

## THE GENERAL STABLE RANK IN NONSTABLE $K$ -THEORY

YIFENG XUE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show that for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  the natural homomorphism  $i_{\mathcal{A}} : U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$  is injective if and only if  $S\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation and  $i_{M_n(\mathcal{A})}$  is injective for any  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$ . These results improve [12]. As applications, we figure out the value of  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A})$  or  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A}))$  when the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is of real rank zero or purely infinite simple; we also investigate the manner of  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  for certain infinite  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and any nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ . We have proven that if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nonunital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra or a certain stable corona algebra, then  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is always an isomorphism.

**0. Introduction.** For the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we write  $U(\mathcal{A})$ , respectively  $U_0(\mathcal{A})$ , to denote the unitary group of  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively the connected component of the unit in  $U(\mathcal{A})$ . The quotient group  $U(\mathcal{A}) = U(\mathcal{A})/U_0(\mathcal{A})$  whose multiplication is given by  $[u][v] = [uv]$  is called the  $U$ -group of  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $[u]$  stands for the equivalence class of  $u$  in  $U(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has no unit, we put  $U(\mathcal{A}) = U(\mathcal{A}^+)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  obtained by unit adjoined. For any unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  the matrix algebra of  $n \times n$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set  $U_1(\mathcal{A}) = U(\mathcal{A})$ , respectively  $U_1^0(\mathcal{A}) = U_0(\mathcal{A})$  and

$$U_n(\mathcal{A}) = U(M_n(\mathcal{A})), U_n^0(\mathcal{A}) = U_0(M_n(\mathcal{A})), U_n(\mathcal{A}) = U_n(\mathcal{A})/U_n^0(\mathcal{A}).$$

For the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , we set  $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = C(S^1, \mathcal{A})$ ,  $S\mathcal{A} = C_0(0, 1) \otimes \mathcal{A}$ , the suspension of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We notice that  $(S\mathcal{A})^+$  can be expressed as

$$(S\mathcal{A})^+ \cong \{f \in C_0([0, 1], \mathcal{A}) \mid f(0) = f(1) = \lambda 1, \\ f(t) = \lambda 1 + x_t, \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, x_t \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

---

Received by the editors on April 30, 1998, and in revised form on February 23, 1999.

1991 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L80, 46M20, 19K35.

*Key words and phrases.*  $U$ -group, 1-cancellation, 1-projective loop, general stable rank of  $C^*$ -algebras, purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras,  $K$ -groups of  $C^*$ -algebras.

Copyright ©2000 Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit 1. We view  $\mathcal{A}^n$  as the set of all  $n \times 1$  matrices over  $\mathcal{A}$ . According to [11] and [12], the topological stable rank, the connected stable rank and the general stable rank of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tsr}(\mathcal{A}) &= \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \mathcal{A}^m \text{ is dense in } Lg_m(\mathcal{A}), \forall m \geq n\} \\ \text{csr}(\mathcal{A}) &= \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \mathcal{U}_m^0(\mathcal{A}) \text{ acts transitively on} \\ &\quad S_m(\mathcal{A}), \forall m \geq n\} \\ \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) &= \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \mathcal{U}_m(\mathcal{A}) \text{ acts transitively on} \\ &\quad S_m(\mathcal{A}), \forall m \geq n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_n(\mathcal{A}) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathcal{A}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^* a_i = 1\}$  and

$$Lg_n(\mathcal{A}) = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathcal{A}^n \mid \exists (b_1, \dots, b_n)^T \in \mathcal{A}^n \ni \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i = 1 \right\}.$$

If no such integer exists, we set  $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ ,  $\text{csr}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ , or  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ , respectively.

According to [1, Section 9], there is a natural homomorphism  $i_{\mathcal{A}} : U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$  for any  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$  are the  $K$ -groups defined in [1]. Under what conditions is  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  injective? When is  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  surjective? These two problems are very important in computing  $K$ -groups in terms of  $U(\mathcal{A})$  or  $U(S\mathcal{A})$ .

Rieffel has found that these problems are closely connected to the  $\text{csr}(\cdot)$  and  $\text{gsr}(\cdot)$ . He showed that, for any  $n \geq \max(\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})), \text{csr}(\mathcal{A}))$ ,  $i_{M_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})} : U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$  is an isomorphism [12, Theorem 2.9]. Using different approaches, Cuntz showed that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra,  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an isomorphism [3, Theorem 1.9] and Lin proved that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with real rank zero, then  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective [5, Lemma 2.2]. Besides, Thomsen showed that there is a natural isomorphism between quasi-unitary group of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$  for certain  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , cf. [14, Theorems 4.3, 4.5]. Although many results have been obtained up to now, the problems seem far from being solved.

In this paper we will be concerned with the problem when  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a monomorphism. We give an equivalent description of the problem, that is,  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective if and only if  $S\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation. Using this

result, we prove that  $i_{M_n}(\mathcal{A})$  is injective for all  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$ . As a result, we get that  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A})$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra with  $RR(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  and, moreover, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra with unit  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$  such that  $[1_{\mathcal{A}}]$  is torsion-free, respectively has torsion, in  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = 2$ , respectively  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \infty$ . We also prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonunital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra or a certain stable corona algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.

**1. The 1-cancellation of  $C^*$ -algebras.** Let  $p, q$  be two projections in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that  $p$  is equivalent to  $q$ , denoted  $p \sim q$ , if there is a  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p = u^*u$ ,  $q = uu^*$ . We write  $[p]$  to denote the equivalence class of  $p$  with respect to “ $\sim$ .”

Borrowing ideas from [4, Theorem 1.5] and [11, Corollary 10.7], we establish the following notation.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit 1.  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to have 1-cancellation if for any projection  $p$  in  $M_2(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\text{diag}(p, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k)$  in  $M_{k+2}(\mathcal{A})$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $p \sim p_1$  where  $p_1 = \text{diag}(1, 0) \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$  and  $1_k$  is the unit of  $M_k(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has no unit, we work with  $\mathcal{A}^+$ .

Obviously if the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}^+$  has cancellation or if  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation, cf. [1] and [11, Proposition 10.5].

Now let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a  $*$  homomorphism between two  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . We denote by  $\phi_n$  the induced  $*$  homomorphism of  $\phi$  on  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $\phi_*$  denote the induced homomorphism of  $\phi$  on  $U(\mathcal{A})$  or  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$  and  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ . We also let  $\rho : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  denote the canonical homomorphism.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A projection  $e$  in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  is called to a 1-projective loop if  $e(0) = e(1) = p_1$  and  $\rho_2(e(t)) = p_1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $\text{PL}(\mathcal{A})$  denote the set of all 1-projective loops in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra.*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation, then  $\mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  acts transitively on  $S_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$ ;*  
 (2) *Assume that for any projection  $e$  in  $\text{PL}(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\text{diag}(p, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k)$  in  $M_{k+2}((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , we have  $p \sim p_1$  in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ . Then  $S\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $(a_1, a_2)^T \in S_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$ . Then the projection  $p = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is equivalent to the projection  $p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $M_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$ . Thus there is a  $z \in \mathcal{U}_4(\mathcal{A}^+)$  such that  $\text{diag}(p, 0) = z^* \text{diag}(p_1, 0)z$  in  $M_4(\mathcal{A}^+)$ , and consequently,

$$\text{diag}(1_2 - p, 1_2) = z^* \text{diag}(1_2 - p_1, 1_2)z \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_2).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation, we have  $1_2 - p \sim p_1 \sim 1_2 - p_1$  in  $M_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$ . Therefore there is a  $v \in \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  such that  $p = vp_1v^*$  by [1]. Set

$$(1.1) \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then by simple computation we obtain that

$$(1.2) \quad c_{12} = c_{21} = c_{22} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{11}^* c_{11} = c_{11} c_{11}^* = 1.$$

So, combining (1.1) with (1.2), we get that

$$p_1 = v^* p v p_1 = v^* \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} v \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = v^* \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ a_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and hence  $(a_1, a_2)^T = (v \text{diag}(c_{11}^*, c_{11}))(1, 0)^T$ .

- (2) Let  $p$  be a projection in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \text{diag}(p, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k) \quad \text{in } M_{k+2}((S\mathcal{A})^+)$$

for some  $k$ . Put  $q(t) = \rho_2(p(t))$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then by (1.3) we have  $q \sim p_1$  in  $M_2((C_0(0, 1))^+ \cong M_2(C(S^1)))$  for  $\text{tsr}(M_2(C(S^1))) = 1$ . Thus there exists  $u \in \mathcal{U}_2((C_0(0, 1))^+)$  by [1] such that  $q = u^* p_1 u$ . Put  $e(t) = u(t) p u^*(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\rho_2(e(t)) = p_1$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and

$$e(0) = e(1) = u(0) p(0) u^*(0) = u(0) q(0) u^*(0) = p_1,$$

for we always identify  $q(0) = q(1) = p(0) = p(1)$ , and  $\text{diag}(e, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k)$  in  $M_{n+k}((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  by (1.3). Therefore, by assumption,  $e \sim p_1$  in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ , i.e.,  $p \sim p_1$  in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ .  $\square$

Inspired by Lemma 1.1 (1) and [12], we define the integer  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A})$  for each unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  by

$$\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \min\{n \in \mathbf{N} \mid \mathcal{U}_2(M_n(\mathcal{A})) \text{ acts transitively on } S_2((M_m(\mathcal{A}))) \forall m \geq n\}.$$

If no such integer exists we set  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has no unit, we set  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}^+)$ . The following proposition characterizes the  $\text{Gsr}(\cdot)$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Then we have*

- (1)  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) - 1 \leq \text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \max\{\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) - 1, 1\}$ ;
- (2)  $\text{Gsr}(M_n(\mathcal{A})) \leq \{\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A})/n\}$ , where  $\{x\}$  stands for the least integer which is greater than or equal to  $x$ .

*Proof.* (1) For each  $k \geq \max\{1, \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) - 1\}$ , and hence  $2k \geq \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A})$ , let  $A = (a_{ij})_{k \times k}$ ,  $B = (b_{ij})_{k \times k} \in M_k(\mathcal{A})$  with  $A^*A + B^*B = 1_k$ . So

$$(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{kj}, b_{1j}, \dots, b_{kj})^T \in S_{2k}(\mathcal{A}),$$

$1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k (a_{ti}^* a_{tj} + b_{ti}^* b_{tj}) = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Therefore there is a  $u^{(1)} \in \mathcal{U}_{2k}(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(1)}(a_{11}, \dots, a_{k1}, b_{11}, \dots, b_{k1})^T &= (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T u^{(1)}(a_{1j}, \dots, a_{kj}, b_{1j}, \dots, b_{kj})^T \\ &= (0, a_{2j}^{(1)}, \dots, a_{kj}^{(1)}, b_{2j}^{(1)}, \dots, b_{kj}^{(1)})^T, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as above, we can find  $u^{(2)}, \dots, u^{(k)}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{2k}(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$u^{(k)} \dots u^{(1)}(A, B)^T = (1_k, 0)^T \text{ in } S_2(M_k(\mathcal{A})).$$

On the other hand, suppose that  $k \geq \text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1})^T \in S_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ . Set  $A = [a \ O_{k \times (k-1)}]$  and  $B = \text{diag}(a_{k+1}, 1_{k-1}) \in M_k(\mathcal{A})$ ,

where  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k)^T$ . Then  $(A, B)^T \in S_2(M_k(\mathcal{A}))$ . Since  $k \geq \text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A})$ , it follows that there is a  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{2k}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $(A, B)^T = u(1_k, 0)^T$ . We write  $u$  as the form  $u = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $C, D \in M_k(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus we deduce from  $u \in \mathcal{U}_{2k}(\mathcal{A})$  that  $D$  has the form  $D = \begin{bmatrix} d \\ O_{(k-1) \times k} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $W = \begin{bmatrix} a & C \\ a_{k+1} & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_k)$ . Therefore  $(a_1, \dots, a_{k+1})^T = W(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ .

(2) Suppose that  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) < \infty$  and  $k \geq \{\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A})/n\}$ . Then  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq kn$ . Noting that  $M_k(M_n(\mathcal{A})) \cong M_{kn}(\mathcal{A})$ , we obtain that  $\mathcal{U}_2(M_k(M_n(\mathcal{A})))$  acts transitively on  $S_2(M_k(M_n(\mathcal{A})))$ . The assertion follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit 1. Then  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  has 1-cancellation for all  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$ .*

*Proof.* That  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  has 1-cancellation for each  $n \geq 1$  shows that  $\mathcal{U}_2(M_n(\mathcal{A}))$  acts transitively on  $S_2(M_n(\mathcal{A}))$  by Lemma 1.1 (1). Thus we have  $\text{Gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$  and hence  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$  by Proposition 1.1.

Conversely, since every projection in  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  corresponds uniquely to a finitely generated projective  $\mathcal{A}$ -module, it follows from [11] that  $M_n(\mathcal{A})$  has 1-cancellation for each  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

## 2. The proof of the main result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $e \in PL(\mathcal{A})$ . Then there is a continuous map  $v_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  such that  $v_0 = 1_2$ ,  $\rho_2(v_t) = 1_2$  and  $e_t = v_t^* p_1 v_t$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $g_s(t) = e(16s(1-s)t(1-t))$ ,  $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$ . Then  $g_s \in PL(\mathcal{A})$  is a path from  $p_1$  to  $p_1$ . Using the same method as in the proof of [1], we can find a continuous map  $v_s : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  with  $v_0(t) = 1_2$ ,  $v_s(0) = v_s(1) = 1_2$  and  $\rho_2(v_s(t)) = 1_2$  such that  $g_s = v_s^* p_1 v_s$  for all  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ .

Now take  $s = (1 - \sqrt{1-t})/2$ ,  $u_t = v_s(1/2)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $u_0 = \rho_2(u_t) = 1_2$  and  $e_t = u_t^* p_1 u_t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and a closed two-side ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have the following exact sequence:

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $j : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is the inclusive map and  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{J}$  is a quotient map.

Let  $\partial : K_1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{J})$  denote the index map of (2.1) which is defined in [1, Definition 8.3.1] and put  $\eta = \partial \circ i_{\mathcal{B}} : U(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{J})$ . Then  $\eta$  has the form

$$(2.2) \quad \eta([u]) = [wp_1w^*] - [p_1], \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}^+),$$

where  $w \in \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  with  $\pi_2(w) = \text{diag}(u, u^*)$ .

Borrowing some techniques from [1, Proposition 8.3.3], we can prove the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be as above, and suppose that  $\mathcal{J}$  has 1-cancellation. Then we have the following exact sequence of groups.*

$$(2.3) \quad U(\mathcal{J}) \xrightarrow{j_*} U(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\pi_*} U(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\eta} K_0(\mathcal{J}).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\pi(\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{A}^+)) = \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{B}^+)$  and  $\pi \circ i = 0$ , it follows that  $\text{Im } i_* = \text{Ker } \pi_*$ . We will prove  $\text{Im } \pi_* = \text{Ker } \eta$  in the following.

It is easy to check that  $\text{Im } \pi_* \subset \text{Ker } \eta$ . Now let  $v$  be in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{B}^+)$  with  $\eta([v]) = 0$ . Then there is a  $w \in \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  with  $\pi_2(w) = \text{diag}(v, v^*)$  such that  $[wp_1w^*] = [p_1]$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{J})$  by (2.2). Since  $\mathcal{J}$  has 1-cancellation, it follows from the definition of  $K_0(\mathcal{J})$  that  $u \in \mathcal{U}_4(\mathcal{J}^+)$  exists such that

$$(2.4) \quad u \text{diag}(wp_1w^*, 0)u^* = \text{diag}(p_1, 0).$$

Assume that  $a = \pi_4(u) \in \mathcal{U}_4(\mathcal{B}^+)$  and set  $w_0 = a^*u \text{diag}(w, 1_2)$ . Then  $\pi_4(w_0) = \text{diag}(v, v^*, 1_2)$  and  $w_0$  commutes with  $\text{diag}(p_1, 0)$  by (2.4). Therefore  $w_0$  has the form  $\text{diag}(w_1, w_2)$  where  $w_1 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^+)$ ,  $w_2 \in \mathcal{U}_3(\mathcal{A}^+)$ . This indicates that  $[v] = \pi_*([w_1])$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.1.* Lemma 2.2 somewhat generalizes Theorem 2 of [8]. We should notice that, if (2.1) is split exact, we can deduce that  $j_*$

is injective and  $\pi_*$  is surjective in (2.3) by means of [1], without the hypothesis that  $\mathcal{J}$  has 1-cancellation.

We now present our main result of the paper as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective if and only if  $S\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation.*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$ . Since  $C\mathcal{A} = C_0([0, 1], \mathcal{A})$  is contractible and  $S\mathcal{A}$  has 1-cancellation, applying Lemma 2.2 to the exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$(2.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow C\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain that  $\eta : U(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(S\mathcal{A})$  is injective, where  $\pi(f) = f(1)$  for all  $f \in C\mathcal{A}$ . Noting that  $\eta = \partial \circ i_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\partial : K_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(S\mathcal{A})$  is the natural isomorphism given in [1, Theorem 8.2.2], we obtain that  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective.

$\Rightarrow$ . By Lemma 1.1 (2), we only need to prove that if  $e \in PL(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\text{diag}(e, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k)$  in  $M_{k+2}((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  for some  $k$ , then  $e \sim p_1$  in  $M_k((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.1 to the above 1-projective loop  $e$ , we obtain that there is a continuous map  $u_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  such that  $u_0 = 1_2 = \rho_2(u_t)$  and  $e_t = u_t^* p_1 u_t$ . Therefore,  $u_1$  has the form  $\text{diag}(a, b)$  where  $a, b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^+)$  with  $\rho(a) = \rho(b) = 1$ . Since  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective and

$$[\text{diag}(a^*, b^*, 1)] = [\text{diag}(a^*, b^*) \text{diag}(b^*, b)] = [u_1^*] = [1_2]$$

in  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ , we get that there is a path  $s_t$  from 1 to  $a^* b^*$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A}^+)$  with  $\rho(s_t) = 1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Put  $w_t = \text{diag}(1, s_t) u_t$ . Then  $w_0 = 1_2 = \rho_2(w_t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $w_t$  is a path from  $1_2$  to  $\text{diag}(a, a^*)$  in  $\mathcal{U}_2(\mathcal{A}^+)$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad e_t = u_t^* p_1 u_t = w_t^* p_1 w_t \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Now applying (2.2) to (2.5) and (2.6), we get that

$$\eta([a]) = \partial \circ i_{\mathcal{A}}([a]) = [e] - [p_1] = 0 \quad \text{in } K_0(S\mathcal{A})$$

for  $\text{diag}(e, 1_k) \sim \text{diag}(p_1, 1_k)$  in  $M_{2+k}((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  and  $\pi_2(w) = w_1 = \text{diag}(a, a^*)$ . Thus  $i_{\mathcal{A}}([a]) = 0$  in  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$  and  $a \in \mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{A}^+)$  because



$i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective by assumption. Let  $a_t$  be a path in  $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{A}^+)$  with  $\rho(a_t) = 1$  from 1 to  $a$ , and put  $c_t = w_t^* \text{diag}(a_t, a_t^*)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $c \in \mathcal{U}_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  with  $\rho(c_t) = 1_2$  such that  $c_t^* e(t) c_t = p_1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $e \sim p_1$  in  $M_2((S\mathcal{A})^+)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2.4 yields the following important results.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $i_{M_n(\mathcal{A})}$  is injective for all  $n \geq 1$  if and only if  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $(S(M_n(\mathcal{A})))^+$  has 1-cancellation for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U}_2((S(M_n(\mathcal{A})))^+)$  acts transitively on  $S_2((S(M_n(\mathcal{A})))^+)$  by Lemma 1.1 (1). Now, from the split exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras,

$$(2.7) \quad 0 \longrightarrow S(M_n(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow M_n((S\mathcal{A})^+) \xrightarrow{p_n} M_n(\mathbf{C}) \longrightarrow 0$$

we get that  $\mathcal{U}_2(M_n((S\mathcal{A})^+))$  acts transitively on  $S_2(M_n((S\mathcal{A})^+))$ . Thus  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$  by Proposition 1.1 (1). Since  $(S\mathcal{A})^+$  is a finite  $C^*$ -algebra, we have  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$ .

$\Leftarrow$ . By Corollary 1.5,  $M_n((S\mathcal{A})^+)$  has 1-cancellation for all  $n \geq 1$ . So, by (2.7),  $(S(M_n(\mathcal{A})))^+$  has 1-cancellation for all  $n \geq 1$ . Consequently, we have  $i_{M_n(\mathcal{A})}$  is injective by Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** [12, Theorem 2.9]. *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and*

$$r = \max\{\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})), \text{csr}(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

*Then for all  $n \geq \max(2, r)$ ,  $i_{M_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 10.10],  $i_{M_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})}$  is surjective for any  $n \geq \text{csr}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since it is a routine to check that  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \max\{\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}), \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A})\}$  from the split exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow S\mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we have  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) \leq n$ . Thus  $\text{Gsr}(M_{n-1}((S\mathcal{A})^+)) = 1$  by Proposition 1.1. It follows from (2.7) and Theorem 2.1 that  $i_{M_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})}$  is injective. The proof is completed.  $\square$

**3. Some applications.** A projection  $p$  in the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called to be infinite if there is a  $v \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\overline{vv^*} < v^*v = p$ . A simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be purely infinite if  $\overline{x\mathcal{A}x}$ , the closure of  $x\mathcal{A}x$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , contains an infinite projection for any positive element  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , cf. [3]. Recall that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has the property  $RR(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  if every self-adjoint element in  $\mathcal{A}$  can be approximated by a self-adjoint element with finite spectra in  $\mathcal{A}$ , cf. [2].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit 1.*

- (1) *If  $RR(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ , then  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A})$ ;*
- (2) *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is purely infinite simple. If  $[1]$  is torsion-free in  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ , then  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = 2$ ; if  $[1]$  has torsion, then  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $RR(\mathcal{A}) = 0$  indicates that  $RR(M_n(\mathcal{A})) = 0$  for all  $n$  by [2, Theorem 2.10], it follows from [5, Lemma 2.2] that  $i_{M_n(\mathcal{A})}$  is injective. Therefore, by Corollary 2.1,  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$  and hence  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \text{gsr}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(2) By [16, Theorem 1.3] and assertion (1),  $\text{gsr}(S\mathcal{A}) = 1$ . If  $[1]$  is torsion-free in  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$  we have  $\text{csr}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$  by [15, Theorem 1]. Thus  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) \leq \text{csr}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  contains an isometry, we have  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ . Therefore  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = 2$ .

If  $k \geq 1$  is the order of  $[1]$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ , then for any integer  $n$  with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , we can find  $n$  isometries  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i S_i^* = 1$  by the proof of [3, Lemma 1.8]. Clearly,  $(S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)^T \in S_n(\mathcal{A})$  and  $(S_1^*, \dots, S_n^*)^T \neq u(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}_n(\mathcal{A})$ . Thus we have  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$  and hence  $\text{gsr}(\Omega(\mathcal{A})) = \infty$ .  $\square$

In the following we will consider the  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  when  $\mathcal{A}$  is purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra or is a stable corona algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonunital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We first prove that, for any  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathcal{A}^n$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are a nonunital hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)^T \in \mathcal{D}^n$  such that  $\|a_i - b_i\| \leq (4\varepsilon/5)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

In fact, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is nonunital, purely infinite and simple, it follows from [6, Condition (ii)] and [2, Theorem 2.6] that there is a projection  $r$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^* a_i + a_i a_i^*) (1-r) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{25}.$$

Since  $(1-r)\mathcal{A}(1-r)$  is purely infinite simple by [16, Theorem 1.3], we can find a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections  $\{R_i\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $R_i < 1-r$ . Set  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i} R_i$ . Then  $0 \leq x < 1-r$  and  $x\mathcal{A}x$  has no unit and, furthermore,  $\mathcal{D} = \overline{(r+x)\mathcal{A}(r+x)} \subset \mathcal{A}$  has no unit as well, cf. the proof of [15, Theorem 2]. Set  $b_i = (r+x)a_i(r+x) \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then, from (3.1), we get that for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_i - b_i\| &= \|a_i(1-r-x) + (1-r-x)a_i(r+x)\| \\ &\leq \|a_i(1-r)\| + \|a_i(1-r)\| \|x\| + \|(1-r)a_i\| \\ &\quad + \|x\| \|(1-r)a_i\| \|r+x\| \\ &\leq \frac{4\varepsilon}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for any  $(a_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, a_n + \lambda_n)^T \in \text{Lg}_n((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})^+)^n$ , there are a nonunital hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $(b_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, b_n + \lambda_n)^T \in \text{Lg}_n((\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})^+)^n$  such that  $\|a_i - b_i\| \leq (4\varepsilon/5)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , by the above argument. Noting that  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  by [16, Theorem 1.2], where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the algebra of all compact operators on the separable Hilbert space  $H$  over the field  $\mathbf{C}$ , we obtain that there is a  $(c_1 + \mu_1, \dots, c_n + \mu_n)^T \in \text{Lg}_n((\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{B})^+)$  such that  $\|(b_i + \lambda_i) - (c_i + \mu_i)\| \leq (\varepsilon/5)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , by [11, Theorem 6.4]. Consequently,  $\|(a_i + \lambda_i) - (c_i + \mu_i)\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This means that  $\text{tsr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 2$ . So  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq \text{csr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq \text{tsr}(C([0, 1]) \otimes \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 2$  by [9, Lemma 2.4]. Finally we have that  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism by Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a nonunital  $C^*$ -algebra. We denote by  $M(\mathcal{A})$  the multiplier algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , cf. [10] and  $SM(\mathcal{A}) = M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K})$  the stable multiplier

algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set  $SQ(\mathcal{A}) = M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) / \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  (the stable corona algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ ).

The following proposition gives a simple proof of  $U(SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) \cong K_1(SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B})$  for certain  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  obtained by Thomsen for the quasi-unitary group, cf. [14, Theorem 4.9].

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with unit 1 or a countable approximate identity consisting of projections and  $\mathcal{B}$  a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.*

In order to prove this proposition, we need a lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra which contains a pair of orthogonal isometries. Then  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Let 1 be a unit of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $S_1, S_2$  two isometries in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $S_1 S_1^* + S_2 S_2^* = p$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , set  $T_1 = S_1^{n-1}$ ,  $T_2 = S_1^{n-2} S_2, \dots, T_{n-1} = S_1 S_2, T_n = S_2$ . Then it is easy to verify that  $T_i^* T_i = 1$  and  $T_j^* T_i = 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . So  $q_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^*$  is a projection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Set

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 & \cdots & T_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in M_n(\mathcal{A}),$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} X & 1_N - X X^* \\ 0 & X^* \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_{2n}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Then  $X^* X = 1_n$  and

$$(3.2) \quad Y \operatorname{diag}(u, 1_n) Y^* = \operatorname{diag}(b, 1_{2n-1}),$$

where  $u = (u_{ij})_{n \times n} \in \mathcal{U}_n(\mathcal{A})$  and  $b = \sum_{i,j=1}^n T_i u_{ij} T_j^* + 1 - q_n \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & X \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_n - 2X X^* \\ 1_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & X^* \\ 0 & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ -X & 1_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{U}_{2n}^0(\mathcal{A}),$$

it follows from (3.2) that  $[u] = i_{\mathcal{A}}([b])$  in  $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a unital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  contains a pair of orthogonal isometries if  $\mathcal{B}$  is unital since  $\mathcal{A}$  has this property by the definition of the purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Thus the assertion follows.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  is nonunital, then from the following split exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow 0$$

and Remark 2.1, we get that the diagram of exact sequences

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} [1] & \longrightarrow & U(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & U(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+) & \longrightarrow & U(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow [1] \\ & & \downarrow i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} & & \downarrow i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+} & & \downarrow i_{\mathcal{A}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+) & \longrightarrow & K_1(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is commutative. Since  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}^+}$  is surjective and  $i_{\mathcal{A}}$  is injective by [3, Lemma 1.8], we can deduce from (3.4) that  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is surjective.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.3.* We first assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  has unit  $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ . Since  $\text{gsr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq \text{csr}(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \leq 2$  by [13, Theorem 3.10], we have that  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  has 1-cancellation. Applying Lemma 2.2 to the exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{j \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}} M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}} SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we obtain the following commutative diagram of exact sequences of groups

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & U(SM(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \longrightarrow & U(SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & K_0(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}} & & \parallel & \\ \longrightarrow & K_1 SM(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & K_1(SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & K_0(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}). & \end{array}$$

Now the hypotheses on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  indicate that  $U(SM(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}) = 0$  by [7, Theorem 2.5]. Thus  $i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is injective by (3.5).

Since  $\mathbf{C}1 \otimes L(H) \subset SM(\mathcal{A})$  where  $L(H)$  is the algebra of all linear bounded operators on  $H$ , we can pick two isometries  $S_1, S_2$  in  $L(H)$  such that  $S_1 S_1^* + S_2 S_2^* = I_H$ . Thus  $SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}$  contains isometric  $T_i = (\pi \otimes id_{\mathcal{B}})(1 \otimes S_i \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $T_1 T_1^* + T_2 T_2^* = 1 \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ . So  $i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is surjective by Lemma 3.3.

If  $\mathcal{B}$  has no unit, then replacing  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $SM(\mathcal{A})$  in (3.3), we also get that  $i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

*Remark 3.1.* We have known from [15, Corollary 2.5] that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -unital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $SQ(\mathcal{A})$  is a unital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra. In this case  $i_{SQ(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism if  $\mathcal{B}$  is a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. We also notice that, using the same method as that in the proof of [14, Theorem 4.3], we can prove that  $i_{\mathcal{O}_n \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  is an isomorphism where  $\mathcal{O}_n$ ,  $2 \leq n \leq \infty$ , is the Cuntz algebra and  $\mathcal{B}$  is any  $C^*$ -algebra. Combining these facts with Corollary 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we could raise a question: Is  $i_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}$  always injective for any unital purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebra and any nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ ?

**Acknowledgment.** The author wishes to thank the referee for his (or her) kindly pointing out some errors in the paper and making helpful suggestions for the paper.

## REFERENCES

1. B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
2. L.G. Brown and G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal. **99** (1991), 131–149.
3. J. Cuntz, *K-theory for certain  $C^*$ -algebras*, Ann. of Math. **113** (1981), 181–197.
4. D. Husemoller, *Fiber bundles*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966; reprinted Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
5. H. Lin, *Approximation by normal elements with finite spectra in  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero*, Pacific J. Math. **173** (1995), 443–489.
6. H. Lin and S. Zhang, *On infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **100** (1991), 221–231.

7. J.A. Mingo, *K-theory and multipliers of stable  $C^*$ -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **299** (1987), 397–411.
8. G. Nagy, *Some remarks on lifting invertible elements from quotient  $C^*$ -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **21** (1989), 379–386.
9. V. Nistor, *Stable range for tensor products of extensions of  $\mathcal{K}$  by  $C(X)$* , J. Operator Theory **16** (1986), 387–396.
10. G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphism groups*, Academic Press, London, 1970.
11. M.A. Rieffel, *Dimensional and stable rank in the  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **46** (1983), 301–333.
12. ———, *The homotopy groups of the unitary groups of non-commutative tori*, J. Operator Theory **17** (1987), 237–254.
13. A.J.L. Sheu, *A cancellation theorem for modules over the group  $C^*$ -algebras of certain nilpotent Lie groups*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 365–427.
14. K. Thomsen, *Non-stable theory for operator algebras*, *K-Theory* **4** (1991), 245–267.
15. Yifeng Xue, *The connected stable rank of the purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 3671–3676.
16. S. Zhang,  *$C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero and their multiplier algebras, I*, Pacific J. Math. **155** (1992), 169–197.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, SHANGHAI 200237, P.R. CHINA