ROCKY MOUNTAIN
JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Volume 29, Number 1, Spring 1999

OSCILLATION TESTS FOR DELAY EQUATIONS

J. JAROŠ AND I.P. STAVROULAKIS

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of first-order delay differential equations of the form

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq T, \tag{1}$$

where $p, \tau \in C([T,\infty), \mathbf{R}^+)$, $\mathbf{R}^+ = [0,\infty)$, $\tau(t)$ is nondecreasing, $\tau(t) < t$ for $t \geq T$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$. Let the numbers k and L be defined by

$$k = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds$$

and

$$L = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds.$$

It is proved that, when $L < 1$ and $0 < k \leq 1/e$, all solutions of Equation (1) oscillate if the condition

$$L > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - k - \sqrt{1 - 2k - k^2}}{2},$$

where λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$, is satisfied.

1. Introduction. Consider the linear delay differential equation

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq T, \tag{1}$$

where p and τ are continuous functions defined on $[T,\infty)$, $p(t) > 0$, $\tau(t) < t$ for $t \geq T$, $\tau(t)$ is nondecreasing and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \tau(t) = \infty$.

Received by the editors on September 25, 1996, and in revised form on March 12, 1997.
Key words and phrases. Oscillation, delay, advanced differential equations.
1991 AMS *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 34K15, Secondary 34C10.
This research was supported by the State Scholarships Foundation (I.K.Y.) Athens, Greece, and was done during the stay of the first author in the Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, in the Spring Semester 1996.

By a solution of Equation (1) we understand a continuously differentiable function defined on $[\tau(T_1), \infty)$ for some $T_1 \geq T$ and such that (1) is satisfied for $t \geq T_1$. Such a solution is called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros. Otherwise, it is called *nonoscillatory*.

The first systematic study for the oscillation of all solutions of Equation (1) was made by Myshkis. In 1950 [**20**] he proved that every solution of Equation (1) oscillates if

$$\text{(C1)} \qquad \limsup_{t\to\infty}[t-\tau(t)] < \infty, \quad \liminf_{t\to\infty}[t-\tau(t)] \cdot \liminf_{t\to\infty} p(t) > \frac{1}{e}.$$

In 1972, Ladas, Lakshmikantham and Papadakis [**16**] proved that the same conclusion holds if

$$\text{(C2)} \qquad \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds > 1.$$

In 1979, Ladas [**15**] and, in 1982, Koplatadze and Chanturija [**11**] improved (C1) to

$$\text{(C3)} \qquad \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds > \frac{1}{e}.$$

Concerning the constant $1/e$ in (C3), it is to be pointed out that, if the inequality

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

holds eventually, then, according to a result in [**11**], (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.

In 1982, Ladas, Sficas and Stavroulakis [**17**] and, in 1984, Fukagai and Kusano [**9**] established oscillation criteria (of the type of the conditions (C2) and (C3)) for Equation (1) with oscillating coefficient $p(t)$.

It is obvious that there is a gap between the conditions (C2) and (C3) when the limit

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds$$

does not exist. How to fill this gap is an interesting problem which has been recently investigated by several authors.

Before the work of Erbe and Zhang [**8**] not much was known about the class of linear delay differential equations for which neither (C2) nor (C3) was satisfied. As far as we know, only the papers [**4, 9, 10**] contained results that could be applied also in some cases that were not covered by the above mentioned results. In 1988, Erbe and Zhang [**8**] developed new oscillation criteria by employing the upper bound of the ratio $x(\tau(t))/x(t)$ for possible nonoscillatory solutions $x(t)$ of Equation (1). Their result, when formulated in terms of the numbers k and L defined by

$$k = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds \quad \text{and} \quad L = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds,$$

says that all the solutions of Equation (1) are oscillatory if $0 < k \le 1/e$ and

$$\text{(C4)} \qquad L > 1 - \frac{k^2}{4}.$$

Since then, several authors tried to obtain better results by improving the upper bound for $x(\tau(t))/x(t)$. In 1991, Jian Chao [**2**] derived the condition

$$\text{(C5)} \qquad L > 1 - \frac{k^2}{2(1-k)},$$

while, in 1992, Yu, Wang, Zhang and Qian [**21**] obtained the condition

$$\text{(C6)} \qquad L > 1 - \frac{1 - k - \sqrt{1 - 2k - k^2}}{2}.$$

In 1990, Elbert and Stavroulakis [**6**] and, in 1991, Kwong [**14**], using different techniques, improved (C4) in the case where $0 < k \le 1/e$, to the conditions

$$\text{(C7)} \qquad L > 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}}\right)^2$$

and

$$L > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1}, \tag{C8}$$

respectively, where λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation

$$\lambda = e^{k\lambda}. \tag{2}$$

Following this historical (and chronological) review, we also mention that, in the case where

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds \geq \frac{1}{e} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds = \frac{1}{e},$$

this problem has been studied in 1993 by Elbert and Stavroulakis [**7**] and in 1995 by Kozakiewicz [**13**], Li [**19**] and by Domshlak and Stavroulakis [**5**].

The purpose of this paper is to combine the methods previously used in [**14**] and [**21**] to show that the conditions (C2) and (C4)–(C8) may be weakened to

$$L > \frac{\ln \lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1 - k - \sqrt{1 - 2k - k^2}}{2} \tag{C9}$$

where λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$.

It is to be noted that, as $k \to 0$, then all conditions (C4)–(C8) and also our condition (C9) reduce to the condition (C2). However, the improvement is clear as $k \to 1/e$. For illustrative purposes, we give the values of the lower bound in these conditions when $k = 1/e$: (C2): 1.000000, (C4): 0.966166, (C5): 0.892951, (C6): 0.863457, (C7): 0.845182, (C8): 0.735759, (C9): 0.599216.

We see that our condition (C9) essentially improves all the known results in the literature.

2. Main results.

In what follows we will denote by k and L the lower and upper limits of the average $\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds$ as $t \to \infty$, respectively, i.e.,

$$k = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds$$

and

$$L = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\, ds.$$

Set

$$w(t) = \frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)}.$$

We begin with the preliminary analysis of asymptotic behavior of the function $w(t)$ for a possible nonoscillatory solution $x(t)$ of Equation (1) in the case that $k \le 1/e$. For this purpose, assume that (1) has a solution $x(t)$ which is positive for all large t. Dividing first Equation (1) by $x(t)$ and then integrating it from $\tau(t)$ to t leads to the integral equality

$$w(t) = \exp \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)w(s)\, ds \tag{3}$$

which holds for all sufficiently large t, say for $t \ge T_1$, where both $x(t)$ and $x(\tau(t))$ are positive on $[T_1, \infty)$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $k > 0$ and Equation (1) has an eventually positive solution $x(t)$. Then $k \le 1/e$ and*

$$\lambda_1 \le \liminf_{t\to\infty} w(t) \le \lambda_2,$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the roots of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha = \liminf_{t\to\infty} w(t)$. From (3), we have

$$w(t) = \exp \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)w(s)\, ds$$

for sufficiently large t. This obviously implies that

$$\alpha \ge \exp k\alpha,$$

which is impossible if $k > 1/e$, since a simple calculus argument shows that, in this case, $\lambda < e^{k\lambda}$ for all λ. This implies that (1) has no eventually positive solution if $k > 1/e$. On the other hand, if $0 < k \le 1/e$, then $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ has roots $\lambda_1 \le \lambda_2$, with equality $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = e$ if and only if $k = 1/e$, and $\alpha \ge e^{k\alpha}$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \le \alpha \le \lambda_2$. □

The next lemma is taken from [**21**] and it gives an upper bound for the function $w(t)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Lemma 2. *Let $0 < k \le 1/e$ and $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of Equation* (1). *Then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} w(t) \le \frac{2}{1 - k - \sqrt{1 - 2k - k^2}}. \tag{4}$$

Theorem 1. *Let $0 < k \le 1/e$, and let $x(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of Equation* (1). *Then*

$$L \le \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_1}{\lambda_1} - M, \tag{5}$$

where λ_1 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{k\lambda}$ and

$$M = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Let θ be any number in $(1/\lambda_1, 1)$. From Lemma 1 and the definition of M, there is a $T_1 > T$ such that

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t)} > \theta\lambda_1, \quad t \ge T_1, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))} > \theta M, \quad t \ge T_1. \tag{8}$$

Now let $t \ge T_1$. Since the function $g(s) = x(\tau(t))/x(s)$ is continuous, $g(\tau(t)) = 1 < \theta\lambda_1$ and $g(t) > \theta\lambda_1$, there is a $t^*(t) \in (\tau(t), t)$ such that

$$\frac{x(\tau(t))}{x(t^*(t))} = \theta\lambda_1.$$

Dividing (1) by $x(t)$, integrating from $\tau(t)$ to $t^*(t)$, and taking into account (7) yields

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} p(s)\,ds \le -\frac{1}{\theta\lambda_1}\int_{\tau(t)}^{t^*(t)} \frac{x'(s)}{x(s)}\,ds = \frac{\ln(\theta\lambda_1)}{\theta\lambda_1}. \tag{9}$$

Integrating (1) over $[t^*(t), t]$ and using (8) and the fact that $x(\tau(s)) \ge x(\tau(t))$ if $s \le t$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t^*(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds &\le \frac{x(t^*(t))}{x(\tau(t))} - \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))}\\ &= \frac{1}{\theta\lambda_1} - \frac{x(t)}{x(\tau(t))}\\ &\le \frac{1}{\theta\lambda_1} - \theta M.\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Adding (10) and (9) yields

$$\int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s)\,ds \le \frac{1+\ln(\theta\lambda_1)}{\theta\lambda_1} - \theta M.$$

Letting $t \to \infty$ yields

$$L \le \frac{1+\ln(\theta\lambda_1)}{\theta\lambda_1} - \theta M.$$

Letting $\theta \to 1$ completes the proof. □

This theorem, in view of Lemma 2, implies the following

Corollary 1. *Consider the differential equation* (1) *and assume that when* $L < 1$ *and* $0 < k \le 1/e$ *the following condition holds*

$$L > \frac{\ln\lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1} - \frac{1-k-\sqrt{1-2k-k^2}}{2} \tag{C9}$$

where λ_1 *is the smaller root of the equation*

$$\lambda = e^{k\lambda}.$$

Then all solutions of Equation (1) *oscillate.*

Example. Consider the delay differential equation

$$x'(t) + \frac{0.6}{\alpha\pi + \sqrt{2}}(2\alpha + \cos t)x\left(t - \frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0, \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha = (\sqrt{2}(0.6e+1))/(\pi(0.6e-1))$. Then

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-\pi/2}^{t} 0.6(2\alpha + \cos u)/(\alpha\pi + \sqrt{2})\, du = \frac{1}{e}$$

and

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-\pi/2}^{t} 0.6(2\alpha + \cos u)/(\alpha\pi + \sqrt{2})\, du = 0.6.$$

Thus, according to Corollary 1, all solutions of Equation (11) are oscillatory. We remark that none of the results mentioned in the introduction can be applied to this equation.

3. Extensions. It is easy to see that the conclusions of Lemmas 1 and 2 remain valid if we replace Equation (1) by the differential inequality

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) \le 0, \quad t \ge T. \tag{12}$$

It is also clear that if $x(t)$ is a solution of (12) then $-x(t)$ is a solution of the differential inequality

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) \ge 0. \tag{13}$$

Thus, we conclude the following

Corollary 2. *Assume that the conditions of Corollary* 1 *are satisfied. Then Equation* (12) *has no eventually positive solutions and Equation* (13) *has no eventually negative solutions.*

Our results can be extended to advanced differential equations and inequalities of the form

$$x'(t) - p(t)(\tau(t)) = 0, \tag{1'}$$

$$x'(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t)) \ge 0, \tag{12)'$$

and

$$(13)' \qquad x'(t) - p(t)x(\tau(t)) \le 0,$$

where $\tau(t) > t$ for $t \ge T$. Since the proofs are very similar we omit them and formulate only the corresponding results.

Corollary 3. *Assume that the conditions of Corollary* 1 *are satisfied with*

$$k = \liminf_{t\to\infty} \int_t^{\tau(t)} p(s)\,ds \quad \textit{and} \quad L = \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_t^{\tau(t)} p(s)\,ds.$$

Then Equation $(12)'$ *has no eventually positive solutions, Equation* $(13)'$ *has no eventually negative solutions, and Equation* $(1)'$ *has oscillatory solutions only.*

We can also apply our results to equations with positive and negative coefficients of the form, cf. [**21**],

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau) - q(t)x(t-\sigma) = 0,$$

where

$$p, q \in C([T,\infty), \mathbf{R}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}^+,$$

to neutral differential equations of the form, cf. [**3**],

$$\frac{d}{dt}[x(t) + p(t)x(t-\tau)] + q(t)x(t-\sigma) = 0,$$

where

$$p \in C([T,\infty), \mathbf{R}), \quad q \in C([T,\infty), \mathbf{R}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}^+,$$

and also to higher-order equations and essentially improve the existing results in the literature.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to express their thankfulness to the referee for his very useful and detailed suggestions which improved this paper.

REFERENCES

1. O. Arino, G. Ladas and Y.G. Sficas, *On oscillations of some retarded differential equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **18** (1987), 64–73.

2. J. Chao, *On the oscillation of linear differential equations with deviating arguments*, Math. Practice Theory **1** (1991), 32–40.

3. Q. Chuanxi and G. Ladas, *Oscillations of neutral differential equations with variable coefficients*, Appl. Anal. **32** (1989), 215–228.

4. Y. Domshlak, *Sturmian comparison method in investigation of the behavior of solutions for differential-operator equations*, "Elm," Baku, USSR, 1986, in Russian.

5. Y. Domshlak and I.P. Stavroulakis, *Oscillations of first-order delay differential equations in a critical state*, Appl. Anal. **61** (1996), 359–371.

6. Á. Elbert and I.P. Stavroulakis, *Oscillations of first order differential equations with deviating arguments*, in *Recent trends in differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1992.

7. ———, *Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for delay differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1503–1510.

8. L.H. Erbe and B.G. Zhang, *Oscillation for first order linear differential equations with deviating arguments*, Differential Integral Equations **1** (1988), 305–314.

9. N. Fukagai and T. Kusano, *Oscillation theory of first order functional differential equations with deviating arguments*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **136** (1984), 95–117.

10. R.G. Koplatadze, *On zeros of solutions of first order delay differential equations*, Proceedings of I.N. Vekua Institute of Applied Mathematics **14** (1983), 128–135, in Russian.

11. R.G. Koplatadze and T.A. Chanturija, *On oscillatory and monotonic solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments*, Differential'nye Uravnenija **18** (1982), 1463–1465, in Russian.

12. R.G. Koplatadze and G. Kvinikadze, *On the oscillation of solutions of first order delay differential inequalities and equations*, Georgian Math. J. **1** (1994), 675–685.

13. E. Kozakiewicz, *Conditions for the absence of positive solutions of a first order differential inequality with a single delay*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **31** (1995), 291–297.

14. M.K. Kwong, *Oscillation of first order delay equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **156** (1991), 274–286.

15. G. Ladas, *Sharp conditions for oscillations caused by delays*, Appl. Anal. **9** (1979), 93–98.

16. G. Ladas, V. Lakshmikantham and L.S. Papadakis, *Oscillations of higher-order retarded differential equations generated by the retarded arguments*, in *Delay and functional differential equations and their applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

17. G. Ladas, Y.G. Sficas and I.P. Stavroulakis, *Functional differential inequalities and equations with oscillating coefficients*, in *Trends in theory and practice of nonlinear differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. **90** (1984), 277–284.

18. G. Ladas and I.P. Stavroulakis, *On delay differential inequalities of first order*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **25** (1982), 105–113.

19. B. Li, *Oscillations of delay differential equations with variable coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **192** (1995), 312–321.

20. A.D. Myshkis, *Linear homogeneous differential equations of first order with deviating arguments*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **5** (1950), 160–162, in Russian.

21. J.S. Yu, Z.C. Wang, B.G. Zhang and X.Z. Qian, *Oscillations of differential equations with deviating arguments*, Panamer. Math. J. **2** (1992), 59–78.

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia
E-mail address: jaros@alpha.dcs.fmph.uniba.sk

Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, Greece
E-mail address: ipstav@cc.uoi.gr