

SUZUKI-WARDOWSKI TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR α -GF-CONTRACTIONS

N. Hussain and P. Salimi

Abstract. Recently, Wardowski [Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:94, 2012] introduced and studied a new contraction called F-contraction to prove a fixed point result as a generalization of the Banach contraction principle. Abbas et al. [2] further generalized the concept of F-contraction and proved certain fixed and common fixed point results. In this paper, we introduce an α -GF-contraction with respect to a general family of functions G and establish Wardowski type fixed point results in metric and ordered metric spaces. As an application of our results we deduce Suzuki type fixed point results for GF-contractions. We also derive certain fixed and periodic point results for orbitally continuous generalized F-contractions. Moreover, we discuss some illustrative examples to highlight the realized improvements.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The Banach contraction principle is a popular tool in solving existence problems in many branches of mathematics. This result has been extended in many directions (see [1-18]). In 2008, in order to characterize the completeness of underlying metric spaces, Suzuki [17] introduced a weaker notion of contraction. Recently, Wardowski [19] introduced a new contraction called F-contraction and proved a fixed point result as a generalization of the Banach contraction principle. Abbas et al. [2] further generalized the concept of F-contraction and proved certain fixed and common fixed point results. In this paper, we introduce an α -GF-contraction with respect to a more general family of functions G and obtain fixed point results in metric space and partially ordered metric space. As an application of our results we deduce Suzuki type results for GF-contractions. In the last section, we derive fixed and periodic point results for orbitally continuous generalized F-contractions. We begin with some basic definitions and results which will be used in the sequel.

Received February 23, 2014, accepted March 25, 2014.

Communicated by Jen-Chih Yao.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 46N40, 47H10, 54H25, 46T99.

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, α -GF-contraction, α - η -Continuous function, Orbitally continuous function.

In 2012, Samet et al. [13] introduced the concepts of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and established various fixed point theorems for such mappings defined on complete metric spaces. Afterwards Salimi et al. [12] and Hussain et al. [6, 8, 9] modified the notions of α - ψ -contractive and α -admissible mappings and established certain fixed point theorems.

Definition 1.1. [13]. Let T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be a function. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq 1 \quad \implies \quad \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1.$$

Definition 1.2. [12]. Let T be a self-mapping on X and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be two functions. We say that T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η if

$$x, y \in X, \quad \alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y) \quad \implies \quad \alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty).$$

Note that if we take $\eta(x, y) = 1$ then this definition reduces to Definition 1.1. Also, if we take, $\alpha(x, y) = 1$ then we say that T is an η -subadmissible mapping.

Definition 1.3. [8]. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. We say T is an α - η -continuous mapping on (X, d) , if, for given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$ with

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \implies Tx_n \rightarrow Tx.$$

Example 1.1. [8]. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ be a metric on X . Assume, $T : X \rightarrow X$ and $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} x^5, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ \sin \pi x + 2, & \text{if } (1, \infty) \end{cases}, \quad \alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 + 1, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\eta(x, y) = x^2$. Clearly, T is not continuous, but T is α - η -continuous on (X, d) .

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called orbitally continuous at $p \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = p$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TT^n x = Tp$. The mapping T is orbitally continuous on X if T is orbitally continuous for all $p \in X$.

Remark 1.1. [8]. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping on an orbitally T -complete metric space X . Define, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } x, y \in O(w) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \eta(x, y) = 1$$

where $O(w)$ is an orbit of a point $w \in X$. If, $T : X \rightarrow X$ is an orbitally continuous map on (X, d) , then T is α - η -continuous on (X, d) .

2. FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR α -GF-CONTRACTIONS

Consistent with Wordowsky [19], we denote by Δ_F the set of all functions $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying following conditions:

- (F₁) F is strictly increasing;
- (F₂) for all sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$;
- (F₃) there exists $0 < k < 1$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$.

Now we introduce the following family of new functions.

Let Δ_G denotes the set of all functions $G : \mathbb{R}^{+4} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying:

- (G) for all $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with $t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4 = 0$ there exists $\tau > 0$ such that $G(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \tau$.

Example 2.1. if $G(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = L \min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} + \tau$ where $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau > 0$, then $G \in \Delta_G$.

Example 2.2. if $G(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \tau e^{L \min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\}}$ where $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau > 0$, then $G \in \Delta_G$.

Example 2.3. if $G(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = L \ln(\min\{t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4\} + 1) + \tau$ where $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau > 0$, then $G \in \Delta_G$.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Also suppose that $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two functions. We say T is an α - η -GF-contraction if for $x, y \in X$ with $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$(2.1) \quad G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $G \in \Delta_G$ and $F \in \Delta_F$.

Now we state and prove our main result of this section.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

- (i) T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (ii) T is an α - η -GF-contraction;
- (iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$;
- (iv) T is an α - η -continuous.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$. For $x_0 \in X$, we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_n$. Now since, T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η then, $\alpha(x_0, x_1) = \alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0) = \eta(x_0, x_1)$. By continuing this process we have,

$$\eta(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) = \eta(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, let there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$. Then x_{n_0} is fixed point of T and we have nothing to prove. Hence, we assume, $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ or $d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since, T is an α - η -GF-contraction, so we derive,

$$\begin{aligned} &G(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_{n-1})) \\ &+ F(d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &G(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), 0) + F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Now since, $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \cdot d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \cdot 0 = 0$, so from (G) there exists $\tau > 0$ such that,

$$G(d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), 0) = \tau.$$

From (2.2) we deduce that,

$$F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau \\ &\leq F(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) - 2\tau \leq \dots \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.3) we have, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = -\infty$, and since, $F \in \Delta_F$ we obtain,

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Now from (F3), there exists $0 < k < 1$ such that,

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

By (2.3) we have,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k [F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - F(d(x_0, x_1))] \\ & \leq -n\tau [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6) and applying (2.4) and (2.5) we have,

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k = 0.$$

It follows from (2.7) that there exists, $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$n[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k \leq 1$$

for all $n > n_1$. This implies,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/k}}$$

for all $n > n_1$. Now for $m > n > n_1$ we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}.$$

Since, $0 < k < 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$ converges. Therefore, $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we proved that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of X ensures that there exist $x^* \in X$ such that, $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now since, T is an α - η -continuous and $\eta(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ then, $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \rightarrow Tx^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $x^* = Tx^*$. Thus T has a fixed point.

Let $x, y \in Fix(T)$ where $x \neq y$. Then from

$$G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

we get,

$$\tau + F(d(x, y)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $x = y$. Therefore, T has a unique fixed point. \blacksquare

Combining Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.1 we deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:*

- (i) T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (ii) if for $x, y \in X$ with $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$(2.8) \quad \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$.

- (iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$;
- (iv) T is an α - η -continuous function.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

- (i) T is a α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (ii) T is an α - η -GF-contraction;
- (iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$;
- (iv) if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then either

$$\eta(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, x) \text{ or } \eta(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq \alpha(T^2x_n, x)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point whenever $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$. As in proof of Theorem 2.1 we can conclude that

$$\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \text{ and } x_n \rightarrow x^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where, $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$. So, from (iv), either

$$\eta(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, x^*) \text{ or } \eta(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq \alpha(T^2x_n, x^*)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies,

$$\eta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \alpha(x_{n+1}, x) \text{ or } \eta(x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) \leq \alpha(x_{n+2}, x)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equivalently, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\eta(x_{n_k}, Tx_{n_k}) = \eta(x_{n_k}, x_{n_k+1}) \leq \alpha(x_{n_k}, x^*)$$

and so from (2.1) we deduce that,

$$\begin{aligned} & G(d(x_{n_k}, Tx_{n_k}), d(x^*, Tx^*), d(x_{n_k}, Tx^*), d(x^*, Tx_{n_k})) + F(d(Tx_{n_k}, Tx^*)) \\ & \leq F(d(x_{n_k}, x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies,

$$(2.9) \quad F(d(Tx_{n_k}, Tx^*)) \leq F(d(x_{n_k}, x^*)).$$

From (F1) we have,

$$d(x_{n_k+1}, Tx^*) < d(x_{n_k}, x^*).$$

By taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we get, $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. i.e., $x^* = Tx^*$. Uniqueness follows similarly as in Theorem 2.1. ■

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Example 2.1 we deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:*

- (i) T is a α -admissible mapping with respect to η ;
- (ii) if for $x, y \in X$ with $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$.

- (iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq \eta(x_0, Tx_0)$;
- (iv) if $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then either

$$\eta(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, x) \text{ or } \eta(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq \alpha(T^2x_n, x)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, x)$ for all $x, y \in \text{Fix}(T)$.

If in Corollary 2.2 we take $\alpha(x, y) = \eta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$, then we deduce the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.3. (Theorem 2.1 of [19]). *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping. If for $x, y \in X$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,*

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$. Then T has a fixed point.

Example 2.4. Let $X = [0, +\infty)$. We endow X with usual metric. Define, $T : X \rightarrow X$, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $G : R^{+4} \rightarrow R^+$ and $F : R^+ \rightarrow R$ by,

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}e^{-\tau}x^2, & \text{if } x \in [0, 1] \\ 3x & \text{if } x \in (1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } x, y \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{9}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}, G(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \tau \text{ where } \tau > 0$$

and $F(r) = \ln r$.

Let, $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$, then $x, y \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand, $Tw \in [0, 1]$ for all $w \in [0, 1]$. Then, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty)$. That is, T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η . If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq \eta(x_n, x_{n+1})$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^3x_n \in [0, 1]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is,

$$\eta(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, x) \text{ and } \eta(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq \alpha(T^2x_n, x)$$

hold for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\alpha(0, T0) \geq \eta(0, T0)$. Let, $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, Tx)$. Now, if $x \notin [0, 1]$ or $y \notin [0, 1]$, then, $\frac{1}{9} \geq \frac{1}{4}$, which is a contradiction, so $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and hence we obtain,

$$d(Tx, Ty) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\tau}|x^2 - y^2| = \frac{1}{2}e^{-\tau}|x - y||x + y| \leq e^{-\tau}|x - y| = e^{-\tau}d(x, y)$$

which implies,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) = \tau + \ln d(Tx, Ty) \leq \tau + \ln e^{-\tau}d(x, y) = \ln d(x, y) = F(d(x, y)).$$

Hence, T is an α - η -GF-contraction mapping. Thus all conditions of Corollary 2.2 (and Theorem 2.2) hold and T has a fixed point. Let $x = 0$, $y = 2$ and $\tau > 0$. Then,

$$\tau + F(d(T0, T2)) \geq F(d(T0, T2)) = \ln 6 > \ln 2 = F(d(0, 2)).$$

That is Theorem 2.1 of [19] can not be applied for this example.

Recall that a self-mapping T is said to have the property P if $Fix(T^n) = F(T)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α -continuous self-mapping. Assume that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$(2.10) \quad \tau + F(d(Tx, T^2x)) \leq F(d(x, Tx))$$

holds for all $x \in X$ with $d(Tx, T^2x) > 0$ where $F \in \Delta_F$. If T is an α -admissible mapping and there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that, $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$, then T has the property P .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. For $x_0 \in X$, we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = T^n x_0 = Tx_n$. Now since, T is an α -admissible mapping, so $\alpha(x_1, x_2) = \alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) \geq 1$. By continuing this process we have,

$$\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 1$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$. Then x_{n_0} is fixed point of T and we have nothing to prove. Hence, we assume, $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ or $d(Tx_{n-1}, T^2x_{n-1}) > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From (2.10) we have,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx_{n-1}, T^2x_{n-1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}))$$

which implies,

$$(2.11) \quad \tau + F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))$$

and so,

$$F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &\leq F(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \tau \leq F(d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) - 2\tau \\ &\leq \dots \leq F(d(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12) we have, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = -\infty$, and since, $F \in \Delta_F$ we obtain,

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Now from (F3), there exists $0 < k < 1$ such that,

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0.$$

By (2.12) we have,

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k [F(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - F(d(x_0, x_1))] \\ &\leq -n\tau [d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15) and applying (2.13) and (2.14) we have,

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k = 0.$$

Consequently, there exists, $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$n[d(x_n, x_{n+1})]^k \leq 1$$

for all $n > n_1$. This implies,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/k}}$$

for all $n > n_1$. Now for $m > n > n_1$ we have,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1}) \leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$$

Since, $0 < k < 1$, then $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1/k}}$ converges. Therefore, $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we proved that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Completeness of X ensures that there exists $x^* \in X$ such that, $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now since, T is α -continuous and $\alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n) \geq 1$ then, $x_{n+1} = Tx_n \rightarrow Tx^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, $x^* = Tx^*$. Thus T has a fixed point and $F(T^n) = F(T)$ for $n = 1$. Let $n > 1$. Assume contrarily that $w \in F(T^n)$ and $w \notin F(T)$. Then, $d(w, Tw) > 0$. Now we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F(d(w, Tw)) &= F(d(T(T^{n-1}w)), T^2(T^{n-1}w)) \\ &\leq F(d(T^{n-1}w), T^n w) - \tau \\ &\leq F(d(T^{n-2}w), T^{n-1}w) - 2\tau \leq \dots \\ &\leq d(w, Tw) - n\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality we have, $F(d(w, Tw)) = -\infty$. Hence, by (F2) we get, $d(w, Tw) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $F(T^n) = F(T)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. ■

Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space. Recall that $T : X \rightarrow X$ is nondecreasing if $\forall x, y \in X, x \preceq y \Rightarrow T(x) \preceq T(y)$. Fixed point theorems for monotone operators in ordered metric spaces are widely investigated and have found various applications in differential and integral equations (see [1, 3, 7, 8, 10, 11] and references therein). From Theorems 2.1-2.3, we derive following new results in partially ordered metric spaces.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space. Assume that the following assertions hold true:*

- (i) T is nondecreasing and ordered GF-contraction;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$;
- (iii) either for a given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } x_n \preceq x_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have } Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$$

or if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence such that $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then either

$$Tx_n \preceq x, \text{ or } T^2x_n \preceq x$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space. Assume that the following assertions hold true:

- (i) T is nondecreasing and satisfies (2.10) for all $x \in X$ with $d(Tx, T^2x) > 0$ where $F \in \Delta_F$ and $\tau > 0$;
- (ii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$;
- (iii) for a given $x \in X$ and sequence $\{x_n\}$

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } x_n \preceq x_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ we have } Tx_n \rightarrow Tx.$$

Then T has a property P .

3. SUZUKI-WARDOWSKI TYPE FIXED POINT RESULTS

In this section, as an application of our results proved above, we deduce certain Suzuki-Wardowski type fixed point theorems.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a continuous self-mapping on X . If for $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$(3.1) \quad G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $G \in \Delta_G$ and $F \in \Delta_F$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = d(x, y) \text{ and } \eta(x, y) = d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Now, since, $d(x, y) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, so $\eta(x, y) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. That is, conditions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2.1 hold true. Since T is continuous, so T is α - η -continuous. Let, $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$. Equivalently, if $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$, then, from (3.1) we have,

$$G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)).$$

That is, T is an α - η -GF-contraction mapping. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold and T has a unique fixed point. ■

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a continuous self-mapping on X . If for $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a continuous self-mapping on X . If for $x, y \in X$ with $d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,*

$$\tau e^L \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\} + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$, $L \geq 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Assume that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y) \text{ implies } \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

for $x, y \in X$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ where $F \in \Delta_F$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = d(x, y) \text{ and } \eta(x, y) = \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $\tau > 0$. Now, since, $\frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(x, y) \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, so $\eta(x, y) \leq \alpha(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. That is, conditions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2.2 hold true. Let, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence with $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) = 0$ for some n . Then $Tx_n = T^2x_n$. That is Tx_n is a fixed point of T and we have nothing to prove. Hence we assume, $Tx_n \neq T^2x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since, $\frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq d(Tx_n, T^2x_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from (3.2) we get,

$$F(d(T^2x_n, T^3x_n)) \leq \tau + F(d(T^2x_n, T^3x_n)) \leq F(d(Tx_n, T^2x_n))$$

and so from (F1) we get,

$$(3.3) \quad d(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq d(Tx_n, T^2x_n).$$

Assume there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$\eta(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) > \alpha(Tx_{n_0}, x) \text{ and } \eta(T^2x_{n_0}, T^3x_{n_0}) > \alpha(T^2x_{n_0}, x)$$

then,

$$\frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) > d(Tx_{n_0}, x) \text{ and } \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(T^2x_{n_0}, T^3x_{n_0}) > d(T^2x_{n_0}, x)$$

so by (3.3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) &\leq d(Tx_{n_0}, x) + d(T^2x_{n_0}, x) \\ &< \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) + \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(T^2x_{n_0}, T^3x_{n_0}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) + \frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) \\ &= \frac{2}{2(1+\tau)}d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) \leq d(Tx_{n_0}, T^2x_{n_0}) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, either

$$\eta(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \leq \alpha(Tx_n, x) \text{ or } \eta(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \leq \alpha(T^2x_n, x)$$

holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. That is condition (iv) of Theorem 2.2 holds. Let, $\eta(x, Tx) \leq \alpha(x, y)$. So, $\frac{1}{2(1+\tau)}d(x, Tx) \leq d(x, y)$. Then from (3.2) we get, $\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold and T has a unique fixed point. ■

4. APPLICATIONS TO ORBITALLY CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:*

(i) *for $x, y \in O(w)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,*

$$G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $G \in \Delta_G$ and $F \in \Delta_F$;

(ii) *T is an orbitally continuous function.*

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $Fix(T) \subseteq O(w)$.

Proof. Define, $\alpha, \eta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } x, y \in O(w) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \eta(x, y) = 1$$

where $O(w)$ is an orbit of a point $w \in X$. From Remark 1.1 we know that T is an α - η -continuous mapping. Let, $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$, then $x, y \in O(w)$. So $Tx, Ty \in O(w)$. That is, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq \eta(Tx, Ty)$. Therefore, T is an α -admissible mapping with respect to η . Since $w, Tw \in O(w)$, then $\alpha(w, Tw) \geq \eta(w, Tw)$. Let, $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, Tx)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$. Then, $x, y \in O(w)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$. Therefore from (i) we have,

$$G(d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

which implies, T is an α - η -GF-contraction mapping. Hence, all conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold true and T has a fixed point. If $Fix(T) \subseteq O(w)$, then, $\alpha(x, y) \geq \eta(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in Fix(T)$ and so from Theorem 2.1 T has a unique fixed point. ■

Corollary 4.1. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:*

(i) for $x, y \in O(w)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$;

(ii) T is orbitally continuous.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $Fix(T) \subseteq O(w)$.

Corollary 4.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

(i) for $x, y \in O(w)$ with $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$ we have,

$$\tau e^{L \min\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)\}} + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$$

where $\tau > 0$, $L \geq 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$;

(ii) T is orbitally continuous.

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $Fix(T) \subseteq O(w)$.

Theorem 4.2. (Theorem 4 of [2]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

(i) for $x \in X$ with $d(Tx, T^2x) > 0$ we have,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, T^2x)) \leq F(d(x, Tx))$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$;

(ii) T is an orbitally continuous function.

Then T has the property P .

Proof. Define, $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in O(w) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $w \in X$. Let, $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$, then $x, y \in O(w)$. So $Tx, Ty \in O(w)$. That is, $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$. Therefore, T is α -admissible mapping. Since $w, Tw \in O(w)$, so $\alpha(w, Tw) \geq 1$. By Remark 1.1 we conclude that T is an α -continuous mapping. If, $x \in X$ with $d(Tx, T^2x) > 0$, then, from (i) we have,

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, T^2x)) \leq F(d(x, Tx)).$$

Thus all conditions of Theorem 2.3 hold true and T has the property P . ■

We can easily deduce following results involving integral inequalities.

Theorem 4.3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a continuous self-mapping on X . If for $x, y \in X$ with

$$\int_0^{d(x, Tx)} \rho(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d(x, y)} \rho(t) dt \text{ and } \int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt > 0$$

we have,

$$G\left(\int_0^{d(x, Tx)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(y, Ty)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(x, Ty)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(y, Tx)} \rho(t) dt\right) + F\left(\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, y)} \rho(t) dt\right)$$

where $G \in \Delta_G$, $F \in \Delta_F$ and $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping satisfying $\int_0^\varepsilon \rho(t) dt > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.4. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a self-mapping on X . Assume that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2(1+\tau)} \int_0^{d(x, Tx)} \rho(t) dt \leq \int_0^{d(x, y)} \rho(t) dt \Rightarrow \tau + F\left(\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, y)} \rho(t) dt\right)$$

for $x, y \in X$ with $\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt > 0$ where $F \in \Delta_F$ and $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping satisfying $\int_0^\varepsilon \rho(t) dt > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 4.5. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

(i) for $x, y \in O(w)$ with $\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt > 0$ we have,

$$G\left(\int_0^{d(x, Tx)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(y, Ty)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(x, Ty)} \rho(t) dt, \int_0^{d(y, Tx)} \rho(t) dt\right) + F\left(\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \rho(t) dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, y)} \rho(t) dt\right)$$

where $G \in \Delta_G$, $F \in \Delta_F$ and $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping satisfying $\int_0^\varepsilon \rho(t) dt > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

(ii) T is an orbitally continuous function;

Then T has a fixed point. Moreover, T has a unique fixed point when $Fix(T) \subseteq O(w)$.

Theorem 4.6. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a self-mapping satisfying the following assertions:

(i) for $x \in X$ with $\int_0^{d(Tx, T^2x)} \rho(t)dt > 0$ we have,

$$\tau + F\left(\int_0^{d(Tx, T^2x)} \rho(t)dt\right) \leq F\left(\int_0^{d(x, Tx)} \rho(t)dt\right)$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$ and $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping satisfying $\int_0^\varepsilon \rho(t)dt > 0$ for $\varepsilon > 0$.

(ii) T is an orbitally continuous function.

Then T has the property P .

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Agarwal, N. Hussain and M. A. Taoudi, Fixed point theorems in ordered Banach spaces and applications to nonlinear integral equations, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2012** (2012), Art. ID 245872, 15 pp.
2. M. Abbas, B. Ali and S. Romaguera, Fixed and periodic points of generalized contractions in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **2013** (2013), 243.
3. Q. H. Ansari, A. Idzik and J. C. Yao, Coincidence and fixed point theorems with applications, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, **15(1)** (2000), 191-202.
4. N. Hussain, D. D. Joric, Z. Kadelburg and S. Radenovic, Suzuki-type fixed point results in metric type spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2012** (2012), 126.
5. N. Hussain, E. Karapinar, P. Salimi and F. Akbar, α -Admissible mappings and related fixed point theorems, *J. Inequalities and Applications*, **2013** (2013), 114.
6. N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi and P. Salimi, Best proximity point results for modified α - ψ -proximal rational contractions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2013, Article ID 927457.
7. N. Hussain, S. Al-Mezel and P. Salimi, Fixed points for ψ -graphic contractions with application to integral equations, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Vol. 2013, Article ID 575869.
8. N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi and P. Salimi, Fixed point theory in α -complete metric spaces with applications, *Abstract and Applied Anal.*, Vol. 2014, Article ID 280817, 11 pages.
9. N. Hussain, M. A. Kutbi, S. Khaleghizadeh and P. Salimi, Discussions on recent results for α - ψ -contractive mappings, *Abstract and Applied Anal.*, Vol. 2014, Article ID 456482, 13 pp.
10. N. Hussain and Mohamed Aziz Taoudi, Krasnosel'skii-type fixed point theorems with applications to Volterra integral equations, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013** (2013), 196.

11. J. J. Nieto and R. Rodríguez-López, Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Order*, **22** (2005), 223-229.
12. P. Salimi, A. Latif and N. Hussain, Modified α - ψ -contractive mappings with applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2013** (2013), 151.
13. B. Samet, C. Vetro and P. Vetro, Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75** (2012), 2154-2165.
14. N. Hussain, A. Latif and P. Salimi, Best proximity point results for modified Suzuki α - ψ -proximal contractions, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2014** (2014), 10.
15. Sh. Fathollahi, N. Hussain and L. A. Khan, Fixed point results for modified weak and rational α - ψ -contractions in ordered 2-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2014** (2014), 6.
16. T. Suzuki, A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **71(11)** (2009), 5313-5317.
17. T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (2008), 1861-1869.
18. W. Takahashi, L. J. Lin and S. Y. Wang, Fixed point theorems for contractively generalized hybrid mappings in complete metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **13(2)** (2012), 195-206.
19. D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **2012** (2012), 94.

N. Hussain
Department of Mathematics
King Abdulaziz University
P. O. Box 80203
Jeddah 21589
Saudi Arabia
E-mail: nhusain@kau.edu.sa

P. Salimi
Young Researchers and Elite Club
Rasht Branch
Islamic Azad University
Rasht, Iran
E-mail: salimipeyman@gmail.com