

## ON THE LACUNARY FOURIER SERIES

SHIGERU TAKAHASHI

(Received October 1, 1966)

**1. Introduction.** Lacunary trigonometric series have many interesting properties. One of them is as follows (cf. [1] p. 203);

**THEOREM OF ZYGMUND.** *Let  $\{n_k\}$  be a sequence of positive integers with a Hadamard's gap, that is,*

$$(1.1) \quad n_{k+1} > n_k(1+c) \quad (c > 0),$$

*and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2$  a divergent series where  $a_k$ 's are non-negative real numbers. Then for any sequence of real numbers  $\{\alpha_k\}$  the trigonometric series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(n_k x + \alpha_k)$$

*diverges almost everywhere and also is not a Fourier series.*

The purpose of the present note is to weaken the lacunarity condition (1.1). In fact we shall prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\{n_k\}$  be a sequence of positive integers and  $\{a_k\}$  a sequence of non-negative real numbers satisfying*

$$(1.2) \quad n_{k+1} > n_k(1+ck^{-\alpha}) \quad (c > 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2),$$

$$(1.3) \quad A_N = \left(2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2\right)^{1/2} \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } a_N = O(A_N N^{-\alpha}), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

*Then for any sequence of real numbers  $\{\alpha_k\}$  the trigonometric series*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos(n_k x + \alpha_k)$$

*diverges almost everywhere and also is not a Fourier series.*

If  $\alpha$  is zero, then our theorem is that of Zygmund.

**2. Some Lemmas.** I. The next lemma is easily seen.

LEMMA 1. *Let the functions  $g_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , be in  $L^p(0, 2\pi)$ ,  $p > 1$ , and bounded in  $L^p$ -norm. If for each  $t \in (0, 2\pi)$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t g_n(x) dx = t,$$

*then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E g_n(x) dx = |E|, \text{ } ^1) \text{ for any set } E \subset (0, 2\pi).$$

LEMMA 2. *For any trigonometric series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k)$  put*

$$D_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^2 c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k), \quad D_m(x) = \sum_{k=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}} c_k \cos(kx + \gamma_k) \quad (m \geq 1).$$

*Then there exists a positive constant  $K$  such that*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^N D_m(x) \right\}^4 dx \leq K \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^N D_m^2(x) \right\}^2 dx \quad (N \geq 0),$$

*and also the constant  $K$  does not depend on the series.*

This lemma is a special case of Theorem (2.1) on p. 224 in [2], but in this case we can prove it more easily by direct computations.

II. From now on let us assume that the sequence  $\{n_k\}$  satisfies the gap condition (1.2). First let us put

$$(2.1) \quad p(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(k) = \max_m \{m; n_m \leq 2^k\} \quad (k \geq 1). \text{ } ^2)$$

By (1.2) and (2.1) we have if  $p(k)+1 < p(k+1)$ , then

1)  $|E|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $E$ .

2) For some  $k$ ,  $p(k)$  may be equal to  $p(k+1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 2 > n_{p(k+1)}/n_{p(k)+1} &> \prod_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)-1} (1+cm^{-\alpha}) \\ &> 1 + \{p(k+1) - p(k) - 1\} p^{-\alpha}(k+1), \end{aligned}$$

and this implies that

$$(2.2) \quad p(k+1) - p(k) = O(p^\alpha(k)),$$

$$(2.3) \quad p(k+1)/p(k) \rightarrow 1, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

LEMMA 3. For any given integers  $k, j, q$  and  $h$  satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} k \geq j+3, p(j) + 1 < h \leq p(j+1), \\ p(k) + 1 < q \leq p(k+1), \end{cases}$$

the total number of solutions  $(n_r, n_i)$  of the following equations

$$(2.5) \quad n_q - n_r = (n_h \pm n_i), \text{ where } p(j) < i < h \text{ and } p(k) < r < q,$$

is at most  $K2^{j-k} p^\alpha(k)$ , where  $K$  does not depend on  $k, j, q$  and  $h$ .

PROOF. From (2.5) and (2.4) it is seen that

$$n_r = n_q - (n_h \pm n_i) > n_q - 2^{j+2} > n_q(1 - 2^{j-k+2}) \geq n_q(1 + 2^{j-k+3})^{-1}.$$

Thus if  $m_1$  (or  $m_2$ ) is the smallest (or largest) index of  $n$ 's satisfying either of the equations (2.5), then we have

$$1 + 2^{j-k+3} > n_{m_2+1}/n_{m_1} > \prod_{k=m_1}^{m_2} (1+ck^{-\alpha}) > 1 + (m_2 - m_1 + 1) p^{-\alpha}(k+1).$$

Hence, by (2.3) we can prove the lemma.

**3. Proof of the Theorem.** From now on we shall assume for simplicity of writing the formulas (1.4) is a cosine series:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos n_k x.$$

The proof of the general case follows the same lines.

I. First let us put as follows :

$$S_N(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \cos n_k x, \quad A_N = \left( 2^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\Delta_k(x) = \sum_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} a_m \cos n_m x, \quad B_k = \left( 2^{-1} \sum_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} a_m^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad C_N = \left( \sum_{k=0}^N B_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, from (1.3) and (2.2) it is seen that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_x |\Delta_k(x)| \leq \sum_{m=p(k)+1}^{p(k+1)} |a_m| = O(C_k), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

II. By (3.1) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j=k-2}^k \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta_k^2(x) \Delta_j^2(x) dx = O\left( C_N^2 \sum_{k=0}^N B_k^2 \right) = O(C_N^4), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further from the definition of  $\Delta_k(x)$  we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \Delta_k^2(x) \Delta_j^2(x) dx \leq 8\pi B_k^2 B_j^2 + \int_0^{2\pi} V_k(x) V_j(x) dx,$$

where

$$V_k(x) = \sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} \sum_{r=p(k)+1}^{q-1} a_q a_r \{ \cos(n_q + n_r)x + \cos(n_q - n_r)x \}.$$

Applying Lemma 3 to  $V_k(x) V_j(x)$ ,  $k-3 \geq j$ , we have

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} V_k(x) V_j(x) dx \right|$$

$$\leq K 2^{j-k} p^\alpha(k) \sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} |a_q| \sum_{h=p(j)+2}^{p(j+1)} |a_h| \left( \max_{p(k)<r<q} |a_r| \right) \left( \max_{p(j)<i<h} |a_i| \right).$$

Since (1.3), (2.2) and Schwarz' inequality imply that

$$\sum_{q=p(k)+2}^{p(k+1)} |a_q| \left( \max_{p(k)<r<q} |a_r| \right) = O(B_k C_k p^{-\alpha/2}(k)), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty,$$

---

1) If  $p(k) = p(k+1)$ , then  $A_k(x)$  and  $B_k$  denote zeroes.

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} V_k(x) V_j(x) dx \right| &= O\left( (C_k^2 B_k p^{\alpha/2}(k) \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} B_j p^{-\alpha/2}(j)) \right) \\ &= O\left\{ C_k^2 B_k p^{\alpha/2}(k) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} B_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} p^{-\alpha}(j) \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by (2.3) we have

$$\left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} p^{-\alpha}(j) \right) = O(p^{-\alpha}(k)), \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=3}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} V_k(x) V_j(x) dx \right| &= O\left( C_N^2 \sum_{k=0}^N B_k \left( \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} B_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &= O(C_N^2) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N B_k^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N \sum_{j=0}^{k-3} 2^{j-k} B_j^2 \right\}^{1/2} = O(C_N^4), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.3) and (3.2) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_k^2(x) \right\}^2 dx = O(C_N^4), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By (3.4) if we apply Lemma 2 to  $S_N(x)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^N \Delta_k(x) \right\}^4 dx = O(C_N^4), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Further for any  $q$ ,  $p(k) < q \leq p(k+1)$ , (1.3) and (2.2) imply that

$$\sum_{m=p(k)+1}^q |a_m| = O\left( A_q p^{-\alpha}(k) \{p(k+1) - p(k)\} \right) = O(A_q), \text{ as } q \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \{A_N^{-1} S_N(x)\}^4 dx = O(1), \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

III. Since  $\left| \int_0^t \cos nx dx \right| \leq 2n^{-1}$ , we have, by (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t S_N^2(x) dx - tA_N^2 \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N a_k^2/n_k + 4 \sum_{k=2}^N \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} |a_k a_j| (n_k - n_j)^{-1} \\ &= o(A_N^2) \left( 1 + \sum_{k=2}^N k(n_k - n_{k-1})^{-1} \right), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (1.2) it is seen that for some positive constant  $K$

$$n_k - n_{k-1} > ck^{-\alpha} n_{k-1} > ck^{-\alpha} n_1 \prod_{m=1}^{k-2} (1 + cm^{-\alpha}) > k^{-\alpha} K \exp(Kk^{1-\alpha}),$$

and this implies that for each  $t \in (0, 2\pi)$

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_0^t S_N^2(x) dx - tA_N^2 \right| = o(A_N^2), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow +\infty.$$

By (3.5), (3.6) and Lemma 1 we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \{A_N^{-1} S_N(x)\}^2 dx = |E|, \quad \text{for any set } E \subset (0, 2\pi).$$

IV. Suppose, on the contrary, that there exists a subsequence  $\{S_{m_k}(x)\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , which converges on a set  $E$ ,  $E \subset (0, 2\pi)$ , of positive measure. Then by the well known theorem of Egoroff we can find a subset  $E_0$  of  $E$ ,  $|E_0| > 0$ , and a number  $M$  such that  $|S_{m_k}(x)| \leq M$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $x \in E_0$ . Therefore, for this set  $E_0$  we have

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_0} \{A_{m_k}^{-1} S_{m_k}(x)\}^2 dx = 0.$$

While, (3.8) contradicts (3.7). Thus any subsequence of  $\{S_n(x)\}$  diverges almost everywhere. This proves the first part of the theorem.

V. Suppose that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos n_k x$  is a Fourier series. Then it is well known that its partial sums converge in  $L^p$ -norm,  $0 < p < 1$ . Hence there exists a subsequence  $\{S_{m_k}(x)\}$  which converges almost everywhere. Thus by the conclusion of the preceding section we arrive at a contradiction and the series can not be a Fourier series.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ZYGMUND, Trigonometric Series, Vol. I, Cambridge University Press, 1959.
- [2] A. ZYGMUND, *ibid.*, Vol. II.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
KANAZAWA UNIVERSITY,  
KANAZAWA, JAPAN.