

MINIMAX INEQUALITIES IN THE SPACES WITHOUT LINEAR STRUCTURE

Lu Haishu and Zhang Jihui

Abstract. In this paper, we found a new result by relaxing the condition of [15, Corollary 2]. As its application, we have obtained some new minimax inequalities of Ky Fan and minimax theorems in the spaces without linear structure.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Since Ky Fan ([8]) generalized *KKM* theorem, a number of applications have been found. Fan's theorem is now becoming a very versatile tool in nonlinear analysis, such as fixed point, variational inequalities (see [2-7]). Fan's theorem was used by many authors to prove fixed point and minimax theorems in topological vector spaces (see [3], [8]). Ha [1-2] has given the generalization of Fan's theorem and Fan's minimax inequalities. This paper has two purposes. First we obtain a new theorem by relaxing closed condition of sets of [15, Corollary 2], and next, as its application, we obtain some minimax inequalities and minimax theorems in the spaces without linear structure.

To begin with we explain the notions of an *H*-space introduced by Horvath [9 – 11] and related concepts on *H*-spaces.

Let X be a topological space and let $F(X)$ be the family of all nonempty finite subsets of X . Let $\{\Gamma_A\}$ be a family of nonempty contractible subsets of X indexed by $A \in F(X)$ such that $\Gamma_A \subset \Gamma_{A'}$, whenever $A \subset A'$. The pair $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is called an *H*-space. Given an *H*-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, a nonempty subset D of X is called

Received June 3, 2002; accepted July 18, 2003.

Communicated by Bor-Lnh Lin.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 49A29, 49J35.

Key words and phrases: Minimax theorems, *H*-spaces, *H*-convex, Upper (lower) semicontinuous, Set-valued mapping.

*This work supported by Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province.

H -convex if $\Gamma_A \subset D$ for each nonempty finite subset A of D . For a nonempty K of X , we define the H -convex hull of K , denote by $H\text{-co}K$, as

$$H\text{-co}K = \bigcap \{D \subset X : D \text{ is } H\text{-convex and } D \supset K\}.$$

Clearly, $H\text{-co}K$ is H -convex and is the smallest H -convex containing K .

Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, let Y be a topological space, and let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be a function. For each $y \in Y$, $f(x, y)$ is said to be H -quasiconvex (or H -quasiconcave) on X if the set $\{x \in X : f(x, y) < t\}$ (or $\{x \in X : f(x, y) > t\}$) is H -convex for all $t \in R$.

A topological space is called acyclic if all of its reduced Čech homology groups over rationals vanish. In particular, any contractible space is acyclic, thus, any nonempty convex or star-shaped set is acyclic.

Now let X, Y be two topological spaces. By a set-valued mapping T defined on X with values in Y , we mean that to each point $x \in X$, T assigns an unique nonempty subset $T(x)$ of Y . T is called upper semicontinuous if for each open subset G of Y , the set $\{x \in X : T(x) \subset G\}$ is open in X . It is easy to show (e.g., [14]) that if Y is a compact Hausdorff and if $T(x)$ is closed for each x , then T is upper semicontinuous if and only if the graph $\{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in T(x)\}$ of T is closed in $X \times Y$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Our main result is the following Theorem 2.1. To prove it we need to cite a lemma in Tarafdar [12].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a compact topological space and let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued mapping and $T^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : y \in Tx\}$ for each $y \in Y$.*

Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) *For each $x \in X$, $T(x)$ is a nonempty H -convex subset of Y ,*
- (ii) *$\{\inf \Gamma^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ is an open covering of X .*

Then there is a continuous selection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of T such that $f = g\varphi$, where $g : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi : X \rightarrow \Delta_n$ are continuous mappings, and Δ_n is the standard n -dimensional simplex for some positive n .

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, and let M, N be two subsets of $X \times Y$ with $M \subset N$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i) For each $y \in Y$, there exists a closed subset $X_y \subset X$ such that the set $\{x \in X : (x, y) \in N\} \subset X_y$,
- (ii) For each $x \in X$, the set $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin M\}$ is H -convex or empty.
 Suppose also that there exists a subset P of M and a compact subset K of X such that P is closed in $X \times Y$, and
- (iii) For each $y \in Y$, the set $\{x \in K : (x, y) \in P\}$ is nonempty acyclic.

Then

$$\bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \cap K \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. If the conclusion of Theorem 2.1 is false, that is, $\bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \subset X \setminus K$, we prove that there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \{x_0\} \times Y \subset N,$$

or else, for each $x \in K$, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $(x, y_0) \notin N$. Let

$$S(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin N\}, T(x) = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin M\}.$$

Then $S, T : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ are two set-valued mappings such that for each $x \in K$, there exists a point $y \in S(x)$. By $S^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X : (x, y) \notin N\}$ and (i), $S^{-1}(y) \supset X \setminus X_y = V_y$, V_y is an open set of X , and $\bigcup_{y \in Y} V_y = X \setminus \bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \supset K$. Therefore, $\{\text{int}S^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ is an open covering of K . Consequently, $\{\text{int}(H - \text{co}S)^{-1}(y) : y \in Y\}$ is an open covering of K , where the following mapping $H - \text{co}S : K \rightarrow 2^Y$ is defined by

$$H - \text{co}S(x) = H - \text{co}(S(x)) \quad \text{for each } x \in K.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exists a continuous mapping $f : K \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g\Psi$, and

$$f(x) \in H - \text{co}S(x) \subset T(x)$$

for all $x \in K$, where $\Psi : K \rightarrow \Delta_n$, $g : \Delta_n \rightarrow Y$ are continuous mappings and Δ_n is the standard n -simplex. Hence

$$(2.2) \quad (x, f(x)) \notin M \quad \text{for all } x \in K.$$

On the other hand, we define a set-valued mapping $G : Y \rightarrow 2^K$ by

$$G(y) = \{x \in K : (x, y) \in P\} \quad \text{for all } y \in Y.$$

By (iii), $G(y)$ is nonempty and acyclic for all $y \in Y$. Since P is closed in $X \times Y$, each $G(y)$ is closed in K and the graph of G is closed in $Y \times K$; thus, G is an upper

semicontinuous set-valued mapping defined on Y . Consequently, so is the mapping $F : \Delta_n \rightarrow 2^K$ defined by $F(\mu) = G(g(\mu))$. By virtue of [13, Lemma 2.1], there exists a point $\bar{\mu} \in \Delta_n$ such that $\bar{\mu} \in \Psi(F(\bar{\mu})) = \Psi(G(g(\bar{\mu})))$, and so there is a point $\bar{x} \in G(g(\bar{\mu})) \subset K$ such that $\bar{\mu} = \Psi(\bar{x})$. Let $\bar{y} = g(\bar{\mu})$, then $\bar{y} = g(\Psi(\bar{x})) = f(\bar{x})$ and $\bar{x} \in G(\bar{y})$, i.e.,

$$(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in P \subset M.$$

This contradicts (2.2), hence, (2.1) is true. But this contradicts $\bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \subset X \setminus K$ again, therefore, we must have $\bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \cap K \neq \emptyset$. This proves the theorem.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.1 improve and extend [16, Theorem 2] to a topological space and an H -space.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we obtain some new minimax theorems and some minimax inequalities in the spaces without linear structure.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. Let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, and let $f, g, h : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be functions. Let $\beta = \inf_{K \in \bar{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y)$, where $\bar{K} = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is compact subset of } X\}$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i) $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y) \leq h(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$,
- (iii) $g(x, y)$ is H -quasiconcave on Y for each $x \in X$,
- (iv) $h(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$, and the set $\{x \in K : h(x, y) < t\}$ is acyclic or empty for each $t > \beta$, $K \in \bar{K}$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \inf_{K \in \bar{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y).$$

If X is compact, then

$$(2.4) \quad \min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} h(x, y).$$

Proof. We can assume that the right-hand side of (2.3) is not $+\infty$. If the conclusion of Theorem 2.2 is false, then there is a real number t such that

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) > t > \inf_{K \in \bar{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y).$$

Let $M = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : g(x, y) \leq t\}$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : h(x, y) \leq t\}$. Then

(a) For each $x \in X$, by (iii), the set $\{y \in Y : (x, y) \notin M\}$ is H -convex and satisfies (ii) of Theorem 2.1,

(b) For each $y \in Y$, by (i), $\{x \in X : (x, y) \in M\} \subset \{x \in X : f(x, y) \leq t\} = X_y$, by (ii), X_y is closed and satisfies (i) of Theorem 2.1. It is easy to verify that P is closed in $X \times Y$, $P \subset M$,

(c) Let K be a compact subset of X such that

$$t > \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y).$$

Then for any $y \in Y$, the set $\{x \in K : h(x, y) \leq t\}$ is nonempty and we know the set $\{x \in K : h(x, y) \leq t\} = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \{x \in K : h(x, y) < t + \epsilon\}$ is acyclic (this follows from the continuity of Čech homology. See, e.g., McClendon [17]). Thus, by Theorem 2.1,

$$\bigcap_{y \in Y} X_y \cap K \neq \emptyset,$$

that is, there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$f(x_0, y) \leq t \text{ for all } y \in Y.$$

Hence

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq t.$$

This contradicts the choice of t , therefore, (2.3) is proved.

We shall establish the following similarities of the proof of theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f, g, h : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be as in Theorem 2.2 and X is compact. Then for each $\lambda \in R$, one of the following situations hold:*

- (i) *There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0, y) \leq \lambda$ for all $y \in Y$,*
- (ii) *There exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $f(x, y_0) > \lambda$ for all $x \in X$.*

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.3 is the generalization of [16, Theorem 4].

The following three minimax theorems are obtained from Theorem 2.2 as special cases by taking $f = g$, $g = h$, $f = g = h$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $f, h : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be two functions. Let $\beta = \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y)$, where $\overline{K} = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is compact subset of } X\}$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (i) *$f(x, y) \leq h(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,*

- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$, and $f(x, y)$ is H -quasiconcave on Y for each $x \in X$,
- (iii) $h(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$ and the set $\{x \in K : h(x, y) < t\}$ is acyclic or empty for each $t > \beta$, $K \in \overline{K}$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} h(x, y).$$

If X is compact, then

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} h(x, y).$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $f, g : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be two functions. Let $\beta = \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} g(x, y)$, where $\overline{K} = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is compact subset of } X\}$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on X for each $y \in Y$,
- (iii) $g(x, y)$ is H -quasiconcave on Y for each $x \in X$,
- (iv) $g(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$ and the set $\{x \in K : g(x, y) < t\}$ is acyclic or empty for each $t > \beta$, $K \in \overline{K}$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} g(x, y).$$

If X is compact, then

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Corollary 2.3. Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be a real-valued function. Let $\beta = \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} f(x, y)$, where $\overline{K} = \{K \subset X : K \text{ is compact subset of } X\}$. Suppose the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (i) $f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on $X \times Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is H -quasiconcave on Y for each $x \in X$, and the set $\{x \in K : f(x, y) < t\}$ is acyclic or empty for each $t > \beta$, $K \subset \overline{K}$ and $y \in Y$. Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \inf_{K \in \overline{K}} \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in K} f(x, y).$$

If X is compact, then

$$\min_{x \in X} \sup_{y \in Y} f(x, y) = \sup_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

Remark 2.3. Corollary 2.3 is the generalization of [1, Theorem 4], thus, Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 are all the generalizations of [1, Theorem 4].

Theorem 2.4. Let Y be a compact Hausdorff topological space and $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an H -space, let $f, g : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be two real-valued functions such that:

- (i) $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is H -quasiconvex on X for each $y \in Y$,
- (iii) $g(x, y)$ is upper semicontinuous on Y for each $x \in X$.

If T is an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping defined on X such that Tx is a nonempty compact acyclic subset of Y for each $x \in X$, then

$$(2.5) \quad \inf_{y \in Tx} f(x, y) \leq \max_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Proof. If the conclusion of Theorem 2.4 is false, then there is a real number t such that

$$\inf_{y \in Tx} f(x, y) > t > \max_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Let

$$M = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : f(x, y) \geq t\}, \quad P = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in Tx\},$$

and $Y_x = \{y \in Y : g(x, y) \geq t\}$ for each $x \in X$. It is easy to verify that M and Y_x satisfies (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1, and P is closed in $X \times Y$ and satisfies (iii) of Theorem 2.1 by taking $K = Y$. Thus, by theorem 2.1, $\bigcap_{x \in X} Y_x \cap P \neq \emptyset$, that is, there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that

$$g(x, y_0) \geq t, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Hence

$$\max_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y) \geq t.$$

This contradicts the choice of t , therefore, (2.5) is proved.

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.4 is the generalization of [2, Theorem 1].

By Theorem 2.4, we can obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let f, g, T be as in Theorem 2.4. Assume further, that given $\lambda \in R$, we have*

$$\inf_{y \in Tx} f(x, y) \geq \lambda \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Then there exists $y_0 \in Y$ such that $g(x, y_0) \geq \lambda$ for all $x \in X$.

Remark 2.5. Corollary 2.4 is similar to the result of [4, Theorem 13.4].

We can obtain the following two theorems whose proofs are similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be a Hausdorff H -space, let X be nonempty compact acyclic H -convex subset of Y . Let $f, g : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be two real-valued functions satisfying (i) – (iii) of Theorem 2.4. Then*

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x, x) \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} g(x, y).$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be a Hausdorff H -space, let X be nonempty compact acyclic H -convex subset of Y . Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be a real-valued function such that*

- (i) $f(x, y)$ is a H -quasiconvex on X for each $y \in Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is upper semicontinuous on Y for each $x \in X$.

Then

$$\inf_{x \in X} f(x, x) \leq \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let $(Y, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be a Hausdorff H -space, let X be nonempty compact acyclic H -convex subset of Y . Let $f, g : X \times Y \rightarrow R$ be two real-valued functions such that*

- (i) $f(x, y) \leq g(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,
- (ii) $f(x, y)$ is lower semicontinuous on Y for each $x \in X$,
- (iii) $g(x, y)$ is H -quasiconcave on X for each $y \in Y$.

Then

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \leq \sup_{x \in X} g(x, x).$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors truly appreciate the helpful remarks and valuable suggestions of the anonymous referees, which improves this paper.

REFERENCES

1. C. W. Ha, Minimax and fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 73-77.
2. C. W. Ha, On a minimax inequality of Ky Fan, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **99** (1987), 680-682.
3. K. Fan, Some properties of convex sets relation to fixed point theorems, *Math. Ann.* **266** (1984), 519-537.
4. A. Granas and F. C. Liu, Coincidence for set-valued maps and minimax inequalities, *J. Math. Pure. Appl.* **65** (1986), 119-148.
5. K. K. Tan, Comparison theorems on minimax inequalities, variational inequalities, *J. London. Math. Soc.* **28** (1983), 555-562.
6. S. Kum, A generalization of generalized quasivariational inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **182** (1994), 158-164.
7. J. Y. Fu, Implicit variational inequalities for multivalued mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **189** (1995), 801-814.
8. K. Fan, A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed theorem, *Math. Ann.* **142** (1961), 305-310.
9. C. Horvath, Point fixes et coïncidences pour les applications multivoques sans convexité, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **296** (1983), 403-406.
10. C. Horvath, Point fixes et coïncidences dans les espaces topologiques compacts contractiles, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **299** (1984), 519-521.
11. C. Horvath, Some results on multivalued mappings and inequalities without convexity, in "Nonlinear and Convex Analysis" (B. L. Lin and S. Simons, Eds.), *Marcel Dekker*. New York, 1987, pp. 99-106.
12. E. Tarafdar, Fixed point theorems in H -spaces and equilibrium points of abstract economies, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **53** (1992), 252-260.
13. S. Park, J. S. Bae and H. K. Kang, Geometric properties minimax inequalities, and fixed point theorems on convex spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121** (1994), 429-439.
14. C. Berge, *Topological Spaces*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, London, 1963.
15. X. Wu and F. Li, On Ky Fan's Section Theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **227** (1998), 112-121.
16. J. H. Zhang, Minimax inequalities of Ky Fan, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **11** (1998), 37-41.
17. J. F. McClendon, Minimax and variational inequalities for compact spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** 717-721, (1983).

Lu Haishu,
College of Water Conservancy and Hydropower Engineering,
Hohai University,
Nanjing 210098, Jiangsu,
P. R. China

Zhang Jihui,
Department of Mathematics,
Nanjing Normal University,
Nanjing 210097, Jiangsu,
P. R. China.
E-mail: jihui@jlonline.com