

Mappings preserving unit distance on Heisenberg group

J.M. Rassias^{*,1}, A. Charifi², Ab. Chahbi³ and S. Kabbaj⁴

¹ National and Copodistrian University of Athens, Pedagogical Department, Section of Mathematics and Informatics, 4, Agamemnonos Str., Aghia Paraskevi, Athens 15342, Greece

^{2,3,4} Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco

* Corresponding author

E-mail: ¹jrrassias@primedu.uoa.gr, Ioannis.Rassias@primedu.uoa.gr, jrass@otenet.gr,
²charifi2000@yahoo.fr, ³ab-1980@live.fr, ⁴samkabbaj@yahoo.fr

Abstract

Let H^m be a Heisenberg group provided with a norm ρ . A mapping $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ is called preserving the distance n if for all x, y of H^m with $\rho(x^{-1}y) = n$ then $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = n$. We obtain some results for the Aleksandrov problem in the Heisenberg group.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. **39B82**. 44B20, 46C05

Keywords. Isometry, Lipschitz mapping, conservative distance..

1 Introduction

Posed around 1970, the Aleksandrov problem investigates an isometry by the preservation of some properties of distance [1]. Several studies have been established to this subject on different normed spaces. I quote in this connection, the studies made by H. Y. Chu, C. G. Park, W. G. Park in 2004 on linear 2-normed spaces [8], J. M. Rassias, S. Xiang, M. J. Rassias in 2007 on the Aleksandrov and triangle isometry Ulam stability problem [16], X.Y. Chen, M.M. Song in 2010 on linear n-normed spaces [7] and D. Wang, Y. Liu, M. Song in 2012 on non-Archimedean normed spaces [23]. For more details the reader may also study [[2]-[6], [9]-[13], [15, 17],[18]-[22]].

The purpose of our contribution, is an idea introduced by J. M. Rassias that consists to apply it here to study the Aleksandrov problem in a Heisenberg group.

Following this Introduction, some preliminary notations are set in the second Section, as well as our main new results are investigated in the third Section, respectively.

2 Preliminary

In this section we fix notations and special vocabulary that will be used later in the document.

Let m be a fixed nonzero integer number. The m^{th} Heisenberg group H^m is of course a near isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the following group law

$$(z, t)(z', t') = (z + z', t + t' + \text{Im} \langle z, z' \rangle), (z, t), (z', t') \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $z = (z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$, $z' = (z'_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ and $\langle z, z' \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \overline{z'_i}$, with identity element $(0, 0)$ and an inverse given by $(z, t)^{-1} = (-z, -t)$. The dilation δ_s , for $s > 0$, acts on the Heisenberg group as $\delta_s(z, t) = (sz, s^2t)$ and is its automorphism. The homogeneous norm

$$\rho(z, t) = (|z|^4 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

defines the Heisenberg metric d_ρ via the formula

$$d_\rho(x, y) = \rho(x^{-1}y), x, y \in H^m.$$

Observe that the Heisenberg metric is really a metric and not just a quasi-metric since,

$$\rho(xy) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$$

for all $x, y \in H^m$ (see [7, 8] for instance). It is also known that the Heisenberg metric d_ρ and the Carnot Caratheodory metric d are equivalent; that is, there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $c^{-1}d(x, y) \leq d_\rho(x, y) \leq cd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in H^m$.

3 Main result

Let us establish in this section the main results of this paper. We note that, throughout this section H^m designates a Heisenberg group with its norm ρ .

Definition 3.1. A mapping f of H^m on itself is called an isometry if

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = \rho(x^{-1}y)$$

for all $x, y \in H^m$.

If a mapping f of H^m on itself is an isometry then the inverse mapping is an isometry of H^m onto H^m .

Definition 3.2. A mapping f of H^m on itself, satisfies the strong distance one preserving property (SDOPP) if and only if for all $x, y \in H^m$ with $\rho(x^{-1}y) = 1$ it follows that $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = 1$.

Definition 3.3. A mapping $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ satisfies the strong distance n preserving property (SDnPP) if only if for all $x, y \in H^m$ with $\rho(x^{-1}y) = n$ it follows that $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = n$.

Definition 3.4. Let H^m be a Heisenberg group. We call a mapping $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ Lipschitz mapping if there is a $K > 0$ such that

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) \leq K\rho(x^{-1}y)$$

for any $x, y \in H^m$.

Definition 3.5. We call a mapping $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ locally Lipschitz mapping if there is a $K > 0$ such that

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) \leq K\rho(x^{-1}y),$$

whenever $\rho(x^{-1}y) \leq 1$.

We consider in this paper only the Lipschitz constant $K \leq 1$.

In this paper we shall study, mappings satisfying the weaker assumption that they preserve distance n in both directions, instead of isometries. We shall see that such mappings are not far from being isometries. Let us prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.6. Let H^m the Heisenberg group. Suppose that $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ is a surjective mapping satisfying (SDOPP). Then f is bijective.

Proof. We shall show that f is injective. Suppose that there exist x and y in H^m with $x \neq y$ such that $f(x) = f(y)$. Since $\rho(x^{-1}y) \neq 0$, we can set

$$z = x\delta_{\frac{1}{\rho(y^{-1}x)}}(y^{-1}x),$$

thus,

$$\rho(x^{-1}z) = \rho(\delta_{\frac{1}{\rho(y^{-1}x)}}(y^{-1}x)) = 1.$$

Since f verify f preserves the unit distance, that $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(z)) = 1$. Now we will prove that $\rho(y^{-1}z) \neq 1$. Suppose on the contrary, that $\rho(y^{-1}z) = 1$. We have $y^{-1}z = y^{-1}x\delta_{\frac{1}{\rho(y^{-1}x)}}(y^{-1}x)$, by identification we can put $y^{-1}x = (x_1, t_1)$ and denote $\alpha = \frac{1}{\rho(y^{-1}x)}$. This implies that

$$\rho(y^{-1}z) = \rho((1 + \alpha)x_1, (1 + \alpha^2)t_1) = ((1 + \alpha)^4|x_1|^4 + (1 + \alpha^2)^2t_1^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Since $\rho(y^{-1}z) = 1$ and $\alpha^4|x_1|^4 + \alpha^4t_1^2 = 1$, so

$$(1 + 4\alpha^3 + 4\alpha^3 + 2\alpha^2)|x_1|^4 + (1 + 2\alpha^2)t_1^2 = 0$$

and so $x_1 = 0$ and $t_1 = 0$, then $x = y$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\rho(y^{-1}z) \neq 1$. Now, we get $1 = \rho(f(x)^{-1}f(z)) = \rho(f(y)^{-1}f(z))$. Since f preserves the unit distance, that $\rho(y^{-1}z) = 1$ which is a contradiction. Therefore f is a injective and surjective mapping then f is bijective.

Q.E.D.

The following theorem gives the n -distance preserving mapping in both directions

Theorem 3.7. Let H^m the Heisenberg group. Suppose that $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ is a surjective mapping satisfying (SDOPP) such that

$$\rho(x^{-1}y) < 1 \text{ if and only if } \rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) < 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Then f preserves the area n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 f is a injective and since f is surjective mapping then f is bijective. Both f and f^{-1} preserves the unit distance and verify 3.1. Now we will prove that f preserves distance n in both directions for any positive integer n . In the sequel we shall need the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} B(x; r) &= \{z : \rho(x^{-1}z) \leq r\}; \\ B_0(x; r) &= \{z : \rho(x^{-1}z) < r\}; \end{aligned}$$

Let x be an arbitrary vector in H^m and n any positive integer, $n > 1$. Assume that $z \in B(x, n)$. We can find a sequence $x = x_0, \dots, z = x_n$, such that

$$x_i = x_{i-1}\delta_{\frac{1}{\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i)}}(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then,

$$\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i) = \rho(\delta_{\frac{1}{\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i)}}(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i)) = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since f preserves the unit distance, that

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(z)) \leq n$$

Consequently, we have

$$f(B(x, n)) \subset B(f(x), n), n > 1.$$

The same result can be obtained for f^{-1} . Hence,

$$f(B(x, n)) = B(f(x), n), n > 1.$$

Since f and f^{-1} verify 3.1 then

$$f(B_0(x, 1)) = B_0(f(x), 1).$$

hold for all $x \in H^m$. Now we will prove that

$$f(B_0(x, n)) = B_0(f(x), n).$$

for all $x \in X$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z \in B_0(x, n)$ and consider a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n = z$ such that

$$x_i = x_{i-1} \delta_{\frac{1}{n}}(z^{-1}x), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i) = \rho(\delta_{\frac{1}{n}}(z^{-1}x)) = 1, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $f(B_0(x, 1)) = B_0(f(x), 1)$, that

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(z)) < n$$

Consequently, we have

$$f(B_0(x, n)) \subset B_0(f(x), n), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The same result can be obtained for f^{-1} . Hence,

$$f(B_0(x, n)) = B_0(f(x), n), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently

$$f(B(x, n)) \setminus f(B_0(x, n)) = B(f(x), n) \setminus B_0(f(x), n), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So f preserve the area n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Q.E.D.

We will study the problem of mappings which preserve unit distance is an isometry.

Lemma 3.8. If a mapping f is locally Lipschitz, then f is a Lipschitz mapping.

Proof. We may assume that $\rho(x^{-1}y) \geq 1$, then there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho(x^{-1}y) \leq n_0$. Let $x = x_0 = (y_0, t_0), x_1, \dots, x_{n_0} = y$, such that

$$x_i = x_{i-1} \delta_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(y^{-1}x), i = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

Then

$$\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i) = \rho(\delta_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(y^{-1}x)) \leq 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since f is locally Lipschitz, so

$$\rho(f(x_{i-1})^{-1}f(x_i)) \leq K\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i),$$

consequently

$$\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) \leq K\rho(x^{-1}y).$$

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.9. Let $f : H^m \rightarrow H^m$ be a locally Lipschitz mapping with the Lipschitz constant $K \leq 1$. Assume that f is a surjective mapping satisfying (SDOPP). Then f is an isometry.

Proof. By Lemma 3.6 and 3.8, f Lipschitz mapping with the Lipschitz constant $K \leq 1$ and f is surjective. For $x, y \in X$, there are two cases depending upon whether $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = 0$ or not.

In the first case $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) = 0$ equivalent to $f(x) = f(y)$. Since f is surjective so $x = y$ and so $\rho(x^{-1}y) = 0$.

In the remaining case $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) > 0$, there is an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) < n_0$. Assume that $\rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)) < \rho(x^{-1}y)$. Set $x = x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_0} = y$, such that

$$x_i = x_{i-1}\delta_{\frac{1}{n_0}}(f(y)^{-1}f(x)), \quad i = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

Then we obtain that

$$\rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i) = \frac{\rho(f(y)^{-1}f(x))}{n_0}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n_0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x^{-1}y) &= \rho\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n_0} x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \rho(x_{i-1}^{-1}x_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{\rho(f(y)^{-1}f(x))}{n_0} \\ &= \rho(f(x)^{-1}f(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction, hence f is an isometry.

Q.E.D.

References

- [1] A.D. Aleksandrov, *Mappings of families of sets*, Soviet Math. 11 (1970), 116-120.

- [2] M. Bavand Savadkouhi, M. Eshaghi Gordji, J. M. Rassias, and N. Ghobadipour, *Approximate ternary Jordan derivations on Banach ternary algebras*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 50, 042303, (2009).
- [3] R.L. Bishop, *Characterizing motions by unit distance invariance*, Math. Magazine 46 (1973), 148-151.
- [4] A. Bodaghi, *Cubic derivations on Banach algebras*, Acta Math. Vietnam., No 4, Vol.38 (2013), 517-528.
- [5] A. Charifi, Iz. El-Fassi, B. Bouikhalene, S. Kabbaj, *On the approximate solutions of the Pexiderized Golab-Schinzel functional equation*, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, No. 38/2014, pp. 55-66.
- [6] Ab. Chahbi, A. Charifi, B. Bouikhalene, S. Kabbaj, *Operatorial approach to the non-Archimedean stability of a Pexider K -quadratic functional equation*, Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Available online 17 January 2014, doi:10.1016/j.ajmsc.2014.01.001.
- [7] X.Y. Chen, M.M. Song, *Characterizations on isometries in linear n -normed spaces*, Nonlinear Anal 2010;72:1895-901.
- [8] H.Y. Chu, C.G. Park, W.G. Park, *The Aleksandrov problem in linear 2-normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 289 (2004) 666-672.
- [9] G.G. Ding, *On isometric extensions and distance one preserving mappings*, Taiwanese J. Math. 10 (1) (2006), 243-249.
- [10] D. V. Isangulova , *The class of mappings with bounded specific oscillation, and integrability of mappings with bounded distortion on Carnot groups*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 48 (2007), no. 2, 313-334; English translation in: Siberian Math. J. 48 (2007), no. 2, 249-267.
- [11] D. V. Isangulova and S. K. Vodopyanov, *Sharp geometric rigidity of isometries on Heisenberg groups*, Mathematische Annalen (2013), 1-29.
- [12] A.V. Kuzminykh, *Mappings preserving the distance 1*, Siberian Math. J. 20 (1979), 417421.
- [13] Y.M. Ma, *The Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mappings*, Acta Math. Sci. 20 (3) (2000), 359-364.
- [14] Yumei Ma, *Isometry on linear n -normed spaces*, Annales Academi Scientiarum Fennic Mathematica, Vol. 39 (2014), 973-981.
- [15] Y.M. Ma, J.Y. Wang, *On the A.D. Aleksandrov problem of isometric mapping*, J. Math. Res. Exposition 23 (4) (2003), 623-630.
- [16] B. Mielnik, Th.M. Rassias, *On the Aleksandrov problem of conservative distances*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 116 (1992), 1115-1118.
- [17] J.M. Rassias, S. Xiang, M.J. Rassias, *On the Aleksandrov and triangle isometry Ulam stability problem*, Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat. 7 (2007), 133-142.

- [18] Th.M. Rassias, P. Semrl, *On the Mazur-Ulam problem and the Aleksandrov problem for unit distance preserving mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1993), 919–925.
- [19] K. Ravi, E. Thandapani, B. V. Senthil Kumar, *Solution and stability of a reciprocal type functional equation in several variables*, J. Nonlinear Sc. Appl., 7 (2014), 18–27.
- [20] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar, P. Narasimman, *Fuzzy stability of an additive functional equation*, Int. Journ. Math. and Stat., Vol. 9, No A11 (2011), 88–105.
- [21] G. Zamani Eskandani, Pasc Gavruta, John M. Rassias and Ramazan Zarghami, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a general mixed functional equation in quasi--normed spaces*, Mediterr. J. Math. 8 (2011), 331–348.
- [22] Tian Zhou Xu, John Michael Rassias, and Wan Xin Xu, *Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of a general mixed additive-cubic equation*, Journal of Mathematical Physics 51, 063519, (2010).
- [23] D. Wang, Y. Liu and M. Song, *The Aleksandrov problem on non-Archimedean normed space*, Arab Journal of Mathematical Sciences, 18(2) (2012), 135–140.