

HILBERT ALGEBRAS^{*)}

By

HIDEGORÓ NAKANO

W. Ambrose¹⁾ defined a *proper H*-algebra* $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{H}$ is a Hilbert space and a ring subject to the conditions: 1) if $a\mathfrak{X} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, then $a = 0$, and 2) for any $a \in \mathfrak{H}$ there is $a^* \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$(ax, y) = (x, a^*y), (xa, y) = (x, ya^*)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}$, and proved that if \mathfrak{H} satisfies the condition

$$(B) \quad \sup_{\|x\| = \|y\| = 1} \|xy\| < +\infty,$$

then \mathfrak{H} is a direct sum of *simple* 2-sided ideals $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{H}_\lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{H}_\rho \perp \mathfrak{H}_\lambda$ for $\rho \neq \lambda$ and \mathfrak{H}_λ is isometric to a full-matrix algebra: for some set Λ all complex valued functions $a(\lambda, \rho)$ ($\lambda, \rho \in \Lambda$) with

$$\sum_{\lambda, \rho} |a(\lambda, \rho)|^2 < +\infty$$

constitute a proper H*-algebra, being called a *full-matrix algebra*, if we put

$$\begin{aligned} ab(\lambda, \rho) &= \sum_{\tau \in \Lambda} a(\lambda, \tau) b(\tau, \rho), \\ a^*(\lambda, \rho) &= \overline{a(\rho, \lambda)}, \\ (a, b) &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \sum_{\lambda, \rho} a(\lambda, \rho) \overline{b(\lambda, \rho)}, \end{aligned}$$

for some positive number α , which we shall call the *order* of a full-matrix algebra. He used the condition (B) essentially in his proof, while it will be proved that any H*-algebra satisfies the condition (B) (cf. §2). He remarked further that a group ring on a compact group is a proper H*-algebra: let \mathfrak{G} be a compact group. All complex valued measurable functions $a(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$) with

$$\int |a(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma < +\infty$$

for Haar measure constitute a proper H*-algebra if we put

*) Received Nov. 25, 1949.

1) W. Ambrose: Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1945) 364-386.

$$\begin{aligned}
 ab(\sigma) &= \int a(\sigma\tau^{-1}) b(\tau) d\tau, \\
 a^*(\sigma) &= \overline{a(\sigma^{-1})}, \\
 (a, b) &= \int a(\sigma) \overline{b(\sigma)} d\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

If a group \mathfrak{G} is locally compact, then its group ring is not necessarily a Hilbert space as remarked by I. E. Segal.²⁾ But all complex valued measurable functions $a(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \in \mathfrak{G}$), such that $a(\sigma) = 0$ except for some compact set Λ_a and

$$\int |a(\sigma)|^2 d\sigma < +\infty,$$

satisfy the condition of proper H^* algebra, except completeness, and for Haar measure $m(\Lambda_a)$ we have

$$\|ab\| \leq m(\Lambda_a)^{1/2} \|a\| \|b\|$$

for all such functions $b(\sigma)$, if \mathfrak{G} has a 2-sided Haar measure. Thus we need to consider proper H^* -algebra, which is not complete. This is the purpose of this paper.

§1. Fundamental definitions.

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space, which need not be separable.

DEFINITION. A linear manifold \mathfrak{A} of \mathfrak{H} is called a *Hilbert algebra*, if

- (1) \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{H} ;
- (2) \mathfrak{A} is a ring: for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ there is defined $ab \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$(ab)c = a(bc), a(b+c) = ab+ac, (a+b)c = ac+bc$$
 and $(\alpha a)b = a(\alpha b) = \alpha ab$ for any complex number α ;
- (3) for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ there exists an *adjoint element* $a^* \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$(ab, c) = (b, a^*c), (bc, c) = (b, ca^*);$$

- 4) for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ there exists a positive number α_a such that

$$ax \leq \alpha_a x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A};$$

- (5) by (1) and (4), for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we obtain uniquely a bounded linear operator T_a on \mathfrak{H} such that

$$T_a x = ax \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

For an element $f \in \mathfrak{H}$, if $T_x f = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $f = 0$.

First we shall write fundamental properties of Hilbert algebra. Let \mathfrak{A} be a

2) I. E. Segal: The group ring of a locally compact group, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941) 348-351.

Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} in the sequel.

THEOREM 1. 1. *For an element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, if $ax = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $a = 0$.*

PROOF. By (3), for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$(T_x a, y) = (xa, y) = (a, x^*y) = (ay^*, x^*) = 0.$$

Since \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{H} by (1), we have thus $T_x a = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $a = 0$ by (5).

By this theorem we have obviously :

THEOREM 1. 2. *$T_a = T_b$ if and only if $a = b$.*

THEOREM 1. 3. *For any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have $T_a = T_{a^*}$, and hence the adjoint element a^* is determined uniquely.*

PROOF. For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have by (3)

$$(T_a x, y) = (ax, y) = (x, a^*y) = (x, T_{a^*}y).$$

Since \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{H} by (1), we have thus $T_a = T_{a^*}$.

By definition we see easily :

THEOREM 1. 4. *$a^{**} = a$, $(\alpha a)^* = \bar{\alpha}a^*$,*

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^*, \quad (a+b)^* = a^* + b^*.$$

THEOREM 1. 5. *$T_{aa} = \alpha T_a$, $T_{ab} = T_a T_b$, $T_{a+b} = T_a + T_b$.*

THEOREM 1. 6. *$\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}$ is complete in \mathfrak{H} : there is no element except 0 in \mathfrak{H} , which is orthogonal to xy for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

PROOF. For an element $f \in \mathfrak{H}$, if $(xy, f) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have by theorem 1. 3

$$(T_x f, y) = (f, T_x^* y) = (f, T_x^* y) = (f, x^*y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $f = 0$ by (1) and (5).

THEOREM 1. 7. *For any $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have*

$$(a, b) = (b^*, a^*), \quad \|a\| = \|a^*\|.$$

PROOF. For any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have by (3) and theorem 1. 4

$$(x, yz) = (x z^*, y) = (z^* y^*, x^*) = ((y z)^*, x^*),$$

By the previous theorem, for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ there exist $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), as linear forms from $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{A}$, such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$ and $(x, a_\nu) = (a_\nu^*, x^*)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$. As $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ may be arbitrary, we have then

$$\|a_\nu - a_\mu\|^2 = \|a_\nu^* - a_\mu^*\|^2 \quad (\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and hence there exists $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ for which $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu^* = f$. Then we have $(x, a) = (f, x^*)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$. Therefore we have

$$(f, xy) = ((xy)^*, a) = (a^*, x^*y)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $f = a^*$ by the previous theorem.

By (4) and theorem 1.7 we obtain immediately :

THEOREM 1.8. For any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ there exists a positive number β_a such that

$$\|xa\| \leq \beta_a \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

By this theorem, for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we obtain uniquely a bounded linear operator S_a on \mathfrak{H} such that

$$S_a x = xa \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

By definition we see easily :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEOREM 1.9. } S_a &= S_{a^*}, \quad S_{ab} = S_b S_a, \quad T_a S_b = S_b T_a, \quad S_{\alpha a} = \alpha S_a, \\ S_{a+b} &= S_a + S_b. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 1.10. $S_a = S_b$ if and only if $a = b$.

PROOF. If $S_a = 0$, then we have $T_x a = S_a x = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $a = 0$ by (5).

THEOREM 1.11. For an element $f \in \mathfrak{H}$, if $S_x f = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $f = 0$.

PROOF. For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have by theorems 1.3, 1.9

$$(T_x f, y) = (f, T_x^* y) = (f, x^* y) = (f, S_y x^*) = (S_y x^* f, x^*).$$

Therefore if $S_x f = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $T_x f = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $f = 0$ by (5).

By definition we have obviously

$$(Tab)^* = S_a^* b^*, \quad (Sab)^* = T_a b^*$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$, and hence by theorem 1.7

$$\|Tab\| = \|S_a^* b^*\|, \quad \|b\| = \|b^*\|.$$

Therefore we obtain $\|T_a\| = \|S_a^*\| = \|S_a^*\| = \|S_a\|$ by theorem 1.9, that is, we have :

THEOREM 1.12. $\|T_a\| = \|S_a\|$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

§ 2. Closed algebras.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . First we will prove:

THEOREM 2. 1. *If $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a_0$, $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $\|T_{a_\nu}\| \leq \gamma$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) for some $\gamma > 0$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu} &= T_{a_0}, & \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu}^* &= T_{a_0}^*, \\ \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} S_{a_\nu} &= S_{a_0}, & \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} S_{a_\nu}^* &= S_{a_0}^*. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since $T_{a_\nu} x = S_x a_\nu$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have by assumption

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu} x = S_x a_0 = T_{a_0} x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

For any $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ there exist $x_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) by §1 (1), such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} x_\nu = f$. We have then

$$\|T_{a_\nu} f - T_{a_0} f\| \leq (\|T_{a_\nu}\| + \|T_{a_0}\|) \|f - x_\mu\| + \|T_{a_\nu} x_\mu - T_{a_0} x_\mu\|$$

for any $\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{a_\nu} f - T_{a_0} f\| \leq (\gamma + \|T_{a_0}\|) \|f - x_\mu\|,$$

and hence $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu} f = T_{a_0} f$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{H}$.

Since $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu^* = a_0^*$ by theorem 1.7 and $\|T_{a_\nu}^*\| = \|T_{a_\nu}^*\| \leq \gamma$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) by theorem 1.3, we have also $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu}^* = T_{a_0}^*$, as proved above. Furthermore, since $\|T_{a_\nu}\| = \|S_{a_\nu}\|$ by theorem 1.12, we can prove similarly also the other equations.

DEFINITION. A Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be *closed*, if $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = f \in \mathfrak{H}$, $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} \|T_{a_\nu}\| < +\infty$ imply $f \in \mathfrak{A}$.

DEFINITION. A Hilbert algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ is called an *extension* of a Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} , if $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ contains \mathfrak{A} as a subalgebra.

DEFINITION. A Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be *maximal*, if there is no extension of \mathfrak{A} except itself.

By Zorn's lemma or transfinite induction we see easily:

THEOREM 2. 2. *Every Hilbert algebra has a maximal extension.*

THEOREM 2. 3. *If a Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is maximal, then \mathfrak{A} is closed.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{A} be a maximal Hilbert algebra. If $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = f \in \mathfrak{H}$, $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\|T_{a_\nu}\| < \gamma$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), then we have

$$\lim_{\nu, \mu \rightarrow \infty} \|a_\nu - a_\mu\| = 0, \quad \|T_{a_\nu - a_\mu}\| \leq 2\gamma \quad (\nu, \mu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and hence $\lim_{\nu, \mu \rightarrow \infty} (T_{a_\nu} - T_{a_\mu}) = 0$ by theorem 2.1. Consequently there exists a bounded linear operator \tilde{T}_f on \mathfrak{H} such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu} = \tilde{T}_f$. Such \tilde{T}_f is determined uniquely corresponding to f , because if

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu, \quad \|T_{a_\nu}\| \leq \gamma, \quad \|T_{b_\nu}\| \leq \gamma,$$

then we have $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu - b_\nu) = 0$, $\|T_{a_\nu} - T_{b_\nu}\| \leq 2\gamma$, and hence $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a_\nu} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{b_\nu}$ by theorem 2.1.

We denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ the set of all such elements $f \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then we see easily that $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a linear manifold of \mathfrak{H} , $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} \supset \mathfrak{A}$, and

$$T_a = \tilde{T}_a \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

For any $f, g \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ there exist $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = f, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} b_\nu = g, \quad \|T_{a_\nu}\| \leq \gamma, \quad \|T_{b_\nu}\| \leq \gamma$$

for some positive number γ . Since

$$\|a_\nu b_\nu - \tilde{T}_f g\| \leq \|T_{a_\nu}\| \|b_\nu - g\| + \|T_{a_\nu} g - \tilde{T}_f g\|,$$

we have then $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu b_\nu = \tilde{T}_f g$ and further $\|T_{a_\nu b_\nu}\| \leq \gamma^2$, and hence

Since $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|a_\nu^* - a_\mu^*\| = 0$ by theorem 1.7 and $\|T_{a_\nu^*}\| = \|T_{a_\nu}\| \leq \gamma$ by 1.2, there exists $f^* \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ for which $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu^* = f^*$, and we have $\tilde{T}_{f^*} = \tilde{T}_f^*$, because for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{T}_{f^*} x, y) &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (T_{a_\nu^*} x, y) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu^* x, y) \\ &= \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (x, T_{a_\nu} y) = (x, \tilde{T}_f y). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore if $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} c_\nu = b \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$, $c_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $\|T_{c_\nu}\| \leq \gamma$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) for some positive number γ , then we have

$$(\tilde{T}_g f, b) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (b_\nu a_\nu, c_\nu) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (b_\nu, c_\nu a_\nu^*) = (g, T_b f^*).$$

Therefore, putting $\tilde{f}g = \tilde{T}_f g$ for $f, g \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$, we obtain a Hilbert algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$, which is an extension of \mathfrak{A} . If \mathfrak{A} is not closed, then $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ does not coincide with \mathfrak{A} by its construction, contradicting the assumption that \mathfrak{A} is maximal. Thus \mathfrak{A} is closed.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ be two extensions of \mathfrak{A} . For any common element f of $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$, putting $\tilde{T}_f \tilde{g} = f \tilde{g}$ for $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\hat{T}_f \hat{g} = f \hat{g}$ for $\hat{g} \in \hat{\mathfrak{A}}$, we have for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$(\bar{T}_f x, y) = (f, y x^*) = (\hat{T}_f x, y),$$

and hence $T_f = \bar{T}_f$ by §1 (1). Therefore the intersection of all closed extensions of \mathfrak{A} is also a closed extension of \mathfrak{A} , which is called the *closure* of \mathfrak{A} .

DEFINITION. A Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be *bounded*, if \mathfrak{A} satisfies the condition

$$(B) \quad \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} \|xy\| < +\infty,$$

and this value is called its *order*.

THEOREM 2. 4. *If a Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is bounded, then the closure of \mathfrak{A} coincides with the whole space \mathfrak{H} .*

PROOF. For any $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ there exist $a_\nu \in \mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) by §1 (1) such that $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = f$. If \mathfrak{A} is bounded, then we have $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} \|T_{a_\nu}\| < +\infty$ and hence f belongs to the closure of \mathfrak{A} .

THEOREM 2. 5. *If the whole space \mathfrak{H} is an extension of a Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} , then \mathfrak{A} is bounded.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert algebra. If \mathfrak{H} is not bounded, then there exist x_ν and $y_\nu \in \mathfrak{H}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\|x_\nu\| = \|y_\nu\| = 1, \quad \|x_\nu y_\nu\| \geq \nu^2,$$

and we have for any $z \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$\left| \left\langle \frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu y_\nu, z \right\rangle \right| = \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu, z y_\nu^* \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{\nu} \|T_z\|.$$

Thus $\frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu y_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) is weakly convergent, contradicting

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu y_\nu \right\| = +\infty.$$

REMARK. Every proper H*-algebra defined by W. Ambrose is a Hilbert algebra. Indeed a proper H*-algebra \mathfrak{H} satisfies obviously the condition of Hilbert algebra except (4). \mathfrak{H} satisfies further (4), because if \mathfrak{H} does not satisfy (4), then there exist $a \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $x_\nu \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\|x_\nu\| = 1$, $\|ax_\nu\| \geq \nu^2$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), and we have

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{1}{\nu} ax_\nu, y \right\rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu, a^* y \right\rangle = 0$$

for all $y \in \mathfrak{H}$, that is, $\frac{1}{\nu} ax_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) is weakly convergent, contradicting $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\nu} ax_\nu \right\| = +\infty$. Furthermore \mathfrak{H} is bounded by theorem 2. 5.

§ 3. Associative operators.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a closed Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} .

DEFINITION. A bounded linear operator A on \mathfrak{H} is said to be *associative* with \mathfrak{A} , if $A\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ and we have

$$(Ax)y = Axy \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{A},$$

that is, $AS_x = S_x A$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$.

By definition we have obviously :

THEOREM 3. 1. *The set of all associative operators constitutes a ring of operators: if A and B are both associative with \mathfrak{A} , then $\alpha A + \beta B$, AB are all associative with \mathfrak{A} .*

THEOREM 3. 2. *T_a is associative with \mathfrak{A} for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

THEOREM 3. 3. *If A is associative with \mathfrak{A} , then we have $AT_a = T_a A$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

LEMMA 3. 1. *For a sequence of bounded linear operators A_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) on \mathfrak{H} , if $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (A_\nu x, y)$ exists for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{H}$, then we have $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} \|A_\nu\| < +\infty$.*

PROOF. If $\sup_{\nu \geq 1} \|A_\nu\| = +\infty$, then there exist $x_\nu \in \mathfrak{H}$, μ_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $\|x_\nu\| = 1$ and $\|A_{\mu_\nu} x_\nu\| \geq \nu^2$, and we have for any $y \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} A_{\mu_\nu} x_\nu, y \right) = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\nu} x_\nu, A_{\mu_\nu}^* y \right) = 0,$$

that is, $\frac{1}{\nu} A_{\mu_\nu} x_\nu$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) is weakly convergent, contradicting

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\nu} A_{\mu_\nu} x_\nu \right\| = +\infty.$$

THEOREM 3. 4. *If A_ν ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) are all associative with \mathfrak{A} and $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} A_\nu = A$, then A is also associative with \mathfrak{A} .*

PROOF. By the previous lemma A is obviously a bounded linear operator on \mathfrak{H} . For any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have by theorem 3.3

$$\|T_{A_\nu} a\| = \|A_\nu T_a\| \leq \|A_\nu\| \|T_a\| \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} A_\nu a = Aa,$$

and hence $Aa \in \mathfrak{A}$, since \mathfrak{A} is closed by assumption. For any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have furthermore

$$(Ax)y = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} S_y A_\nu x = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} A_\nu S_y x = Axy.$$

For a system of projection operators P_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) on \mathfrak{H} , $\bigcap_\lambda P_\lambda$ means the projection operator of the intersection of all P_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$), and $\bigcup_\lambda P_\lambda$ the projection

operator of the closed linear manifold spanned by all $P_\lambda \mathfrak{H}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$).

LEMMA 3. 2. For any projection operators P and Q , we have

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (PQ)^\nu = P \cap Q, \quad P \cup Q = 1 - ((1 - P) \cap (1 - Q)).$$

PROOF. Since PQP is a positive definite self-adjoint operator and $\|PQP x\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$, by spectral theory we obtain a projection operator P_0 as

$$P_0 = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (PQP)^\nu.$$

As $PQP(P \cap Q) = P \cap Q$, we have then obviously $P_0 \geq P \cap Q$. On the other hand, since for any $x \in \mathfrak{H}$

$$\|x\| \geq \|Px\| \geq \|QPx\| \geq \|PQP x\| \geq \|P_0 x\|, \quad P_0 P_0 x = P_0 x,$$

we have $\|P_0 x\| = \|PP_0 x\| = \|QP P_0 x\| = \|P_0 x\|$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$. Therefore we obtain $P_0 x = PP_0 x = QPP_0 x$, and hence $P_0 \leq P \cap Q$. Thus we have

$$P \cap Q = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (PQP)^\nu = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (PQ)^\nu,$$

since $(P \cap Q)Q = P \cap Q$. By definition we have obviously the other equation.

THEOREM 3. 5. For a system of projection operators P_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$), if P_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) are all associative with \mathfrak{A} , then $\bigcap_\lambda P_\lambda$ and $\bigcup_\lambda P_\lambda$ are both associative with \mathfrak{A} .

PROOF. By theorem 3. 4 and lemma 3. 2 we can assume that for any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $P_\lambda \leq P_{\lambda_1} \cap P_{\lambda_2}$. Putting $P = \bigcap_\lambda P_\lambda$, we have then

$$\|Px\| = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|P_\lambda x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{A}.^{3)}$$

For any $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ there exists $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $P_{\lambda_1} \geq P_{\lambda_2} \geq \dots$ and

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{\lambda_\nu} a\| = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|P_\lambda a\|, \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{\lambda_\nu} ab\| = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|P_\lambda ab\|.$$

For $P_0 = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} P_{\lambda_\nu}$, we have thus $\|P_0 a\| = \|Pa\|$, $\|P_0 ab\| = \|Pab\|$. As obviously $P_0 \geq P$, we have hence $P_0 a = Pa$, $P_0 ab = Pab$. Since P_0 is associative with \mathfrak{A} by theorem 3. 4, we obtain therefore

$$Pa \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad (Pa)b = (P_0 a)b = P_0 ab = Pab.$$

Similarly we can prove the other relation.

THEOREM 3. 6. If a bounded self-adjoint operator H is associative with \mathfrak{A} ,

3) H.Nakano: Funktionen mehrerer hypermaximaler normaler Operatoren, Proc. Phys.-Math. Soc. Japan, 21 (1939) 713-728, Satz 2.

then for its spectral system E_λ ($-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$):

$$H = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0+0} E_\lambda = E_{\lambda_0},$$

E_λ is associative with \mathfrak{A} for any λ .

PROOF. As H is bounded, we assume $\|Hx\| \leq \gamma \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$. Since

$$|\lambda| = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu \lambda^2 (1 - \lambda^2)^\nu, \quad \alpha_0 = 1, \quad \alpha_\nu = \frac{2\nu - 1}{2\nu} \alpha_{\nu-1},$$

is uniformly convergent for $|\lambda| \leq 1$, putting

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{\gamma + |\lambda_0|} (H - \lambda_0), \quad -\gamma \leq \lambda_0 \leq \gamma$$

we see easily by spectral theory⁴⁾

$$(1 - E_{\lambda_0}) H_1 = \frac{1}{2} H_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_\nu H_1^2 (1 - H_1^2)^\nu,$$

$$E_{\lambda_0} = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \{1 - (1 - E_{\lambda_0}) H_1\}^\nu.$$

Therefore we obtain by theorems 3.1, 3.4 that E_{λ_0} is associative with \mathfrak{A} .

§ 4. Units.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a closed Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} .

DEFINITION. An element $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be *self-adjoint*, if $b = b^*$.

By theorems 1.3 and 1.9 we have then obviously:

THEOREM 4. 1. *An element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ is self-adjoint if and only if T_a is self-adjoint, or S_a is self-adjoint.*

DEFINITION. An element $u \in \mathfrak{A}$ is called a *unit*, if u is self-adjoint and idempotent: $u = u^*$ and $uu = u$.

By theorems 1.5 and 1.9 we see at once:

THEOREM 4. 2. *An element $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ is a unit if and only if T_a is a projection operator, or S_a is a projection operator.*

DEFINITION. For units $u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{A}$ we shall write $u_1 \geq u_2$ if $T_{u_1} \geq T_{u_2}$ as projection operators.

By theorem 1.5 and calculus of projection operators we see easily that

4) Cf. J. von Neumann: Allgemeine Eigenwerttheorie Hermitescher Funktionaloperatoren, Math. Ann. **102** (1930) 49-131, M. H. Stone: Linear transformations in Hilbert space, New York (1932).

for units we have :

THEOREM 4. 3. *If $u_1 \geq u_2$ and $u_2 \geq u_3$, then $u_1 \geq u_3$; $u_1 \geq u_2$ implies $u_1 u_2 = u_2 u_1 = u_2$; for $u_1 \geq u_2$ we obtain a unit $u_1 - u_2 \leq u_1$; and if $u_1 u_2 = 0$ and $u_2 \geq u_3$, then we have $u_1 u_3 = u_3 u_1 = 0$.*

THEOREM 4. 4. *For units, $u_1 u_2 = 0$ implies $(u_1, u_2) = 0$.*

PROOF. $(u_1, u_2) = (u_1, u_2 u_2) = (u_1 u_2, u_2) = 0$.

THEOREM 4. 5. *For a unit u and an associative projection operator $P \leq T_u$ we obtain a unit Pu for which we have $T_{Pu} = P$.*

PROOF. By theorem 3.3 we have $T_{Pu} = PT_u = P$, and hence Pu is a unit by theorem 4.2.

THEOREM 4. 6. *Let $h \in \mathfrak{A}$ be self-adjoint and E_λ ($-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$) the spectral system of T_h . For any positive number ε there exists an associative self-adjoint operator H such that Hb is a unit and $T_{Hh} = 1 - E_\varepsilon$.*

PROOF. By spectral theory we have for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|T_h x\| \geq \varepsilon \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in (1 - E_\varepsilon) \mathfrak{H}.$$

As T_h is bounded, we assume $\|T_h x\| \leq \gamma \|x\|$ for $x \in \mathfrak{H}$. Then we obtain the inverse A of $\frac{1}{\gamma} T_h$ in $(1 - E_\varepsilon) \mathfrak{H}$, as

$$Ax = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} T_h\right)^\nu x \quad \text{for } x \in (1 - E_\varepsilon) \mathfrak{H},$$

and $\frac{1}{\gamma} AT_h x = x$ for $x \in (1 - E_\varepsilon) \mathfrak{H}$. Putting $H = \frac{1}{\gamma} A(1 - E_\varepsilon)$, we obtain a bounded self-adjoint operator H on \mathfrak{H} and

$$HT_h x = \frac{1}{\gamma} AT_h (1 - E_\varepsilon) x = (1 - E_\varepsilon) x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

Since $H = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma} T_h\right)^\nu (1 - E_\varepsilon)$, H is associative with \mathfrak{A} by theorems 3.1 and 3.4, and hence $T_{Hh} = HT_h = 1 - E_\varepsilon$ by theorem 3.3. Consequently Hb is a unit by theorem 4.2.

THEOREM 4. 7. *Every closed Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} has a unit $u \neq 0$.*

PROOF. For any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $a + a^*$ and $ia \cdot ia^*$ are both self-adjoint. Therefore there exists a self-adjoint element $b \neq 0$. For a self-adjoint element $b \neq 0$, since one of T_b and T_{-b} is not negative definite, we can assume that T_b is not negative definite. Then, for the spectral system E_λ of T_b , there exists a positive number ε such that $1 - E_\varepsilon \neq 0$, and by the previous theorem there exists a

unit u for which we have $T_u = 1 - E_\epsilon \neq 0$, and hence $u \neq 0$ by theorem 1.2.

DEFINITION. A unit $u \neq 0$ is said to be *minimal*, if there is no unit $v \leq u$ except itself and 0.

THEOREM 4. 8. *In order that a unit $u \in \mathfrak{A}$ be minimal, it is necessary and sufficient that $u\mathfrak{A}u$ is one-dimensional.*

PROOF Let $u\mathfrak{A}u$ be not one-dimensional. Then there exists $x \in u\mathfrak{A}u$ such that $x \neq 0$ and $(u, x) = 0$. Since

$$x^* = (uxu)^* = ux^*u \in u\mathfrak{A}u, \quad (u, x^*) = (x, u) = 0,$$

there exists then a self-adjoint element $b \in u\mathfrak{A}u$ such that

$$(u, b) = 0, \quad \|u\| = \|b\| \neq 0.$$

T_b is self-adjoint by theorem 4.1. Let E_λ ($-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$) be the spectral system of T_b . As $ub = bu = b$, we have $T_u T_b = T_b T_u = T_b$ by theorem 1.5, and hence T_u is commutative with E_λ for all λ by spectral theory. We will now prove that there exists λ_0 for which

$$T_u E_{\lambda_0} \neq 0, \quad T_u (1 - E_{\lambda_0}) \neq 0.$$

If there is no such λ_0 , then we have

$$T_b = T_b T_u = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda T_u = \lambda T_u = T_{\lambda u}$$

for some λ , and hence $b = \lambda u$ by theorem 1.2, contradicting

$$\|b\|^2 = (\lambda u, b) = \lambda (u, b) = 0.$$

If $T_u E_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ and $T_u (1 - E_{\lambda_0}) \neq 0$, then, since E_λ is associative with \mathfrak{A} by theorem 3.6, we obtain a unit $v = T_u E_{\lambda_0} u \leq u$ by theorem 4.5, and we have $T_v = T_u E_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$, $T_u - T_v \neq 0$, that is, $v \neq 0$, $u \neq v$ by theorem 1.2. Therefore u is not minimal.

Conversely if a unit $u \neq 0$ is not minimal, then there exists a unit $v \leq u$ such that $v \neq 0$ and $u - v \neq 0$. Then, since $v(u - v) = 0$, we have $(v, u - v) = 0$ by theorem 4.4, and

$$v = uvu \in u\mathfrak{A}u, \quad u - v = u(u - v)u \in u\mathfrak{A}u.$$

Therefore $u\mathfrak{A}u$ is not one-dimensional.

§ 5. Discrete algebras.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a closed Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} .

DEFINITION. A linear manifold $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is called an *ideal*, if \mathfrak{p} satisfies

- (1) $x \wp y \subset \wp$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$;
 (2) $x \in \wp$ implies $x^* \in \wp$;
 (3) $a_\nu \in \wp$, $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a \in \mathfrak{A}$ implies $a \in \wp$.

THEOREM 5.1. *If a projection operator P is associative with \mathfrak{A} and commutative with T_x for all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, then $P\mathfrak{A}$ is an ideal and closed as a subalgebra.*

PROOF. Since for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$(Px)y = Pxy, x(Py) = T_x Py = PT_x y = Pxy,$$

$P\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (1). Since for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have,

$$((Px)y, z) = (x(Py), z) = (Py, x^*z) = (y, (Px^*)z),$$

we obtain by definition,

$$(Px)^* = Px^*$$

and hence $P\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (2). Furthermore for $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} Pa_\nu = a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} Pa_\nu = Pa = a$, and hence $a \in P\mathfrak{A}$, that is, $P\mathfrak{A}$ satisfies (3).

If $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} a_\nu = a$, $a_\nu \in P\mathfrak{A}$, and $\|T_{a_\nu} x\| \leq \gamma \|x\|$ for $x \in P\mathfrak{A}$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), then we have for any $x \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\|T_{a_\nu} x\| = \|T_{Pa_\nu} x\| = \|PT_{a_\nu} x\| = \|T_{a_\nu} Px\| \leq \gamma \|Px\| \leq \gamma \|x\|.$$

Since \mathfrak{A} is closed by assumption, we have then $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and obviously $Pa = a$. Therefore $P\mathfrak{A}$ is closed as a subalgebra.

DEFINITION. An ideal \wp is said to be *simple*, if there is no ideal contained in \wp except itself and $\{0\}$.

Let $u \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a minimal unit, and $e_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}u$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) a maximal orthogonal system contained in $\mathfrak{A}u$ such that

$$\|e_\lambda\| = \|u\|, e_{\lambda_0} = u \text{ for some } \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$$

that is, $\mathfrak{A}u$ contains no element except 0, which is orthogonal to all e_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). As $e_\lambda = e_\lambda u$, we have then

$$e_\lambda^* e_\rho = u \lambda^* e_\rho u \in \mathfrak{A}u.$$

Since $\mathfrak{A}u$ is one-dimensional by theorem 4.8, there exists a complex number $\delta_{\lambda, \rho}$ such that

$$(e_\lambda^* e_\rho = \delta_{\lambda, \rho} u,$$

and $\delta_{\lambda, \rho} \|u\|^2 = (\delta_{\lambda, \rho} u, u) = (e_\lambda^* e_\rho, u) = (e_\rho, e_\lambda)$. Therefore we have by assumpti

$$\delta_{\lambda, \rho} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \lambda = \rho, \\ 0 & \text{for } \lambda \neq \rho. \end{cases}$$

By (*) we see easily :

$$(**) \quad \begin{aligned} (e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}) (e_\mu e_{\kappa^*}) &= \delta_{\lambda, \mu} e_\rho e_{\kappa^*}, \\ (e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}, e_\mu e_{\kappa^*}) &= (e_{\mu^*} e_\rho, e_{\kappa^*} e_\lambda) = \delta_{\rho, \mu} \delta_{\lambda, \kappa} \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}$ ($\rho, \lambda \in \Lambda$) constitute an orthogonal system. Since $e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}$ is a unit by (**), we obtain a projection operator P as

$$P = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}}$$

which is associative with \mathfrak{A} by theorem 3.5. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ we have by (*)

$$P e_\lambda = P e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*} e_\lambda = P T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}} e_\lambda = T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}} e_\lambda = e_\lambda,$$

and hence $(1 - P) \mathfrak{A} u = \{0\}$, because e_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is a maximal orthogonal system in $\mathfrak{A} u$. Since \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{F} , we have $(1 - P) S u = 0$, namely $P \geq S u$, and e_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is a complete orthogonal system of $S u \mathfrak{F}$. For any $\rho \in \Lambda$, since by (*) we have $S_{e_\rho^*} S u = S_{e_\rho^*}$, and

$$(S_{e_\rho^*} x, e_\lambda e_{\rho^*}) = (x, e_\lambda e_{\rho^*} e_\rho) = (S u x, e_\lambda)$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we see easily that $e_\lambda e_{\rho^*}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is a complete orthogonal system of $S_{e_\rho^*} \mathfrak{F}$. Furthermore we have by (**)

$$T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}} e_\lambda e_{\rho^*} = e_\lambda e_{\rho^*},$$

and hence we obtain $P \geq \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}}$. On the other hand, e_{λ^*} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is a maximal orthogonal system of $u \mathfrak{A}$, because $(x, y) = (y^*, x^*)$ for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$ by theorem 1.7. Therefore we can prove similarly that for any $\rho \in \Lambda$, $e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) is a complete orthogonal system of $T_{e_\rho} \mathfrak{F}$ and $\bigcup_{\lambda} T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}} \leq \bigcup S_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}}$. Consequently we have

$$P = \bigcup_{\lambda} T_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}} = \bigcup_{\lambda} S_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}}$$

and $e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}$ ($\rho, \lambda \in \Lambda$) is a complete orthogonal system of $P \mathfrak{F}$. Since $S_{e_\lambda e_{\lambda^*}}$ is commutative with T_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, P is commutative with T_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.⁵⁾ Therefore $P \mathfrak{A}$ is an ideal by theorem 5.1.

Since $e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}$ ($\rho, \lambda \in \Lambda$) is a complete orthogonal system of $P \mathfrak{A}$ and $\|e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}\| = \|u\|$ by (**), every element $a \in P \mathfrak{A}$ is represented uniquely as

$$a = \sum_{\rho, \lambda} \alpha_{\rho, \lambda} e_\rho e_{\lambda^*}, \quad \sum_{\rho, \lambda} |\alpha_{\rho, \lambda}|^2 = \frac{\|a\|^2}{\|u\|^2},$$

5) H. Nakano: Funktionen ..., Satz 3.

and for $b = \sum_{\rho, \lambda} \beta_{\rho, \lambda} e_{\rho} e_{\lambda}^* \in P\mathfrak{A}$ we have by (**)

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= \sum_{\rho, \lambda} \{ \alpha_{\rho, \lambda} e_{\rho} e_{\lambda}^* \sum_{\mu, \kappa} \beta_{\mu, \kappa} e_{\mu} e_{\kappa}^* \} \\ &= \sum_{\rho, \mu} \sum_{\kappa} \alpha_{\rho, \mu} \beta_{\mu, \kappa} e_{\rho} e_{\kappa}^* = \sum_{\rho, \kappa} \left(\sum_{\mu} \alpha_{\rho, \mu} \beta_{\mu, \kappa} \right) e_{\rho} e_{\kappa}^*, \\ (a, b) &= \|u\|^2 \sum_{\rho, \kappa} \alpha_{\rho, \kappa} \bar{\beta}_{\rho, \kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see easily by Schwarz's inequality that we have

$$(***) \quad \|xy\| \leq \frac{1}{\|u\|} \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for } x, y \in P\mathfrak{A}$$

and hence $P\mathfrak{A}$ is bounded as a subalgebra. Since $P\mathfrak{A}$ is closed as a subalgebra by theorem 5.1, we have hence $P\mathfrak{A} = P\mathfrak{F}$ by theorem 2.3.

We can prove easily that $P\mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal, in customary way: for an ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset P\mathfrak{A}$, if $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{p}$, then there exists $\rho_0, \lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ such that

$$a = \sum_{\rho, \lambda} \alpha_{\rho, \lambda} e_{\rho} e_{\lambda}^*, \quad \alpha_{\rho_0, \lambda_0} \neq 0,$$

and then \mathfrak{p} contains $(1/\alpha_{\rho_0, \lambda_0}) e_{\rho_0} e_{\lambda_0}^* a e_{\rho_0} e_{\lambda_0}^* = e_{\rho_0} e_{\lambda_0}^*$, and hence all $e_{\rho} e_{\lambda}^*$, since we have by (**)

$$e_{\rho} e_{\lambda}^* = (e_{\rho} e_{\rho_0}^*) (e_{\rho_0} e_{\lambda_0}^*) (e_{\lambda_0} e_{\lambda}^*)$$

for any $\rho, \lambda \in \Lambda$. Consequently we have $\mathfrak{p} \supset P\mathfrak{A}$.

For any minimal unit $v \in P\mathfrak{A}$ we obtain similarly a projection operator Q , such that $Q\mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal and $Q\mathfrak{A} \ni v$. Then the intersection of $P\mathfrak{A}$ and $Q\mathfrak{A}$ is also an ideal containing v , and hence $P\mathfrak{A} = Q\mathfrak{A}$. Therefore we have $\|u\| = \|v\|$ by (***) .

Since $(1 - P)\mathfrak{A}$ is also an ideal and closed as a subalgebra by theorem 5.1, we obtain by Zorn's lemma or transfinite induction :

THEOREM 5. 2. *For any closed Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} there exists uniquely a system of associative projection operators P_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) such that P_{λ} is commutative with T_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$; $P_{\lambda} P_{\rho} = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \rho$; $P_{\lambda} \mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal and isometric to a full-matrix algebra with the order $1/\|u\|$ for any minimal unit u of $P_{\lambda} \mathfrak{A}$; $P_{\lambda} \mathfrak{A} = P_{\lambda} \mathfrak{F}$; and $(1 - \bigcup_{\lambda} P_{\lambda}) \mathfrak{A}$ has no minimal unit.*

DEFINITION. A Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be *discrete*, if for any unit $u \neq 0$ there exists a minimal unit $v \leq u$.

THEOREM 5. 3. *In order that a closed Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} be discrete, it is necessary and sufficient that for every self-adjoint element $h \in \mathfrak{A}$, T_h has no*

continuous spectrum.

PROOF. If \mathfrak{A} is not discrete, then we see easily by theorems 4.3, 4.4, and 4.5 that there exists a system of units u_λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) such that $u_\lambda \leq u_\mu$ for $\lambda < \mu$ and $\|u_\lambda\|$ is a continuous function of λ for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. By spectral theory as

$$H = \int_0^1 \lambda d\lambda T_{u_\lambda},$$

we obtain then a bounded self-adjoint operator H with continuous spectrum. Furthermore H is associative with \mathfrak{A} by theorem 3.6. Putting $b = Hu_1$, we have then $T_b = HT_{u_1} = H$ by theorem 3.3.

Conversely if there is a self-adjoint element $b \in \mathfrak{A}$ for which T_b has a continuous spectrum, then for the spectral system E_λ ($-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$) of T_b , putting

$$P = \bigcup_{\lambda} (E_\lambda - E_{\lambda-0}),$$

we obtain an associative projection operator P by theorems 3.4 and 3.6, and putting $k = (1 - P)b$, we obtain a self-adjoint element $k \in \mathfrak{A}$, for which the spectral system of T_k consists only of continuous spectrum, since $T_k = (1 - P)T_b$ by theorem 3.3. Then we see easily by theorem 4.6 that there exists a system of units u_λ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) such that $u_\lambda \leq u_\mu$ for $\lambda < \mu$, $u_0 \neq u_1$, and $\|u_\lambda\|$ is a continuous function of λ . Putting $u = u_1 - u_0$, we obtain then a unit u by theorem 4.3, and for any positive number ϵ there exists a finite number of units v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k such that

$$u = v_1 + \dots + v_k, \quad v_\nu v_\mu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu, \quad \|v_\nu\| \leq \epsilon.$$

If there exists a minimal unit $v \leq u$, then we obtain an associative projection operator P by theorem 5.2 such that P is commutative with T_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, $P\mathfrak{A} \ni v$, and

$$\|xy\| \leq \frac{1}{\|v\|} \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in P\mathfrak{A}.$$

Since $Pu = Pv_1 + \dots + Pv_k$, we have $Pv_\nu \neq 0$ for some ν .

For such ν , since $TP_{v_\nu} = PT_{v_\nu}$ and PT_{v_ν} is also a projection operator P_{v_ν} is a unit by theorem 4.2, and $\|P_{v_\nu}\| \leq \|v_\nu\| \leq \epsilon$, contradicting that $\|P_{v_\nu}\| \geq \|v\|$ and $\epsilon > 0$ may be arbitrary.

THEOREM 5.4. *In order that a closed Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} be bounded, it is necessary and sufficient that for any unit $u \neq 0$ there exists a finite number of minimal units u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k such that*

$$u = u_1 + \cdots + u_\kappa, \quad u_\nu u_\mu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu.$$

PROOF. If $\|xy\| \leq \gamma \|x\| \|y\|$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $\|u\| \geq 1/\gamma$ for any unit $u \neq 0$. Since for two units $u \geq v$, $u - v$ is also a unit by theorem 4.3 and

$$\|u\|^2 = \|v\|^2 + \|u - v\|^2$$

by theorem 4.4. Therefore we see easily that the condition of theorem is satisfied.

Conversely if the condition in theorem is satisfied, then \mathfrak{A} is obviously discrete by definition, and there exists a system of associative projection operators P_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) indicated in theorem 5.2 with $\bigcup P_\lambda = 1$. Let u_λ be a minimal unit in $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}$. Then we have by theorem 5.2

$$\|xy\| \leq \frac{1}{\|u_\lambda\|} \|x\| \|y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}.$$

If $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|u_\lambda\| = 0$, then there exists $\lambda_\nu \in \Lambda$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$) for which we have

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \|u_{\lambda_\nu}\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Since $u_{\lambda_\nu} u_{\lambda_\mu} = (P_{\lambda_\nu} u_{\lambda_\nu}) (P_{\lambda_\mu} u_{\lambda_\mu}) = P_{\lambda_\nu} P_{\lambda_\mu} u_{\lambda_\nu} u_{\lambda_\mu} = 0$ for $\nu \neq \mu$, $u_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + u_{\lambda_\nu}$ is a unit and $\|T_{u_{\lambda_1} + \cdots + u_{\lambda_\nu}}\| \leq 1$. Therefore we obtain a unit $u = u_{\lambda_1} + u_{\lambda_2} + \cdots$. For such unit u , by assumption there exists a finite number of minimal units $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\kappa$ such that

$$u = v_1 + \cdots + v_\kappa, \quad v_\nu v_\mu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu.$$

We have then $u_{\lambda_\nu} = P_{\lambda_\nu} v_1 + \cdots + P_{\lambda_\nu} v_\kappa$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$), and, since $P_{\lambda_\nu} v_\mu = P_{\lambda_\nu} T_{v_\mu} v_\mu$, $P_{\lambda_\nu} v_\mu$ is also a unit by theorem 4.5. Thus $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\kappa$ must coincide with a finite number of $u_{\lambda_1}, u_{\lambda_2}, \dots$, contradicting $u_{\lambda_\nu} \neq 0$ ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$). Therefore there exists a positive number ε such that $\|u_\lambda\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and we have then for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|xy\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (P_\lambda x) (P_\lambda y) \right\|^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|(P_\lambda x) (P_\lambda y)\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|P_\lambda x\|^2 \|P_\lambda y\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\sum_{\lambda} \|P_\lambda x\|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{\lambda} \|P_\lambda y\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 5.5. *In order that a closed Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} be bounded and every simple ideal be finite-dimensional, it is necessary and sufficient that T_a be completely continuous for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

PROOF First we assume that T_a is completely continuous for every $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Since \mathfrak{A} is discrete by theorem 5.3, for any unit $u \neq 0$ there exists a sequence of minimal units $u_\nu (\nu = 1, 2, \dots)$ by theorem 4.3 such that

$$u = u_1 + u_2 + \dots, \quad u_\nu u_\mu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu.$$

As T_u is completely continuous by assumption, $T_u \mathfrak{H}$ must be finite-dimensional, and

$$T_u \mathfrak{H} \ni T_u u_\nu = u_\nu \quad (\nu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus $u_\nu = 0$ except for finite ν , and hence \mathfrak{A} is bounded by the previous theorem. Let $P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ be a system of projection operators indicated in theorem 5.2. For any minimal unit $u \in P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}$, since $T_u \mathfrak{H}$ is finite-dimensional, $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}$ is also finite-dimensional by its construction. Therefore every simple ideal is finite-dimensional.

Conversely if T_a is not completely continuous for some $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, then T_{a^*a} is also not completely continuous, because if T_{a^*a} is completely continuous, then $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (a_\nu, x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$ implies $\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} T_{a^*a} a_\nu = 0$, and hence

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{a^*a} a_\nu\|^2 = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} (T_{a^*a} a_\nu, a_\nu) = 0,$$

contradicting that T_a is not completely continuous. Since T_{a^*a} is self-adjoint and positive definite, by theorem 4.6 there exists a unit u for which $T_u \mathfrak{H}$ is infinite-dimensional. If \mathfrak{A} is further bounded, then by the previous theorem there exists a finite number of minimal units u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k such that

$$u = u_1 + \dots + u_k, \quad u_\nu u_\mu = 0 \text{ for } \nu \neq \mu.$$

Then, as $T_u = T_{u_1} + \dots + T_{u_k}$, $T_{u_\nu} \mathfrak{H}$ is infinite-dimensional for some ν . Let $P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ be a projection operators indicated in theorem 5.2. There exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ for which $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A} \ni u_\nu$, namely $P_\lambda u_\nu = u_\nu$, and hence $P_\lambda T_{u_\nu} = T_{u_\nu}$ by theorem 3.3, that is, $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A} \supset T_{u_\nu} \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal but not finite-dimensional.

§ 6. Maximal algebras.

In the sequel we consider only maximal Hilbert algebra. Let \mathfrak{A} be a maximal Hilbert algebra in a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . \mathfrak{A} is naturally closed by theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 6. 1. *If a projection operator P is commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, then P is associative with \mathfrak{A} , $P \mathfrak{A}$ is an ideal and $P \mathfrak{A}$ is also maximal as a subalgebra.*

PROOF. First we will prove that $P\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$. If $a, b, Pa, Pb \in \mathfrak{A}$, then, since P is commutative with T_a and S_b by assumption, we see easily that

$$(Pa)b = a(Pb) = Pab, \quad ((1-P)a)b = a((1-P)b) = (1-P)ab.$$

Therefore, denoting by $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ the set of $Pa + (1-P)b$ for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$, we obtain a Hilbert algebra, if we define

$$\begin{aligned} (Pa_1 + (1-P)b_1)(Pa_2 + (1-P)b_2) &= Pa_1a_2 + (1-P)b_1b_2, \\ (Pa + (1-P)b)^* &= Pa^* + (1-P)b^*, \end{aligned}$$

and $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}}$ contains obviously \mathfrak{A} as a subalgebra. Since \mathfrak{A} is maximal by assumption, we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathfrak{A}$, and hence $P\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$. Thus P is associative with \mathfrak{A} , and consequently $P\mathfrak{A}$ is an ideal by theorem 5.1.

For any extension $\hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ of $P\mathfrak{A}$ in the Hilbert space $P\mathfrak{H}$, we see also similarly that $\hat{\mathfrak{A}} + (1-P)\mathfrak{A}$ is a Hilbert algebra, if we define,

$$(x+a)(y+b) = xy + ab, \quad (x+a)^* = x^* + a^*$$

for $x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $a, b \in (1-P)\mathfrak{A}$, and $\hat{\mathfrak{A}} + (1-P)\mathfrak{A}$ contains \mathfrak{A} as a subalgebra. Since \mathfrak{A} is maximal by assumption, we have hence $\hat{\mathfrak{A}} = P\mathfrak{A}$, that is, $P\mathfrak{A}$ is maximal as a subalgebra.

THEOREM 6. 2. *For any ideal \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{A} there exists a projection operator P such that P is commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $P\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{p}$.*

PROOF. Let P be the projection operator of the closed linear manifold spanned by \mathfrak{p} . Since \mathfrak{p} is an ideal by assumption, for any $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$T_a P x = a x = P T_a P x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

As \mathfrak{p} is dense in $P\mathfrak{H}$, we have hence $T_a P = P T_a P$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and furthermore

$$P T_a = (T_{a^*} P)^* = (P T_{a^*} P)^* = P T_a P.$$

Thus P is commutative with T_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Similarly we can prove that P is commutative with S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore we have $P\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ by the previous theorem. Since \mathfrak{p} is dense in $P\mathfrak{A}$, we have hence $\mathfrak{p} = P\mathfrak{A}$ by definition of ideals.

THEOREM 6. 3. *If two projection operators P and Q are both commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, then we have $PQ = QP$.*

PROOF. By theorem 6.1 $P\mathfrak{A}$ and $Q\mathfrak{A}$ are both ideals of \mathfrak{A} . First we assume that $P\mathfrak{A}$ and $Q\mathfrak{A}$ have no common element except 0. Then we have obviously

$$(P \mathfrak{A}) (Q \mathfrak{A}) = \{0\}.$$

Therefore for any $a, b \in P \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in Q \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$(ab, x) = (b, a^*x) = 0.$$

Since $(P \mathfrak{A}) (P \mathfrak{A})$ is complete in $P \mathfrak{H}$ by theorem 1.6, we obtain hence $PQ \mathfrak{A} = \{0\}$. As \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{H} , we have thus $PQ = 0$, and consequently $QP = 0$.

In general, the intersection \mathfrak{p} of $P \mathfrak{A}$ and $Q \mathfrak{A}$ is obviously also an ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Therefore there exists a projection operator R such that $\mathfrak{p} = R \mathfrak{A}$ and R is commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then, since $P \mathfrak{A} \supset R \mathfrak{A}$ and \mathfrak{A} is dense in \mathfrak{H} , we have $P \geq R$. Similarly we can prove that $Q \geq R$. Furthermore $(P - R) \mathfrak{A}$ and $(Q - R) \mathfrak{A}$ have no common element except 0. Thus we have

$$(P - R) (Q - R) = (Q - R) (P - R) = 0,$$

and consequently $PQ = QP$.

Let \mathfrak{P} be the set of all projection operators, which are commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. By the theorems proved above, we see that \mathfrak{P} is a Boolean algebra of projection operators and $\mathfrak{P} \ni P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ implies $\mathfrak{P} \ni \bigcup_\lambda P_\lambda, \bigcap_\lambda P_\lambda$.⁶⁾

For any atomic element $P \in \mathfrak{P}$, $P \mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal by theorem 6.2. Let $P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ be the system of all atomic elements of \mathfrak{P} . Then we have obviously $P_\lambda P_\rho = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \rho$. Putting $Q = 1 - \bigcup_\lambda P_\lambda$, we obtain $Q \in \mathfrak{P}$, and $Q \mathfrak{A}$ has no atomic element, and hence $Q \mathfrak{A}$ contains no simple ideal by theorem 6.2. Therefore we have:

THEOREM 6.4. *For a maximal Hilbert algebra \mathfrak{A} there exists a system of projection operators $P_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$ such that $P_\lambda P_\rho = 0$ for $\lambda \neq \rho$, P_λ is commutative with T_a and S_a for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. $P_\lambda \mathfrak{A}$ is a simple ideal, and $(1 - \bigcup_\lambda P_\lambda) \mathfrak{A}$ contains no simple ideal.*

Mathematical Department,
Tôkyô University, Tôkyô.

6) H. Nakano: Funktionen ... Satz.3.