

ON FOURIER MULTIPLIERS OF LIPSCHITZ CLASSES

Dedicated to Professor Gen-ichirô Sunouchi on his 60th birthday

TAKAHIRO MIZUHARA

(Received Jan. 21, 1970; Revised Jan. 24, 1972)

1. Introduction. Let E and F be any two classes of periodic functions of period 2π . We say that a two-way infinite sequence $\{\lambda(n)\}$ of numbers is a (Fourier) multiplier of type (E, F) if whenever $f(x)$ is in E , $\sum_n \lambda(n)\hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of some function in F . We denote by (E, F) the class of all multipliers of type (E, F) . The classes of functions treated here are Lipschitz class A_α , generalized Lipschitz class A_α^p , Zygmund class A_* , and generalized Zygmund class A_*^p , where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. A. Zygmund ([4] p.890, Theorem I. p.894, Theorem III.) has shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\lambda(n)\}$ to belong to one of any types $(A_\alpha, A_\alpha), (A_*, A_*), (A_\alpha^1, A_\alpha^1), (A_*^1, A_*^1)$ is that $\sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in A_*^1 .

In this paper, in general, we consider the types $(A_\alpha^p, A_\beta^p), (A_\alpha^p, A_\beta^q)$ and (A_*^p, A_β^q) where $0 < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ and $1 \leq p, q < \infty$.

2. Notations and Preliminaries. We assume here that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 < \beta < 2$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Let A_α denote the class of all continuous and periodic functions $f(x)$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition,

$$\Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x) = O(h^\alpha) \text{ for } h > 0 \text{ and uniformly in } x.$$

A_α^p is the class of all L^p -functions $f(x)$ satisfying a condition,

$$\|\Delta_h f(x)\|_p = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} = O(h^\alpha) \text{ for } h > 0.$$

A continuous function $F(x)$ is said to belong to class $A_{*,\beta}$ if

$\delta_h^2 F(x) = F(x+h) + F(x-h) - 2F(x) = O(h^\beta)$ for $h > 0$ and uniformly in x . In particular, the class $A_{*,1}$ is the well-known Zygmund class denoted by A_* . Also we say that an F in L^p is in the class A_*^p , if

$$\|\delta_h^2 F(x)\|_p = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(x+h) + F(x-h) - 2F(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} = O(h^\beta)$$

for $h > 0$. In particular, we denote the class $A_{*,1}^p$ by A_*^p .

In order to prove our theorems we need several lemmas. Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and k be any nonnegative integer from now on.

LEMMA 1. (A. Zygmund [5] p. 63, p. 64) *A necessary and sufficient condition that a continuous and periodic $f(x)$ should have a k -th derivative $f^{(k)}(x)$ in A_α is that $\Delta_h^{k+1}f(x) = O(h^{k+\alpha})$ for $h > 0$ and uniformly in x , where $\Delta_h^s f(x) = \Delta_h \Delta_h^{s-1} f(x)$, $\Delta_h f(x) = \Delta_h f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$.*

LEMMA 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition that an L^p -function $f(x)$ should have a k -th derivative $f^{(k)}(x)$ almost everywhere in A_α^p is that*

$$\|\Delta_h^{k+1}f(x)\|_p = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Delta_h^{k+1}f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} = O(h^{k+\alpha}) \quad \text{for } h > 0.$$

In the preceding two lemmas, k on the left side may be replaced by any $l \geq k$. These results are simple applications of the theory of best approximation. For the proof of Lemma 1, see G. G. Lorentz ([3] p. 56, Theorem 2, p. 59, Theorem 6, 7, p. 62, Theorem 9). Lemma 2 is its analogue in the space L^p . For an integrable and periodic function $f(x)$, $f_\alpha(x)$, $f^\alpha(x)$ will denote the α -th fractional integration and differentiation in the sense of A. Zygmund [6].

LEMMA 3. (i) (G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. A. Zygmund [5] p. 53, Theorem 11.) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta$ and $f(x)$ be in A_α . Then f_β is in $A_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha + \beta < 1$, and f_β is in A_* if $\alpha + \beta = 1$, and f_β is in $A_{*,\alpha+\beta}$, or, equivalently, $d/dx f_\beta(x)$ is in $A_{\alpha+\beta-1}$ if $1 < \alpha + \beta < 2$.*

(ii) (G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood) *Let $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ and $f(x)$ be in A_α . Then f^β is in $A_{\alpha-\beta}$.*

(iii) (A. Zygmund [5] p. 53, Theorem 12.) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $f(x)$ is in A_* , then f^α is in $A_{1-\alpha}$ and f_α has a continuous derivative $d/dx f_\alpha(x)$ in A_α .*

LEMMA 4. (i) (G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood. A. Zygmund [5] p. 69, Remark (b).) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta$ and $f(x)$ be in A_α^p . Then f_β is in $A_{\alpha+\beta}^p$ if $\alpha + \beta < 1$, and f_β is in A_*^p if $\alpha + \beta = 1$, and f_β is in $A_{*,\alpha+\beta}^p$, or, equivalently, $d/dx f_\beta(x)$ exists almost everywhere and belongs to $A_{\alpha+\beta-1}^p$ if $1 < \alpha + \beta < 2$.*

(ii) (G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood) *Let $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ and $f(x)$ be in A_α^p . Then $f_{1-\beta}$ is continuous and $f^\beta(x)$ exists almost everywhere and belongs to $A_{\alpha-\beta}^p$.*

(iii) (A. Zygmund [5] p. 69, Remarks (b).) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. If $f(x)$ is in A_*^p , then $f_{1-\alpha}(x)$ is continuous and $f^\alpha(x)$ exists almost everywhere and belongs to $A_{1-\alpha}^p$.*

LEMMA 5. (G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood) *A necessary and suf-*

sufficient condition for a function $f(x)$ to be in $A_1^p, 1 < p < \infty$, is that $f(x)$ should be equivalent to the indefinite integral of a function of L^p . Similarly, a necessary and sufficient condition for a function $f(x)$ to be in A_1 is that $f(x)$ should be equivalent to the indefinite integral of a function of L^∞ .

3. Type (A_α^p, A_α^p) where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Our first aim is to prove the following.

THEOREM I. Let $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\lambda(n)\}$ to be of type (A_α^p, A_α^p) is that

$\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n), n \neq 0$, is of type (L^p, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly.

PROOF. Necessity. In view of (i) and (iii) of Lemma 4, it is observed that, for periodic functions with mean value 0, the class A_*^p is identical with the class of $(1 - \alpha)$ -th fractional integrals of functions in A_α^p , so that the types (A_α^p, A_α^p) and (A_*^p, A_*^p) are necessarily the same. We assume that $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type (A_α^p, A_α^p) , then $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is a fortiori of type (A_*^p, A_*^p) so that it is of type (A_1^p, A_*^p) since A_1^p is contained in A_*^p .

Now let $G(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$. By assumption and Lemma 5, whenever $f(x)$ is in L^p , the convolution $f * G(x)$ is in A_*^p . Since $\delta_h^2(f * G)(x) = \{(\delta_h^2 G) * f\}(x)$,

$$\|\delta_h^2(f * G)(x)\|_p = \|\{(\delta_h^2 G) * f\}(x)\|_p = O(h) \text{ for } h > 0$$

and for any $f(x)$ in L^p . This means that, for any $f(x)$ in L^p ,

$$\|\{(\delta_h^2 G)/h * f\}(x)\|_p = O(1) \text{ for } h > 0.$$

That is, $\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n), n \neq 0$, is of type (L^p, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly, and the necessity of the condition is established.

Sufficiency. Conversely, we assume that $\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n)$ is of type (L^p, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly. That is, whenever $f(x) \sim \sum_n \hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ is in L^p , $\sum_{n \neq 0} \{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n)\hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ is in L^p for $h > 0$, uniformly, or, equivalently, whenever $f(x)$ is in L^p , the convolution $\{(\delta_h^2 G)/h * f\}(x)$ is in L^p for $h > 0$, uniformly, where $G(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$. Let $g(x) \sim \sum_n \hat{g}(n)e^{inx}$ be in A_α^p . Then

$$\|\delta_h g(x)\|_p = \|g(x + h/2) - g(x - h/2)\|_p = \|\Delta_h g(x)\|_p = O(h^\alpha)$$

for $h > 0$, that is, $\|\delta_h g(x)/h^\alpha\|_p = O(1)$ for $h > 0$, that is, $(\delta_h g)/h^\alpha$ is in L^p for $h > 0$, uniformly.

Let $H(x) = G * g(x)$ for any $g(x)$ in A_α^p . Then

$$\|\{\Delta_h^3 H(x)\}/h^{1+\alpha}\|_p = \|\{\delta_h^3 H(x)\}/h^{1+\alpha}\|_p = \|\{(\delta_h^2 G)/h * (\delta_h g)/h^\alpha\|_p = O(1)$$

for $h > 0$, that is, $\|A_h^\alpha H(x)\|_p = O(h^{1+\alpha})$ for $h > 0$.

This implies, by Lemma 2, that $d/dxH(x)$ exists almost everywhere and belongs to A_α^2 and the sufficiency of the condition is established.

Q.E.D.

By the similar method we can prove the followings.

THEOREM I'. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type (A_α^2, A_α^2) if and only if $\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n), n \neq 0$, is of type (L^p, L^q) for $h > 0$, uniformly.*

THEOREM I''. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1, 1 < p < \infty$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. Then $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of any of the types $(A_\alpha^p, A_\alpha^p), (A_\alpha^1, A_\alpha^2), (A_*^p, A_*^p), (A_*^1, A_*^2)$ if and only if $\sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in $A_*^{p'}$.*

4. **Type (A_α, A_α^p) where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$.** S. Kaczmarz ([2] p. 40, Theorem 1, p. 42, Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and p. 45, Theorem 7.) gave a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a bounded sequence $\{\lambda(n)\}$ should belong to some multiplier classes by using class $V_p, 1 \leq p < \infty$. A periodic L^p -function $f(x)$ is of class $V_p(1 \leq p < \infty)$ if there is a constant K such that, if $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n)$ is any set of non-overlapping intervals in $(0, 2\pi)$, then

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(x - b_i) - f(x - a_i)] \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < K.$$

Now we introduce a new class of functions. $V_p^*(1 \leq p < \infty)$ will denote the class of V_p -functions $f(x)$ satisfying a condition, there is a constant K such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^n [\delta_h^2 f(x - b_i) - \delta_h^2 f(x - a_i)]\|_p \leq Kh$ for $h > 0$ and for any set of non-overlapping intervals $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ in $(0, 2\pi)$.

Our second aim is to prove the following.

THEOREM II. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\lambda(n)\}$ to be of any of the types $(A_\alpha, A_\alpha^2), (A_\alpha^p, A_\alpha^1), (A_*^p, A_*^2), (A_*^p, A_*^1)$ is that $G^*(x)$ is in V_p^* , where the function $G^*(x)$ is defined by $G^*(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)^2\}e^{inx}$, and the series converging absolutely.*

PROOF. It is observed that the types $(A_\alpha, A_\alpha^p), (A_\alpha^p, A_\alpha^1), (A_*^p, A_*^2)$ and (A_*^p, A_*^1) are the same. See A. Zygmund ([4] p. 894, Theorem IV). We confine our attention to the type (A_α, A_α^p) . By the same method of Theorem I', it is seen that, if $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$, a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\lambda(n)\}$ to be of type (A_α, A_α^p) is that $\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n), n \neq 0$, is of type (L^∞, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly.

Necessity. We assume that $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type (A_α, A_α^p) , then $\{(2i \sin nh/2)^2/(inh)\}\lambda(n), n \neq 0$, is of type (L^∞, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly, or, equiv-

alently, whenever $f(x)$ is in L^∞ , the convolution $\{(\delta_h^2 G)/h * f\}(x)$ is in L^p for $h > 0$, uniformly, where $G(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$. It is known that $\{\phi(n)\}$ is of type (L^∞, L^p) if and only if $\sum_{n \neq 0} \{\phi(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in V_p (S. Kaczmarz [2] p. 45, Theorem 7). Hence there is a constant K such that, if $\{(a_i, b_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is any set of non-overlapping intervals in $(0, 2\pi)$, $\|\sum_{i=1}^n [\delta_h^2 G^*(x - b_i) - \delta_h^2 G^*(x - a_i)]\|_p \leq Kh$ for $h > 0$, where $G^*(x)$ is the function defined by $G^*(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)^2\}e^{inx}$. This implies that $G^*(x)$ is in V_p^* , and the necessity of the condition is established.

Sufficiency. We assume that $G^*(x)$ is in V_p^* , where $G^*(x)$ is the function defined above. From the definition of the class V_p^* , $(\delta_h^2 G^*)/h$ is in V_p for $h > 0$, uniformly. Therefore $\{(2i \sin nh/2)/(inh)\lambda(n), n \neq 0\}$ is of type (L^∞, L^p) for $h > 0$, uniformly. This implies that $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type (A_α, A_α^p) , and the sufficiency of the condition is established. Q.E.D.

5. Type (A_α, A_β) where $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. When $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ the following result is known. Here we shall give a proof.

THEOREM A. Let $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\{\lambda(n)\}$ to be of type (A_α, A_β) is that $\sum_n \lambda(n)e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in $A_{\beta-\alpha}$.

PROOF. Sufficiency. Write $\lambda(x) \sim \sum_n \lambda(n)e^{inx}$ for $\lambda(n)$ in (A_α, A_β) and $H(x) \sim \sum_n \lambda(n)\hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ for $f(x)$ in A_α . Then $H(x) = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f(t)\lambda(x-t)dt$, so that $\delta_h^2 H(x) = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \delta_h f(x)\delta_h \lambda(x-t)dt$ for a fixed $h > 0$. Then, by assumption, $|\delta_h^2 H(x)| = O(h^\alpha) \int_0^{2\pi} |\delta_h \lambda(x-t)|dt = O(h^\alpha) \int_0^{2\pi} |\delta_h \lambda(t)|dt = O(h^\beta)$ for $h > 0$ and uniformly in x . This implies, by Lemma 1, that

$$H(x) \sim \sum_n \lambda(n)\hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$$

is A_β and the sufficiency of the condition is established.

Necessity. Let $G(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\}e^{inx}$. We assume that whenever $f(x) \sim \sum_n \hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ is in A_α , $\sum_n \lambda(n)\hat{f}(n)e^{inx}$ is in A_β , or, equivalently, the convolution $f * G(x)$ has a derivative in A_β .

If $\phi(x)$ is bounded and has mean value 0, (iii) of Lemma 3 implies that $\phi_\alpha(x)$ is in A_α . Therefore $\phi_\alpha * G(x) = \phi * G_\alpha(x)$ has a derivative in A_β , so that, by (i) of Lemma 3, $\phi * (G_\alpha)_{1-\alpha}(x) = \phi * G_1(x)$ has a derivative in $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}$, that is, $\phi * G(x)$ is in $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}$.

Since the class $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$\|G\|_{A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}} = \sup_x |G(x)| + \sup_{h>0} |\delta_h^2 G(x)/h^{1+(\beta-\alpha)}|,$$

we associate with a continuous linear operator T from the Banach space L^∞ to the Banach space $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}$, by putting $Tg = G * g$ for g in L^∞ . The continuity of T is an immediate consequence of the closed graph theorem. Therefore $\{\lambda(n)/(in)\}$ is of type $(L^\infty, A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)})$. That is, $\|Tg\|_{A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}} \leq \|T\| \cdot \|g\|_\infty$. The definition of $\|Tg\|_{A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}}$ in $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}$ implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [G(x-y+h) + G(x-y-h) - 2G(x-y)]g(y)dy \right| \\ \leq \|T\| \cdot \|g\|_\infty \cdot h^{1+(\beta-\alpha)}.$$

Then $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |G(x-y+h) + G(x-y-h) - 2G(x-y)| dy \leq \|T\| \cdot h^{1+(\beta-\alpha)}$. See J. Caveny ([1] p. 347, the proof of Theorem 1.).

That is, $(1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} |G(x+h) + G(x-h) - 2G(x)| dy \leq \|T\| \cdot h^{1+(\beta-\alpha)}$. This implies that $G(x) = \sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\} e^{inx}$ is in $A_{*,1+(\beta-\alpha)}^1$, that is, $\sum_n \lambda(n) e^{inx}$ is in $A_{\beta-\alpha}^1$ and the necessity of the condition is established. Q.E.D.

In the case $1 \leq \beta < 2$, we have the following analogue.

THEOREM A'. *Let $0 < \alpha < 1 \leq \beta < 2$. Then $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type $(A_\alpha, A_{*,\beta})$ if and only if $\sum_n \lambda(n) e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in $A_{\beta-\alpha}^1$.*

When $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$, as the corollary of Theorem A', we obtain the following result.

THEOREM A''. *Let $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$. Then $\{\lambda(n)\}$ is of type (A_α, A_β) if and only if $\sum_{n \neq 0} \{\lambda(n)/(in)\} e^{inx}$ is the Fourier series of a function in $A_{1-(\alpha-\beta)}^1$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Caveny, On integral Lipschitz conditions and integral bounded variation, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 2 (1971), 346-352.
- [2] S. Kaczmarz, On some classes of Fourier series, J. London Math. Soc., 8 (1933), 39-46.
- [3] G. G. Lorentz, Approximation of functions, Holt, Reinhart and Winston, Inc., 1966.
- [4] A. Zygmund, On the preservation of classes of functions, J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959), 889-895. Erratum, 9 (1960), 663 (MR # 8277).
- [5] A. Zygmund, Smooth functions, Duke Math. Journal 12 (1945), 47-76.
- [6] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Volumes I and II, Cambridge University Press, 1968.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
AKITA UNIVERSITY
AKITA, JAPAN