

## METRIC TRANSFORMATIONS OF THE REAL LINE

DARYL TINGLEY

1. A metric transformation between two metric (or semi-metric) spaces  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is defined to be a function  $f$  such that for some function  $\rho: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ , called the scale function associated with  $f$ ,  $\rho(d_1(x, y)) = d_2(f(x), f(y))$ , where  $x, y \in M$ . The set  $f(M_1)$  is said to be a metric transform of  $M_1$ . In this paper all metric transforms from the real line in Euclidean  $n$ -space are characterized.

The notion of a metric transformation was introduced by Wilson [10] in 1935. In 1938 von-Neumann and Schoenberg [8] characterized all continuous metric transformations of the real line,  $\mathbf{R}$ , into Hilbert space. This powerful result shows that the scale functions  $\rho$  corresponding to such transformations are those, and only those, functions which satisfy the condition

$$\rho^2(t) = \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin^2 tu}{u^2} d\alpha(u) \right),$$

where  $\alpha$  is non-decreasing and  $\int_1^\infty u^{-2} d\alpha(u) < \infty$ . They also showed that, in order that  $f(\mathbf{R})$  be embeddable in  $\mathbf{E}^n$  (finite dimensional Hilbert space), it is necessary and sufficient that  $\alpha$  increase at only a finite number of points. In this case

$$\rho^2(t) = \sum_1^m A_i^2 \sin^2 k_i t + c^2 t^2,$$

and in a suitable coordinate system,

$$(1) \quad f(t) = (A_1 \cos k_1 t, A_1 \sin k_1 t, \dots, A_m \cos k_m t, A_m \sin k_m t, ct)$$

If  $f(\mathbf{R})$  is embeddable in  $E^n$ , but not in  $E^{n-1}$ , then, for  $n$  odd,  $2m = n - 1$  and  $c \neq 0$ , while  $2m = n$  and  $c = 0$  for  $n$  even. As a helix is typical, von-Neumann and Schoenberg refer to continuous metric transforms of  $\mathbf{R}$  as screw curves.

Metric transformations, including the von-Neumann and Schoenberg result, have appeared in the literature of late in connection with a method of data analysis known as Multidimensional Scaling. (See [1], [3], [6] and [7]). Here one takes a semi-metric space  $M_1$  and some other metric

or semi-metric space  $M_2$ , such as  $E^n$ , and attempts to construct a metric transformation of  $M_1$  into  $M_2$  with the scale function  $\rho$  being strictly monotone. In this case  $M_1$  is said to be order embeddable into  $M_2$  and  $f$  is called an order transformation. Once this has been accomplished one might ask if the result, in some sense, is unique.

Beals, Krantz and Tversky [1] have given necessary and sufficient conditions for a semimetric space  $M_1$  to be order embeddable into a convex metric space. They show the embedding is unique up to a similarity. Kelly is credited in [6] with the classification of all those semimetric spaces of  $n + 2$  points which are order embeddable into  $E^n$ . Erdős and Kelly [3] have shown that, for  $m$  sufficiently large, there are semimetric spaces of  $m$  points not order embeddable into  $E^n$ . Lew [7] uses (1) to show that  $\mathcal{L}_m^\infty$  and  $\mathcal{L}_n^1$  are not order embeddable in  $E^n$ , for any  $n$ , and von-Neuman and Schoenberg [8] use (1) to show that any continuous metric transformation of  $E^n$  into  $E^m$  is either a similarity or maps  $E^m$  to a single point of  $E^n$ . The characterization (1) would seem to be fundamental in the study of metric and order transformations, particularly for uniqueness properties.

In this paper we consider all metric transforms of  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $E^n$ , including those which are discontinuous. To illustrate our result we present the following three examples, the first of which is due to Vogt [9].

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a group homomorphism. Then  $d(f(x), f(y)) = |f(x) - f(y)| = |f(|x - y|)| = \rho(d(x, y))$ , showing that  $f$  is a metric transformation.

More generally, let  $M$  be any normed linear space, and let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$  be a group homomorphism. Then  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \|f(|x - y|)\|$ , again showing that  $f$  is a metric transformation.

REMARK. A group homomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M$  ( $M$  a vector space) is simply a function satisfying  $f(a + b) = f(a) + f(b)$ . G. Hamel [4] showed that one method of constructing such functions is to consider  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $M$  as vector spaces over the rationals. If  $A \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  is a basis for  $\mathbf{R}$ , as a vector space over the rationals, and  $f: A \rightarrow M$  is arbitrarily defined, then  $f$  can be extended by linearity to  $\mathbf{R}$ . The resulting function is clearly a group homomorphism, and hence a metric transformation. Of interest is that if  $B \subseteq M$  is a basis for  $M$ , as a vector space over the rationals, and if the cardinality of  $A$  and  $B$  are the same (the cardinality of the continuum) then there are functions from  $A$  onto  $B$  and hence metric transformations from  $\mathbf{R}$  onto  $M$ . In particular there are metric transformations of  $\mathbf{R}$  onto any separable normed linear space.

Halperin [4] used the above type of construction to show that there are discontinuous functions  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  which satisfy the intermediate value theorem. In fact he produced a group homomorphism  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such

that  $f((a, b)) = \mathbf{R}$  for  $a < b$ . It follows that there are metric transformations of  $\mathbf{R}$  onto any separable normed linear space  $M$  such that  $f((a, b)) = M$  for  $a < b$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $C$  be the unit circle in  $E^2$ , and let  $\theta: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/2\pi$  be any group homomorphism. Then the function  $t \rightarrow (\cos \theta(t), \sin \theta(t))$  is a metric transformation of  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $C$ . The scale function  $\rho$  is given by  $\rho(d) = 2 \sin(\theta(d)/2)$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $f_i: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow V_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  be a metric transformation from  $\mathbf{R}$  to a normed linear space  $V_i$ , let  $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ , with norm given by  $\|(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)\|^2 = \|v_1\|^2 + \|v_2\|^2 + \dots + \|v_n\|^2$ , and let  $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ . Then  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow V$  is a metric transformation with scale function  $\rho$ , where  $\rho^2 = \sum \rho_i^2$ . Note that Example's 1 and 2 may be combined in this way.

In Theorem 1 we classify all metric transformations from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $E^n$ , whether continuous or not. In this respect, then, the result is stronger than the corresponding result of von-Neumann and Schoenberg [8] which assumes continuity; however, (general) Hilbert space has been replaced by  $E^n$ .

**2. Definitions.** A set  $H$  is said to be an  $m$ -flat of  $E^n$  if it is a translate of an  $m$ -dimensional subspace of  $E^n$ . A set  $S$  is said to span  $E^n$  if it lies in no  $(n - 1)$ -flat.

A (rigid) motion  $T$  of a metric space  $M$  is defined to be an isometry from  $M$  onto  $M$ . For  $M = E^n$ , it is a standard theorem of linear algebra that such a function can be written as  $T(x) = U(x) + T(0)$  where  $U$  is an orthogonal transformation (that is, a linear norm preserving transformation of  $E^n$ ). A set of motions  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  of a metric space  $M$  satisfying  $T_s \circ T_r = T_{s+r}$  is called a one-parameter subgroup of motions of  $M$ .

It follows immediately that for any one-parameter subgroup of motions  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and any  $s, r \in \mathbf{R}$  we have  $T_s \circ T_r \equiv T_r \circ T_s, (T_s)^n = T_{n \cdot s}$  and  $(T_{s+r})^n = T_{n \cdot s} \circ T_{n \cdot r}$ .

LEMMA 1. *If  $B \subseteq E^n$  spans  $E^n$ , and  $\tilde{T}: B \rightarrow E^n$  is an isometry, then there is a unique motion  $T: E^n \rightarrow E^n$  such that  $T|B = \tilde{T}$ .*

PROOF. See [2, §38].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E^n$  be a metric transformation with scale function  $\rho$ , and assume that  $f(\mathbf{R})$  spans  $E^n$ . Then there is a unique one-parameter subgroup of motions  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  such that  $f(s) = T_s(f(0))$ .*

PROOF. For each  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  define  $\tilde{T}_s: f(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow E^n$  by  $\tilde{T}_s(f(t)) = f(t + s)$ . As  $f$  is a metric transformation it follows that  $\|\tilde{T}_s(f(t_1)) - \tilde{T}_s(f(t_2))\| = \|f(t_1 + s) - f(t_2 + s)\| = \rho(|t_1 - t_2|)$ . By hypothesis,  $f(\mathbf{R})$  spans  $E^n$ , hence

Lemma 1 shows  $\tilde{T}_s$  can be uniquely extended to a motion  $T_s$  of  $E^n$ . Because of this uniqueness, and because  $T_s \circ T_r(f(t)) = f(t + s + r) = T_{s+r}(f(t))$  it follows that  $T_s \circ T_r = T_{s+r}$ . Thus  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  forms a one-parameter subgroup of motions of  $E^n$ , such that  $f(s) = T_s(f(0))$ .

If  $\{R_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is any other one-parameter subgroup of motions such that  $f(s) = R_s(f(0))$ , then

$$R_s(f(t)) = R_s R_t(f(0)) = R_{s+t} f(0) = f(s + t) = T_{s+t}(f(0)) = T_s(f(t)).$$

As  $f(\mathbf{R})$  spans  $E^n$ , Lemma 1 shows  $R_s = T_s$ .

The proof of the following result is straightforward. However since it is crucial to our argument, we include the details.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is a one-parameter subgroup of motions of  $E^n$ , then  $T_s = U_s + T_s(0)$  where  $U_s$  is an orthogonal transformation of  $E^n$ ,  $\{U_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  form a one parameter subgroup of motions of  $E^n$ , and for any  $s, r \in \mathbf{R}$ ,*

$$(2) \quad (I - U_r)T_s(0) = (I - U_s)T_r(0).$$

**PROOF.** As mentioned earlier,  $T_s$  can be written as  $T_s(x) = U_s(x) + T_s(0)$ , where  $U_s$  is an orthogonal transformation.

As  $T_r \circ T_s \equiv T_{s+r}$ , it follows that  $(U_{s+r} - U_s U_r)x = U_s(T_r(0)) + T_s(0) - T_{s+r}(0) = \text{constant}$ . As this is true for all  $x$ , the constant is 0, and hence  $U_s U_r = U_{s+r}$  and  $T_{s+r}(0) = U_s(T_r(0)) + T_s(0)$ .

Similarly  $U_r U_s = U_{s+r}$  and  $T_{s+r}(0) = U_r(T_s(0)) + T_r(0)$ . Thus  $U_s(T_r(0)) + T_s(0) = U_r(T_s(0)) + T_r(0)$  or  $(I - U_r)T_s(0) = (I - U_s)T_r(0)$ , where  $I$  is the identity transformation.

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $\{U_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is a one parameter subgroup of orthogonal transformations of  $E^n$ , then  $E^n$  can be written as  $E^n = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m \oplus W$ , where  $V_j$  are two dimensional subspaces of  $E^n$ ,  $V_j$  and  $W$  are invariant under  $U_s$ , for all  $s$  and  $j$ ,  $U_s|W = I_W$  for all  $s$  and  $U_s|V_j$  has in any positively oriented orthonormal basis the matrix form*

$$M_{sj} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j(s) & -\sin \theta_j(s) \\ \sin \theta_j(s) & \cos \theta_j(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

*For each  $j$ , there is an  $s$ , call it  $s_j$ , such that  $U_{s_j}|V_j \neq I$ , and the functions  $\theta_j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/2\pi$  are group homomorphisms.*

**PROOF.** The bulk of the proof consists of applying standard techniques of linear algebra to the transformations  $\{U_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$ , so we shall omit it. That  $\theta_j(s + r) = \theta_j(s) + \theta_j(r)$  (modulo  $2\pi$ ) follows from the fact that  $M_{sj} M_{rj} = M_{(s+r)j}$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and  $\{U_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  be as in Proposition 2, and  $V_1, \dots, V_m$  and  $W$  as in Proposition 3. For each  $s$ , let  $T_s(0) = T_{s1}(0) +$*

$\dots + T_{s_m}(0) + T_{s_w}(0)$ , where  $T_{s_j}(0) \in V_j$ ,  $T_{s_w}(0) \in W$ . Then there is a  $v \in V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$  such that for all  $s$ ,  $T_s(x) = U_s(x - v) + v + T_{s_w}(0)$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $U_{s_j} = U_s|V_j$ . It follows from Proposition 3 that  $U_s|W = I$ . Define  $T_{s_j}(x)$  and  $T_{s_w}(x)$  by

$$T_{s_j}(x) = U_{s_j}(x) + T_{s_j}(0) \text{ and } T_{s_w}(x) = x + T_{s_w}(0).$$

If  $x = x_1 + \dots + x_m + x_w$ ,  $x_j \in V_j$  and  $x_w \in W$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_s(x) &= \sum T_{s_j}(x_j) + T_{s_w}(x_w). \\ &= \sum T_{s_j}(x_j) + x_w + T_{s_w}(0). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3, for each  $j$ , there is an  $s = s_j$  with  $U_{s_{jj}} \neq I$ . Thus  $(I - U_{s_{jj}})^{-1}$  exists and we define  $v_j = (I - U_{s_{jj}})^{-1}T_{s_{jj}}(0)$ . It follows that  $T_{s_{jj}}(x_j) = U_{s_{jj}}(x_j - v_j) + v_j$ .

Using equation (2) and the fact that  $U_{s_j}$  and  $U_s$  commute for all  $s$ , it can now be shown that  $T_{s_j}(x_j) = U_{s_j}(x_j - v_j) + v_j$  for all  $s, j$ . Letting  $v = v_1 + \dots + v_m$ , it follows that  $T_s(x) = U_s(x - v) + v + T_{s_w}(0)$ .

We are now prepared for the main Theorem of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E^n$  be a metric transformation such that  $\{f(t): t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  span  $E^n$ . Then there are complementary subspaces  $V$  and  $W$ , with orthogonal projections  $P_v: E^n \rightarrow V$  and  $P_w: E^n \rightarrow W$  respectively, and a vector  $u \in E^n$  such that, if  $\tilde{f}(t) = f(t) - u$ ,  $\tilde{f}_v = P_v \circ \tilde{f}$  and  $\tilde{f}_w = P_w \circ \tilde{f}$ , then

$$\tilde{f}_v(t) = (A_1 \cos \theta_1(t), A_1 \sin \theta_1(t), \dots, A_m \cos \theta_m(t), A_m \sin \theta_m(t)),$$

where  $A_j \geq 0$  are constants,  $\theta_j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/2\pi$  are group homomorphisms, and  $\tilde{f}_w(t)$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $W$ .

Conversely, if  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E^n$  and there are complementary subspaces  $V$  and  $W$  of  $E^n$  such that

$$P_v \circ f(t) = (A_1 \cos \theta_1(t), A_1 \sin \theta_1(t), \dots, A_m \cos \theta_m(t), A_m \sin \theta_m(t)),$$

where  $\theta_j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/2\pi$  are group homomorphisms and  $f_w = P_w \circ f$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $W$ , then  $f$  is a metric transformation.

**PROOF OF CONVERSE.** Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  be in  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t_1) - f(t_2)\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m 4A_j^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_j(t_1) - \theta_j(t_2)}{2}\right) + \|f_w(t_1) - f_w(t_2)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m 4A_j^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_j(t_1 - t_2)}{2}\right) + \|f_w(t_1 - t_2)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m 4A_j^2 \sin^2\left(\pm \frac{\theta_j(|t_1 - t_2|)}{2}\right) + \|\pm f_w(|t_1 - t_2|)\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m 4A_j^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta_j(|t_1 - t_2|)}{2}\right) + \|f_w(|t_1 - t_2|)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f$  is a metric transformation with scale function  $\rho(d)$  satisfying

$$\rho^2(d) = \sum_{j=1}^m 4A_j^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta_j(d)}{2} + \|g(d)\|^2.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Construct a one-parameter subgroup of motions  $\{T_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and  $\{U_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  as in Proposition 1, and let  $v, V_1, \dots, V_m, W, T_{s_j}, T_{s_w}$ , and  $U_{s_j}$ , be as in Proposition 4. Let  $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_m$ ,  $f_w(0) = P_w(f(0))$  and  $u = v + f_w(0)$ . Consider the translation  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f(\mathbf{R})$  given by  $\tilde{f}(s) = f(s) - u$  and let  $g(s) = T_{s_w}(0)$ . Note that  $\tilde{f}$  is a metric transformation, and  $g(s) \in W$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(s) &= T_s(f(0)) - v - f_w(0) \\ &= U_s(f(0) - v) + v + T_{s_w}(0) - v - f_w(0) \\ &= U_s(\tilde{f}(0)) + g(s). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Proposition 1 that if  $\tilde{T}_s(x) = U_s(x) + g(s)$ , then  $\{\tilde{T}(s) | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  is the unique one-parameter subgroup of motions such that  $\tilde{f}(s) = \tilde{T}_s(\tilde{f}(0))$ .

Choose a positively oriented orthonormal basis in  $J_j, j = 1, \dots, m$  such that the projection of  $\tilde{f}(0)$  into  $V_j$  has co-ordinates  $(A_j, 0)$ . Proposition 2 now shows that the matrix of  $U_{s_j}$  in this basis is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_j(s) & -\sin \theta_j(s) \\ \sin \theta_j(s) & \cos \theta_j(s) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $\theta_j(s)$ , such that  $\theta_j: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}/2\pi$  is a group homomorphism. Thus,  $\tilde{f}_w(t) = (A_1 \cos \theta_1(t), A_1 \sin \theta_1(t), \dots, A_m \cos \theta_m(t), A_m \sin \theta_m(t))$  and  $\tilde{f}_w(t) = g(t)$ . Using the fact that  $\{\tilde{T}_s | s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  form a one parameter subgroup of motions such that  $\tilde{T}_s(x) = x + g(s)$ , for  $x \in W$ , it follows immediately that  $g(s) + g(r) = g(s + r)$ , and hence that  $g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow W$  is a group homomorphism.

REMARKS. The assumption in Theorem 1 that  $\{f(t) | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  spans  $E^n$  can easily be eliminated. For, otherwise, we need only consider the smallest flat in  $E^n$  containing  $\{f(t) | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$  and perform the above analysis in that flat.

The von-Neumann-Schoenberg result in  $E^n$ , where  $f(t)$  is continuous, follows easily from this. For, if  $f$  is continuous, then  $\theta_j, j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $g$  must be continuous, in which case it is not difficult to conclude that  $\theta_j(s) = ks$  (modulo  $2\pi$ ) and  $g(s) = su, u$  a fixed vector in  $W$ . This then gives the characterization of a metric transformation of  $R$  into  $E^n$  given in the von-Neumann-Schoenberg paper.

3. As mentioned earlier, this problem has arisen in connection with

Multidimensional Scaling. Specifically, if  $f: M_1 \rightarrow E^n$  is a metric transformation, is  $f(M_1)$  unique in some sense? For this type of question, a copy of  $\mathbf{R}$  may not be available in  $M_1$ , hence Theorem 1 is not applicable. However, often  $M_1$  contains an interval, that is a set isometric to an interval of  $\mathbf{R}$ . Thus it is natural to ask if Theorem 1 characterizes all metric transforms of intervals. Theorem 2 shows that indeed it does.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $E^m$  be an  $m$ -flat of  $E^n$ . Let  $T: E^n \rightarrow E^n$  be an isometry which maps a spanning set of  $E^m$  into  $E^m$ . Then  $T(E^m) = E^m$ .*

PROOF. See [2, §40].

PROPOSITION 5. *Let  $\{T_s \mid |s| < \delta\}$  be a set of motions of  $E^n$  satisfying  $T_s \circ T_r = T_{s+r}$  whenever  $s, r$  and  $s + r$  are in  $(-\delta, \delta)$ . Then there is a unique one-parameter subgroup of motions, called  $\{\bar{T}_s \mid s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  such that  $\bar{T}_s \equiv T_s, |s| < \delta$ .*

PROOF. For  $s \in \mathbf{R}$  pick an integer  $m$  such that  $s/m \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , and define  $\bar{T}_s$  by  $\bar{T}_s = (T_{s/m})^m$ . It is not hard to show that  $\bar{T}_s$  is independent of the choice of  $m$ , and then that  $\{\bar{T}_s\}$  is the unique set of motions extending  $\{T_s\}$  to a one parameter subgroup.

THEOREM 2. *Let  $f$  be a metric transformation of  $(-a, a)$  into  $E^n$ . Then  $f$  can be uniquely extended to a metric transformation  $\bar{f}$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  into  $E^n$ . If  $E^m \subseteq E^n$ , and  $f((-\delta, \delta)) \subseteq E^m$ , then  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq E^m$ .*

PROOF. Case I. Assume  $f((-\delta, \delta))$  spans  $E^n$ . The case that it does not will be covered in II. Let  $-a < t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n < a$  be such that  $\{f(t_i)\}$  spans  $E^n$ , and let  $\delta = \min \{a - t_n, t_0 + a\}$ .

For each  $s, |s| < \delta$ , the function given by  $f(t) \rightarrow f(t + s)$  is an isometry of  $f([-\delta + s, \delta - s])$  into  $E^n$ , hence can be uniquely extended to motion  $T_s$  of  $E^n$  (Lemma 1). For  $s$  and  $r$  such that  $s, r$ , and  $s + r$  are in  $(-\delta, \delta)$ ,

$$T_s \circ T_r(f(t)) = f(t + s + r) = T_{s+r}(f(t)),$$

and hence  $T_s \circ T_r = T_{s+r}$ . Thus  $\{T_s \mid |s| < \delta\}$  satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 5, so there is a unique one parameter subgroup of motions  $\{\bar{T}_s \mid s \in \mathbf{R}\}$  which extends  $\{T_s \mid |s| < \delta\}$ .

Define  $\bar{f}(s)$  by  $\bar{f}(s) = \bar{T}_s(f(0))$ . Then it is easy to show that  $\bar{f}$  is the unique extension of  $f$  to a metric transformation of  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $E^n$ .

Case II. Consider now the case  $f((-\delta, \delta))$  does not span  $E^n$ . Let  $E^m$  be the  $m$ -flat of  $E^n$  which contains, and is spanned by  $f((-\delta, \delta))$ . Let  $\bar{f}$  be any extension of  $f$  to a metric transformation of  $\mathbf{R}$  and assume  $\bar{f}(\mathbf{R})$  spans the flat  $E^m$ . (Case I shows there is at least one such extension.) As above, let  $\delta$  be such that  $f([-\delta, \delta])$  spans  $E^m$ . As in Proposition

1, let  $\{T_s\}$  be a one-parameter subgroup of motions of  $E'$  such that  $T_s(f(t)) = f(t + s)$ . For  $|s| < \delta$ ,

$$T_s(f([-a + \delta, a - \delta])) = f([-a + \delta + s, a - \delta + s]) \subseteq E^m.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2,  $T_s(E^m) = E^m$ .

Since  $\tilde{f}(s) = T_s(f(0))$  and  $f(0) \in E^m$  it follows that  $E' = E^m$ , and the uniqueness of the extension follows from Case I.

#### REFERENCES

1. Beals, R., Krantz, D., Tversky, A. *Foundations of multidimensional scaling*. Psychological Review **75** (1968), 127-142.
2. Blumenthal, L.M., *Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry*. London: Oxford University Press, 1953.
3. Erdos P., Kelly, L.M., *On the rank ordering of distances*. Unpublished.
4. Halperin, I., *Discontinuous functions with the Darboux property*. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin **2** (1959), 111-118.
5. Hamel, G., *Eine Basisaller Zahlen and die verstetigen Lösungen der Functionalgleichung:  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$* , Mathematische Annalen **60** (1905), 459-462.
6. Holeman, E.W., *The relation between hierarchial and euclidean models for psychological distances*. Psychometrika **37** (1972), 417-423.
7. Lew, J.S., *some counterexamples in multidimensional scaling*. Journal of Math. Psych. **17** (1978), 247-254.
8. von-Neumann, J. and Schoenberg, I.J., *Fourier integrals and metric geometry*. Transactions of the A.M.S. **50** (1941), 226-251.
9. Vogt, A., *Maps which preserve equality of distance*. Studia Mathematica **45** (1973), 43-48.
10. Wilson, W.A., *On certain types of continuous transformations of metric spaces*. American Journal of Math **57** (1935), 62-68.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY HALIFAX, N.S.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY E. LANSING, MI.