$(21) \quad y(x) = \begin{cases} Y_{k,k-1}(x), & p = k - 1, \\ \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k C_\nu [x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x\nu}} + Y_{k,p}, & C_\nu \text{ constant, } p \leq k - 2. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. It follows from

$$[x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{\nu^p e^{x\nu}} = \begin{cases} \frac{(\log w)^p w^x}{\prod_1^k (\log w - x_i)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log w}\right) & \text{for } 0 < w \leq w_0 < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } w \in (0, 1], \quad x = 0 \end{cases}$$

(see (18), and with the same remark on the  $O$  - term) that

$$a^{(p)}(x; x_1, \dots, x_\nu; dh(w)) \in C[0, \infty),$$

$$a^{(\mu)}(0; x_1, \dots, x_\nu; dh(w)) = 0$$

if  $\nu \geq p + 1$ ,  $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, p$ ,  $h \in V[\varepsilon, 1]$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and

$$\int_{+0}^1 \frac{|dh(w)|}{(1 + \log 1/w)^{\nu-p}} < \infty.$$

This shows that (19) implies  $Y_{k,p} \in C_p[0, \infty)$  and the conditions (20).

Now we show that the functions  $Y_{k,p}$  are solutions of (1), (2). Using (5) this is obvious for  $p = k - 1$ , and it follows for  $p \leq k - 2$  from

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} Y_{k,p}(x) \\
&= \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k \left\{ \prod_{i=\nu+1}^k (D - x_i) \right\} a \left( x; \frac{w}{c_\nu} \left( \prod_{j=\nu+1}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j} \right) dg(w) \right) \\
&\quad + \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D - x_i) \right\} a \left( x; \left( \prod_{j=p+2}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j} \right) dg(w) \right) \\
&= \int_{+0}^1 w^x dg(w) \left\{ \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k \left( \prod_{j=\nu+1}^k \left( 1 - \frac{w}{c_j} \right) - \prod_{j=\nu}^k \left( 1 - \frac{w}{c_j} \right) \right) + \prod_{j=p+2}^k \left( 1 - \frac{w}{c_j} \right) \right\} \\
&= \int_{+0}^1 w^x dg(w) = \varphi(x).
\end{aligned}$$

The statement on the general solution of (1), (2) follows from

$$\left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} [x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x_\nu}} = 0 \quad (\nu = 1, \dots, k)$$

(use (5)) and

$$D^q [x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x_\nu}} \Big|_{x=0} = [x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{\nu^q} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } q < \nu - 1 \\ 1 & \text{for } q = \nu - 1. \end{cases}$$

(The functions  $[x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x_\nu}}$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, k$ , represent a basis for the solutions of  $\left\{ \prod_1^k (D - x_i) \right\} y = 0$ .)

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $g$  satisfy (2), and assume that (19) holds for some  $p = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). If  $y_p$  is a solution of (1), (2) with  $x_i \leq 0$ , and if  $y_p \in C_p[0, \infty)$  and  $y_p(0) = y_p'(0) = \dots = y_p^{(p)}(0) = 0$  (i.e.  $y_p$  is one of the solutions (21)) then*

$$(22) \quad \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D - x_i) \right\} \frac{y_p(x)}{x^{p+1}} = \int_0^1 t^x H(t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x > 0,$$

where

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{t} H(t) \in L[\varepsilon, 1] \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ and} \\ H(t) = o((\log 1/t)^{k-p-1}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** We may without loss of generality assume that  $g \uparrow$ . We discuss first the case  $p = k - 1$ , i.e. we show that (2) and

$$\int_{+0}^1 \frac{|dg(w)|}{(1 + \log 1/w)} < \infty \quad \text{imply}$$

$$(24) \quad \frac{Y_{k,k-1}(x)}{x^k} = \int_0^1 t^x H(t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad x > 0,$$



where  $1/t H(t) \in L[\varepsilon, 1]$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $H(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . Let (without loss of generality)  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_k \leq 0$ , and define  $d_i \in (0, 1]$  by  $\prod_i^k d_i = e^{x_i}$ . Then

$$[x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{x_i}} = (d_1 \dots d_k)^x \int \dots \int_{0 \leq t_k \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq x} w^{t_k} \prod_{i=1}^k d_i^{-t_i} dt_i,$$

since both sides satisfy the differential equation (1) with  $\varphi(x) = w^x$  (this follows for the right side from a short calculation, and from (5) for the left side) and initial conditions  $y(0) = y'(0) = \dots = y^{(k-1)}(0) = 0$ . Hence,  $Y_{k,k-1}$  can be written in the form

$$Y_{k,k-1}(x) = x^k \int_{+0}^1 dg(w) \int \dots \int_{0 \leq t_k \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq 1} w^{x t_k} \prod_{i=1}^k d_i^{x(1-t_i)} dt_i.$$

Denote the region  $0 \leq t_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq t_1 \leq 1$  by  $\Delta$ , and let

$$\rho(t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}) = d_1^{1-t_1} d_2^{1-t_2} \dots d_{k-1}^{1-t_{k-1}} d_k.$$

The following computations simplify for  $k = 1$  ( $t_{k-1} = 1$ ). We have

$$\frac{Y_{k,k-1}(x)}{x^k} = \int \dots \int_{\Delta} dt_1 \dots dt_{k-1} \rho^x \int_{+0}^1 dg(w) \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \left(\frac{w}{d_k}\right)^{x t_k} dt_k.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^x \int_{+0}^1 dg(w) \int_0^{t_{k-1}} \left(\frac{w}{d_k}\right)^{x t_k} dt_k &= \int_{+0}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{\log w/d_k} \frac{\rho^x (w/d_k)^{x t_{k-1}} - \rho^x}{x} \\ &= \int_{+0}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{\log w/d_k} \int_{\rho}^{\rho(w/d_k)^{t_{k-1}}} t^{x-1} dt \\ &= \int_0^{\rho} t^{x-1} dt \int_{+0}^{d_k(t/\rho)^{1/t_{k-1}}} \frac{dg(w)}{\log d_k/w} \\ &\quad + \int_{\rho}^{\rho(1/d_k)^{t_{k-1}}} t^{x-1} dt \int_{d_k(t/\rho)^{1/t_{k-1}}}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{\log w/d_k} \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} h_{d_k} \left( d_k \left(\frac{t}{\rho}\right)^{1/t_{k-1}} \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

where (for  $0 < d \leq 1$ )

$$h_d(y) = \begin{cases} \int_{+0}^y \frac{dg(w)}{\log d/w} & \text{for } 0 < y < d \\ \int_y^1 \frac{dg(w)}{\log w/d} & \text{for } d < y \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } y > 1 \end{cases}$$

(note that  $\rho \leq d_k^{t_{k-1}}$ ).

It follows that

$$(25) \quad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{Y_{k,k-1}(x)}{x^k} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} dt \int \cdots \int h_{d_k} \left( d_k \left( \frac{t}{\rho} \right)^{1/t_{k-1}} \right) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1} \\ &= \int_0^1 t^x H(t) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad H(t) = \int \cdots \int h_{d_k} \left( d_k \left( \frac{t}{\rho} \right)^{1/t_{k-1}} \right) dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1}. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Obviously  $H(t)/t \in L[\varepsilon, 1]$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $t < d_1 \cdots d_k$ , then  $t < \rho$  and

$$d_k \left( \frac{t}{\rho} \right)^{1/t_{k-1}} \leq d_k \frac{t}{\rho} \leq \frac{t}{d_1 \cdots d_{k-1}},$$

hence

$$|H(t)| \leq \int \cdots \int dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1} \int_{+0}^{t/d_1 \cdots d_{k-1}} \frac{|dg(w)|}{\log d_k/w} \leq \int_{+0}^{t/d_1 \cdots d_{k-1}} \frac{|dg(w)|}{\log d_k/w}.$$

It follows that  $H(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and this proves the case  $k = p - 1$  of Lemma 3.

We mention a special case of (24) which will be needed later on. Let  $g(w) = 0$  for  $w < e^\delta$ ,  $g(w) = 1$  for  $e^\delta \leq w \leq 1$  ( $\delta \leq 0$ ). Then

$$Y_{k,k-1}(x) = [x_1, \cdots, x_k, \delta]_{e^{xv}},$$

and it follows from (24) that

$$(26) \quad \frac{[x_1, \cdots, x_k, \delta]_{e^{xv}}}{x^k} = \int_0^1 t^x H(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

where  $H(t)/t \in L[\varepsilon, 1]$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $H(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  (even more is true, namely  $H(t) = 0$  in a neighborhood of  $t = 0$ ). We now turn to the case  $p \leq k - 2$ , and we will use the relation

$$(27) \quad \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{\rho} (D - \eta_i) \right\} a(x)b(x) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\rho-1} (D^\mu a) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{\rho-\mu} \leq \rho}} (D - \eta_{i_{\rho-\mu}}) b + (D^\rho a) b \quad (\rho \geq 0)$$

which follows from a short induction-type proof. Let

$$y_p(x) = \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k C_\nu [x_1, \cdots, x_\nu]_{e^{xv}} + Y_{k,p}, \quad Y_{k,p} = \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k A_\nu + A,$$

where  $A, A_\nu$  denote the functions occurring in  $Y_{k,p}$ . It follows from (5) and (27) that

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^{\nu-1} (D - x_i) \right\} \frac{[x_1, \cdots, x_\nu]_{e^{xv}}}{x^{p+1}}$$

is a linear combination of terms of the type

$$\frac{[x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{\mu+1}}]_{e^{x\nu}}}{x^{p+1+\mu}}, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - p - 2.$$

Hence we obtain from (26) a representation

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^{\nu-1} (D-x_i) \right\} \frac{[x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x\nu}}}{x^{p+1}} = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} H_\nu(t) dt,$$

$H_\nu(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D-x_i) \right\} \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k C_\nu \frac{[x_1, \dots, x_\nu]_{e^{x\nu}}}{x^{p+1}} &= \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k C_\nu \left\{ \prod_{i=\nu}^k (D-x_i) \right\} \int_0^1 t^{x-1} H_\nu(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{\nu=p+2}^k C_\nu \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left( \prod_{i=\nu}^k (\log t - x_i) \right) H_\nu(t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \hat{H}(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{H}(t) = o((\log 1/t)^{k-p-1})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ .

Similarly it follows from (5) and (24) that  $\{\prod_{i=p+2}^\nu (D-x_i)\} A_\nu/x^{p+1}$  is a linear combination of terms of the type

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha(x; x_1, \dots, x_{p+1}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_\mu}; dg_\nu(w))}{x^{p+\mu+1}}, \quad \mu = 0, \dots, \nu - p - 1 \\ dg_\nu(w) = \frac{w}{c_\nu} \prod_{j=\nu+1}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j} dg(w). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows like in (24) ( $k=p+\mu+1$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^\nu (D-x_i) \right\} \frac{A_\nu}{x^{p+1}} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \tilde{H}_\nu(t) dt, \quad \tilde{H}_\nu(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \\ \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D-x_i) \right\} \frac{A_\nu}{x^{p+1}} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left( \prod_{i=\nu+1}^k (\log t - x_i) \right) \tilde{H}_\nu(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $(\prod_{i=\nu+1}^k (\log t - x_i)) \tilde{H}_\nu(t) = o((\log 1/t)^{k-\nu}) = o((\log 1/t)^{k-p-1})$  (note that  $\int_{+0}^1 |dg_\nu(w)|/(1+\log 1/w) < \infty$ ).

Finally, it follows like in (24) ( $k=p+1$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(x)}{x^{p+1}} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \tilde{H}(t) dt, \quad \tilde{H}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0, \\ \left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D-x_i) \right\} \frac{A(x)}{x^{p+1}} &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left( \prod_{i=p+2}^k (\log t - x_i) \right) \tilde{H}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\left( \prod_{i=p+2}^k (\log t - x_i) \right) \tilde{H}(t) = o\left( (\log 1/t)^{k-p-1} \right) \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\left( \text{note that } \int_{+0}^1 \left| \prod_{j=p+2}^k \frac{1-w/c_j}{\log w/c_j} \frac{dg(w)}{1+\log 1/w} \right| < \infty \right).$$

This proves the case  $p \leq k-2$  of Lemma 3.

**3. THEOREM 3.** Let  $a \in C[0, \infty)$  be a real solution of the differential equation

$$(28) \quad \left\{ \prod_1^k (D-x_i) \right\} a = \varphi(x), \quad x > 0, \quad x_i \leq 0, \\ \varphi \text{ completely monotone for } x > 0 \quad (k \geq 0).$$

Then  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a(n)z^n$  defines on  $C^*$  (uniquely) a holomorphic function which has at most  $k$  zeros unless  $f \equiv 0$ .

PROOF. It follows from (3) that

$$a(x) = a_0(x) + \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) [x_1, \dots, x_k, \log w]_{e^{(x-1)\nu}}, \left\{ \prod_1^k (D-x_i) \right\} a_0 = 0,$$

and Lemma 1 shows that

$$(29) \quad \int_{+0}^1 \frac{dg(w)}{(1+\log 1/w)^k} < \infty.$$

A short calculation shows that  $(\prod_1^k \Delta(e^{x_i})) a_0(n+k) = 0$ , and writing  $a_n = a(n)$  we find

$$b_n = \left( \prod_1^k \Delta(e^{x_i}) \right) a_{n+k} = \int_{+0}^1 w dg(w) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_w} \frac{e^{(n-1)z} \prod_1^k (e^z - e^{x_i}) dz}{(z-x_1) \cdots (z-x_k) (z-\log w)} \\ = \int_{+0}^1 w^n \left( \prod_1^k \frac{w - e^{x_i}}{\log w - x_i} \right) dg(w)$$

( $C_w$  denotes a simple closed curve containing  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $\log w$  in its interior). This shows that  $b_n$  is completely monotone (observe (29)), and Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 1.

REMARKS. (i) Let  $\tau \geq 0$ , and let  $a(x)$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3. Then  $\sum_0^\infty a(n+\tau)z^n$  is defined on  $C^*$  and has at most  $k$  zeros (unless it is  $\equiv 0$ ). This follows immediately from Theorem 3 when  $a(x)$  is replaced by  $a^*(x) = a(x+\tau)$ .

(ii) Replace in the assumptions of Theorem 3  $C[0, \infty)$  and  $x > 0$  by  $C[\nu, \infty)$  and  $x > \nu$  ( $\nu=1, 2, \dots$ ). Then it follows that  $b_n = (\prod_1^k \Delta(e^{x_i})) a_{n+k+\nu}$  is completely monotone, and Theorem 1 shows that  $P_{\nu-1}(z) + \sum_{n=\nu}^\infty a(n)z^n$ ,

$P_{\nu-1}(z)$  any real polynomial of degree  $\leq \nu - 1$  is (uniquely) defined on  $C^*$  and has at most  $k + \nu$  zeros (unless it is  $\equiv 0$ ).

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $a \in C_p [0, \infty)$  for some  $p = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) be a real solution of the differential equation (28). Moreover, let

$$a(0) = a'(0) = \dots = a^{(p)}(0) = 0.^2$$

Then  $f(z) = \sum_0^\infty a(n + \tau)z^n$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1)$ , defines on  $C^*$  (uniquely) a holomorphic function which has at most  $k$  zeros (unless  $f \equiv 0$ ) and at least  $p + 1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$ .

**PROOF.** On account of Theorem 3 it remains to prove the lower estimate for the number of zeros.

It follows from Lemma 1 that (19) holds, and Lemma 3 shows that

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=p+2}^k (D - x_i) \right\} \frac{a(x)}{x^{p+1}} = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} H(t) dt,$$

where  $H$  satisfies (23). Theorem 2 and Remark (i) after Theorem 2

$$(c(x) = a(x)/x^{p+1}, h'(t) = H(t)/t, m = p + 1, r = k - p - 1, \nu = \mu = 0)$$

now show that  $f$  has at least  $p + 1$  different zeros which are  $\leq 0$ .

**REMARK.** The example  $\sum_0^\infty (n+1)^2 z^n = (1+z)/(1-z)^3$  shows that Theorem 4 cannot be extended to  $\tau = 1$  ( $k=2, p=1$ ).

**4. Applications of Theorem 4.**

(i) Let  $a(x) = x^\kappa$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $k-1 < \kappa \leq k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Here  $a \in C_{k-1} [0, \infty)$ , and  $D^k a = Cx^{\kappa-k}$  is completely monotone for  $x > 0$ ; moreover,

$$a(0) = a'(0) = \dots = a^{(k-1)}(0) = 0.$$

It follows from Theorem 4 that  $f_\kappa(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n + \tau)^\kappa z^n$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1)$ , has exactly  $k$  zeros in  $C^*$ , and these are simple and  $\leq 0$ .

(ii) Let  $a(x) = (1 - c^x)^\kappa$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $k - 1 < \kappa \leq k$ . The relation  $(D - \kappa \log c) a(x) = \kappa \log 1/c (1 - c^x)^{\kappa-1}$  shows that  $a(x)$  satisfies a differential equation (28) ( $\varphi(x) = C(1 - c^x)^{\kappa-k}$ ), and we have  $a \in C_{k-1} [0, \infty)$  and  $a(0) = a'(0) = \dots = a^{(k-1)}(0) = 0$ . It follows from Theorem 4 that  $g_\kappa(z) = \sum_0^\infty (1 - c^{n+\tau})^\kappa z^n$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1)$ , has exactly  $k$  zeros in  $C^*$ , and these zeros are different and  $\leq 0$ .

(iii) Let  $a(x)$  be the incomplete  $\Gamma$ -function

$$\int_0^x t^{\kappa-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad x \geq 0, \quad k - 1 < \kappa \leq k.$$

<sup>2</sup> This is equivalent to  $a(0) = 0, \{\prod_1^\nu (D - x_i)\} a|_{x=0} = 0, \nu = 1, \dots, p$ .

Then  $Da = x^{\kappa-1}e^{-x}$ ,  $(D+1)Da = (\kappa-1)x^{\kappa-2}e^{-x}$ . It follows from Theorem 4 that  $\sum_0^\infty a(n+\tau)z^n$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1)$ , has exactly  $k$  zeros in  $C^*$ , and these are simple and  $\leq 0$ .

(iv) Let  $a(x) = x^\kappa \log x$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $k-1 < \kappa \leq k$ . We have

$$D^q a = q! \binom{\kappa}{q} x^{\kappa-q} (\log x + A_q), \quad A_q \text{ constant,}$$

for  $\kappa \neq 1, 2, \dots$  or  $q \leq k$ . This shows that  $a \in C_{k-1}[0, \infty)$  and

$$a(0) = a'(0) = \dots = a^{(k-1)}(0) = 0.$$

Let  $\kappa = k$ . Then  $D^{k+1}a = k!/x$  is completely monotone.

Let  $\kappa \neq k$ . Then it follows from

$$\frac{1}{x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} dt$$

$$\frac{\log x}{x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\alpha-1} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma'(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} - \log \log \frac{1}{t} \right\} dt \quad (\alpha > 0, x > 0)$$

(differentiate with respect to  $\alpha$  to obtain the second formula from the first) that

$$(D-\xi)D^k a$$

$$= k! \binom{\kappa}{k} \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-\kappa)} \int_0^1 t^{x-1} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{k-\kappa-1} (\log t - \xi) \left\{ \frac{\Gamma'(k-\kappa)}{\Gamma(k-\kappa)} - \log \log \frac{1}{t} + A_k \right\} dt$$

is completely monotone for a suitable  $\xi < 0$ . It follows from Theorem 4 that  $F_\kappa(z) = \sum_0^\infty (n+\tau)^\kappa \log(n+\tau) z^n$  has at most  $k+1$  zeros and at least  $k$  zeros which are different and  $\leq 0$ .

Let  $\tau = 0$ . Then  $F_\kappa$  has a zero of order 2 at  $z = 0$ , so that  $F_\kappa$  actually has  $k+1$  zeros (and all zeros are  $\leq 0$ ). Let  $\tau \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $F_\kappa(0) < 0$ ,  $F_\kappa(x) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $x \rightarrow 1$ , and it follows that  $F_\kappa$  has at least one zero which is  $> 0$ , hence  $F_\kappa$  has again exactly  $k+1$  zeros. These are simple, and  $k$  zeros are  $< 0$ , one zero is  $> 0$ . (A lower estimate for the number of zeros of  $F_\kappa$  follows from Wirsing [7].)

Subbotin [5] has shown that  $\sum_0^\infty z^n \int_0^1 f(t)(n+t)^{2k} dt$  ( $f \geq 0$ ,  $k=0,1,\dots$ ) has exactly  $2k$  zeros (unless  $\equiv 0$ ) in  $C^*$ , and these are simple and negative. This result is not a consequence of Theorem 4; however, using our results on the zeros of  $\sum (n+\tau)^\kappa z^n$  and the fact that these zeros are monotone functions of  $\tau$  (which was observed by Subbotin for  $\kappa = 2k$ ) we are in a position to discuss the zeros of the more general functions

$$\sum z^n \int_0^1 f(t)(n+t)^\kappa dt.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. EDREI, Proof of a conjecture of Schoenberg on the generating function of a totally positive sequence. *Canad. J. Math.* 5 (1953), 86-94.
- [2] G. G. LORENTZ, *Bernstein Polynomials*. Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto 1953.
- [3] A. PEYERIMHOFF, On the zeros of power series. *Mich. Math. J.* 13 (1966), 193-214.
- [4] G. POLYA UND G. SZEGÖ, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis*. Springer, Berlin, 1954.
- [5] JU. N. SUBBOTIN, Funktionelle Interpolation im Mittel mit kleinster  $n$ -ter Ableitung (Russian). *Trudy mat. Inst. Steklov.* 88 (1967), 30-60.
- [6] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*. Princeton 1946.
- [7] E. WIRSING, On the monotonicity of the zeros of two power series. *Mich. Math. J.* 13 (1966), 215-218.

W.B. JURKAT, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY,  
DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, SYRACUSE, N.Y. U.S.A.  
A. PEYERIMHOFF, UNIVERSITÄT ULM DONAU ABT.  
FÜR MATHEMATIK, GERMANY

