

THE DUAL NOTION OF MULTIPLICATION MODULES

H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar

Abstract. Let R be a ring with an identity (not necessary commutative) and let M be a left R -module. In this paper we will introduce the concept of a comultiplication R -module and we will obtain some related results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R will denote a ring with an identity (not necessarily commutative) and all modules are assumed to be left R -modules. Further " \subset " will denote the strict inclusion and \mathbb{Z} denote the ring of integers. Let M be a left R -module and let $S := \text{End}_R(M)$ be the endomorphism ring of M . Then M has a structure as a right S -module so that M is an $R - S$ bimodule. If $f : M \rightarrow M$ and $g : M \rightarrow M$, then $fg : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $m(fg) = (mf)g$. Also for a submodule N of M ,

$$I^N := \{f \in S : \text{Im}(f) = Mf \subseteq N\}$$

and

$$I_N := \{f \in S : N \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)\}$$

are respectively a left and a right ideal of S . Further a submodule N of M is called ([4]) an open (resp. a closed) submodule of M if $N = N^\circ$, where $N^\circ = \sum_{f \in I^N} \text{Im}(f)$ (resp. $N = \bar{N}$, where $\bar{N} = \cap_{f \in I_N} \text{Ker}(f)$). A left R -module M is said to be self generated (resp. self cogenerated) if each submodule of M is open (resp. closed).

Let M be an R -module. M is said to be a multiplication (resp. openly multiplication) R -module if for every submodule N of M there exists a two sided ideal I

Received August 3, 2005, accepted March 16, 2006.

Communicated by Shun-Jen Cheng.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13C99.

Key words and phrases: Endomorphism, Multiplication modules, Comultiplication modules, Closedly comultiplication modules.

of R such that $N = IM$ (resp. $N^\circ = IM$). Recently a large body of research has been done about the left multiplication R -modules having right $End_R(M)$ -modules structures.

Now let M be an R -module. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of comultiplication (resp. closedly comultiplication) R -modules (the dual notion of multiplication or openly multiplication R -modules). M is said to be a comultiplication (resp. closedly comultiplication) R -module if for every submodule N of M there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$ (resp. $\bar{N} = (0 :_M I)$). It is clear that every comultiplication R -module is closedly comultiplication. It is shown that the converse is not true in general. Also we have shown that M is a comultiplication R -module if and only if for each submodule N of M , $N = (0 :_M Ann_R(N))$. Furthermore, we will obtain another characterization for comultiplication R -modules (see 3.10) and it is shown, among the other results, that every submodule of a comultiplication R -module is a comultiplication R -module (see 3.17) and that every cocyclic module over a commutative complete Noetherian ring is a comultiplication module (see. 3.17).

2. AUXILARLY RESULTS

In this section we will provide the definitions and results which is necessary in the next section.

Definition 2.1.

- (a) M is said to be (see [6]) a multiplication module if for any submodule N of M there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $IM = N$.
- (b) Let N be a non-zero submodule of M . Then N is said to be (see [1]) large or essential (resp. small) if for every non-zero submodule L of M , $N \cap L \neq 0$ (resp. $L + N = M$ implies that $L = M$).
- (c) M is said to be (see [1]) couniform if each of its non-zero submodules is small.
- (d) A submodule K of M is called fully invariant if $Kf \subseteq K$ for every $f \in End_R(M)$.
- (e) Let R be a commutative ring. The non-zero submodule N of M is said to be (see [10]) second submodule of M if for each $a \in R$ the homothety $N \xrightarrow{a} N$ is either surjective or zero. This implies that $Ann_R(M) = P$ is a prime ideal of R .
- (f) A non-zero module M over a ring R is said to be (see [2]) prime if the annihilator of M is the same as the annihilator of N for every non-zero submodule N of M .

- (g) A non-zero module M over a ring R is said to be (see [2]) coprime if the annihilator of M is the same as the annihilator of Q for every non-zero (left) quotient Q of M .
- (h) An R -module M is said to be distributive if the lattice of its submodule is distributive, i.e. $(X+Y) \cap Z = (X \cap Z) + (Y \cap Z)$ for any of its submodules X, Y and Z .
- (i) Let R be a commutative ring. An R -module L is said to be cocyclic (see [8] and [9]) if $L \subseteq E(R/P)$ for some maximal ideal P of R .

Remark 2.2. (see [3]). Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and let E be an injective R -module. Then we have $(0 :_E (0 :_R I)) = IE$.

Lemma 2.3. Let R be a commutative ring and M an R -module. Let $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ be a domain. Then $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ is a prime ideal of R .

Proof. Let I and J be ideals of the ring R and $IJ \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Then $IJM = 0$. Now assume that $JM \neq 0$ and $IM \neq 0$. Hence there exist $a \in I$ and $b \in J$ such that $aM \neq 0$ and $bM \neq 0$. Consider the homotheties $M \xrightarrow{f_a} M$ and $M \xrightarrow{g_b} M$ defined respectively by $m \mapsto am$ and $m \mapsto bm$. Then

$$m(f_a g_b) = (m f_a) g_b = (am) g_b = bam = 0.$$

Hence $f_a g_b = 0$. Since S is a domain, $f_a = 0$ or $g_b = 0$. Therefore, $aM = 0$ or $bM = 0$. But this is a contradiction. Hence $IM = 0$ or $JM = 0$ so that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ or $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1. An R -module M is said to be a comultiplication module if for any submodule N of M there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$.

Example 3.2. Let p be a prime number and consider the \mathbb{Z} -module $M = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ (we recall that \mathbb{Z} is the ring of integers). Choose $N = \mathbb{Z}(1/p + \mathbb{Z})$ and Set $I = \mathbb{Z}p^i, i \geq 0$. It is clear that $N = (0 :_M I)$. Therefore, $M = \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module is a comultiplication module.

Definition 3.3. An R -module M is said to be a closedly comultiplication module if for any submodule N of M there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $\bar{N} = (0 :_M I)$.

Example 3.4 Let M be a duo R -module (i.e. every submodule of M is fully invariant). Now I_N is a two-sided ideal of S and it is easy to see that

$\bar{N} = (0 :_M I_N)$. Hence M as a right S -module is a closedly comultiplication S -module.

Remark 3.5. It is clear that every comultiplication module is a closedly comultiplication module. But the following example shows that the converse is not true.

Example 3.6. As we will show in example 3.9, \mathbb{Z} as a \mathbb{Z} -module is not a comultiplication \mathbb{Z} -module. However since every non-zero endomorphism of \mathbb{Z} is a monomorphism, for every \mathbb{Z} -submodule N of \mathbb{Z} , we have $\bar{N} = 0$ or $\bar{N} = N$. This shows that \mathbb{Z} is a closedly comultiplication \mathbb{Z} -module.

Lemma 3.7. *An R module M is a comultiplication module if and only if for each submodule N of M , $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, suppose that M is a comultiplication module. Then there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$. Then we have $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ so that $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) \subseteq (0 :_M I) = N$. This implies that $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ as desired.

Example 3.8. Let R be a commutative semi-simple ring and let I be an ideal of R . Then it is clear that R is both injective and Noetherian as R -module. Hence by Remark 2.2, we have $(0 :_R \text{Ann}_R(I)) = IR = I$. Thus every semi-simple ring as a module over itself is a comultiplication module by 3.7.

Example 3.9. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}$ (as a \mathbb{Z} -module). For a submodule $2\mathbb{Z}$ of \mathbb{Z} we have $(0 :_{\text{Ann}_{\mathbb{Z}}}(2\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, \mathbb{Z} is not a comultiplication module.

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) M is a comultiplication module.
- (b) For every submodule N of M and each two sided ideal C of R with $N \subset (0 :_M C)$, there exists a two sided ideal B of R such that $C \subset B$ and $N = (0 :_M B)$.
- (c) For every submodule N of M and each two sided ideal C of R with $N \subset (0 :_M C)$, there exists a two sided ideal B of R such that $C \subset B$ and $N \subseteq (0 :_M B)$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let N be a submodule of M and let C be a two sided ideal of R such that $N \subset (0 :_M C)$. Since M is a comultiplication module, $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$. We set $B = C + \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Since $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) \subset (0 :_M C)$, $\text{Ann}_R(N) \not\subset C$. Hence $C \subset B$ and we have

$$(0 :_M B) = (0 :_M C + \text{Ann}_R(RN)) = (0 :_M C) \cap (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = N.$$

The implication (b) \Rightarrow (c) is obvious.

(c) \Rightarrow (a). Let N be a submodule of M and let

$$H = \{D : D \text{ is a two sided ideal of } R \text{ and } N \subset (0 :_M D)\}$$

Clearly $0 \in H$. Let $\{B_i\}$, $i \in I$, be any non-empty collection of two sided ideals in H . By assumption, $\sum_{i \in I} B_i \in H$. By the Zorn's Lemma, H has a maximal member C so that $N \subseteq (0 :_M C)$. Assume that $N \neq (0 :_M C)$. Then by part (c), there exists a two sided ideal B with $C \subset B$ and $N \subseteq (0 :_M B)$. But this is a contradiction by the choice of C . Thus we have $N = (0 :_M C)$. This shows that M is a comultiplication R -module.

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a commutative ring and let M be a comultiplication R -module. Then*

- (a) M is a self-cogenerated R -module
- (b) If N is a submodule of M such that $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a prime ideal of R , then N is a second submodule of M .

Proof.

- (a) Let N be submodule of a comultiplication R -module M . Then there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$. For each $a \in I$, define the map $f_a : M \rightarrow M$ by $m \mapsto am$. Since R is a commutative ring, f_a is an R -endomorphism. It is clear that for each $a \in I$, $N \subseteq \text{Ker}(f_a)$ and we have

$$\bar{N} = \bigcap_{f \in I_N} \text{Ker}(f) \subseteq \bigcap_{a \in I} \text{Ker}(f_a) = N.$$

Hence $N = \bar{N}$ as desired.

- (b) Set $P = \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Since M is a comultiplication R -module, $N = (0 :_M P)$. Let $\phi_a : N \rightarrow N$ be the non-zero R -homomorphism defined by $n \mapsto an$. Let $K = \text{Im}\phi_a = aN$. It is clear that $0 \neq K \subseteq N$. By Theorem 3.10, there exists a two sided ideal B of R such that $P \subset B$ and $K = (0 :_M B)$. It follows that $Ba \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a prime ideal of R and $P \subset B$, we have $a \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ so that $aN = 0$. This is a contradiction and the proof is completed.

Corollary 3.12. *Let R be a commutative ring and let M be an R -module. Then M is a comultiplication module if and only if it is self cogenerated and closedly comultiplication R -module.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of 3.11 (a) and 3.5.

Corollary 3.13. *Let R be a commutative ring and let M be a comultiplication R -module. Futher let N be a submodule of M . Then The following are equivalent.*

- (a) N is second submodule of M .
 (b) $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a prime ideal of R .

Proof. Use 3.11 (b) and 2.1 (e).

Proposition 3.14. *Let M be a comultiplication R -module.*

- (a) *Let $\{M_\lambda\}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, be a family of submodule of module M with $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda = 0$. Then for every submodule N of M , we have*

$$N = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N + M_\lambda).$$

- (b) *Let P be a minimal two sided ideal of R such that $(0 :_M P) = 0$. Then M is cyclic.*

Proof.

- (a) Let N be a submodule of M . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N &= (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) = (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_\lambda :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) \\ &= \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (M_\lambda :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)) \supseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N + M_\lambda) \supseteq N. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$N = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (N + M_\lambda).$$

- (b) Let $0 \neq m \in M$. Since M is a comultiplication R -module, there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $Rm = (0 :_M I)$ and hence

$$Rm = (0 :_M I) = ((0 :_M P) :_M I) = (0 :_M PI).$$

Now since P is a minimal ideal of R and $0 \subseteq PI \subseteq P$, we have $PI = 0$ or $PI = P$. If $PI = P$, then

$$Rm = (0 :_M PI) = (0 :_M P) = 0.$$

This implies that $m = 0$ which is a contradiction. Hence we have $PI = 0$ so that $Rm = M$ as desired.

Lemma 3.15. *Let M be a faithful comultiplication module over a commutative ring R . Then $W(M) = Z(R)$, where*

$$W(M) = \{a \in R : \text{the homothety } M \xrightarrow{a} M \text{ is not surjective}\}$$

(here $Z(R)$ denotes the set of zero divisors of R).

Proof. Let $a \in W(M)$ and suppose that the homomorphism $M \xrightarrow{a} M$ defined by $m \mapsto am$ is not surjective. Then since M is a comultiplication R -module, there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $aM = (0 :_M I)$. Hence we have $IaM = 0$ so that $Ia \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M) = 0$. Thus $Ia = 0$. It follows that $a \in Z(R)$. Conversely let $a \in Z(R)$. Then there exists $0 \neq b \in R$ such that $ab = 0$. Thus we have $(ab)M = (bR)(aM) = 0$. This implies that $aM \subseteq (0 :_M bR) \neq M$ because M is faithful R -module. Therefore, $aM \neq M$ so that $a \in W(M)$.

Lemma 3.16. *Let R be a ring such that the lattice of two sided ideals of R is distributive and let M be a comultiplication R -module such that for any two sided ideal B and C of R , $(0 :_M B) + (0 :_M C) = (0 :_M B \cap C)$. Then M is a distributive module.*

Proof. Let X, Y , and Z be three submodules of M . Since M is a comultiplication module, there exist two sided ideals B, C and D of R such that $X = (0 :_M B)$, $Y = (0 :_M C)$ and $Z = (0 :_M D)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (X + Y) \cap Z &= ((0 :_M B) + (0 :_M C)) \cap (0 :_M D) = (0 :_M B \cap C) \cap (0 :_M D) \\ &= (0 :_M (B \cap C) + D) = (0 :_M (B + D) \cap (C + D)) = (0 :_M B + D) + (0 :_M C + D) \\ &= ((0 :_M B) \cap (0 :_M D)) + ((0 :_M C) \cap (0 :_M D)) = (X \cap Z) + (Y \cap Z). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.17. *Let M be a comultiplication R -module. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *Every submodule of M is fully invariant.*
- (b) *If R is a commutative ring, then $\text{End}_R(M)$ is a commutative ring.*
- (c) *If M is faithful, then M is divisible.*
- (d) *Every submodule of M is a comultiplication module.*
- (e) *If R is a complete Noetherian local ring, then every cocyclic R -module is a comultiplication R -module.*

Proof.

- (a) Let N be a submodule of a comultiplication R -module M . Then there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$. Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism. Since $IN = 0$, $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(Nf)$ so that

$$(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(Nf)) \subseteq (0 :_M I) = N.$$

This implies that $Nf \subseteq N$.

- (b) Let f and g be two endomorphisms of M and let $m \in M$. Then we have $mf \in (Rm)f$ and $mg \in (Rm)g$. But by part (a), $Rm(f) \subseteq Rm$ and $Rm(g) \subseteq Rm$. Thus, $mf, mg \in Rm$. So there exist elements $a, b \in R$ such that $mf = am$ and $mg = bm$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(fg - gf) &= mf(g) - mg(f) = am(g) - bm(f) \\ &= bam - abm = abm - abm = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $End_R(M)$ is a commutative ring.

- (c) Let c be a regular element. Then since M is a comultiplication R -module, there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $cM = (0 :_M I)$. Since M is a faithful R -module, we have $IcM = 0$ so that $Ic = 0$. This implies that $I = 0$ because c is a regular element. Therefore, $cM = M$.
- (d) Let M be a comultiplication R -module and let N be a submodule of M . Let K be a submodule of N . Then there exists a two sided ideal I of R such that $K = (0 :_M I)$. But we have $K = (0 :_M I) = (0 :_N I)$. Therefore, N is a comultiplication module.
- (e) Let P be the unique maximal ideal of R . Since every cocyclic R -module is a submodule of $E_R(R/P)$, by using part (d), it is enough to prove that $E_R(R/P)$ is a comultiplication R -module. Now by using 3.7, it is enough to prove that for every submodule L of $E_R(R/P)$, $L = (0 :_{E_R(R/P)} Ann_R(L))$. To see this, set $\bar{R} = R/Ann_R(L)$, $\bar{P} = P/Ann_R(L)$, $\bar{E} = E_{\bar{R}}E(\bar{R}/\bar{P})$, and $\bar{H} = (0 :_{E_R(R/P)} Ann_R(L))$. Then \bar{H} has a structure as \bar{R} -module and as such is isomorphic to \bar{E} . Now, as R and \bar{R} module, $L \subseteq \bar{H}$ and L is a faithful \bar{R} -module. Hence by applying $Hom_{\bar{R}}(-, \bar{E})$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \bar{H} \rightarrow \bar{H}/L \rightarrow 0$$

one can see, as in the proof of [6, 2.3], that

$$Hom_{\bar{R}}(\bar{H}/L, \bar{E}) = 0.$$

This implies that $H/L = 0$ as desired.

Proposition 3.18. *Let M be an R -module. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (a) *If M is a comultiplication prime R -module, then M is a simple module.*
- (b) *If M is a multiplication coprime R -module, then M is a simple module.*
- (c) *Let R be a domain and let M be a faithful multiplication and comultiplication R -module. Then M is simple.*

Proof.

- (a) Let N be a non-zero submodule of M . Since M is a prime module, we have $Ann_R(N) = Ann_R(M)$. Thus $(0 :_M Ann_R(N)) = (0 :_M Ann_R(M))$. Now by using Lemma 3.7 we have

$$N = (0 :_M Ann_R(N)) = (0 :_M Ann_R(M)) = M.$$

Therefore, M is a simple module.

- (b) Let M be a proper submodule of M . Since M is a coprime module, we have $Ann_R(M) = Ann_R(M/N)$. Thus $Ann_R(M)M = Ann_R(M/N)M$. But $Ann_R(M/N)M = N$ by [5]. Hence M is a simple module.
- (c) Let N be a submodule of a faithful multiplication and comultiplication R -module M . Then, there exist two sided ideals I and J of R such that $N = (0 :_M J)$ and $N = IM$. It follows that $JN = 0$ so that $JIM = 0$. This implies that $JI \subseteq Ann_R(M) = 0$. So we have $JI = 0$. Since R is a domain, $I = 0$ or $J = 0$. Therefore, $N = M$ or $N = 0$.

Theorem 3.19. *Let M be a closedly comultiplication R -module and $S = End_R(M)$. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a non-zero fully invariant second submodule of M , then I_N is a prime ideal of S .*
- (b) *If S is a domain and N is a closed submodule of M , then $I^N = S$ or I^N is a prime ideal of S .*

Proof.

- (a) Since $Id_M \in S$ and $Id_M \notin I_N$, $I_N \neq S$. Further since N is a fully invariant submodule of M , I_N is a two sided ideal of S . Now let $fSg \subseteq I_N$, where $f, g \in S$. Then $fg \in I_N$. There exist two sided ideals I and J of R such that $Ker f = (0 :_M I)$ and $Ker g = (0 :_M J)$. Now $fg \in I_N$ implies that $N \subseteq Ker f g$. so that $N(fg) = 0$. Hence $Nf \subseteq Ker g = (0 :_M J)$. It follows that $0 = J(Nf)$ so that

$$JN \subseteq Ker f = (0 :_M I).$$

This implies that $IJN = 0$ so that $IJ \subseteq Ann_R(N)$. So we have $J \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ or $I \subseteq Ann_R(N)$ because $Ann_R(N)$ is a prime ideal of R by 2.1 (e). From this we have

$$N \subseteq (0 :_M J) = Ker g \text{ or } N \subseteq (0 :_M I) = Ker f.$$

Therefore, $f \in I_N$ or $g \in I_N$ as desired.

- (b) Let $I^N \neq S$. Then we show that I^N is a prime ideal of S . To see this let $fSg \subseteq I^N$. Since $1 \in S$, $fg \in I^N$. It implies that $(M)fg \subseteq N$. Also there exist two sided ideals I, J , and K of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$, $\text{Ker}(f) = (0 :_M J)$, and $\text{Ker}(g) = (0 :_M K)$. Hence we have

$$M(fg) \subseteq N = (0 :_M I).$$

Thus $I(M(fg)) = ((IM)f)g = 0$. This implies that

$$(IM)f \subseteq \text{Ker}(g) = (0 :_M K).$$

Hence we have $(KIM)f = 0$ so that

$$(KIM) \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) = (0 :_M J).$$

It follows that $JKI \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Since S is a domain, $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ is a prime ideal of R so that $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ or $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ or $K \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Hence $N = M$ or $(0 :_M J) = M$ or $(0 :_M K) = M$. So we have $I^N = S$ or $\text{Ker}(f) = M$ or $\text{Ker}(g) = M$. Since $I^N \neq S$, we have $\text{Ker}(f) = M$ or $\text{Ker}(g) = M$. If $\text{Ker}(f) = M$, then $Mf = 0 \subseteq N$, so $f \in I^N$. If $\text{Ker}(g) = M$, then $Mg = 0 \subseteq N$, so $g \in I^N$. Hence I^N is a prime ideal of S .

Corollary 3.20. *Let M be a closedly comultiplication second R -module. Then $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a prime ring.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the zero ideal of S is a prime ideal. But by Theorem 3.19, $I_M = 0$ is a prime ideal of S as desired.

Corollary 3.21. *Let R be a commutative ring and M be a comultiplication R -module. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ is a domain.
 (b) $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ is a prime ideal of R .

Proof. Use 2.3, 3.20 and 3.17 (b).

Definition 3.22. Let M be a comultiplication R -module and let I is a two sided ideal of R . Then $(0 :_M I)$ is said to be coidempotent if $(0 :_M I) = (0 :_M I^2)$.

Example 3.23. Let R be a Noetherian ring and I be an ideal of R . Then there exists a positive integer h such that $(0 :_R I^h) = (0 :_R I^{h+i})$ for all $i \geq 0$. Set $I^h = J$. Then we have $(0 :_R J) = (0 :_R J^2)$. Hence R has a coidempotent R -submodule.

Theorem 3.24. *Let M be a comultiplication R -module and let $S = \text{End}_R(M)$ be a domain. Then we have the following.*

- (a) Each non-zero endomorphism of M is an epimorphism.
 (b) M doesn't have any nontrivial open submodule.
 (c) If R is a commutative ring, then M is a couniform R -module.
 (d) Each closed maximal submodule of M is coidempotent.

Proof.

- (a) Let $0 \neq f : M \rightarrow M$ be an endomorphism of M . Then there exist two sided ideals I and J of R such that $Mf = (0 :_M I)$ and $\text{Ker}(f) = (0 :_M J)$. So we have

$$0 = I(0 :_M I) = I(Mf) = (IM)f.$$

This implies that

$$IM \subseteq \text{Ker}(f) = (0 :_M J).$$

Therefore, $JIM \subseteq (0 :_M J)J = 0$ so that $JI \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Since S is a domain by 2.3, we have $J \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$ or $I \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(M)$. Now by using 3.7, $\text{Ker}(f) = (0 :_M J) = M$ or $Mf = (0 :_M I) = M$. Since $f \neq 0$, $Mf = M$.

- (b) Suppose that N be a non-zero open submodule of M . Then we have

$$N = N^\circ = \sum_{f \in I^N} \text{Im}(f).$$

Since $0 \neq N$, there exists $0 \neq f \in S$ such that $0 \neq Mf \subseteq N$. But by part (a) $Mf = M$. So $N = M$.

- (c) Let $N + K = M$, where, N and K are proper submodule of M . But since every comultiplication module over a commutative ring is a self cogenerated by 3.11 (a), there exist $0 \neq f, g \in S$ such that $N \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$ and $K \subseteq \text{Ker}(g)$. Now we have $fg \neq 0$ because S is a domain and $f, g \neq 0$. Now we have

$$(N + K)(fg) = N(fg) + K(fg) = M(fg).$$

It follows that $K(fg) = M(fg)$ so that

$$M(fg) = K(fg) \subseteq Kg = 0.$$

So we have $fg = 0$. But this is a contradiction. Hence $N = M$ or $K = M$ as desired.

- (d) Let N be a closed maximal submodule of M . Then we have,

$$M \neq N = \bar{N} = \cap_{f \in I_N} \text{Ker}(f).$$

So there exists $0 \neq f \in S$ such that $N \subseteq \text{Ker}(f)$. But $\text{Ker}(f) \neq M$ implies that $N = \text{Ker}(f)$ because N is a maximal closed submodule of M . On the

other hand $\text{Ker} f \subseteq \text{Ker} f^2 \subseteq M$ yields that $\text{Ker} f^2 = M$ or $\text{Ker} f^2 = \text{Ker} f$. But since S is a domain, $\text{Ker} f^2 \neq M$. Thus, $\text{Ker} f^2 = \text{Ker} f$. Now suppose that I is a two sided ideal of R such that $\text{Ker} f = (0 :_M I)$. Then we have $\text{Ker} f^2 = (0 :_M I^2)$ because

$$m \in \text{Ker} f^2 \Leftrightarrow m(f^2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow mf \in \text{Ker} f = (0 :_M I) \Leftrightarrow$$

$$I(mf) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Im \subseteq \text{Ker} f$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I^2m = 0 \Leftrightarrow m \in (0 :_M I^2).$$

Hence $(0 :_M I) = \text{Ker} f = \text{Ker} f^2 = (0 :_M I^2)$. This implies that N is a coideal submodule of M .

Question 3.25. Let R a commutative ring and let M be a cocyclic R -module. Is M a comultiplicatin R -module?

REFERENCES

1. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and categories of modules*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1974.
2. S. Annin, *Associated and attached primes over noncommutative ring*, PhD. thesis, University of California at Berkeley, 2002.
3. H. Ansari-Toroghy, Associated and coassociated primes, *Commun. Algebra*, **26(2)** (1998), 453-466.
4. Soon-Sook Bae, On submodule inducing prime ideals of endomorphism ring, *East Asian Math.*, **16(1)** (2000), 33-48
5. Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, Multiplication modules, *Commun. Algebra*, **16(4)** (1988), 755-779.
6. Christian Lomp, Prime elements in partially ordered groupoid applied to modules and Hopfain algebra actions, *Commun. Algebra*, to appear.
7. R. Y. Sharp, A method for study of Artinian modules, with an application to asymptotic behaviour, in *Commutative Algebra, Math Sciences Research Inst. Publ. No. 15*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1986, pp. 443-464.
8. S. Yassemi, Coassociated primes, *Commun. Algebra*, **23** (1995), 1473-1498.
9. S. Yassemi, Coassociated primes of modules over commutative rings, *Math. Scand.* **80** (1997), 175-187.
10. S. Yassemi, The dual notion of prime modules, *Arch. Math (Brno)*, **37** (2001), 273-278.

H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Guilan University,
P. O. Box 1914,
Rasht, Iran
E-mail: ansari@guilan.ac.ir