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## APPENDIX A: PROOFS OF PREPARATORY PROPOSITIONS

Proof of Proposition 7. For any $f:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $\mathbb{M}_{n} f:=$ $2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left\{f\left(X_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{f\left(X_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}^{2}$ and $M f:=\mathbb{E M}_{n} f=$ $-n\left\|f-f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}^{2}$. By the definition of $\hat{f}_{n}$, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{f}_{n}\left(X_{i}\right)-\right.$ $\left.f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)-\epsilon_{i}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}^{2}$, which implies that $\mathbb{M}_{n} \hat{f}_{n} \geq 0$. We therefore have that for any $r>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)} \geq r\right\} \cap\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right\}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right) \backslash \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell-1} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left(\mathbb{M}_{n}-M\right) f \geq n 2^{2 \ell-2} r^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \\
& \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left(f-f_{0}\right)\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \geq 2^{2 \ell-4} n^{1 / 2} r^{2}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \quad \quad+\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(f-f_{0}\right)^{2}\right| \geq 2^{2 \ell-3} n^{1 / 2} r^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By a moment inequality for empirical processes (Giné, Latała and Zinn, 2000, Proposition 3.1) and (18) in the main text, we have for all $p \geq 1$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}}\right.\right. & \left.\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left\{f\left(X_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p} \\
& \lesssim K \phi_{n}\left(2^{\ell} r\right)+2^{\ell} r p^{1 / 2}+n^{-1 / 2} p \log n \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \geq C^{\prime} K r_{n}, \\
& \\
& \qquad \mathbb{P}_{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i}\left\{f\left(X_{i}\right)-f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)\right\}\right|
\end{align*} \begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.\geq 2^{2 \ell-4} n^{1 / 2} r^{2}\right)  \tag{3}\\
(3) & \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{2^{2 \ell} n r^{2}}{C \log n}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, by a symmetrisation inequality (cf. van der Vaart and Wellner (1996, Lemma 2.3.1)), (19) in the main text and the same argument as above, and by increasing $C, C^{\prime}$ if necessary, we have that for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \geq C^{\prime} K r_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}\left(f_{0}, 2^{\ell} r, 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(f-f_{0}\right)^{2}\right| \geq 2^{2 \ell-3} n^{1 / 2} r^{2}\right) \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{2^{2 \ell} n r^{2}}{C \log n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3) and (4) into (1), we obtain that for all $r \geq C^{\prime} K r_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)} \geq r\right\}\right. & \left.\cap\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right\}\right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{2^{2 \ell} n r^{2}}{C \log n}\right) \lesssim \exp \left(-\frac{n r^{2}}{C \log n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right\}}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{\infty} 2 t \mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)} \geq t\right\} \cap\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right\}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \quad \lesssim K^{2} r_{n}^{2}+\int_{C^{\prime} K r_{n}}^{\infty} 2 t \exp \left(-\frac{t^{2}}{C r_{n}^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} t \lesssim K^{2} r_{n}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired, where we have used $r_{n}^{2} \geq n^{-1} \log n$ in the penultimate inequality.

Proof of Proposition 8. [Upper bound] It is convenient here to work with the class of block decreasing functions $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}:=\left\{f:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}:-f \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{F}_{d}\right\}$ instead. We write $\mathcal{F}_{d}^{+}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}: f \geq 0\right\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}:\right.$ $f \geq 0\}$. By replacing $f$ with $-f$ and decomposing any function $f$ into its positive and negative parts, it suffices to prove the result with $\mathcal{G}_{\downarrow}^{+}(0, r, 1):=$ $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$ in place of $\mathcal{G}(0, r, 1)$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{\downarrow}^{+}(0, r, 1)=\mathcal{G}_{\downarrow}^{+}(0,1,1)$ for $r \geq 1$, we may also assume without loss of generality that $r \leq 1$. We handle the cases $d=2$ and $d \geq 3$ separately.

Case $d=2$. We apply Lemma 7 with $\eta=r /(2 n)$ and Lemma 8 to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} n^{1 / 2} \eta+\log ^{3} n \int_{\eta}^{r} \frac{r}{\varepsilon} \mathrm{~d} \varepsilon+\frac{\left(\log ^{4} n\right)(\log \log n)^{2}}{n^{1 / 2}} \lesssim r \log ^{4} n,
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
Case $d \geq 3$. We assume without loss of generality that $n=n_{1}^{d}$ for some
 $[0,1]^{d}=\cup_{\ell=1}^{n_{1}} I_{\ell}$. Our strategy is to analyse the expected supremum of the symmetrised empirical process when restricted to each strip. To this end, define $S_{\ell}:=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\} \cap I_{\ell}$ and $N_{\ell}:=\left|S_{\ell}\right|$, and let $\Omega_{0}:=\left\{m_{0} n^{1-1 / d} / 2 \leq\right.$ $\left.\min _{\ell} N_{\ell} \leq \max _{\ell} N_{\ell} \leq 2 M_{0} n^{1-1 / d}\right\}$. Then by Hoeffding's inequality,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\Omega_{0}^{c}\right) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_{1}} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|N_{\ell}-\mathbb{E} N_{\ell}\right|>\frac{m_{0} n}{2 n_{1}}\right) \leq 2 n_{1} \exp \left(-m_{0}^{2} n^{1-2 / d} / 8\right) .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& (5) \quad \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{\ell: N_{\ell} \geq 1} \frac{N_{\ell}^{1 / 2}}{n^{1 / 2}} E_{\ell} \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_{0}}\right)+C \exp \left(-m_{0}^{2} n^{1-2 / d} / 16\right), \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
E_{\ell}:=\mathbb{E}\left\{\left.\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)}\left|\frac{1}{N_{\ell}^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i: X_{i} \in S_{\ell}} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \right\rvert\, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{n_{1}}\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 9, for any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$ and $\ell \in\left\{1, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$, we have $\int_{I_{\ell}} f^{2} \mathrm{~d} P \leq 7\left(M_{0} / m_{0}\right) \ell^{-1} r^{2} \log ^{d} n=: r_{n, \ell}^{2}$. Consequently, we have by Lemma 7 that for any $\eta \in\left[0, r_{n, \ell} / 3\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\ell} \lesssim N_{\ell}^{1 / 2} \eta+\int_{\eta}^{r_{n, \ell}} H_{[], \ell}^{1 / 2}(\varepsilon) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon+\frac{H_{[], \ell}\left(r_{n, \ell}\right)}{N_{\ell}^{1 / 2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{[], \ell}(\varepsilon):=\log N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}\left(I_{\ell}\right) \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n, \ell}, P ; I_{\ell}\right) \cap B_{\infty}\left(1 ; I_{\ell}\right),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}\left(P ; I_{\ell}\right)}\right)$. Here, the set $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}\left(I_{\ell}\right)$ is the class of non-negative functions on $I_{\ell}$ that are
block decreasing, $B_{\infty}\left(1 ; I_{\ell}\right)$ is the class of functions on $I_{\ell}$ that are bounded by 1 and $B_{2}\left(r_{n, \ell}, P ; I_{\ell}\right)$ is the class of measurable functions $f$ on $I_{\ell}$ with $\|f\|_{L_{2}\left(P ; I_{\ell}\right)} \leq r_{n, \ell}$. Note that any $g \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}\left(I_{\ell}\right) \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n, \ell}, P ; I_{\ell}\right) \cap B_{\infty}\left(1 ; I_{\ell}\right)$ can be rescaled into a function $f_{g} \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}\left(n_{1}^{1 / 2}\left(M_{0} / m_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{n, \ell}, P\right) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$ via the invertible map $f_{g}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_{d}\right):=g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d-1},\left(x_{d}+\ell-1\right) / n_{1}\right)$. Moreover, we have $\int_{[0,1]^{d}}\left(f_{g}-f_{g^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} P \geq n_{1}\left(m_{0} / M_{0}\right) \int_{I_{\ell}}\left(g-g^{\prime}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} P$. Thus, by Lemma 8 , for $\varepsilon \in\left[\eta, r_{n, \ell}\right]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{[], \ell}(\varepsilon) \leq \log N_{[]}\left(n^{1 /(2 d)}\left(m_{0} / M_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \varepsilon\right. \\
&\left.\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}\left(n^{1 /(2 d)}\left(M_{0} / m_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{n, \ell}, P\right) \cap B_{\infty}(1),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) \\
& \lesssim_{d, m_{0}, M_{0}}\left(\frac{r_{n, \ell}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2(d-1)} \log _{+}^{d^{2}}(1 / \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above bound into (6), and choosing $\eta=n^{-1 /(2 d)} r_{n, \ell}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\ell} & \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} N_{\ell}^{1 / 2} \eta+\log ^{d^{2} / 2} n \int_{\eta}^{r_{n, \ell}}\left(\frac{r_{n, \ell}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{d-1} \mathrm{~d} \varepsilon+\frac{\log ^{d^{2}} n}{N_{\ell}^{1 / 2}} \\
& \lesssim N_{\ell}^{1 / 2} \eta+\frac{r_{n, \ell}^{d-1} \log ^{d^{2} / 2} n}{\eta^{d-2}}+\frac{\log ^{d^{2}} n}{N_{\ell}^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\ell} \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_{0}} & \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} r_{n, \ell} n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{d^{2} / 2} n+n^{-1 / 2+1 /(2 d)} \log ^{d^{2}} n \\
& \lesssim m_{0}, M_{0} r_{n, \ell} n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{d^{2} / 2} n \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the final inequality we used the conditions that $d \geq 3$ and $r \geq$ $n^{-(1-2 / d)} \log \left(d^{2}-d\right) / 2 n$. Combining (5) and (7), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} r n^{1 / 2-3 /(2 d)} \log \left(d^{2}+d\right) / 2
\end{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_{1}} \ell^{-1 / 2} \lesssim r n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\left(d^{2}+d\right) / 2} n, ~ l
$$

which completes the proof.
[Lower bound] Assume without of loss of generality that $n=n_{1}^{d}$ for some $n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$. For a multi-index $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{L}_{d, n}$, let $L_{w}:=\prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(w_{j}-\right.$ $\left.1 / n_{1}, w_{j}\right]$ and $N_{w}:=\left|\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\} \cap L_{w}\right|$. We define $W:=\left\{\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{d}\right):\right.$
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$\left.\sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j}=1\right\}$ to be indices of a mutually incomparable collection of cubelets and define $\tilde{W}:=\left\{w \in W: N_{w} \geq 1\right\}$ to be the (random) set of indices of cubelets in this collection that contain at least one design point. For each $w \in \tilde{W}$, associate $i_{w}:=\min \left\{i: X_{i} \in L_{w}\right\}$. For each realisation of the Rademacher random variables $\xi=\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ and design points $X=\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$, define $f_{\xi, X}:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow[-1,1]$ to be the function such that

$$
f_{\xi, X}(x):= \begin{cases}r \xi_{i_{w}} & \text { if } x \in L_{w}, w \in \tilde{W} \\ r & \text { if } x \in L_{w} \text { with } \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_{j}>n_{1} \\ -r & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

For $r \leq 1$, we have $f_{\xi, X} \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right) \geq \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f_{\xi, X}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f_{\xi, X}\left(X_{i}\right) \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n},\left\{\xi_{i_{w}}: w \in \tilde{W}\right\}\right\}\right] \\
& =\mathbb{E} \sum_{w \in \tilde{W}} \xi_{i_{w}} f_{\xi, X}\left(X_{i_{w}}\right)=r \mathbb{E}|\tilde{W}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired lower bound follows since $\mathbb{E}|\tilde{W}| \geq\left\{1-\left(1-m_{0} / n\right)^{n}\right\}|W| \geq$ $\left(1-e^{-m_{0}}\right)|W| \gtrsim d, m_{0} n^{1-1 / d}$, where the final bound follows as in the proof of Proposition 5.

Proof of Proposition 9. Let $r_{n}:=n^{-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n$. We write (8)
$\mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)} \leq r_{n}\right\}}\right\}+\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}>r_{n}\right\}}\right\}$
and control the two terms on the right hand side of (8) separately. For the first term, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)} \leq r_{n}\right\}}\right\} \leq \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right) \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right) \\
& \lesssim r_{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right) \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim r_{n}^{2}+\frac{\log ^{1 / 2} n}{n} \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right) \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim_{d, m_{0}, M_{0}} r_{n}^{2}+r_{n} n^{-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n \lesssim r_{n}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$
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where the second line uses the symmetrisation inequality (cf. van der Vaart and Wellner, 1996, Lemma 2.3.1), the third inequality follows from Lemma 6 and the penultimate inequality follows from Proposition 8. For the second term on the right-hand side of (8), we first claim that there exists $C_{d, m_{0}, M_{0}}^{\prime}>$ 0 , depending only on $d, m_{0}$ and $M_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}^{c}\right) \leq \frac{2}{n^{2}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\left\{\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right)^{\wedge} \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}_{n} f^{2}}{P f^{2}}-1\right| \leq C_{d, m_{0}, M_{0}}^{\prime}\right\} .
$$

To see this, we adopt a peeling argument as follows. Let $\mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}:=\{f \in$ $\left.\mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right): 2^{\ell-1} r_{n}^{2}<P f^{2} \leq 2^{\ell} r_{n}^{2}\right\}$ and let $m$ be the largest integer such that $2^{m} r_{n}^{2}<32 \log n$ (so that $m \asymp \log n$ ). We have that

$$
\sup _{\substack{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} \\\|f\|_{L_{2}(P)}>r_{n}\right.}}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}_{n} f^{2}}{P f^{2}}-1\right| \leq \frac{2}{n^{1 / 2}} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, m}\left\{\left(2^{\ell} r_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f^{2}\right|\right\} .
$$

By Talagrand's concentration inequality for empirical processes (Talagrand, 1996), in the form given by Massart (2000, Theorem 3), applied to the class $\left\{f^{2}: f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}\right\}$, we have that for any $s_{\ell}>0$,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f^{2}\right|>2 \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f^{2}\right|+12 \sqrt{2}\left(2^{\ell} s_{\ell} \log n\right)^{1 / 2} r_{n}+\frac{1242 s_{\ell} \log n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right\} \\
\leq e^{-s_{\ell}}
\end{array}
$$

Here we have used the fact that $\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}} \operatorname{Var}_{P} f^{2} \leq \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}} P f^{2}\|f\|_{\infty}^{2} \leq$ $36 \cdot 2^{\ell} r_{n}^{2} \log n$. Further, by the symmetrisation inequality again, Lemma 6 and Proposition 8, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{2}{n^{1 / 2}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq \frac{48 \log ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \ell}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} 2^{\ell / 2} r_{n} n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a union bound, we have that with probability at least $1-\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} e^{-s_{\ell}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right)^{c} \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}_{n} f^{2}}{P f^{2}}-1\right| \\
& \quad d_{1, m_{0}, M_{0}} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, m}\left\{\frac{n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n+s_{\ell}^{1 / 2} \log ^{1 / 2} n}{2^{\ell / 2} n^{1 / 2} r_{n}}+\frac{s_{\ell} \log n}{2^{\ell} n r_{n}^{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By choosing $s_{\ell}:=2^{\ell} \log n$, we see that on an event of probability at least $1-\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} e^{-s_{\ell}} \geq 1-\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} n^{-\ell-1} \geq 1-2 n^{-2}$, we have

$$
\sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}\left(r_{n}, P\right)^{c} \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{\mathbb{P}_{n} f^{2}}{P f^{2}}-1\right|{\lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0}}
$$

which verifies (10). Thus
$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}>r_{n}\right\}}\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}>r_{n}\right\}} \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{E}}\right\}+\frac{72 \log n}{n^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq\left(C_{d, m_{0}, M_{0}}^{\prime}+1\right) \mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}^{2}+\frac{72 \log n}{n^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (8), (9) and (11), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2} \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} r_{n}^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}^{2}
$$

as desired.
Proof of Proposition 10. Let $r_{n}:=n^{-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n$ and observe that by Lemma 5 and Proposition 8, we have that for $r \geq r_{n}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \epsilon_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} r n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 6 and Proposition 8, for $r \geq r_{n}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{d} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}\left(6 \log ^{1 / 2} n\right)}\left|\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} r n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n .
$$

It follows that the conditions of Proposition 7 are satisfied for this choice of $r_{n}$ with $\phi_{n}(r):=r n^{1 / 2-1 / d} \log ^{\gamma_{d}} n$ and $K \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0}$. By Lemma 10, Propositions 9 and 7, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n}\left(\hat{f}_{n}, 0\right) & \leq \mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{n}\right)}^{2}+n^{-1} \\
& \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} n^{-2 / d} \log ^{2 \gamma_{d}} n+\mathbb{E}\left\|\tilde{f}_{n}\right\|_{L_{2}(P)}^{2} \lesssim d, m_{0}, M_{0} n^{-2 / d} \log ^{2 \gamma_{d}} n
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

## APPENDIX B: AUXILIARY LEMMAS

We collect here various auxiliary results used in the proofs in the main document (Han et al., 2018).

The proof of Corollary 1 in the main document requires the following lemma on Riemann approximation of block increasing functions.

Lemma 1. Suppose $n_{1}=n^{1 / d}$ is a positive integer. For any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$, define $f_{L}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right):=f\left(n_{1}^{-1}\left\lfloor n_{1} x_{1}\right\rfloor, \ldots, n_{1}^{-1}\left\lfloor n_{1} x_{d}\right\rfloor\right)$ and $f_{U}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right):=$ $f\left(n_{1}^{-1}\left\lceil n_{1} x_{1}\right\rceil, \ldots, n_{1}^{-1}\left\lceil n_{1} x_{d}\right\rceil\right)$. Then

$$
\int_{[0,1]^{d}}\left(f_{U}-f_{L}\right)^{2} \leq 4 d n^{-1 / d}\|f\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

Proof. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{\top}$ and $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{d}^{\prime}\right)^{\top}$ in $\mathbb{L}_{d, n}$, we say $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ are equivalent if and only if $x_{j}-x_{1}=x_{j}^{\prime}-x_{1}^{\prime}$ for $j=1, \ldots, d$. Let $\mathbb{L}_{d, n}=\bigsqcup_{r=1}^{N} P_{r}$ be the partition of $\mathbb{L}_{d, n}$ into equivalence classes. Since each $P_{r}$ has non-empty intersection with a different element of the set $\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{L}_{d, n}: \max _{j} x_{j}=1\right\}$, we must have $N \leq d n^{1-1 / d}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{[0,1]^{d}}\left(f_{U}-f_{L}\right)^{2}=\sum_{r=1}^{N} \int_{P_{r}+n_{1}^{-1}(-1,0]^{d}}\left(f_{U}-f_{L}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{2}{n}\|f\|_{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{N} \sum_{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)^{\top} \in P_{r}}\left\{f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)-f\left(x_{1}-n_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_{d}-n_{1}^{-1}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{2 N}{n}\|f\|_{\infty}(f(1, \ldots, 1)-f(0, \ldots, 0)) \leq 4 d n^{-1 / d}\|f\|_{\infty}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
The following is a simple generalisation of Jensen's inequality.
Lemma 2. Suppose $h:[0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a non-decreasing function satisfying the following:
(i) There exists $x_{0} \geq 0$ such that $h$ is concave on $\left[x_{0}, \infty\right)$.
(ii) There exists some $x_{1}>x_{0}$ such that $h\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} h_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \geq h\left(x_{0}\right)$, where $h_{+}^{\prime}$ is the right derivative of $h$.
Then there exists $C_{h}>0$, depending only on $h$, such that for any nonnegative random variable $X$ with $\mathbb{E} X<\infty$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E} h(X) \leq C_{h} h(\mathbb{E} X)
$$
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Proof. Define $H:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[h(0), \infty)$ by

$$
H(x):= \begin{cases}h\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} h_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+x h_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) & \text { if } x \in\left[0, x_{1}\right) \\ h(x) & \text { if } x \in\left[x_{1}, \infty\right)\end{cases}
$$

Then $H$ is a concave majorant of $h$. Moreover, we have $H \leq\left(h\left(x_{1}\right) / h(0)\right) h$. Hence, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\mathbb{E} h(X) \leq \mathbb{E} H(X) \leq H(\mathbb{E} X) \leq \frac{h\left(x_{1}\right)}{h(0)} h(\mathbb{E} X)
$$

as desired.

We need the following lower bound on the metric entropy of $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{L}_{2, n}\right) \cap$ $B_{2}(1)$ for the proof of Proposition 2.

Lemma 3. There exist universal constants $c>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\log N\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{L}_{2, n}\right) \cap B_{2}(1),\|\cdot\|_{2}\right) \geq c \log ^{2} n
$$

Proof. It suffices to prove the equivalent result that there exist universal constants $c, \varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that the packing number $D\left(\varepsilon_{0}, \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{L}_{2, n}\right) \cap B_{2}(1), \| \cdot\right.$ $\|_{2}$ ) (i.e. the maximum number of disjoint open Euclidean balls of radius $\varepsilon_{0}$ that can be fitted into $\left.\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{L}_{2, n}\right) \cap B_{2}(1)\right)$ is at least $\exp \left(c \log ^{2} n\right)$. Without loss of generality, we may also assume that $n_{1}:=n^{1 / 2}=2^{\ell}-1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $\ell \asymp \log n$. Now, for $r=1, \ldots, \ell$, let $I_{r}:=n_{1}^{-1}\left\{2^{r-1}, \ldots, 2^{r}-1\right\}$ and consider the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathcal{M}}:=\left\{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{L}_{2, n}}: \theta_{I_{r} \times I_{s}} \in\left\{\frac{-\mathbf{1}_{I_{r} \times I_{s}}}{\sqrt{2^{r+s+1}} \log n}, \frac{-\mathbf{1}_{I_{r} \times I_{s}}}{\sqrt{2^{r+s}} \log n}\right\}\right\} \\
& \subseteq \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{L}_{2, n}\right) \cap B_{2}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{I_{r} \times I_{s}}$ denotes the all-one vector on $I_{r} \times I_{s}$. Define a bijection $\psi$ : $\overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\ell^{2}}$ by

$$
\psi(\theta):=\left(\mathbb{1}_{\left.\left\{\theta_{I_{r} \times I_{s}}=-\mathbf{1}_{I_{r} \times I_{s}} / \sqrt{2^{r+s+1}} \log n\right\}\right)_{r, s=1}^{\ell} . . . . ~}\right.
$$

Then, for $\theta, \theta^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$,

$$
\left\|\theta-\theta^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\frac{d_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\psi(\theta), \psi\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right)}{\log ^{2} n} \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2}
$$

where $d_{\mathrm{H}}(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Hamming distance. On the other hand, by the Gilbert-Varshamov inequality (e.g. Massart, 2007, Lemma 4.7), there exists a subset $\mathcal{I} \subseteq\{0,1\}^{\ell^{2}}$ such that $|\mathcal{I}| \geq \exp \left(\ell^{2} / 8\right)$ and $d_{\mathrm{H}}\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \geq \ell^{2} / 4$ for any distinct $v, v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{I}$. Then the set $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ has cardinality at least $\exp \left(\ell^{2} / 8\right) \geq \exp \left(\log ^{2} n / 32\right)$, and each pair of distinct elements have squared $\ell_{2}$ distance at least $\varepsilon_{0}:=\frac{\ell^{2} / 4}{\log ^{2} n} \frac{1}{4}\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2} \gtrsim 1$, as desired.

Lemma 4 below gives a lower bound on the size of the maximal antichain (with respect to the natural partial ordering on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ) among independent and identically distributed $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$.

Lemma 4. Let $d \geq 2$. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} P$, where $P$ is a distribution on $[0,1]^{d}$ with Lebesgue density bounded above by $M_{0} \in[1, \infty)$. Then with probability at least $1-e^{-e d^{-1}\left(M_{0} n\right)^{1 / d} \log \left(M_{0} n\right)}$, there is an antichain in $G_{X}$ with cardinality at least $n^{1-1 / d} /\left(2 e M_{0}^{1 / d}\right)$.

Proof. By Dilworth's Theorem (Dilworth, 1950), for each realisation of the directed acyclic graph $G_{X}$, there exists a covering of $V\left(G_{X}\right)$ by chains $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{M}$, where $M$ denotes the cardinality of a maximum antichain of $G_{X}$. Thus, it suffices to show that with the given probability, the maximum chain length of $G_{X}$ is at most $k:=\left\lceil e\left(M_{0} n\right)^{1 / d}\right\rceil \leq 2 e\left(M_{0} n\right)^{1 / d}$. By a union bound, we have that
$\mathbb{P}\left(\exists\right.$ a chain of length $k$ in $\left.G_{X}\right) \leq \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \mathbb{P}\left(X_{1} \preceq \cdots \preceq X_{k}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq\binom{ n}{k}(k!)^{-(d-1)} M_{0}^{k} \leq\left(\frac{e n}{k}\right)^{k}\left(\frac{k}{e}\right)^{-k(d-1)} M_{0}^{k} \\
& \leq\left(M_{0} n\right)^{-k / d} \leq e^{-e d^{-1}\left(M_{0} n\right)^{1 / d} \log \left(M_{0} n\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
The following two lemmas control the empirical processes in (18) and (19) in the main text by the symmetrised empirical process in (20) in the main text.

Lemma 5. Let $n \geq 2$, and suppose that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, \tilde{\epsilon}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\epsilon}_{n}$ are independent, with $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ identically distributed on $\mathcal{X}$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\epsilon}_{n}$ identically distributed, with $\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{1}\right|$ stochastically dominated by $\left|\epsilon_{1}\right|$. Then for any countable class $\mathcal{F}$ of measurable, real-valued functions defined on $\mathcal{X}$, we have

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\epsilon}_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq 2 \log ^{1 / 2} n \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| .
$$
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Proof. Let $\alpha_{0}:=0$, and for $k=1, \ldots, n$, let $\alpha_{k}:=\mathbb{E}\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{(k)}\right|$, where $\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{(1)}\right| \leq$ $\cdots \leq\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{(n)}\right|$ are the order statistics of $\left\{\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|\tilde{\epsilon}_{n}\right|\right\}$, so that $\alpha_{n} \leq(2 \log n)^{1 / 2}$. Observe that for any $k=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| & =\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)+\mathbb{E} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{E}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{k}\right\} \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

We deduce from Han and Wellner (2017, Proposition 5) and (12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\epsilon}_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| & \leq 2^{1 / 2} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\alpha_{n+1-k}-\alpha_{n-k}\right) \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \\
& \leq 2^{1 / 2} \alpha_{n} \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
Lemma 6. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be random variables taking values in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a countable class of measurable functions $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow[-1,1]$. Then

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq 4 \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| .
$$

Proof. By Ledoux and Talagrand (2013, Theorem 4.12), applied to $\phi_{i}(y)=y^{2} / 2$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ (note that $y \mapsto y^{2} / 2$ is a contraction on $[0,1])$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}} & \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right|=\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f^{2}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\} \\
& \leq 4 \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}=4 \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.

The following is a local maximal inequality for empirical processes under bracketing entropy conditions. This result is well known for $\eta=0$ in the literature, but we provide a proof for the general case $\eta \geq 0$ for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 7. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \stackrel{\text { iid }}{\sim} P$ on $\mathcal{X}$ with empirical distribution $\mathbb{P}_{n}$, and, for some $r>0$, let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$ be a countable class of measurable functions. Then for any $\eta \in[0, r / 3)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f\right| \lesssim n^{1 / 2} \eta+\int_{\eta}^{r} \log _{+}^{1 / 2} N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \\
&+\frac{1}{n^{1 / 2}} \log _{+} N_{[]}\left(r, \mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above inequality also holds if we replace $\mathbb{G}_{n} f$ with the symmetrised empirical process $n^{-1 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{i} f\left(X_{i}\right)$.

Proof. Writing $N_{r}:=N_{[]}\left(r, \mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right)$, there exists $\left\{\left(f_{\ell}^{L}, f_{\ell}^{U}\right): \ell=\right.$ $\left.1, \ldots, N_{r}\right\}$ that form an $r$-bracketing set for $\mathcal{G}$ in the $L_{2}(P)$ norm. Letting $\mathcal{G}_{1}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{G}: f_{1}^{L} \leq f \leq f_{1}^{U}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}:=\left\{f \in \mathcal{G}: f_{\ell}^{L} \leq f \leq f_{\ell}^{U}\right\} \backslash \cup_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{G}_{j}$ for $\ell=2, \ldots, N_{r}$, we see that $\left\{\mathcal{G}_{\ell}\right\}_{\ell=1}^{N_{r}}$ is a partition of $\mathcal{G}$ such that the $L_{2}(P)-$ diameter of each $\mathcal{G}_{\ell}$ is at most $r$. It follows by van der Vaart and Wellner (1996, Lemma 2.14.3) that for any choice of $f_{\ell} \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f\right| \lesssim n^{1 / 2} \eta+\int_{\eta}^{r} \log _{+}^{1 / 2} N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G},\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) \mathrm{d} \varepsilon \\
&  \tag{13}\\
& +\mathbb{E} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, N_{r}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f_{\ell}\right|+\mathbb{E} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, N_{r}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}}\left|f-f_{\ell}\right|\right)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

The third and fourth terms of (13) can be controlled by Bernstein's inequality (in the form of (2.5.5) in van der Vaart and Wellner (1996)):

$$
\mathbb{E} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, N_{r}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f_{\ell}\right| \vee \mathbb{E} \max _{\ell=1, \ldots, N_{r}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n}\left(\sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell}}\left|f-f_{\ell}\right|\right)\right| \lesssim \frac{\log _{+} N_{r}}{n^{1 / 2}}+r \log _{+}^{1 / 2} N_{r}
$$

Since $\eta<r / 3$, the last term $r \log _{+}^{1 / 2} N_{r}$ in the above display can be assimilated into the entropy integral in (13), which establishes the claim for $\mathbb{E} \sup _{f \in \mathcal{G}}\left|\mathbb{G}_{n} f\right|$.

We now study the symmetrised empirical process. For $f \in \mathcal{G}$, we define $e \otimes f:\{-1,1\} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $(e \otimes f)(t, x):=t f(x)$, and apply the previous result to the function class $e \otimes \mathcal{G}:=\{e \otimes f: f \in \mathcal{G}\} \subseteq B_{2}\left(r, P_{\xi} \otimes P\right) \cap$ $B_{\infty}(1)$, where $P_{\xi}$ denotes the Rademacher distribution on $\{-1,1\}$. Here the
randomness is induced by the independently and identically distributed pairs $\left(\xi_{i}, X_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{G}$ and any $\varepsilon$-bracket $[\underline{f}, \bar{f}]$ containing $f$, we have that $\left[e_{+} \otimes \underline{f}-e_{-} \otimes \bar{f}, e_{+} \otimes \bar{f}-e_{-} \otimes \underline{f}\right]$ is an $\varepsilon$-bracket for $e \otimes f$ in the $L_{2}\left(P_{\xi} \otimes P\right)$ metric, where $e_{+}(t):=\max \{e(t), 0\}=\max (t, 0)$ and $e_{-}(t):=\max (-t, 0)$. It follows that for every $\epsilon>0$,

$$
N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, e \otimes \mathcal{G}, L_{2}\left(P_{\xi} \otimes P\right)\right) \leq N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}, L_{2}(P)\right),
$$

which proves the claim for the symmetrised empirical process.
In the next two lemmas, we assume, as in the main text, that $P$ is a distribution on $[0,1]^{d}$ with Lebesgue density bounded above and below by $M_{0} \in[1, \infty)$ and $m_{0} \in(0,1]$ respectively. As in the proof of Proposition 8, let $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}=\left\{f:-f \in \mathcal{F}_{d}, f \geq 0\right\}$. The following result is used to control the bracketing entropy terms that appear in Lemma 7 when we apply it in the proof of Proposition 8.

Lemma 8. There exists a constant $C_{d}>0$, depending only on $d$, such that for any $r, \epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \log N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon, \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) \\
& \quad \leq C_{d} \begin{cases}(r / \varepsilon)^{2} \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right) \log _{+}^{4}(1 / \varepsilon) \log _{+}^{2}\left(\frac{r \log _{+}(1 / \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) & \text { if } d=2, \\
(r / \varepsilon)^{2(d-1)}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right)^{d-1} \log _{+}^{d^{2}}(1 / \varepsilon) & \text { if } d \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We first claim that for any $\eta \in(0,1 / 4]$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\log N_{[]}(\varepsilon, & \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}
\end{array} \cap B_{2}(r, P),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}\left(P ;[\eta, 1]^{d}\right)}\right) . \log ^{2}\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right) \log ^{4}(1 / \eta) \log _{+}^{2}\left(\frac{r \log (\eta)}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text { if } d=2, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2(d-1)}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right)^{d-1} \log ^{d^{2}}(1 / \eta) & \text { if } d \geq 3 .
\end{array}
$$

By the cone property of $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}$, it suffices to establish the above claim when $r=$ 1 . We denote by $\operatorname{vol}(S)$ the $d$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $S \subseteq[0,1]^{d}$. By Gao and Wellner (2007, Theorem 1.1) and a scaling argument, we have for any $\delta, M>0$ and any hyperrectangle $A \subseteq[0,1]^{d}$ that

$$
\log N_{[]}\left(\delta, \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{\infty}(M),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P ; A)}\right) \lesssim d \begin{cases}(\gamma / \delta)^{2} \log _{+}^{2}(\gamma / \delta) & \text { if } d=2  \tag{15}\\ (\gamma / \delta)^{2(d-1)} & \text { if } d \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

where $\gamma:=M_{0}^{1 / 2} M \operatorname{vol}^{1 / 2}(A)$. We define $m:=\left\lfloor\log _{2}(1 / \eta)\right\rfloor$ and set $I_{\ell}:=$ $\left[2^{\ell} \eta, 2^{\ell+1} \eta\right] \cap[0,1]$ for each $\ell=0, \ldots, m$. Then for $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d} \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$,
any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(1, P)$ is uniformly bounded by $\left\{m_{0} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(2^{\ell_{j}} \eta\right)\right\}^{-1 / 2}$ on the hyperrectangle $\prod_{j=1}^{d} I_{\ell_{j}}$. Then by (15) we see that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log N_{[]}\left(\delta, \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+}\right. & \left.\cap B_{2}(1, P),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}\left(P ; \prod_{j=1}^{d} I_{\ell_{j}}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim \begin{cases}\delta^{-2}\left(M_{0} / m_{0}\right) \log ^{2}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right) \log _{+}^{2}(1 / \delta) & \text { if } d=2, \\
\delta^{-2(d-1)}\left(M_{0} / m_{0}\right)^{d-1} & \text { if } d \geq 3,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $\log _{+}(a x) \leq 2 \log _{+}(a) \log _{+}(x)$ for any $a, x>$ 0 . Note that these bounds do not depend on $\eta$, since the dependence of $M$ and $\operatorname{vol}(A)$ on $\eta$ is such that it cancels in the expression for $\gamma$. Global brackets for $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(1)$ on $[\eta, 1]^{d}$ can then be constructed by taking all possible combinations of local brackets on $I_{\ell_{1}} \times \cdots \times I_{\ell_{d}}$ for $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{d} \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. Overall, for any $\varepsilon>0$, setting $\delta=(m+1)^{-d / 2} \varepsilon$ establishes the claim (14) in the case $r=1$.

We conclude that if we fix any $\varepsilon>0$, take $\eta=\varepsilon^{2} /(4 d) \wedge 1 / 4$ and take a single bracket consisting of the constant functions 0 and 1 on $[0,1]^{d} \backslash[\eta, 1]^{d}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log N_{[]}(\varepsilon, & \left.\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}(P)}\right) \\
& \leq \log N_{[]}\left(\varepsilon / 2, \mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P),\|\cdot\|_{L_{2}\left(P ;[\eta, 1]^{d}\right)}\right) \\
& \lesssim d \begin{cases}(r / \varepsilon)^{2} \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \log _{+}^{4}(1 / \varepsilon) \log _{+}^{2}\left(\frac{r \log _{+}(1 / \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right)\right. & \text { if } d=2 \\
(r / \varepsilon)^{2(d-1)}\left(\frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}}\right)^{d-1} \log _{+}^{d^{2}}(1 / \varepsilon) & \text { if } d \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

completing the proof.
For $0<r<1$, let $F_{r}$ be the envelope function of $\mathcal{F}_{d, \downarrow}^{+} \cap B_{2}(r, P) \cap B_{\infty}(1)$. The lemma below controls the $L_{2}(P)$ norm of $F_{r}$ when restricted to strips of the form $I_{\ell}:=[0,1]^{d-1} \times\left[\frac{\ell-1}{n_{1}}, \frac{\ell}{n_{1}}\right]$ for $\ell=1, \ldots, n_{1}$.

Lemma 9. For any $r \in(0,1]$ and $\ell=1, \ldots, n_{1}$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{\ell}} F_{r}^{2} \mathrm{~d} P \leq \frac{7 M_{0} r^{2} \log _{+}^{d}\left(1 / r^{2}\right)}{m_{0} \ell}
$$

Proof. By monotonicity and the $L_{2}(P)$ and $L_{\infty}$ constraints, we have $F_{r}^{2}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right) \leq \frac{r^{2}}{m_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} \wedge 1$. We first claim that for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\int_{[0,1]^{d}}\left(\frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} \wedge 1\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d} \leq 5 t \log _{+}^{d}(1 / t)
$$

To see this, we define $S_{d}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right): \prod_{j=1}^{d} x_{j} \geq t\right\}$ and set $a_{d}:=$ $\int_{S_{d}} \frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d}$ and $b_{d}:=\int_{S_{d}} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d}$. By integrating out the last coordinate, we obtain the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{d}=\int_{S_{d-1}}\left(1-\frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d-1}=b_{d-1}-a_{d-1} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we have by direct computation that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{d} & =\int_{t}^{1} \cdots \int_{\frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d-1}}}^{1} \frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} \mathrm{~d} x_{d} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \\
& \leq a_{d-1} \log (1 / t) \leq \cdots \leq a_{1} \log ^{d-1}(1 / t)=t \log ^{d}(1 / t) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (16) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{[0,1]^{d}}\left(\frac{t}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d}} \wedge 1\right) & \mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d}=a_{d}+1-b_{d} \\
& \leq \min \left\{a_{d}+1, a_{d}+a_{d-1}+\cdots+a_{1}+1-b_{1}\right\} \\
& \leq \min \left\{t \log ^{d}(1 / t)+1, \frac{t \log ^{d+1}(1 / t)}{\log (1 / t)-1}\right\} \leq 5 t \log _{+}^{d}(1 / t)
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed, where the final inequality follows by considering the cases $t \in$ $[1 / e, 1], t \in[1 / 4,1 / e)$ and $t \in[0,1 / 4)$ separately. Consequently, for $\ell=$ $2, \ldots, n_{1}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{I_{\ell}} F_{r}^{2} \mathrm{~d} P & \leq \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \int_{(\ell-1) / n_{1}}^{\ell / n_{1}} \int_{[0,1]^{d-1}}\left(\frac{r^{2} / x_{d}}{x_{1} \cdots x_{d-1}} \wedge 1\right) \mathrm{d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d-1} \mathrm{~d} x_{d} \\
& \leq \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} \int_{(\ell-1) / n_{1}}^{\ell / n_{1}} 5\left(r^{2} / x_{d}\right) \log _{+}^{d-1}\left(x_{d} / r^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{d} \\
& \leq \frac{M_{0}}{m_{0}} 5 r^{2} \log _{+}^{d-1}\left(1 / r^{2}\right) \log (\ell /(\ell-1)) \leq \frac{7 M_{0} r^{2} \log _{+}^{d-1}\left(1 / r^{2}\right)}{m_{0} \ell}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired. For the remaining case $\ell=1$, we have

$$
\int_{I_{1}} F_{r}^{2} \mathrm{~d} P \leq M_{0} \int_{[0,1]^{d}} F_{r}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} x_{d} \leq \frac{5 M_{0}}{m_{0}} r^{2} \log _{+}^{d}\left(1 / r^{2}\right),
$$

which is also of the correct form.
Lemma 10. For any Borel measurable $f_{0}:[0,1]^{d} \rightarrow[-1,1]$ and any $a>2$, we have $\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}>a\right) \leq n e^{-(a-2)^{2} / 2}$. Consequently,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}>a\right\}}\right) \leq n\left(a^{2}+2+2 \sqrt{2 \pi}\right) e^{-(a-2)^{2} / 2}
$$
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Proof. Recall that we say $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is an upper set if whenever $x \in U$ and $x \preceq y$, we have $y \in U$; we say, $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is a lower set if $-L$ is an upper set. We write $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ respectively for the collections of upper and lower sets in $[0,1]^{d}$. The least squares estimator $\hat{f}_{n}$ over $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ then has a well-known minmax representation (Robertson, Wright and Dykstra, 1988, Theorem 1.4.4):

$$
\hat{f}_{n}\left(X_{i}\right)=\min _{L \in \mathcal{L}, L \ni X_{i}} \max _{U \in \mathcal{U}, U \ni X_{i}} \overline{Y_{L \cap U}}
$$

where $\overline{Y_{L \cap U}}$ denotes the average value of the elements of $\left\{Y_{i}: X_{i} \in L \cap U\right\}$. Thus we have

$$
\left\|\hat{f}_{n}\right\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|\hat{f}_{n}\left(X_{i}\right)\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|Y_{i}\right|
$$

Since $Y_{i}=f_{0}\left(X_{i}\right)+\epsilon_{i}$ and $\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 1$, we have by a union bound that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \geq t\right) \leq n \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\epsilon_{1}\right| \geq t-2\right)
$$

The first claim follows using the fact that $\mathbb{P}\left(\epsilon_{1} \geq t\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} e^{-t^{2} / 2}$ for any $t \geq 0$. Moreover, for any $a>2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}^{2} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty}>a\right\}}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} 2 t \mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\hat{f}_{n}-f_{0}\right\|_{\infty} \geq \max \{a, t\}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq n a^{2} \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\epsilon_{1}\right| \geq a-2\right)+n \int_{a}^{\infty} 2 t \mathbb{P}\left(\left|\epsilon_{1}\right| \geq t-2\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq n\left(a^{2}+2+2 \sqrt{2 \pi}\right) e^{-(a-2)^{2} / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
LEMMA 11. If $Y$ is a non-negative random variable such that $\left(\mathbb{E} Y^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leq$ $A_{1} p+A_{2} p^{1 / 2}+A_{3}$ for all $p \in[1, \infty)$ and some $A_{1}, A_{2}>0, A_{3} \geq 0$, then for every $t \geq 0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y \geq t+e A_{3}\right) \leq e \exp \left(-\min \left\{\frac{t}{2 e A_{1}}, \frac{t^{2}}{4 e^{2} A_{2}^{2}}\right\}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $s:=\min \left\{t /\left(2 e A_{1}\right), t^{2} /\left(2 e A_{2}\right)^{2}\right\}$. For values of $t$ such that $s \geq 1$, we have by Markov's inequality that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y \geq t+e A_{3}\right) \leq\left(\frac{A_{1} s+A_{2} s^{1 / 2}+A_{3}}{t+e A_{3}}\right)^{s} \leq e^{-s} \leq e^{1-s}
$$

For values of $t$ such that $s<1$, we trivially have $\mathbb{P}\left(Y \geq t+e A_{3}\right) \leq \mathbb{P}(Y \geq$ $t) \leq e^{1-s}$, as desired.

Lemma 12. Let $X$ be a non-negative random variable satisfying $X \leq b$ almost surely. Then

$$
\mathbb{E} e^{X} \leq \exp \left\{\frac{e^{b}-1}{b} \mathbb{E} X\right\}
$$

Proof. We have
$\mathbb{E} e^{X}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left(X^{r}\right)}{r!} \leq 1+\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^{r-1} \mathbb{E} X}{r!}=1+\frac{\mathbb{E} X}{b}\left(e^{b}-1\right) \leq \exp \left\{\frac{e^{b}-1}{b} \mathbb{E} X\right\}$,
as required.
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