

A COMBINATORIAL CHARACTERIZATION OF JACOBI FORMS

YOUNGJU CHOIE AND OLAV K. RICHTER

ABSTRACT. We present a combinatorial characterization
of Jacobi forms à la Henri Cohen.

1. Introduction. Cohen [3] establishes a lift from elliptic modular forms to Hilbert modular forms over real quadratic fields. A key tool in Cohen's proof is a combinatorial characterization of modular forms in two variables. In this paper, we extend Cohen's combinatorial characterization of modular forms to Jacobi forms on $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$. It would be interesting to see if our result could be used to construct a lift from Jacobi forms in the sense of Eichler and Zagier [4] to Jacobi forms over real quadratic fields.

2. Notation and statement of results. Let $\mathbf{H} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the usual complex upper half plane. We write variables $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in \mathbf{H}^2$ and $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$. We define the following slash operator on functions $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. For fixed $\mathfrak{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, where \mathbf{N}_0 denotes the set of nonnegative integers, set

$$(1) \quad (f \mid_{\mathfrak{k}} M)(\vec{\tau}) = \prod_{j=1}^2 (c_j \tau_j + d_j)^{-k_j} f\left(\frac{a_1 \tau_1 + b_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{a_2 \tau_2 + b_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2}\right)$$

for $M = \begin{pmatrix} (a_1 & b_1) \\ (c_1 & d_1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (a_2 & b_2) \\ (c_2 & d_2) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})^2$. Furthermore, we define the following two slash operators on functions $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. For a

2000 AMS *Mathematics subject classification*. Primary 11F50, Secondary 11F41, 11F55.

The first author was partially supported by KOSEF R01-2003-000-11596-0 and ITRC.

Received by the editors on July 17, 2006, and in revised form on April 11, 2007.

DOI:10.1216/RMJ-2009-39-2-455 Copyright ©2009 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

fixed $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$, set

$$(2) \quad (f |_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m}} M)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}) = \prod_{j=1}^2 (c_j \tau_j + d_j)^{-k_j} \exp \left\{ -2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^2 m_j \frac{c_j z_j^2}{c_j \tau_j + d_j} \right\} \\ \cdot f \left(\frac{a_1 \tau_1 + b_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{a_2 \tau_2 + b_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2}, \frac{z_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{z_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2} \right)$$

for $M = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})^2$, and also

$$(3) \quad (f |_{\mathbf{m}} [\lambda, \mu])(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}) = \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^2 m_j (\lambda_j^2 \tau_j + 2\lambda_j z_j) \right\} \\ \cdot f(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1 + \lambda_1 \tau_1 + \mu_1, z_2 + \lambda_2 \tau_2 + \mu_2)$$

for $[\lambda, \mu] = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathbf{R}^4$.

Of particular interest are the elements invariant under the actions in (1), (2) and (3). The most important case occurs when one restricts to $M \in \Gamma$ and $[\lambda, \mu] \in \mathcal{O}_K^2$, where Γ is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and where \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers of a real quadratic field K . In that case, a Hilbert modular form $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is invariant under the action (1) and a Hilbert Jacobi form $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is invariant under (2) and (3). For more details, see Freitag [5], Garrett [6] and Skogman [7].

We now introduce the function on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C}$ which will play the main role in our characterization of Jacobi forms. Let $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be holomorphic and $k, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Set $\vec{k} = (k, k)$, $\vec{m} = (m, m)$,

$$\alpha = k - \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad L_j = 8\pi i m \partial_{\tau_j} - \partial_{z_j}^2 \quad (\text{heat operator}),$$

where

$$\partial_{\tau_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_j}, \quad \partial_{z_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j},$$

and where $j = 1, 2$. If $x \in \mathbf{C}$, then we write $x! = \Gamma(x+1)$, where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ denotes the Gamma function. For every $v \in \mathbf{N}_0$, define $\Phi_v[f] : \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$(4) \quad \Phi_v[f](\tau, z) = \sum_{v_1+v_2=v} (-1)^{v_1} \frac{(L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} f)(\tau, \tau, z, z)}{(v_1)!(v_2)!(\alpha + v_1 - 1)!(\alpha + v_2 - 1)!}.$$

The following theorem gives a combinatorial characterization of Jacobi forms on $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$ in terms of functions on \mathbf{H} and on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C}$. In particular, we extend Theorem 2.2 in Cohen [3] to Jacobi forms.

Theorem 1. *Let*

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R}),$$

and set

$$M = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Then statements (G1) and (G2) are equivalent:

(G1):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) For all } v \in \mathbf{N}_0, \\ \Phi_v[f] \left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}, \frac{z}{c\tau+d} \right) = (c\tau+d)^{2k+2v} \exp \left\{ 4\pi i m \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d} \right\} \Phi_v[f](\tau, z); \\ \text{(ii) For all } u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ and } r, s \in \{0, 1\}, \\ \left(\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_1} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_2} \partial_{z_1}^r \partial_{z_2}^s L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} [f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M - f] \right) (\tau, \tau, 0, 0) \\ = \left(\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_2} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_1} \partial_{z_1}^s \partial_{z_2}^r L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} [f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M - f] \right) (\tau, \tau, 0, 0); \\ \text{(iii) If } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ such that} \\ \left(L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} [f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M - f] \right) (\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0, \\ \text{then } \left(\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} [f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M - f] \right) (\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

(G2):

$$(f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M)(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) = f(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2).$$

Let $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$ and $[\lambda, \mu] = (\lambda, \lambda, \mu, \mu)$. Then statements (H1) and (H2) are equivalent:

(H1):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i) For all } v \in \mathbf{N}_0, \\ \Phi_v[f](\tau, z + \lambda\tau + \mu) = \exp\{-4\pi im(\lambda^2\tau + 2\lambda z)\} \Phi_v[f](\tau, z); \\ \text{(ii) For all } u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ and } r, s \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_1} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_2} \partial_{z_1}^r \partial_{z_2}^s L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2}[f|_{\vec{m}}[\lambda, \mu] - f])(\tau, \tau, 0, 0) \\ = (\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_2} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_1} \partial_{z_1}^s \partial_{z_2}^r L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2}[f|_{\vec{m}}[\lambda, \mu] - f])(\tau, \tau, 0, 0); \\ \text{(iii) If } v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0 \text{ such that} \\ (L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2}[f|_{\vec{m}}[\lambda, \mu] - f])(\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0, \\ \text{then } (\partial_{z_1} \partial_{z_2} L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2}[f|_{\vec{m}}[\lambda, \mu] - f])(\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

(H2):

$$(f|_{\vec{m}}[\lambda, \mu])(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) = f(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2).$$

In particular, f is a Jacobi form of weight \vec{k} and index \vec{m} with respect to the subgroup $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}) \ltimes \mathbf{Z}^2$ (acting diagonally) of the Hilbert Jacobi group of a real quadratic field if and only if f satisfies (G1) and (H1) for all $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$.

Remark 1. Note that all of the conditions in (G1) and (H1) are necessary. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) &= (z_1 - z_2)^2, \\ f_2(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) &= z_1^2 + z_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_3(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi im} (\tau_1 - \tau_2) + z_1^2 - z_2^2$$

do not satisfy (G2) and (H2). On the other hand:

(1) f_1 satisfies (G1) (i), (G1) (ii), (H1) (i) and (H1) (ii), but f_1 does not satisfy (G1) (iii) and (H1) (iii) for $v_1 = v_2 = 0$.

(2) f_2 satisfies (G1) (ii), (G1) (iii), (H1) (ii) and (H1) (iii), but f_2 does not satisfy (G1) (i) and (H1) (i) for $v = 0$.

(3) f_3 satisfies (G1) (i), (G1) (iii), (H1) (i) and (H1) (iii), but f_3 does not satisfy (G1) (ii) and (H1) (ii) for $u_2 = r = s = v_1 = v_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$.

As a conclusion, none of the conditions in (G1) and (H1) can be omitted. However, if one additionally assumes that $f(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) = f(\tau_2, \tau_1, z_2, z_1)$, then conditions (G1) (ii) and (H1) (ii) are automatically satisfied. Hence conditions (G1) (i), (G1) (iii), (H1) (i) and (H1) (iii) alone already characterize such symmetric Jacobi forms on $\mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. First we need the following proposition:

Proposition 1. Let $M = \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})^2$, and suppose that $f : \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ satisfies

$$(5) \quad (f |_{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}} M)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}) = f(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z})$$

for some $\mathfrak{k} = (k_1, k_2)$, $\mathfrak{m} = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$. Define

$$(6) \quad \tilde{f}(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}, \vec{x}) = \sum_{v_1+v_2 \geq 0} \frac{L_{m_1}^{v_1} L_{m_2}^{v_2}(f)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z})}{(v_1)!(v_2)!(\alpha_1 + v_1 - 1)!(\alpha_2 + v_2 - 1)!} x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2},$$

where $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2$,

$$a_j = k_j - \frac{1}{2}$$

and $L_{m_j} = 8\pi i m_j \partial_{\tau_j} - \partial_{z_j}^2$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \tilde{f}\left(\frac{a_1 \tau_1 + b_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{a_2 \tau_2 + b_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2}, \frac{z_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{z_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2}, \frac{x_1}{(c_1 \tau_1 + d_1)^2}, \frac{x_2}{(c_2 \tau_2 + d_2)^2}\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^2 (c_j \tau_j + d_j)^{k_j} \exp \left\{ 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^2 m_j \left(\frac{c_j(z_j^2 + 4x_j)}{c_j \tau_j + d_j} \right) \right\} \tilde{f}(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}, \vec{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{v} = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$. An induction on $v_1 + v_2$ shows that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & (L_{m_1}^{v_1} L_{m_2}^{v_2}(f) |_{\mathfrak{k}+2\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{m}} M)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 \\ 0 \leq l_j \leq v_j}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \frac{(v_j)!}{(l_j)!} \binom{\alpha_j + v_j - 1}{v_j - l_j} \left(\frac{8\pi i m_j c_j}{c_j \tau_j + d_j} \right)^{v_j - l_j} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot L_{m_1}^{l_1} L_{m_2}^{l_2}(f)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum on the right side is over all vectors $(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2$ such that $0 \leq l_j \leq v_j$ for $j = 1, 2$. It is easy to check that (8) implies (7). \square

Remark 2. (1) Proposition 1 can obviously be extended to functions $f : \mathbf{H}^n \times \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, for any $n \geq 2$.

(2) Let f_1 and f_2 be Hilbert Jacobi forms over a real quadratic field K . Then f_1 and f_2 satisfy (5) for all $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_K)$ and computing the coefficient of $x_1^{v_1} x_2^{v_2}$ in $\tilde{f}_1(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}, \mathfrak{m}_2 \vec{x}) \tilde{f}_2(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}, -\mathfrak{m}_1 \vec{x})$ yields an explicit formula for the Rankin-Cohen bracket for Hilbert Jacobi forms. For details on Rankin-Cohen brackets for Jacobi forms on $\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{C}$, see [1, 2].

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1. Assume that (G2) is true. Then (G1) (i) follows from Proposition 1 after setting $\tau = \tau_1 = \tau_2$, $z = z_1 = z_2$, $k = k_1 = k_2$, $m = m_1 = m_2$, $x_1 = -mx$ and $x_2 = mx$. Clearly, (G1) (ii) and (G1) (iii) hold.

Conversely, suppose that (G1) is true. Define $g : \mathbf{H}^2 \times \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$(9) \quad g(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) = \left(f |_{\vec{k}, \vec{m}} M \right)(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) - f(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2).$$

Consider the Taylor expansion of g around $(\tau_1, \tau_2, 0, 0)$ to see that

$$\begin{aligned} g \equiv 0 \iff & \text{For all } \tau \in \mathbf{H}, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0, \text{ and } r, s \in \{0, 1\}, \\ & (\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_1} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_2} \partial_{z_1}^r \partial_{z_2}^s L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} g)(\tau, \tau, 0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we come to the key step. We will verify that $(L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v-v_1} g)(\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, $z \in \mathbf{C}$ and $v_1 \leq v$ by inducting on v . Set

$v = 0$ in (G1) (i) to see that $g(\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0$. Suppose that $(L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v-1-v_1} g)(\tau, \tau, z, z) = 0$. With the help of (G1) (i) and (G1) (iii), one may check that

$$(10) \quad (L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v-v_1} g)(\tau, \tau, z, z) = (-1)^{v_1} (L_2^v g)(\tau, \tau, z, z).$$

An induction on $v_1 + v_2$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} g(\tau_1, \tau_2, z_1, z_2) + L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} (f)(\vec{\tau}, \vec{z}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{N}_0^2 \\ 0 \leq l_j \leq v_j}} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 \binom{v_j}{l_j} \frac{(\alpha+v_j-1)!}{(\alpha+l_j-1)!} \frac{(-8\pi i m c)^{v_j-l_j}}{(c\tau_j+d)^{v_j+l_j+k}} \exp \left\{ -2\pi i m \frac{cz_j^2}{c\tau_j+d} \right\} \right) \\ & \cdot L_1^{l_1} L_2^{l_2} (f) \left(\frac{a_1 \tau_1 + b_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{a_2 \tau_2 + b_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2}, \frac{z_1}{c_1 \tau_1 + d_1}, \frac{z_2}{c_2 \tau_2 + d_2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which, in combination with (G1) (i), yields (with a computation like in [3]) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{v_1+v_2=v} (-1)^{v_1} \frac{(L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} g)(\tau, \tau, z, z)}{(v_1)!(v_2)!(\alpha+v_1-1)!(\alpha+v_2-1)!} \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} \left(\sum_{v_1+v_2=v} \frac{1}{(v_1)!(v_2)!(\alpha+v_1-1)!(\alpha+v_2-1)!} \right) (L_2^v g)(\tau, \tau, z, z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $0 = (L_2^v g)(\tau, \tau, z, z) \stackrel{(10)}{=} (L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v-v_1} g)(\tau, \tau, z, z)$. Then (G1) (ii) and (G1) (iii) imply that $(\partial_{\tau_1}^{u_1} \partial_{\tau_2}^{u_2} \partial_{z_1}^r \partial_{z_2}^s L_1^{v_1} L_2^{v_2} g)(\tau, \tau, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $\tau \in \mathbf{H}$, $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $r, s \in \{0, 1\}$. We conclude that (G2) holds.

The proof of the equivalence of (H1) and (H2) is similar and we omit the detailed proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. Y. Choie, *Jacobi forms and the heat operator*, Math. Z. **225** (1997), 95–101.
2. ———, *Jacobi forms and the heat operator II*, Illinois J. Math. **42** (1998), 179–186.
3. H. Cohen, *A lifting of modular forms in one variable to Hilbert modular forms in two variables*, in *Modular functions of one variable VI*, Lecture Notes Math. **627**, Springer, 1977.

4. M. Eichler and D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1985.
5. E. Freitag, *Hilbert modular forms*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
6. P. Garrett, *Holomorphic Hilbert modular forms*, Wadsworth and Brooks/Cole Mathematics series, 1990.
7. H. Skogman, *Jacobi forms over totally real number fields*, Results Math. **39** (2001), 169–182.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, POHANG UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, POHANG 790-784, KOREA
Email address: yjc@postech.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH TEXAS, DENTON, TX 76203
Email address: richter@unt.edu