

# RIEMANN-CESÀRO METHODS OF SUMMABILITY V

HIROSHI HIROKAWA

(Received March 22, 1960)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $s_n^\alpha$  be the Cesàro sum of a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  with  $a_0 = 0$ , that is,  $A_n^\alpha$  being Andersen's notation,

$$s_n^\alpha = \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\alpha a_\nu,$$

and let  $\sigma_n^\alpha$  be the Cesàro mean of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ , that is,  $\sigma_n^\alpha = s_n^\alpha / A_n^\alpha$ . The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be evaluable  $(C, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , to  $s$ , if  $\sigma_n^\alpha \rightarrow s$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $k > 0$  and  $\lambda_n = \log(n+1)$ . If, when  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\sum_{\lambda_n < \omega} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega}\right)^k a_n \rightarrow s,$$

then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be evaluable  $(\log n, k)$  to  $s$ . It is well-known that a series evaluable  $(C, k)$  is also evaluable  $(\log n, k)$  to the same sum. In the following, let  $p$  be a positive integer and let  $\alpha$  be a real number such that  $\alpha \geq -1$ . The series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is said to be evaluable by Riemann-Cesàro method of order  $p$  and index  $\alpha$ , or briefly, to be evaluable  $(R, p, \alpha)$  to  $s$ , if the series

$$t^{\alpha+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^\alpha \left(\frac{\sin nt}{nt}\right)^p$$

converges in some interval  $0 < t < t_0$  and its sum tends to  $C_{p,\alpha} s$  as  $t \rightarrow 0+$ , where

$$C_{p,\alpha} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-p} (\sin u)^p du, & -1 < \alpha < p-1 \text{ or } \alpha=0, p=1, \\ 1, & \alpha = -1. \end{cases}$$

Under this definition, the summabilities  $(R, p, -1)$  and  $(R, p, 0)$  are the well-known summabilities  $(R, p)$  and  $(R_p)$ , respectively. In our earlier papers [2, 3, 4],

we have investigated some properties on this summability. In particular, sufficient conditions for the summability  $(R, p, \alpha)$  has been considered. For example, that the series is evaluable  $(C, p - 1 - \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , is sufficient for the summability  $(R, p, \alpha)$ . See [2; Corollary 1]. The purpose of this paper is to establish necessary conditions for the summability  $(R, p, \alpha)$ . In this direction, the following theorems are known.

**THEOREM A** (B. Kuttner [6]) *If a series is evaluable  $(R, 2)$ , it is also evaluable  $(C, 2 + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , to the same sum. Further the series is evaluable  $(\log n, 2)$  to the same sum.*

**THEOREM B** (A. Zygmund [8]) *If a series is evaluable  $(R, 1)$ , it is also evaluable  $(C, 1 + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , to the same sum. Further the series is evaluable  $(\log n, 1)$  to the same sum.*

For the summability  $(R, p, \alpha)$ , we shall prove the following theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *A series evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ , is evaluable  $(C, 2 + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , to the same sum.*

**THEOREM 2.** *A series evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$ , is evaluable  $(\log n, 2)$  to the same sum.*

**THEOREM 3.** *A series evaluable  $(R, 1, \alpha)$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$ , is evaluable  $(C, 1 + \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , to the same sum.*

**THEOREM 4.** *A series evaluable  $(R, 1, \alpha)$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$ , is evaluable  $(\log n, 1)$  to the same sum.*

**THEOREM 5.** *A series evaluable  $(R, 1, \alpha)$ ,  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 0$ , is evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$  to the same sum.*

In theorems 1-4, if we put  $\alpha=0$ , we obtain  $(R_p)$  analogues of Theorems A and B. When  $\alpha = -1$ , Theorem 5 was proved by B. Kuttner [7]. See also G. H. Hardy [1; p. 365]. Theorems 1-5 are proved in the sections 3-7 of this paper. I take this opportunity of expressing my heartfelt thanks to Professors G. Sunouchi and T. Tsuchikura for their kind encouragements and valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin^2 nt$  converges for all  $t$  in an interval, then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n$  is convergent.*

This Lemma is due to B. Kuttner [6]. See also G. H. Hardy [1; p. 366].

LEMMA 2. (B. Kuttner [6]) *If a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \cos nt$  converges to zero uniformly for  $t$  in an interval including origin, then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 b_n$  is evaluable (C, 2) to zero.*

LEMMA 3. *Let  $t^2 \gamma_2(t) = 1 - \cos t$  and  $\gamma_2'(t) = d\gamma_2(t)/dt$ . Then, for fixed  $\eta > 0$  and large  $\omega$  and  $n$ ,*

$$\int_0^\eta \left\{ \omega^2 \gamma_2'(\omega t) + \frac{2}{\omega \eta^3} - \frac{\sin \omega t}{\eta^2} - \frac{2 \cos \omega t}{\omega \eta^3} \right\} \sin nt \, dt = -n G(n, \omega) + R(n, \omega),$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad G(n, \omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2} \left(1 - \frac{n}{\omega}\right) & \text{for } n \leq \omega \\ 0 & \text{for } n > \omega, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) \quad R(n, \omega) = \frac{2}{\omega n \eta^3} - \frac{2n}{\omega \eta^3 (n^2 - \omega^2)} + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\omega - n)^2}\right)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad R(n, \omega) = O(1).$$

PROOF. It is known (E. W. Hobson [5; p. 567]) that

$$\int_0^\infty \gamma_2(t) \cos \frac{n}{\omega} t \, dt = G(n, \omega).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \omega \int_{-\infty}^\infty \gamma_2\{\omega(t-x)\} \sin nt \, dt &= \frac{1}{2} \omega \int_0^\infty \gamma_2(\omega t) \{\sin n(x+t) + \sin n(x-t)\} \, dt \\ &= G(n, \omega) \sin nx. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating this equation with respect to  $x$ , and then putting  $x=0$ , we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad -\omega^2 \int_0^\infty \gamma_2'(\omega t) \sin nt \, dt = n G(n, \omega).$$

On the one hand

$$\omega^2 \int_\eta^\infty \gamma_2'(\omega t) \sin nt \, dt = -\frac{2}{\omega} \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\sin nt}{t^3} \, dt + \int_\eta^\infty \frac{\sin \omega t \sin nt}{t^2} \, dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{2}{\omega} \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \omega t \sin nt}{t^3} dt \\
 (2.5) \quad & = -\frac{2 \cos n\eta}{\omega n^3} + \frac{\sin(\omega+n)\eta}{2(\omega+n)\eta^2} - \frac{\sin(\omega-n)\eta}{2(\omega-n)\eta^2} + \frac{\cos(\omega+n)\eta}{\omega(\omega+n)\eta^3} \\
 & + \frac{\cos(n-\omega)\eta}{\omega(n-\omega)\eta^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega n^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\omega-n)^2}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and evidently

$$(2.6) \quad \omega^2 \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \gamma'_2(\omega t) \sin nt \, dt = O\left(\int_{\eta}^{\infty} t^{-2} \, dt\right) = O(1)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^{\eta} \left\{ \frac{2}{\omega \eta^3} - \frac{\sin \omega t}{\eta^2} - \frac{2 \cos \omega t}{\omega \eta^3} \right\} \sin nt \, dt \\
 (2.7) \quad & = -\frac{2 \cos n\eta}{\omega n^3} + \frac{\sin(\omega+n)\eta}{2(\omega+n)\eta^2} - \frac{\sin(\omega-n)\eta}{2(\omega-n)\eta^2} + \frac{\cos(\omega+n)\eta}{\omega(\omega+n)\eta^3} \\
 & + \frac{\cos(n-\omega)\eta}{\omega(n-\omega)\eta^3} + \frac{2}{\omega n \eta^3} - \frac{2n}{\omega \eta^3 (n^2 - \omega^2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

and evidently

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^{\eta} \left\{ \frac{2}{\omega \eta^3} - \frac{\sin \omega t}{\eta^2} - \frac{2 \cos \omega t}{\omega \eta^3} \right\} \sin nt \, dt = O(1).$$

From these equations Lemma follows, the result (2.2) following from the equations (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7), while the result (2.3) following from the equations (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8).

LEMMA 4. *If a series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nt$  converges to zero uniformly for  $t$  in an interval  $(-\eta, \eta)$ , then the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n$  is evaluable  $(C, 1)$  to zero.*

PROOF. The method of proof is similar to that of Kuttner's Lemma 2. We multiply the equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nt = 0$$

by

$$\omega^2 \gamma'_2(\omega t) + \frac{2}{\omega \eta^3} - \frac{\sin \omega t}{\eta^2} - \frac{2 \cos \omega t}{\omega \eta^3},$$

and integrate with respect to  $t$  from zero to  $\eta$ . Since the series is uniformly convergent, we may integrate term by term. Then, using Lemma 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n < \omega} nb_n \left(1 - \frac{n}{\omega}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n R(n, \omega) \\ &= \left( \sum_{|n-\omega| \leq 1} + \sum_{|n-\omega| > 1} \right) b_n R(n, \omega) \\ &= J_1 + J_2, \end{aligned}$$

say. Since it is known that if  $b_n \sin nt$  is convergent to zero for  $t$  in a set of positive measure then  $b_n = o(1)$ , it follows, using (2.3),

$$J_1 = \sum_{|n-\omega| \leq 1} b_n R(n, \omega) = o(1).$$

On the other hand, using (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{|n-\omega| > 1} \frac{b_n}{n} - \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{|n-\omega| > 1} \frac{nb_n}{n^2 - \omega^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|b_n|}{n^2}\right) \\ &+ O\left(\sum_{|n-\omega| > 1} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2}\right) = J_{21} - J_{22} + O(J_{23}) + O(J_{24}) \end{aligned}$$

say, where, using  $b_n = o(1)$ ,

$$J_{23} = \frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|b_n|}{n^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = o(1).$$

Let us put  $\rho = [\omega] - 1$ ,  $[\omega]$  denoting the integral part of  $\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{24} &= \sum_{|n-\omega| > 1} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\rho} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2} + \sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{[\rho/2]} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2} + \sum_{n=[\rho/2]+1}^{\rho} \frac{|b_n|}{(\omega - n)^2} + o\left(\sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\omega - n)^2}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{(\omega - \rho/2)^2} \cdot \frac{\rho}{2}\right) + o\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\rho} \frac{1}{(\omega - n)^2}\right) + o(1) \\ &= O(1/\omega) + o(1) = o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \sin nt$  is uniformly convergent in  $(0, \eta)$ , we may integrate this series term by term from zero to  $t$ ,  $t$  being included in  $(0, \eta)$ . Thus the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} (1 - \cos nt) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \sin^2 \frac{nt}{2}$$

is convergent for  $t, 0 < t \leq \eta$ . Hence, by Lemma 1, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n}$  is convergent. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J_{21} &= \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{|n-\omega|>1} \frac{b_n}{n} = \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n} - \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{|n-\omega|\leq 1} \frac{b_n}{n} \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting  $r_n = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \frac{b_\nu}{\nu}$  and using Abel transform,

$$\begin{aligned} J_{22} &= \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{|n-\omega|>1} \frac{nb_n}{n^2 - \omega^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\omega\eta^3} \sum_{n=1}^{\rho} \frac{nb_n}{n^2 - \omega^2} + \frac{1}{\omega\eta^3} \left( \sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n-\omega} + \sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{n+\omega} \right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_{n=1}^{\rho} \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{\omega\eta^3} \left\{ \frac{(\rho+3)r_{\rho+3}}{\rho+3-\omega} - \sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} r_{n+1} \left( \frac{n}{n-\omega} - \frac{n+1}{n+1-\omega} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(\rho+3)r_{\rho+3}}{\rho+3+\omega} - \sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} r_{n+1} \left( \frac{n}{n+\omega} - \frac{n+1}{n+1+\omega} \right) \right\} \\ &= o(1) + o\left(\sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-\omega)^2}\right) + o\left(\sum_{n=\rho+3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+\omega)^2}\right) \\ &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, summing up the above results, we have

$$\sum_{n<\omega} nb_n \left(1 - \frac{n}{\omega}\right) = o(1) \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is equivalent to that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nb_n$  is evaluable  $(C, 1)$  to zero.

LEMMA 5 *Let  $a_n = o(1)$ ,  $b_n = o(1)$ ,  $\alpha_n = O(n^{-3})$  and  $\beta_n = O(n^{-3})$ . Let the formal product of the two series*

$$\frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nt + \beta_n \sin nt)$$

where the last series converge to, say,  $S(t)$ , be

$$\frac{1}{2} A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n \cos nt + B_n \sin nt),$$

where, putting  $a_{-n} = a_n$ ,  $b_{-n} = b_n$ ,  $\alpha_{-n} = \alpha_n$  and  $\beta_{-n} = \beta_n$ ,

$$A_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_m \alpha_{n-m} - b_m \beta_{n-m}) \text{ and } B_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_m \beta_{n-m} + b_m \alpha_{n-m}).$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} A_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (A_n \cos nt + B_n \sin nt) - S(t) \left( \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) \right)$$

tends to zero, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $t$  of the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

This Lemma is due to A. Zygmund [9; p. 60, Theorem III]. See also G. H. Hardy [1; p. 366].

LEMMA 6. If the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$  to  $s$  and if

$$\sigma_n^\gamma = s_n^\gamma / A_n^\gamma = o(\log^\gamma n), \quad 0 < \gamma < \beta,$$

then the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(\log n, \gamma)$  to  $s$ .

This is due to A. Zygmund [10].

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

3.1. We are given that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$  to  $s$ , and we may suppose, without loss of generality, that  $s = 0$ . Then

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n^2} \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} nt$$

converges for  $t$  in some interval  $(0, \xi)$ , and  $F(t) = o(t^{1-\alpha})$ . Since the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n^2}$  is convergent, by Lemma 1, we may write our series as

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos nt,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha_n = -\frac{1}{2} n^{-2} s_n^\alpha \quad (n > 0), \quad \alpha_0 = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} s_n^\alpha.$$

This series (3.1) converges to  $F(t)$  for  $t$  in the interval  $(0, \xi)$ . We shall first prove that Theorem is true generally provided that Theorem is true in the two particular cases;

(3.3) the series (3.1) is a Fourier series

and

(3.4) the series (3.1) converges uniformly to zero in an interval of  $t$  including origin.

We suppose that the series (3.1) is convergent and bounded for  $|t| \leq \xi$ , choose a positive  $\eta$  less than  $\frac{1}{2} \xi$ , and take an even periodic function  $\lambda(t)$  which equals to 1 for  $|t| \leq \eta$ , and equals to 0 for  $2\eta \leq |t| \leq \pi$ , and whose first three derivatives exist and continuous. If

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n \cos nt,$$

then  $\beta_n = O(n^{-3})$ . Since  $\alpha_n = o(1)$ , it follows from Lemma 5 that if

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n \cos nt$$

is the formal product of the series (3.1) and (3.5), then

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_n \cos nt - \lambda(t) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \cos nt \right\} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , uniformly in  $t$ . Since the series (3.1) converges to  $F(t)$  for  $|t| \leq 2\eta < \xi$ , and  $\lambda(t) = 0$  for  $2\eta \leq |t| \leq \pi$ , it follows from (3.7) that the series (3.6) converges for all  $t$ , and to a sum  $F^*(t)$  defined by

$$F^*(t) = \begin{cases} F(t) & |t| \leq \eta \\ \lambda(t) F(t) & \eta \leq |t| \leq 2\eta \\ 0 & 2\eta \leq |t| \leq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Since  $F^*(t)$  is bounded, the series (3.6) is the Fourier series of  $F^*(t)$ , by a known theorem. We shall now define a series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  by

$$\gamma_n = -\frac{1}{2} n^{-2} \tau_n^\alpha \quad (n > 0), \quad \gamma_0 = -2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \tau_n^\alpha,$$

where  $\tau_n^\alpha$  is the  $(C, \alpha)$  sum of the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  with  $c_0 = 0$ . Then the series (3.6)



is related to the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  as the series (3.1) to the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ . Thus, by

$F^*(t) = F(t)$  for small  $t$ , the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  is evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$  to zero. From this, and our assumption of Theorem in case (3.3), it follows that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 2$ , to zero.

On the other hand, since  $\lambda(t) = 1$  for  $|t| \leq \eta$ , it follows from (3.7) that

$$\frac{1}{2} (\gamma_0 - \alpha_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_n - \alpha_n) \cos nt = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tau_n^\alpha - s_n^\alpha) \frac{\sin^2 nt/2}{n^2}$$

converges uniformly to zero for  $|t| \leq \eta$  and that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n - a_n)$  is evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$  to zero. From this, and our assumption of Theorem in case (3.4), it follows that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n - a_n)$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 2$ , to zero.

Finally, since  $a_n = c_n - (c_n - a_n)$ , the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 2$ , to zero. Thus Theorem is proved provided that Theorem is true in the two particular cases (3.3) and (3.4).

**3.2. Proof for the Case (3.3).** For the proof, we may suppose that  $2 < \beta < 3$  and choose  $\gamma$  such that  $\beta = \alpha + \gamma + 1$ . Then  $0 < \gamma < 3$ . Let

$$(3.8) \quad \varphi(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \cos nt.$$

Since this series converges to  $F(t)$  for small  $t$ , we have  $\varphi(t) = F(t)$  for almost all such  $t$ , and we may suppose that this is true for all such  $t$ . Hence

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi(t) = o(t^{1-\alpha}).$$

Now,  $S_n^\gamma$  denoting the  $(C, \gamma)$  sum of the twice formally differentiated series of the series (3.8) at  $t = 0$ , we have

$$(3.10) \quad S_n^\gamma = \frac{2}{\pi} A_n^\gamma \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_n^\gamma(t) dt,$$

where  $K_n^\gamma(t)$  is the  $(C, \gamma)$  mean of the series

$$\frac{1}{2} + \cos t + \cos 2t + \cos 3t + \dots$$

Since, denoting by  $C$  constants independent of  $n$  and  $t$ ,

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \frac{d^m}{dt^m} K_n^\gamma(t) \right| \leq Cn^{m+1} \quad (0 \leq t \leq \pi)$$

and

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \frac{d^m}{dt^m} K_n^\gamma(t) \right| \leq Cn^{m-\gamma} t^{-\gamma-1} \quad \left( \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \pi \right),$$

for  $0 \leq \gamma \leq m + 1$ ,  $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . (See A. Zygmund [11; p. 60])  
Hence, by (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^\gamma &= \frac{2}{\pi} A_n^\gamma \left( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\pi \right) \varphi(t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_n^\gamma(t) dt \\ &= o \left( n^\gamma \int_0^{1/n} n^3 t^{1-\alpha} dt \right) + o \left( n^\gamma \int_{1/n}^\pi n^{2-\gamma} t^{-\gamma-\alpha} dt \right) \\ &= o(n^{\gamma+3} \cdot n^{\alpha-2}) + o(n^2 \cdot n^{\gamma+\alpha-1}) \\ &= o(n^{\alpha+\gamma+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (3.2), remembering that  $a_0 = 0$ ,

$$(3.13) \quad S_n^\gamma = - \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\gamma \nu^3 \alpha_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\gamma s_\nu^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} s_n^{\alpha+\gamma+1},$$

we get  $s_n^{\alpha+\gamma+1} = o(n^{\alpha+\gamma+1})$  and then we see, by  $\beta = \alpha + \gamma + 1$ , that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$  to zero.

**3.3. Proof for the Case (3.4).** This is shown as a corollary of Lemma 2. If

$$\frac{1}{2} \alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n \cos nt = 0$$

uniformly in an interval including origin, then, by Lemma 2, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty n^2 \alpha_n = 0 \quad (C, 2),$$

that is, by (3.2),

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n A_{n-\nu}^2 \nu^3 \alpha_\nu = - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^2 s_\nu^\alpha = - \frac{1}{2} s_n^{\alpha+3} = o(n^2).$$

Thus we get  $s_n^{\alpha+3} = o(n^2)$ . Since  $\alpha + 3 \geq 2$ , we can easily see that  $s_n^2 = o(n^2)$ , and that the series  $\sum_{a=0}^\infty a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, 2)$  to zero. Therefore, evidently, the

series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 2$ , to zero.

Thus, Theorem 1 is completely proved.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** From the argument in the paragraph 3.1, it is sufficient to prove Theorem in the two particular cases (3.3) and (3.4). Since the summability  $(C, 2)$  implies the summability  $(\log n, 2)$ , it remains to prove Theorem for the case (3.3). Since, by Theorem 1, the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 2$ , it is sufficient, by Lemma 6, to prove that

$$\sigma_n^2 = s_n^2/A_n^2 = o(\log^2 n).$$

For this, by  $1 - \alpha > 0$ , using (3.9), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{1-\alpha} &= \frac{2}{\pi} A_n^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \right) \varphi(t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} K_n^{1-\alpha}(t) dt \\ &= o\left(n^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{1/n} n^3 t^{1-\alpha} dt\right) + o\left(n^{1-\alpha} \int_{1/n}^{\pi} n^{1+\alpha} t^{-1} dt\right) \\ &= o(n^2 \log n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.13) for  $\gamma = 1 - \alpha$ ,

$$s_n^2 = o(n^2 \log n),$$

which is the required result. Thus, Theorem is proved.

**5. Proof of Theorem 3.** The first stage of the proof is like that of the proof of Theorem 1. From our assumption,

$$(5.1) \quad F(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n} \sin nt$$

converges for  $t$  in some interval  $(0, \xi)$ , and  $F(t) = o(t^{-\alpha})$ . Now, as in the paragraph 3.1, we can easily see that Theorem is true generally provided that Theorem is true in the two particular cases;

(5.2) the series in (5.1) is a Fourier series

and

(5.3) the series in (5.1) converges uniformly to zero in an interval of  $t$  including origin.

For the case (5.3), by Lemma 4, the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s_n^\alpha$  is evaluable  $(C, 1)$  to zero, and then

$$\sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^1 s_\nu^\alpha = s_n^{\alpha+2} = o(n).$$

Since  $\alpha + 2 \geq 1$ , we see that  $s_n^1 = o(n)$ . This shows that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, 1)$  to zero. Hence, evidently, the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(C, \beta)$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , to zero. We shall now prove Theorem for the case (5.2). We may suppose that  $1 < \beta < 2$  and choose  $\gamma$  such that  $\beta = \alpha + \gamma + 1$ . Let

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n} \sin nt.$$

Then, by our assumption, we may suppose that  $\varphi(t) = o(t^{-\alpha})$ . Now,  $S_n^\gamma$  denoting the  $(C, \gamma)$  sum of the formally differentiated series of the series (5.4) at  $t = 0$ , we have

$$(5.5) \quad S_n^\gamma = -\frac{2}{\pi} A_n^\gamma \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} K_n^\gamma(t) dt.$$

Therefore, using (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^\gamma &= -\frac{2}{\pi} A_n^\gamma \left( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\pi \right) \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} K_n^\gamma(t) dt \\ &= o\left(n^\gamma \int_0^{1/n} n^2 t^{-\alpha} dt\right) + o\left(n^\gamma \int_{1/n}^\pi t^{-\alpha} \cdot n^{1-\gamma} t^{-1-\gamma} dt\right) \\ &= o(n^{\alpha+\gamma+1}) + o(n \cdot n^{\alpha+\gamma}) \\ &= o(n^{\alpha+\gamma+1}) = o(n^\beta), \end{aligned}$$

by  $\beta = \alpha + \gamma + 1$ . Since, remembering that  $a_0 = 0$ ,

$$(5.6) \quad S_n^\gamma = \sum_{\nu=0}^n A_{n-\nu}^\gamma s_\nu^\alpha = s_n^{\alpha+\gamma+1},$$

we have  $s_n^\beta = o(n^\beta)$ , which is the required result.

**6. Proof of Theorem 4.** From the argument in the former section, it is sufficient to prove Theorem in the two particular cases (5.2) and (5.3). For the case (5.3), since the summability  $(C, 1)$  implies the summability  $(\log n, 1)$ , it remains to prove Theorem for the case (5.2). For this, by Lemma 6, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sigma_n^1 = s_n^1/A_n^1 = o(\log n).$$

Since, by (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
S_n^{-\alpha} &= -\frac{2}{\pi} A_n^{-\alpha} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} K_n^{-\alpha}(t) dt \\
&= -\frac{2}{\pi} A_n^{-\alpha} \left( \int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^\pi \right) \varphi(t) \frac{d}{dt} K_n^{-\alpha}(t) dt \\
&= o\left(n^{-\alpha} \int_0^{1/n} t^{-\alpha} \cdot n^2 dt\right) + o\left(n^{-\alpha} \int_{1/n}^\pi t^{-\alpha} \cdot n^{\alpha+1} t^{\alpha-1} dt\right) \\
&= o(n^{2-\alpha} n^{\alpha-1}) + o(n \log n) \\
&= o(n \log n),
\end{aligned}$$

we have, by (5.6) for  $\gamma = -\alpha$ ,  $s_n^1 = o(n \log n)$ , which is equivalent to that  $s_n^1/A_n^1 = o(\log n)$ . Thus Theorem is proved.

**7. Proof of Theorem 5.** The method of the proof is also similar to that of Theorem 3. Theorem for the two particular cases (5.2) and (5.3) is obvious. For, if

$$(7.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n} \sin nt = F(t) = o(t^{-\alpha}),$$

and if the series is a Fourier series, then

$$(7.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n^2} (1 - \cos 2nt) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_n^\alpha}{n^2} \sin^2 nt = \int_0^{2t} F(u) du = o(t^{1-\alpha}),$$

because a Fourier series can be integrated term by term; and if the series in (7.1) converges uniformly to zero for small  $t$ , then the series in (7.2) converges to zero for small  $t$ . Thus the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  is evaluable  $(R, 2, \alpha)$  to zero.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, *Divergent series*, Oxford, 1949.
- [2] H. HIROKAWA, Riemann-Cesàro methods of summability, *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, 7(1955), 279-295.
- [3] H. HIROKAWA, Riemann-Cesàro methods of summability II, *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, 9(1957), 13-26.
- [4] H. HIROKAWA, Riemann-Cesàro methods of summability III, *Tôhoku Math. Jour.*, 11(1959), 130-146.
- [5] E. W. HOBSON, *The theory of functions of a real variable and the theory of Fourier series*, vol. 2, Cambridge, 1926.
- [6] B. KUTTNER, The relation between Riemann and Cesàro summability, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 38(1934), 273-283.
- [7] B. KUTTNER, Some relations between different kinds of Riemann summability, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 40(1936), 524-540.
- [8] A. ZYGMUND, Sur la dérivation des séries de Fourier, *Bull. de l'Acad. Polonaise*

- (1924), 234-249.
- [9] A. ZYGMUND, Sur la théorie riemannienne des séries trigonométriques, *Math. Zeits.*, 24(1926), 47-104.
- [10] A. ZYGMUND, Sur un théorème de la théorie de la sommabilité, *Math. Zeits.*, 25(1926), 291-296.
- [11] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, vol. 2, Cambridge, 1959.

CHIBA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHIBA.