

## A NOTE ON ARTINIAN GORENSTEIN ALGEBRAS DEFINED BY MONOMIALS

MARK B. BEINTEMA

In this note we prove a result which has been discovered independently by H. Charalambous [1] and is possibly known to many commutative algebraists. However, we know of no statement of this result in the literature. Our proof uses a mix of algebra and combinatorics and is quite elementary. We assume familiarity with the usual notions of commutative algebra and recommend [2] as a general reference.

Throughout, we let  $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $k$ . If  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ , we denote the image of  $X_i$  in  $A/I$  by  $x_i$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = a_1, \dots, a_n$  is a sequence of nonnegative integers, then  $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{a}}$  denotes the monomial  $X_1^{a_1} X_2^{a_2} \cdots X_n^{a_n}$ . Similarly,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$  denotes  $x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ . We observe that if  $I$  is generated by monomials, then  $R = A/I$  is a noetherian graded  $k$ -algebra. That is,  $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ , where  $R_0 = k$ , and  $R_i R_j \subset R_{i+j}$ . Moreover, the nonzero monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$  form a  $k$ -basis for  $R$ . This is a direct consequence of the following lemma, which is implicit in the work of Macaulay (see [3], Theorems 2.1 and 2.2).

**Lemma.** *Let  $I \subset A = k[X_1, \dots, X_r]$  be an ideal which is generated by monomials. If  $x_i$  denotes the image of  $X_i$  in  $A/I$ , then the nonzero monomials in the  $x_i$  are linearly independent over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t)$ , with  $m_j = \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{a}_j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, t$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_m}$  be nonzero monomials, and suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_i} = 0$  for some  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in k$ . Hence there exist  $f_j \in A$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{b}_i} = \sum_{j=1}^t f_j m_j.$$

Regarding each  $f_j$  as a linear combination of monomials, we may

---

Received by the editors on January 6, 1991, and in revised form on March 27, 1991.

rewrite this expression as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{b}_i} = \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{c}_i}$$

where each  $\gamma_i \in k$ , and the  $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{c}_i}$  are distinct monomials, each of which is divisible by some  $m_j$ . Using the fact that monomials in  $A$  form a  $k$ -basis for  $A$ , and comparing coefficients, we conclude that if some  $\beta_i \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{b}_i}$  is divisible by one of the  $m_j$ 's. This contradicts the assumption that  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_i} \neq 0$  in  $A/I$ . Hence, we have  $\beta_i = 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .  $\square$

Let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal of height  $n$  which is generated by monomials, and set  $R = A/I$ . Evidently,  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -vector space. We let  $R_+$  denote the image of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  in  $R$ . The *socle* of  $R$  is defined by  $\text{socle } R = \text{ann } R_+$ . Recall that  $R$  is Gorenstein provided that  $\dim_k \text{socle } R = 1$ .  $I$  is said to be a *complete intersection* if  $I$  can be generated by precisely  $n$  elements. Equivalently,  $I$  is a complete intersection if it can be generated by an  $A$ -sequence. It is well known that if  $I$  is a complete intersection, then  $R$  is Gorenstein.

J. Watanabe [5] observed that the monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$  in  $R$  form a partially ordered set, ordered by divisibility. That is,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \leq \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$  if and only if  $a_i \leq b_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Proposition.** *Let  $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and let  $I \subset A$  be an ideal of height  $n$  which is generated by monomials. Then  $A/I$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $I$  is a complete intersection.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R$  is Gorenstein, and let  $P$  be the partially ordered set consisting of all nonzero monomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ , ordered by divisibility. It is clear that if  $u \in P$  is maximal, then  $u \in \text{socle } R$ . Since  $R$  is Gorenstein, this implies that there is a unique maximal element in  $P$ ; that is,  $P$  is the lattice of divisors of some monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ . Thus, we need only prove:

**Claim.** *If  $P$  is the divisor lattice of some monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$ , then  $I$  is a complete intersection.*

*Proof of Claim.* Suppose that  $P$  is the divisor lattice of  $x_1^{b_1} x_2^{b_2} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ .

Since  $I$  has height  $n$  and is generated by monomials, we have  $\text{rad } I = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $e_i$  be the least integer such that  $X_i^{e_i} \in I$ . Clearly,  $e_i \geq b_i + 1$ , else  $0 = x_i^{b_i}$  in  $R$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in P$ . If some  $e_i > b_i + 1$ , then  $X_i^{b_i+1} \notin I$  and, consequently,  $x_i^{b_i+1} \in P$ , also a contradiction. Thus,

$$(X_1^{b_1+1}, \dots, X_n^{b_n+1}) \subset I.$$

Given  $y \in I$ , we write  $y = \sum \alpha_i \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{a}_i} + z$ , where  $\alpha_i \in k$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i} \in P$ , and  $z \in (X_1^{b_1+1}, \dots, X_n^{b_n+1})$ . Reducing modulo  $I$ , we have  $\sum \alpha_i \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i} = 0$ . It follows from the lemma that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . That is,  $y = z$ , and hence  $I = (X_1^{b_1+1}, \dots, X_n^{b_n+1})$ .  $\square$

*Remark .* A non-Artinian graded algebra  $A/I$  may be Gorenstein without being a complete intersection, even if  $I$  is generated by monomials (see Example 1.2 and Corollary 5.2 of [4, Chapter II]). There are also many examples of graded Artinian Gorenstein algebras which are neither complete intersections nor defined by monomials. See [3, Example 4.3].)

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Charalambous, Private communication.
2. H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, Mass., 1986.
3. R. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Adv. Math. **28** (1978), 57–83.
4. ———, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983.
5. J. Watanabe, *The Dilworth number of Artinian rings and finite posets with rank function*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **11** (1987), 303–312.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CARBONDALE, IL 62901