

# Strongly $\phi$ -flat modules, strongly nonnil-injective modules and their homological dimensions

Xiaolei Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Shiqi Xing<sup>b</sup>, Wei Qi<sup>a</sup>

a. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology, Zibo 255000, China

b. College of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu 610225, China

Corresponding Author: Xiaolei Zhang, E-mail: zxlrgjh@163.com

## Abstract

In this paper, we first introduce and study the notions of strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules and strongly nonnil-injective modules. And then, we investigate the homological dimensions of modules and rings in terms of these two notions. Finally, we give some new homological characterizations of  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings and  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings.

*Key Words:* strongly  $\phi$ -flat module; strongly nonnil-injective module;  $\phi$ -weak global dimension;  $\phi$ -global dimension.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13D05.

Throughout this paper, all rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unitary. First, we recall some notions on  $\phi$ -rings, which are good generalizations of integral domains, originated from [5]. A ring  $R$  is called an NP-ring if the nilpotent radical  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is a prime ideal; and a ZN-ring if  $Z(R) = \text{Nil}(R)$  where  $Z(R)$  is the set of all zero-divisors of  $R$ . A prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  is called *divided prime* if  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq (x)$ , for every  $x \in R - \mathfrak{p}$ . A ring  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ring if  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is a divided prime ideal of  $R$ . Moreover, a ZN  $\phi$ -ring is said to be a *strong  $\phi$ -ring*. Many well-known notions of integral domains have the corresponding analogues in the class of  $\phi$ -rings, such as valuation domains, Dedekind domains, Prüfer domains, Noetherian domains, coherent domains, Bezout domains and Krull domains (see [1, 2, 4, 6, 7]).

The studies of  $\phi$ -rings from the moduletic viewpoint started from Yang [21], who introduced the notion of nonnil-injective modules by replacing the ideals in Baer's criterion for injective modules with nonnil ideals. Dually, Zhao et al. [26] defined the  $\phi$ -flat modules in terms of nonnil ideals and Tor-functors. They also gave the conceptions of  $\phi$ -von Neumann rings over which any module is  $\phi$ -flat, and then showed that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is  $\phi$ -von Neumann if and only if its Krull dimension is 0, if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a von Neumann regular ring. In 2018, Zhao [25] gave a homological characterization of  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings: a strong  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is  $\phi$ -Prüfer if and only if each submodule of a  $\phi$ -flat module is  $\phi$ -flat, if and only if each nonnil ideal

of  $R$  is  $\phi$ -flat. Recently, the first author and Qi [22] characterized  $\phi$ -von Neumann rings and  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings in terms of nonnil-injective modules.

Let  $R$  be a ring. Recall that a class of  $R$ -modules is said to be resolving if it contains all projective  $R$ -modules and is closed under direct summands, extensions and kernels of surjective homomorphisms; and to be coresolving if it contains all injective modules and is closed under direct summands, extensions and cokernels of injective homomorphisms. It is well-known that the class of flat (resp., injective) modules is resolving (resp., coresolving). These properties of a given class of  $R$ -modules are very crucial to study the homology dimensions (see [9]). So it is natural to ask that: Is the class of  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) modules also resolving (resp., coresolving)? The original motivation of this paper is to investigate this question. Actually, we deny these for both  $\phi$ -flat modules and nonnil-injective modules (see Examples 1.1 and 1.2). So we introduce the notions of strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules and strongly nonnil-injective modules to fill this gap (see Definition 1.4). The new notions and the old ones are consistent over a ZN-ring (see Theorem 1.6). It is proved in [24] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is an integral domain if and only if every  $\phi$ -flat module is flat. However, it does not hold for strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules (see Example 1.12). We introduce the  $\phi$ -flat dimensions and  $\phi$ -injective dimensions of  $R$ -modules, investigate the  $\phi$ -weak global dimensions and  $\phi$ -global dimensions of rings, and characterize  $\phi$ -rings with  $\phi$ -weak global dimensions and  $\phi$ -global dimensions at most 1, respectively.

## 1. STRONGLY $\phi$ -FLAT MODULES AND STRONGLY NONNIL-INJECTIVE MODULES

Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the set of all nonnil ideals of  $R$ , denoted by  $\text{NN}(R)$ , is closed under multiplication. From now on, we always suppose  $R$  is an NP-ring. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Set

$$\phi\text{-tor}(M) = \{x \in M \mid Ix = 0 \text{ for some } I \in \text{NN}(R)\}.$$

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is said to be  $\phi$ -torsion (resp.,  $\phi$ -torsion free) provided that  $\phi\text{-tor}(M) = M$  (resp.,  $\phi\text{-tor}(M) = 0$ ). Then the classes of  $\phi$ -torsion modules and  $\phi$ -torsion free modules constitute a hereditary torsion theory of finite type.

Recall from [26, 27] that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $\phi$ -flat if  $\text{Tor}_1^R(T, M) = 0$  for any  $\phi$ -torsion module  $T$ ; and  $M$  is called *nonnil-injective* if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(T, M) = 0$  for any  $\phi$ -torsion module  $T$ . It is shown in [26, Theorem 3.2] and [27, Theorem 1.7] that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\phi$ -flat if and only if  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for any (finitely generated) nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ; and  $M$  is nonnil-injective if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$  for any nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .

It is well-known that the class of flat modules is resolving; and the class of injective modules is coresolving. And so it is ubiquitous to study modules and rings by using flats and injectives. So it is natural to ask that:

**Is the class of all  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) modules resolving (resp., coresolving)?**

Before we give a negative answer for above question, we need to recall the trivial extension of rings. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. As in [3], let  $R(+M)$  be an  $R$ -module isomorphic to  $R \oplus M$ , and define

- (1)  $(r, m) + (s, n) = (r + s, m + n)$ ,
- (2)  $(r, m)(s, n) = (rs, sm + rn)$ .

Then  $R(+M)$  become a commutative ring with identity  $(1, 0)$ .

Now, we are ready to give the example to show if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence with  $B$  and  $C$   $\phi$ -flat, then  $A$  is not necessarily  $\phi$ -flat. Specially, the class of all  $\phi$ -flat modules is not resolving.

**Example 1.1.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}$  be the ring of all integers with  $\mathbb{Q}$  its quotients field, and  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) := \{\frac{n}{\mathfrak{p}^k} + \mathbb{Z} \mid \frac{n}{\mathfrak{p}^k} + \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$  the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -Prüfer group with  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}(+\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty))$  the trivial extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$  is a divisible module, we have  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ring by [13, Corollary 2.4] where  $\text{Nil}(R) = 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ , and so  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is  $\phi$ -flat since  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, R/\text{Nil}(R)) = (I \cap \text{Nil}(R))/I\text{Nil}(R) = 0$  for any nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . However, we claim that  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is not  $\phi$ -flat. Indeed, let  $I = \langle (\mathfrak{p}, 0) \rangle$ . Then  $I$  is nonnil. The claim follows by the following isomorphisms (see [12, Proposition 1]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, \text{Nil}(R)) \\ & \cong \{(0, m) \in 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \mid (\mathfrak{p}, 0)(0, m) = 0\} / (0 :_R (\mathfrak{p}, 0)) \cdot 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \\ & \cong 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^1) / 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^1) \cdot 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \\ & \cong 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^1) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^1) := \{\frac{n}{\mathfrak{p}} + \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \mid n \text{ is an integer}\}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ .

The following example also shows that if  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence with  $A$  and  $B$  nonnil-injective, then  $C$  is not necessarily nonnil-injective. Specially, the class of all nonnil-injective modules is not coresolving.

**Example 1.2.** Consider the above Example 1.1. Let  $E := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R/\text{Nil}(R), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $E$  is nonnil-injective by [22, Proposition 1.4]. However, we claim the quotient  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Nil}(R), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  of the injective module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  by  $E$  is not nonnil-injective. Indeed,

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Nil}(R), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, \text{Nil}(R)), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$$

by Example 1.1. Hence,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Nil}(R), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is not nonnil-injective.

In view of the above examples, the class of all  $\phi$ -flat modules is not resolving, and the class of all nonnil-injective is not coresolving in general. To obtain the resolving or coresolving property similar to flatness and injectivity in NP-rings, we introduce the following “strong version” of  $\phi$ -flat modules and nonnil-injective modules using higher derived functors.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $R$  be an NP-ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then

- (1)  $M$  is called *strongly  $\phi$ -flat* if  $\text{Tor}_n^R(T, M) = 0$  for any  $\phi$ -torsion module  $T$  and any  $n \geq 1$ .
- (2)  $M$  is called *strongly nonnil-injective* if  $\text{Ext}_R^n(T, M) = 0$  for any  $\phi$ -torsion module  $T$  and any  $n \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold.

- (1)  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat if and only if  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for any (finitely generated) nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and any  $n \geq 1$ .
- (2)  $M$  is strongly nonnil-injective if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/I, M) = 0$  for any nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and any  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* One can easily verify that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat (resp., strongly nonnil-injective) if and only if each syzygies  $\Omega^n(M)$  (resp., co-syzygies  $\Omega^{-n}(M)$ ) of  $M$  is  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) and that each  $\Omega^n(M)$  (resp.,  $\Omega^{-n}(M)$ ) is  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) if and only if  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, \Omega^n(M)) = 0$  for any nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . (resp.,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \Omega^{-n}(M)) = 0$  for any (finitely generated) nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$ .)  $\square$

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) The class of strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules (resp., strongly nonnil-injective modules) is closed under direct limits (resp., direct products), direct summands, and extensions.
- (2) If  $B$  and  $C$  are strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules, so is  $A$ .

(3) If  $A$  and  $B$  are strongly nonnil-injective modules, so is  $C$ .

*Proof.* We only prove (2), since the proof of (1) is easy and the proof of (3) is similar to that of (2). Let  $T$  be a  $\phi$ -torsion module. Then we have an exact sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(T, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(T, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(T, B) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^R(T, C) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(T, A) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(T, B) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(T, C)$ . Since  $B$  and  $C$  are strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules,  $\text{Tor}_n^R(T, B) = \text{Tor}_n^R(T, C) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $\text{Tor}_n^R(T, A) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , whence  $A$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.  $\square$

Obviously, every strongly  $\phi$ -flat module is  $\phi$ -flat, and every strongly nonnil-injective module is nonnil-injective. By Lemma 1.5, Example 1.1 and 1.2,  $\phi$ -flat modules are not necessarily strongly  $\phi$ -flat, and nonnil-injective modules are also not necessarily strongly nonnil-injective. But the following result gives that over ZN rings,  $\phi$ -flat modules are exactly strongly  $\phi$ -flat and nonnil-injective modules are exactly strongly nonnil-injective.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $R$  be a ZN ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *An  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $\phi$ -flat if and only if it is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.*
- (2) *An  $R$ -module  $M$  is nonnil-injective if and only if it is strongly nonnil-injective.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $M$  is a  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module. Let  $J$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists a nonnilpotent element  $a \in J$ . Since  $a$  is a non-zero-divisor of  $R$ ,  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/\langle a \rangle, M) = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ . It follows by [8, Proposition 4.1.1] that

$$\text{Tor}_1^{R/\langle a \rangle}(R/J, M/aM) \cong \text{Tor}_1^{R/\langle a \rangle}(R/J, M \otimes_R R/\langle a \rangle) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(R/J, M) = 0.$$

Hence  $M/aM$  is a flat  $R/\langle a \rangle$ -module. Consequently, for any  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/J, M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^{R/\langle a \rangle}(R/J, M \otimes_R R/\langle a \rangle) \cong \text{Tor}_n^{R/\langle a \rangle}(R/J, M/aM) = 0.$$

It follows that  $M$  is a strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module.

(2) Now suppose  $M$  is a nonnil-injective  $R$ -module. Let  $J$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists a nonnilpotent element  $a \in J$ . Since  $a$  is a non-zero-divisor of  $R$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/\langle a \rangle, M) = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ . It follows by [8, Proposition 4.1.4] that

$$\text{Ext}_{R/\langle a \rangle}^1(R/J, \text{Hom}_R(R/\langle a \rangle, M)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, M) = 0.$$

Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\langle a \rangle, M)$  is an injective  $R/\langle a \rangle$ -module by Baer criterion. Consequently, for any  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(R/J, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/\langle a \rangle}^n(R/J, \text{Hom}_R(R/\langle a \rangle, M)) = 0.$$

It follows that  $M$  is a strongly nonnil-injective  $R$ -module.  $\square$

*Remark 1.7.* Recall from [16, 20] that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called to be regular flat (resp., regular injective) if  $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$  (resp.,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$ ) for any regular ideal (i.e., an ideal that contains a non-zero-divisor)  $I$  of  $R$ . Similar with the proof of Theorem 1.6, one can show that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is regular flat (resp., regular injective) if and only if  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M) = 0$  (resp.,  $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/I, M) = 0$ ) for any regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and any  $n \geq 1$ .

It is known that a ZN  $\phi$ -ring is exactly a strong  $\phi$ -ring. The following result is devoted to the converse of Theorem 1.6 under some assumptions.

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring such that either  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is nilpotent or  $(0 :_R a)$  is finitely generated for any non-nilpotent element  $a$  (e.g.  $R$  is a nonnil-coherent ring). If one of the following two statements holds:*

- (1) every  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat;
- (2) every nonnil-injective  $R$ -module is strongly nonnil-injective,

then  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring and  $a$  a non-nilpotent element in  $R$ . Suppose every  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat. It follows by the proof of [24, Proposition 1] that  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module, and so is strongly  $\phi$ -flat. Hence,

$$\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, R/\text{Nil}(R)) \cong \text{Tor}_1^R(R/(0 :_R a), R/\text{Nil}(R)) \cong \frac{(0 :_R a) \cap \text{Nil}(R)}{(0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R)} = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -ring,  $(0 :_R a) \subseteq \text{Nil}(R)$ , and so  $(0 :_R a) \cap \text{Nil}(R) = (0 :_R a)$ . So  $\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, R/\text{Nil}(R)) \cong \frac{(0 :_R a)}{(0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R)} = 0$ . And hence  $(0 :_R a) = (0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R)$ .

(a) Suppose  $(0 :_R a)$  is finitely generated. By Nakayama's lemma, we have  $(0 :_R a) = 0$ , that is,  $a$  is a nonzero-divisor. So  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring.

(b) Suppose  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is nilpotent. Assume  $\text{Nil}(R)^m = 0$ . Then  $(0 :_R a) = (0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R) = \cdots = (0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R)^m = 0$ . So  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring.

(2) Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring and  $a$  a non-nilpotent element in  $R$ . Suppose every nonnil-injective  $R$ -module is strongly nonnil-injective. It follows by the proof of [22, Theorem 1.6] that  $(R/\text{Nil}(R))^+ := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R/\text{Nil}(R), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a nonnil-injective  $R$ -module, and so is strongly nonnil-injective. Hence,

$$\text{Ext}_R^2(R/Ra, (R/\text{Nil}(R))^+) \cong \text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, R/\text{Nil}(R))^+ = 0.$$

So  $\text{Tor}_2^R(R/Ra, R/\text{Nil}(R)) = 0$ , and hence  $(0 :_R a) = (0 :_R a)\text{Nil}(R)$ . The rest is the same with that of (1).  $\square$

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.
- (2)  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$  is strongly nonnil-injective for any injective module  $E$ .
- (3) If  $E$  is an injective cogenerator, then  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$  is strongly nonnil-injective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $T$  be a  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -module and  $E$  an injective  $R$ -module. Since  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat,

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(T, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_n^R(T, M), E) = 0$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$  is strongly nonnil-injective.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $I$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$  and  $E$  an injective cogenerator. Since  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$  is strongly nonnil-injective,

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M), E) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(R/I, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) = 0$$

for any positive integer  $n$ . Since  $E$  is an injective cogenerator,  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ . Thus  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat by Lemma 1.4.  $\square$

*Remark 1.10.* By linking Proposition 1.9 and [17, Proposition 1.8], one can deduce that every nonnil-injective  $R$ -module is strongly nonnil-injective implies that every  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.

Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then every flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat, and every injective  $R$ -module is strongly nonnil-injective. The converses are trivially true for integral domains, but not in general.

**Example 1.11.** It is obvious that all flat (resp., injective) modules are strongly  $\phi$ -flat (resp., strongly nonnil-injective). However, the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let  $R$  be a strong  $\phi$ -ring which is not an integral domain (e.g.  $R = D(+)Q$  with  $D$  a domain and  $Q$  its quotient field). Then every strongly  $\phi$ -flat (resp., strongly nonnil-injective) module is  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) by Theorem 1.6. However, there exist  $\phi$ -flat (resp., nonnil-injective) modules which are not flat (resp., injective), (see [24, Proposition 1] and [22, Theorem 1.6]).

It is proved in [24, Proposition 1] and [22, Theorem 1.6] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is an integral domain if and only if every  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is flat, if and only if every nonnil-injective  $R$ -module is injective. The following example shows that all strongly  $\phi$ -flat (resp., strongly nonnil-injective  $\phi$ -torsion-free) modules can be flat (resp., injective) over  $\phi$ -rings which are not domains.

**Example 1.12.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$  be the ring in Example 1.1. Then the following statements hold.

- (1) Every strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is flat.
- (2) Every strongly nonnil-injective  $\phi$ -torision-free  $R$ -module is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then, by [3, Corollary 3.4],  $I$  is of the following two forms:

- (a)  $I := \langle (n, 0) \rangle = \langle n \rangle (+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$  where  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
- (b)  $I := 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ , where  $N$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ .

The ideal  $I$  in case (a) is a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Now we consider the ideal in case (b). Then  $N$  is of the form  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^k) := \{ \frac{n}{\mathfrak{p}^k} + \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \mid n \text{ is an integer} \}$  with  $k$  a non-negative integer or  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ . Set  $I_k := \langle (0, \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^k}) \rangle = 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^k)$  for each positive integer  $k$ . Note that there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_k \rightarrow R \rightarrow (\mathfrak{p}^k, 0)R \rightarrow 0$  for each non-negative integer  $k$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) = \bigcup \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^k) = \varinjlim \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^k)$ . Set  $I_\infty = 0(+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$ , then  $I_\infty = \varinjlim I_k$ .

- (1) Suppose that  $M$  is a strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module. It follows that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I_k, M) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\langle (\mathfrak{p}^k, 0) \rangle, M) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_2^R(R/\langle (\mathfrak{p}^k, 0) \rangle, M) = 0$$

for each positive integer  $k$ . And so each natural homomorphism  $f_k : I_k \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$  is a monomorphism. Now consider the case  $I_\infty$ . Then the natural map  $f_\infty : I_\infty \otimes_R M \rightarrow R \otimes_R M$ , which can be seen as the direct limits of  $f_k$ , is also a monomorphism. So  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I_\infty, M) = 0$ . In conclusion,  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . It follows that  $M$  is a flat  $R$ -module.

- (2) Suppose that  $M$  is a strongly nonnil-injective  $\phi$ -torision-free  $R$ -module. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/I_k, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^1((\mathfrak{p}^k, 0)R, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^2(R/(\mathfrak{p}^k, 0)R, M) = 0$$

for each non-negative integer  $k$ . Now, consider the the case  $I_\infty$ . Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(I_k, M) \rightarrow 0$$

be the natural exact sequence. Taking inverse limits, we have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Hom}_R(I_k, M) \rightarrow \varprojlim^1 \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) \rightarrow 0$$

by [18, 1.2.2]. Considering the  $R$ -exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_{k+1}/I_k \rightarrow R/I_k \rightarrow R/I_{k+1} \rightarrow 0$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I_{k+1}, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(I_{k+1}/I_k, M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $(0 :_R I_{k+1}/I_k) = (0 :_R I_1) = \langle (p, 0) \rangle$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(I_{k+1}/I_k, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\langle (p, 0) \rangle, M) = 0$$



because  $M$  is  $\phi$ -torsion-free. So we have a natural isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I_{k+1}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M)$  for each non-negative integer  $k$ , and hence the inverse system  $\{\text{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) \mid k \geq 0\}$  is Mittag-Leffler. It follows by [18, 1.2.3] that

$$\varprojlim^1 \text{Hom}_R(R/I_k, M) = 0.$$

Consequently, the natural map

$$\varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim \text{Hom}_R(I_k, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(I_\infty, M)$$

is an epimorphism and so  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I_\infty, M) = 0$ . In conclusion,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$  for any ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . It follows that  $M$  is an injective  $R$ -module.  $\square$

## 2. ON $\phi$ -FLAT DIMENSIONS OF MODULES AND $\phi$ -WEAK GLOBAL DIMENSIONS OF RINGS

It is well known that the flat dimension of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is defined as the length of the shortest flat resolutions of  $M$  and the weak global dimension of  $R$  is the supremum of the flat dimensions of all  $R$ -modules. We now introduce the notion of  $\phi$ -flat dimension of an  $R$ -module as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We write  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq n$  ( $\phi$ -fd abbreviates  $\phi$ -flat dimension) if there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \quad (\diamond)$$

where each  $F_i$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . The exact sequence  $(\diamond)$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -flat resolution of length  $n$  of  $M$ . If such finite resolution does not exist, then we say  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) = \infty$ ; otherwise, define  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) = n$  if  $n$  is the length of the shortest  $\phi$ -flat resolution of  $M$ .

It is obvious that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat if and only if  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) = 0$ . Certainly,  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq \text{fd}_R(M)$ . If  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_R(M)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(T, M) = 0$  for all  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (3)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all nonnil ideals  $I$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (4)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all finitely generated nonnil ideals  $I$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (5) If  $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, where  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -modules, then  $F_n$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.

- (6) If  $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, where  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are flat  $R$ -modules, then  $F_n$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.
- (7) There exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are flat  $R$ -modules and  $F_n$  is a strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): We prove (2) by induction on  $n$ . For the case  $n = 0$ , (2) trivially holds because  $M$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat. If  $n > 0$ , then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where each  $F_i$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Set  $K_0 = \ker(F_0 \rightarrow M)$ . Then both  $0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow 0$  are exact. So  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(K_0) \leq n - 1$ . By induction,  $\text{Tor}_{n-1+k}^R(T, K_0) = 0$  for all  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ . Thus, it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(T, F_0) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(T, M) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1+k}^R(T, K_0) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-1+k}^R(T, F_0) = 0$$

that  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(T, M) \cong \text{Tor}_{n-1+k}^R(T, K_0) = 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Trivial.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Let  $K_0 = \ker(F_0 \rightarrow M)$  and  $K_i = \ker(F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1})$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then  $K_{n-1} \cong F_n$ . Since all  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are strongly  $\phi$ -flat,  $\text{Tor}_k^R(R/I, F_n) \cong \text{Tor}_{1+k}^R(R/I, K_{n-2}) \cong \cdots \cong \text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all finitely generated nonnil ideal  $I$  and any positive integer  $k$  by dimensional shift. Hence  $F_n$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat by Lemma 1.4.

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (7): Since the class of flat modules is covering, we can construct an exact sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} F_{n-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_{n-1}$  are flat  $R$ -modules, then  $F_n := \text{Ker}(d_{n-1})$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat by (6).

(7)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Trivial. □

The proofs of the following two results are similar with the classical ones, and so we omit their proofs.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(C) \leq 1 + \max\{\phi\text{-fd}_R(A), \phi\text{-fd}_R(B)\}$ .
- (2) If  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(B) < \phi\text{-fd}_R(C)$ , then  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(A) = \phi\text{-fd}_R(C) - 1 \geq \phi\text{-fd}_R(B)$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring and  $\{M_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  be a direct system of  $R$ -modules. Then*

$$\phi\text{-fd}_R(\varinjlim M_i) = \sup\{\phi\text{-fd}_R(M_i)\}.$$

Now, we are ready to introduce the  $\phi$ -weak global dimension of a ring in terms of  $\phi$ -flat dimensions.

**Definition 2.5.** The  $\phi$ -weak global dimension of a ring  $R$  is defined by

$$\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) = \sup\{\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}.$$

Obviously, by definition,  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) \leq \text{w.gl.dim}(R)$ . Notice that if  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) = \text{w.gl.dim}(R)$ . The following result can easily be deduced by Proposition 2.2 and so we omit its proof.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq n$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ .
- (3)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(T, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ , all  $\phi$ -torsion modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (4)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ , all nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (5)  $\text{Tor}_{n+k}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ , all finitely generated nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (6)  $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(T, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and all  $\phi$ -torsion modules  $T$ .
- (7)  $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and all nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .
- (8)  $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and all finitely generated nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .
- (9)  $\text{fd}_R(R/I) \leq n$  for all nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .
- (10)  $\text{fd}_R(R/I) \leq n$  for all finitely generated nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .

Consequently, the  $\phi$ -weak global dimension of  $R$  is determined by the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) &= \sup\{\text{fd}_R(R/I) \mid I \text{ is a nonnil ideal of } R\} \\ &= \sup\{\text{fd}_R(R/I) \mid I \text{ is a finitely generated nonnil ideal of } R\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\text{w.gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R)$ .
- (2)  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) - \text{fd}_R(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq \text{w.gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R))$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose  $\text{w.gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) = n$ . Then there exists a nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and an  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ -module  $M$  such that

$$\text{Tor}_n^{R/\text{Nil}(R)}(R/I \otimes_R R/\text{Nil}(R), M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^{R/\text{Nil}(R)}(R/I, M) \neq 0.$$

Note that  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is  $\phi$ -flat, and then, by Theorem 1.6, we have  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, R/\text{Nil}(R)) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So

$$\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^{R/\text{Nil}(R)}(R/I \otimes_R R/\text{Nil}(R), M) \neq 0,$$

and hence  $\text{fd}_R(R/I) \geq n$ . It follows by Theorem 2.6 that  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) \geq n$ .

(2) It immediately follows by [19, Theorem 3.8.5] and Theorem 2.6.  $\square$

It is natural to ask the question:

**Question 2.8.** Let  $R$  be a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Does the following equation hold?

$$\text{w.gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) = \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R).$$

We can verify it in the following case.

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $D$  be an integral domain,  $Q$  its quotient field and  $V$  a  $Q$ -linear space. Then  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(D(+))V = \text{w.gl.dim}(D)$ .

*Proof.* Set  $R = D(+))V$ . Assume  $\text{w.gl.dim}(D) \leq n$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module, Then  $M$  is naturally a  $D$ -module. Let  $J$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Then by [3, Corollary 3.4], we have  $J = I(+))V$  with  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $D$ . Note that  $R$  is a flat  $D$ -module. By [8, Proposition 4.1.2] we have

$$\text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(R/J, M) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(D/I \otimes_D R, M) \cong \text{Tor}_{n+1}^D(D/I, M) = 0.$$

So  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(D(+))V \leq \text{w.gl.dim}(D)$ . The result follows by Theorem 2.7.  $\square$

It is well known that a ring  $R$  with weak global dimension 0 is exactly a *von Neumann regular ring*, equivalently  $a \in (a^2)$  for any  $a \in R$ . Recall from [26] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is said to be  *$\phi$ -von Neumann regular* provided that every  $R$ -module is  $\phi$ -flat. A  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular, if and only if for any non-nilpotent element  $a \in R$  there is an element  $x \in R$  such that  $a = xa^2$ , if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a von Neumann regular  $\phi$ -ring, i.e.,  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a field (see [26, Theorem 4.1]). Now, we characterize  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings in terms of strongly  $\phi$ -flat modules and  $\phi$ -weak global dimensions.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .

- (1)  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R) = 0$ .
- (2) Every  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.
- (3)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): By definition.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It follows by [26, Theorem 4.1] and [14, Corollary 4.5].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring. Then we claim that  $R$  is a ZN-ring. Indeed, let  $a$  be a non-nilpotent element in  $R$ . Since  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a field by [26, Theorem 4.1], we have  $(1 - ab)^n = 0$  for some  $b \in R$  and positive integer

$n$ . So  $a$  is a unit, and thus a non-zero-divisor. Now (2) follows by Theorem 1.6 and [26, Theorem 4.1].  $\square$

For a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$ , there is a ring homomorphism  $\phi : T(R) \rightarrow R_{\text{Nil}(R)}$  such that  $\phi(a/b) = a/b$  where  $a \in R$  and  $b$  is a regular element. Denote by the ring  $\phi(R)$  the image of  $\phi$  restricted to  $R$ . Then  $\phi(R)$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Recall that a regular ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is called *invertible* if  $II^{-1} = R$  where  $I^{-1} = \{x \in T(R) \mid Ix \subseteq R\}$ . Recall from [1] that a nonnil ideal  $I$  of a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is said to be  *$\phi$ -invertible* provided that  $\phi(I)$  is an invertible ideal of  $\phi(R)$ .

Following [1], a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -Prüfer *ring* if every finitely generated nonnil ideal  $I$  is  $\phi$ -invertible, i.e.,  $\phi(I)\phi(I^{-1}) = \phi(R)$ . A  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is said to be a  *$\phi$ -chain ring* ( $\phi$ -CR for short) if for any  $a, b \in R - \text{Nil}(R)$ , either  $a|b$  or  $b|a$  in  $R$ . It follows from [1, Corollary 2.10] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is  $\phi$ -Prüfer, if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a  $\phi$ -CR for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $R$ , if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a Prüfer domain, if and only if  $\phi(R)$  is Prüfer. For a strong  $\phi$ -ring  $R$ , Zhao [25, Theorem 4.3] showed that  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring if and only if all  $\phi$ -torsion free  $R$ -modules are  $\phi$ -flat, if and only if each submodule of a  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is  $\phi$ -flat, if and only if each nonnil ideal of  $R$  is  $\phi$ -flat.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\phi$ -w.gl.dim( $R$ )  $\leq 1$ .
- (2) Every submodule of flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.
- (3) Every submodule of strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat.
- (4)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer strong  $\phi$ -ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): By Theorem 2.6.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It follows by [26, Theorem 4.1].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Since every submodule of a flat  $R$ -module is strongly  $\phi$ -flat, every ideal of  $R$  is  $\phi$ -flat. It follows by [15, Corollary 2.8] that  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Hence the result follows by [25, Theorem 4.3] and Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

Note that when  $\text{w.gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq 1$ , Question 2.8 holds by Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 2.11.

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain,  $Q$  its quotient field and  $V$  a  $Q$ -linear space. Then  $D(+ )V$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer ring if and only if  $D$  is a Prüfer domain.*

*Proof.* Note that  $D(+ )V$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring. So the result follows by Proposition 2.9 and Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

The following example shows that the  $\phi$ -weak global dimensions of  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings can be sufficiently large, and so the condition “ $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring” in Theorem 2.10(4) cannot be removed.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $R$  be the ring in Example 1.1. Then  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings since  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is a Prüfer domain. It is easy to verify that there is a projective resolution of  $\langle p \rangle (+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty)$

$$\cdots \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{d_4} R \xrightarrow{d_3} R \xrightarrow{d_2} R \xrightarrow{d_1} R \xrightarrow{d_0} \langle p \rangle (+)\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{p}^\infty) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $d_n$  is a multiplication by  $(\mathfrak{p}, 0)$  when  $n$  is even, and a multiplication by  $(0, \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}} + \mathbb{Z})$  when  $n$  is odd. Note that the above projective resolution is not split. So the global dimension, and hence the weak global dimension of  $R$  is infinite. By Example 1.12, every strongly  $\phi$ -flat  $R$ -module is flat. Hence the  $\phi$ -weak global dimension of  $R$  is also infinite.

### 3. ON $\phi$ -INJECTIVE DIMENSIONS OF MODULES AND $\phi$ -GLOBAL DIMENSIONS OF RINGS

It is well known that the injective dimension of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is defined as the length of the shortest injective resolutions of  $M$  and the global dimension of  $R$  is the supremum of the injective dimensions of all  $R$ -modules. We now introduce the notion of  $\phi$ -injective dimension of an  $R$ -module as follows.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. We write  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) \leq n$  ( $\phi$ -id abbreviates  $\phi$ -injective dimension) if there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\heartsuit)$$

where each  $E_i$  is strongly nonnil-injective for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . The exact sequence  $(\heartsuit)$  is said to be a  $\phi$ -injective resolution of length  $n$  of  $M$ . If such finite resolution does not exist, then we say  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) = \infty$ ; otherwise, define  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) = n$  if  $n$  is the length of the shortest  $\phi$ -injective resolution of  $M$ .

It is obvious that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is strongly nonnil-injective if and only if  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) = 0$ . Certainly,  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) \leq \text{id}_R(M)$ . If  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) = \text{id}_R(M)$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for an  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(T, M) = 0$  for all  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (3)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(R/I, M) = 0$  for all nonnil ideals  $I$  and all positive integers  $k$ .

- (4) If  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, where  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$  are strongly nonnil-injective  $R$ -modules, then  $E_n$  is strongly nonnil-injective.
- (5) If  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, where  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$  are injective  $R$ -modules, then  $E_n$  is strongly nonnil-injective.
- (6) There exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$ , where  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$  are injective  $R$ -modules and  $E_n$  is a strongly nonnil-injective  $R$ -module.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): We prove (2) by induction on  $n$ . For the case  $n = 0$ , (2) trivially holds because  $M$  is strongly nonnil-injective. If  $n > 0$ , then there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$ , where each  $E_i$  is strongly nonnil-injective for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Set  $K_0 = \text{Coker}(E_0 \rightarrow M)$ . Then both  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n \rightarrow 0$  are exact. So  $\phi\text{-id}_R(K_0) \leq n - 1$ . By induction,  $\text{Ext}_R^{n-1+k}(T, K_0) = 0$  for all  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ . Thus, it follows from the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^{n+k-1}(T, E_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+k-1}(T, K_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(T, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(T, E_0) = 0$$

that  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(M, T) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n-1+k}(T, K_0) = 0$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Trivial.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Let  $K_0 = \text{Coker}(M \rightarrow E_0)$  and  $K_i = \text{Coker}(E_{i-1} \rightarrow E_i)$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ . Then  $K_{n-1} \cong E_n$ . Since all  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$  are strongly nonnil-injective,  $\text{Ext}_R^k(R/I, E_n) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{1+k}(R/I, K_{n-2}) \cong \cdots \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(R/I, M) = 0$  for all nonnil ideal  $I$  and any positive integer  $k$  by dimensional shift. Hence  $E_n$  is strongly nonnil-injective by Lemma 1.4.

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (6): Consider the injective resolution of  $M$ :  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_{n-2} \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} E_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots$ , where  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{n-1}$  are injective  $R$ -modules. Then  $E_n := \text{Coker}(d_{n-2})$  is strongly nonnil-injective by (5).

(6)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Trivial. □

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module and  $E$  an injective cogenerator of the category of all  $R$ -modules. Then  $\phi\text{-fd}_R(M) = \phi\text{-id}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E))$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by Proposition 2.2, Proposition 3.2 and the adjoint isomorphism:  $\text{Ext}_R^n(T, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Tor}_n^R(T, M), E) = 0$ . □

The proofs of the following two results are similar with the classical ones, and so we omit their proofs.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-id}_R(A) \leq 1 + \max\{\phi\text{-id}_R(B), \phi\text{-id}_R(C)\}$ .
- (2) If  $\phi\text{-id}_R(B) < \phi\text{-id}_R(A)$ , then  $\phi\text{-id}_R(C) = \phi\text{-id}_R(A) - 1 \geq \phi\text{-id}_R(B)$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring and  $\{M_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  be a family of  $R$ -modules. Then*

$$\phi\text{-id}_R\left(\prod_{i \in \Gamma} M_i\right) = \sup\{\phi\text{-id}_R(M_i)\}.$$

Now, we are ready to introduce the  $\phi$ -global dimension of a ring in terms of nonnil-injective dimensions.

**Definition 3.6.** The  $\phi$ -global dimension of a ring  $R$  is defined by

$$\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = \sup\{\phi\text{-id}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}.$$

Obviously, by definition,  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) \leq \text{gl.dim}(R)$ . Notice that if  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = \text{gl.dim}(R)$ . The following result can easily be deduced by Proposition 3.2 and so we omit its proof.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) \leq n$ .
- (2)  $\phi\text{-id}_R(M) \leq n$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ .
- (3)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(T, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ , all  $\phi$ -torsion modules  $T$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (4)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+k}(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$ , all nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$  and all positive integers  $k$ .
- (5)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(T, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and all  $\phi$ -torsion modules  $T$ .
- (6)  $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, M) = 0$  for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and all nonnil ideals  $I$  of  $R$ .

Consequently, the  $\phi$ -global dimension of  $R$  is determined by the formulas:

$$\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = \sup\{\text{pd}_R(R/I) \mid I \text{ is a nonnil ideal of } R\}.$$

It follows by Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.6 that  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) \leq \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R)$  for any NP-ring  $R$ . Recall from [10] that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is called super finitely presented if there exists an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$\cdots \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with each  $P_i$  finitely generated and projective. It is well-known that the weak global dimensions and global dimensions coincide over Noetherian rings. For  $\phi$ -dimensions, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $R$  be a NP-ring such that every nonnil ideal of  $R$  is super finitely presented. Then  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R)$ .*



*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Since  $R/I$  is super finitely presented,  $\text{pd}_R(R/I) = \text{fd}_R(R/I)$  because finitely presented flat modules are projective. Hence  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = \phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R)$  by Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

*Remark 3.9.* Recall from [6] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is said to be nonnil-Noetherian if every nonnil ideal is finitely generated. Trivially, if every nonnil ideal of  $R$  is super finitely presented, then  $R$  is nonnil-Noetherian. However, the converse does not hold in general. Indeed, let  $R = \mathbb{Z}(+) \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $R$  is nonnil-Noetherian. But there exists a nonnil ideal of  $R$  which is not finitely presented (see [17, Remark 1.1] or [11, Example 4.11]). However, we do not have an example in hand to distinguish  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R)$  and  $\phi\text{-w.gl.dim}(R)$  over a nonnil-Noetherian ring  $R$ .

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be an NP-ring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring, then  $\text{gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq \phi\text{-gl.dim}(R)$ .*
- (2)  *$\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) - \text{fd}_R(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq \text{gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) = n$ . So there exists a nonnil ideal  $I$  of  $R$  and an  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$ -module  $M$  such that

$$\text{Ext}_{R/\text{Nil}(R)}^n(R/I, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/\text{Nil}(R)}^n(R/I \otimes_R R/\text{Nil}(R), M) \neq 0.$$

Note that  $\text{Tor}_n^R(R/I, R/\text{Nil}(R)) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So

$$\text{Ext}_R^n(R/I, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{R/\text{Nil}(R)}^n(R/I \otimes_R R/\text{Nil}(R), M) \neq 0,$$

and hence  $\text{pd}_R(R/I) \geq n$ . It follows by Theorem 3.7 that  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) \geq n$ .

- (2) It immediately follows from [19, Theorem 3.8.1] and Theorem 3.7.  $\square$

It is natural to ask the question:

**Question 3.11.** Let  $R$  be a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Does the following equation hold?

$$\text{gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) = \phi\text{-gl.dim}(R).$$

We can verify it in the following case.

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $Q$  and let  $V$  be a linear space over  $Q$ . Then  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(D(+)V) = \text{gl.dim}(D)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $R = D(+)V$ . Assume  $\text{gl.dim}(D) \leq n$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is naturally a  $D$ -module. Let  $J$  be a nonnil ideal of  $R$ . Then by [3, Corollary 3.4], we have  $J = I(+)V$  with  $I$  a nonzero ideal of  $D$ . Note that  $R$  is a flat  $D$ -module. By [8, Proposition 4.1.3] we have

$$\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/J, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(D/I \otimes_D R, M) \cong \text{Ext}_D^{n+1}(D/I, M) = 0.$$

So  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(D(+)V) \leq \text{gl.dim}(D)$ . The result follows by Theorem 3.10.  $\square$

It is proved in [22, Theorem 1.7] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring if and only if every  $R$ -module is nonnil-injective. Moreover, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) = 0$ .
- (2) Every  $R$ -module is strongly nonnil-injective.
- (3)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2): Clearly.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): It follows by [22, Theorem 1.7].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular ring. Then  $R$  is a ZN-ring by the proof of Theorem 2.10. Now (2) follows by Theorem 1.6 and [22, Theorem 1.7].  $\square$

Recall from [2] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is called a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring provided that any nonnil ideal of  $R$  is  $\phi$ -invertible. It is proved in [2, Theorem 2.5] that a  $\phi$ -ring  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring if and only if  $R/\text{Nil}(R)$  is a Dedekind domain.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\phi$ -ring. Then the following statements are equivalent for  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) \leq 1$ .
- (2) Every quotient module of injective  $R$ -module is strong  $\phi$ -injective.
- (3) Every quotient module of strong  $\phi$ -injective  $R$ -module is strong  $\phi$ -injective.
- (4)  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind strong  $\phi$ -ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3): Clearly.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It follows by [22, Theorem 1.7].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Suppose every quotient module of injective  $R$ -module is strong  $\phi$ -injective. We claim every ideal of  $R$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat. Indeed, let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then for any  $\phi$ -torsion  $R$ -module  $T$  and positive integer  $n$ , we have  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Tor}_n^R(T, I), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Ext}_R^n(T, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(I, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})) = 0$  since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(I, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a quotient module of the injective  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Hence  $\text{Tor}_n^R(T, I) = 0$ , whence  $I$  is strongly  $\phi$ -flat. It follows by [15, Corollary 2.8] that  $R$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring. Hence the result follows by [22, Theorem 1.7] and Theorem 1.6.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $Q$  and let  $V$  be a linear space over  $Q$ . Then  $D(+)V$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring if and only if  $D$  is a Dedekind domain.*

*Proof.* Note that  $D(+)V$  is a strong  $\phi$ -ring. So the result immediately follows by Proposition 3.12 and Theorem 3.13.  $\square$

*Remark 3.16.* When  $\text{gl.dim}(R/\text{Nil}(R)) \leq 1$ , Question 3.11 holds by Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14. The  $\phi$ -global dimensions of  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings can be large than 1. Indeed, let  $D$  be a Dedekind domain and  $Q$  its quotient field. Then  $R = D(+)Q/D$  is a  $\phi$ -Dedekind ring since  $R/\text{Nil}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is a Dedekind domain. However, since  $R$  is not a strong  $\phi$ -ring, we have  $\phi\text{-gl.dim}(R) > 1$ .

### Acknowledgement.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12061001) and Doctoral Research Initiation Fund of Shandong University of Technology (No.422030), the second author was supported by the Scientific Research Foundation of Chengdu University of Information Technology (No.KY TZ202015, 2022ZX001), and the third author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12201361) and Doctoral Research Initiation Fund of Shandong University of Technology (No.423002).

### REFERENCES

- [1] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, *On  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings and  $\phi$ -Bezout rings*, Houston J. Math., **30** (2004), no.4, 331-343.
- [2] D. F. Anderson, A. Badawi, *On  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings and  $\phi$ -Krull rings*, Houston J. Math., **31** (2005), 1007-1022.
- [3] D. D. Anderson, M. Winders, *Idealization of a module*, J. Commut. Algebra, **1** (2009), no.1, 3-56.
- [4] K. Bacem, B. Ali, *Nonnil-coherent rings*, Beitr. Algebra Geom., **57** (2016), no. 2, 297-305.
- [5] A. Badawi, *On divided commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **27** (1999), no.3, 1465-1474.
- [6] A. Badawi, *On Nonnil-Noetherian rings*, Comm. Algebra, **31** (2003), no. 4, 1669-1677.
- [7] A. Badawi, T. Lucas, *On  $\phi$ -Mori rings*, Houston J. Math., **32** (2006), no.1, 1-32.
- [8] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [9] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, De Gruyter Exp. Math., vol. **30**. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter Co, 2011.
- [10] Z. H. Gao, F. G. Wang, *All Gorenstein hereditary rings are coherent*, J. Algebra Appl. **13**(4) (2014), 1350140.
- [11] Y. El Haddaoui, H. Kim, and N. Mahdou, *On nonnil-coherent modules and nonnil-Noetherian modules*, Open Math. **20** (2022) 1521-1537.
- [12] A. A. Hattori, *Foundation of torsion theory for modules over general rings*, Nagoya Math. J., **17** (1960) 147-158.
- [13] A. El Khalfi, H. Kim, and N. Mahdou, *Amalgamated algebras issued from  $\phi$ -chained rings and  $\phi$ -pseudo-valuation rings*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **47** (2021), no. 5, 1599-1609.

- [14] N. Mahdou, E. H. Oubouhou, *On  $\phi$ - $P$ -flat modules and  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings*, J. Algebra Appl., to appear.
- [15] H. Kim, N. Mahdou and E. H. Oubouhou, *When every ideal is  $\phi$ - $P$ -flat*, Hacettepe J. of Math. Stat., 52 (2023), no. 11, 708-720.
- [16] W. Qi, X. L. Zhang, *Regular Noetherian rings*, to appear in J. Chongqing Normal Univ.
- [17] W. Qi and X. L. Zhang, *Some remarks on nonnil-coherent rings and  $\phi$ -IF rings*, J. Algebra Appl. 21 (2022), no. 11, 2250211
- [18] P. Schenzel, A. M. Simon, *Completion, Čech and Local Homology and Cohomology Interactions Between Them*, Springer Monogr. Math., 2018.
- [19] F. G. Wang, H. Kim, *Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules*, Singapore: Springer, 2016.
- [20] X. L. Xiao, F. G. Wang and S. Y. Lin, *The coherence study determined by regular ideals*, J. Sichuan Normal Univ., **45** (2022), no. 1, 33-40.
- [21] X. Y. Yang, *Generalized Noetherian property of rings and modules*, Lanzhou: Northwest Normal University Library, 2006.
- [22] X. L. Zhang, W. Qi, *Some Remarks on  $\phi$ -Dedekind rings and  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings*, arxiv.org/abs/2103.08278.
- [23] X. L. Zhang, W. Zhao, *On  $\phi$ - $w$ -flat modules and their homological dimensions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **58** (2021), no. 4, 1039-1052.
- [24] X. L. Zhang, W. Zhao and F. G. Wang, *On  $\phi$ -flat cotorsion theory*, J. Guangxi Normal Univ., **39** (2021), no. 2, 120-124.
- [25] W. Zhao, *On  $\phi$ -flat modules and  $\phi$ -Prüfer rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **55** (2018), no. 5, 1221-1233.
- [26] W. Zhao, F. G. Wang and G. H. Tang, *On  $\phi$ -von Neumann regular rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **50** (2013), no. 1, 219-229.
- [27] W. Zhao, X. L. Zhang, *On Nonnil-injective modules*, J. Sichuan Normal Univ., **42** (2019), no. 6, 808-815.