ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Vol., No., YEAR $\begin{array}{c|c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \end{array}$

https://doi.org/rmj.YEAR..PAGE

FREIMAN'S (3k-4)-LIKE RESULTS FOR SUBSET AND SUBSEQUENCE SUMS

MOHAN, JAGANNATH BHANJA, AND RAM KRISHNA PANDEY[†]

ABSTRACT. For a nonempty finite set A of integers, let $S(A) = \{\sum_{b \in B} b : \emptyset \neq B \subseteq A\}$ be the set of all nonempty subset sums of A. In 1995, Nathanson determined the minimum cardinality of S(A) in terms of |A| and described the structure of A for which |S(A)| is the minimum. He asked to characterize the underlying set A if |S(A)| is a small increment to its minimum size. Problems of such nature are inspired by the well-known Freiman's 3k - 4 theorem. In this paper, some results in the direction of Freiman's 3k - 4 theorem for the set of subset sums S(A) are proved. Such results are also extended to the set of subsequence sums $S(\mathbb{A}) = \{ \sum_{b \in \mathbb{B}} b : \emptyset \neq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A} \}$ of sequence \mathbb{A} , where the notation $\mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$, is used for \mathbb{B} is a subsequence of A. The results are further generalized to a generalization of subset and subsequence sums. The main idea of the proofs of the results is to write the set of subset sums S(A) and the set of subsequence sums $S(\mathbb{A})$ in terms of the *h*-fold sumset hA and the *h*-fold restricted sumset $h^{\wedge}A$. Such representation also gives other proof of some of the results of Nathanson and Mistri et al.

1. Notation

Throughout the paper, we follow the following notations. We write $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ with $a_1 <$ 22 $a_2 < \cdots < a_k$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ to mean that A is a sequence consisting of k distinct integers 23 a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k with a_i appearing r_i times in A for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. By |A| we mean the number of terms 24 (including multiplicities) in A. By the size of sequence $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ we mean the number 25 $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i$. For integers α and β , we define $\alpha * \mathbb{A} = \{\alpha a_1, \alpha a_2, \dots, \alpha a_k\}_{\vec{r}}, \mathbb{A} + \beta = \{a + \beta : a \in \mathbb{A}\}$ and 26 $[\alpha,\beta]_{\vec{r}} = \{\alpha,\alpha+1,\ldots,\beta\}_{\vec{r}}$ for $\alpha \leq \beta$. We use the usual set notation A to write the set $\{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_k\}$ 27 of distinct elements of sequence A. If $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ is a nonempty finite set of integers, the 28 notations defined above for sequences have the usual set theoretical meaning: |A| denotes the number 29 of elements in A, $\alpha * A = \{\alpha a_1, \alpha a_2, \dots, \alpha a_k\}, A + \beta = \{a + \beta : a \in A\}$ and $[\alpha, \beta] = \{\alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \beta\}$ 30 for $\alpha \leq \beta$. We denote the greatest common divisor of the integers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k by (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k) and 31 write d(A) in short, when $A = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ is a set. In addition, for a sum of the form $\sum_{x=a}^{b} f(x)$ with 32 integers *a*,*b* such that a > b, we mean zero. Furthermore, we write \mathbb{N} for the set of natural numbers 33 and θ for the golden mean $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. 34

35

36

21

2. Introduction

37 Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers and h be a positive integer. The h-fold sumset, denoted by hA, 38 is defined as the set of integers that can be written as a sum of h elements (not necessarily distinct) of 39

40

[†]The corresponding author.

⁴¹ 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P70, 11B75, 11B13.

⁴² Key words and phrases. Subset sum; subsequence sum; sumset; restricted sumset; Freiman's 3k - 4 theorem.

1 A, and the restricted h-fold sumset, denoted by $h^{A}A$, is defined as the set of integers that can be written as a sum of h distinct elements of A (see [1,21]).

Two problems associated with sumsets that are studied extensively in the literature are direct and 3 $\frac{1}{4}$ inverse problems. A direct problem is to determine the minimum cardinality and properties of the sumset and the inverse problem is to characterize the underlying set(s) when the cardinality of the ⁶ sumset is known. The following are some of the classical results that give the minimum cardinality of \overline{f} h-fold sumset hA and h^A and also describe the underlying set A when the cardinality of the sumset is 8 minimum.

9 **Theorem 2.1.** [21, Theorem 1.4, Theorem 1.6] Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers. Then, for 10 11 h > 1, we have

$$|hA| \ge h|A| - h + 1.$$

12 *Moreover, if* $h \ge 2$ *and* |hA| = h|A| - h + 1*, then A is an arithmetic progression.* 13

¹⁴ Theorem 2.2. [21, Theorem 1.9, Theorem 1.10] Let A be a nonempty finite set of integers, and 15 $1 \le h \le |A|$. Then 16

 $|h^{\wedge}A| > h|A| - h^2 + 1.$

17 18 *Moreover, if* $|h^{\wedge}A| = h|A| - h^2 + 1$ *with* $|A| \ge 5$ *and* $2 \le h \le |A| - 2$, *then A is an arithmetic progression.*

Freiman [10, 11] proved the following inverse theorem for the 2-fold sumset 2A, which is well 19 known as Freiman's 3k - 4 theorem. 20

21 **Theorem 2.3.** [11, Theorem 1.9] Let k > 3. Let A be a set of k integers. If |2A| = 2k - 1 + b < 3k - 4, 22 then A is a subset of an arithmetic progression of length at most k + b. 23

This inverse theorem is a consequence of the following result. 24

25 **Theorem 2.4.** [11, Theorem 1.10] Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of integers such that 26 $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_{k-1}$ and d(A) = 1. Then 27

$$|2A| \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + k, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 3; \\ 3k - 3, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

30 Lev [16] extended Theorem 2.4 to the sumsets hA for $h \ge 2$. 31

28 29

34 35

Theorem 2.5. [16, Theorem 1] Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of integers such that 32 $\overline{a_{33}} \ 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_{k-1} \text{ and } d(A) = 1.$ Then, for $h \ge 2$, we have

$$|hA| \ge |(h-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, h(k-2) + 1\}.$$

For the restricted sumset 2^{A} , the following was conjectured by Freiman and Lev [15], indepen-36 $\frac{1}{37}$ dently.

38 **Conjecture 2.6.** Let k > 7. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of integers such that $0 = a_0 < a_1 < a_1 < a_2 < a_1 < a_2 <$ 39 $\cdots < a_{k-1}$ and d(A) = 1. Then 40

$$|2^{A}| \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + k - 2, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ 3k - 7, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

The lower bounds in Conjecture 2.6 are tight, as letting $A = \{0, 1, \dots, k-3\} \cup \{a_{k-1} - 1, a_{k-1}\}$, we get $2^{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, 2k - 7\} \cup \{a_{k-1} - 1, \dots, a_{k-1} + k - 3\} \cup \{2a_{k-1} - 1\}$. Freiman *et al.* [12] made some progress on Conjecture 2.6 by proving the following result.

4 5 6 7 8 9 **Theorem 2.7.** [12, Theorem 1, Theorem 2] Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, ..., a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of integers such that $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$ and d(A) = 1. Then

$$|2^{\wedge}A| \ge \begin{cases} 0.5(a_{k-1}+k)+k-3.5, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k-3; \\ 2.5k-5, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k-2. \end{cases}$$

A year later, Lev [15] improved Freiman et al. [12] results in the following theorem. 10

11 **Theorem 2.8.** [15, Theorem 1] Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of integers such that $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_{k-1}$ and d(A) = 1. Then 12

$$|2^{\wedge}A| \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1}+k-2, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k-5; \\ (\theta+1)k-6, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k-4. \end{cases}$$

16 In a recent paper, Daza et al. [7] have almost solved Conjecture 2.6, but we shall not make use of ¹⁷ their result in this paper.

18 The purpose of this article is to prove results similar to Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.8 for the set of 19 subset sums and the set of subsequence sums, which are defined below.

20 Let A be a nonempty finite set of k integers. For a nonempty subset B of A, the subset sum of B 21 is defined as $s(B) = \sum_{b \in B} b$. The collection of all nonempty subset sums of A, denoted by S(A), is 22 defined as 23

$$S(A) := \Big\{ s(B) : \emptyset \neq B \subseteq A \Big\}.$$

24 Nathanson [22] initiated the study of direct and inverse problems for S(A) over the group of integers. 25 Such studies are done on other groups also (see [2, 4, 8, 13], and the references therein). However, 26 in this article, we restrict ourselves to the group of integers only. Nathanson [22] determined the 27 minimum cardinality of S(A) in terms of |A|, and also gave a characterization of set A when |S(A)|28 is the minimum (see Lemma 3.1). Lev [17] extended Nathanson's direct theorem to sequences of 29 nonnegative integers (see Lemma 4.3). Mistri et al. [18] (also see [19]) extended Nathanson's inverse 30 theorem to sequences of nonnegative integers (see Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3) while giving a new 31 proof of Lev's result. Jiang and Li [14] later proved the direct and inverse results for the subsequence 32 sums when the sequence contains both positive and negative integers. For the sake of completeness, 33 we define the subsequence sums below. 34

For a nonempty finite sequence A of integers, we denote by S(A), the set of all subsequence sums 35 of \mathbb{A} , i.e., 36

$$S(\mathbb{A}) := \{s(\mathbb{B}) : \emptyset \neq \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A}\}$$

37 where $s(\mathbb{B}) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{B}} b$. 38

The direct and inverse results for the usual subset and subsequence sums are further extended by 39 Bhanja and Pandey [5,6] considering the α -analog of subset and subsequence sums, which are defined 40 below. For a given positive integer α , let 41

42

$$S_{\alpha}(A) := \{s(B) : B \subseteq A, |B| \ge \alpha\},\$$

FREIMAN'S (3k-4)-LIKE RESULTS FOR SUBSET AND SUBSEQUENCE SUMS

1 and

2 3

24 25

32 33 34

38

39

$$S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) := \{s(\mathbb{B}) : \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A}, |\mathbb{B}| \ge \alpha\}.$$

Recently, Dwivedi and Mistri [9] reproved some results of Bhanja and Pandey using a generalization of *h*-fold sumset *hA*. The reader is also directed to see the article of Balandraud [3], where $S_{\alpha}(A)$ is introduced in this context, and also the minimum cardinality of $S_{\alpha}(A)$ is obtained over the finite cyclic groups of prime order.

In [22], Nathanson asked to prove Theorem 2.4-like result for S(A). In this paper, we prove some results (see Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.2, 4.4, and 4.5) for S(A) and S(A) which are similar to Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.8. Our idea is to write S(A) and S(A) in terms of sumsets hA and $h^{A}A$, and then use 10 Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.8 to obtain Freiman like results for S(A) and S(A). Such representation 11 will also lead us to give new proofs of some results of Nathanson [22] (see Lemma 3.1) and Mistri et 12 al. [18] (see Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3). Further, we prove analogous results for $S_{\alpha}(A)$ and $S_{\alpha}(A)$ in 13 the last two sections of this paper. The proofs of the results of sections 5 and 6 are quite similar to the 14 ones in sections 3 and 4, however, in sections 5 and 6 the proofs are more involved and depend heavily 15 on α . 16

To prove the main results of sections 3 and 4 of this article, we first reprove the direct and inverse results for the usual subset and subsequence sums. In other results that we prove in sections 5 and 6, we directly use the already proven results for the α -analog of subset and subsequence sums. The following are the two results that we use to prove our results in sections 5 and 6.

²¹ **Theorem 2.9.** [5, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 2.2] Let A be a set of k positive integers. Let $1 \le \alpha \le k$ be ²² an integer. Then ²³ $k(k+1) = \alpha(\alpha+1)$

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| \geq \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1.$$

 $\frac{1}{26} \text{Moreover, if } k \ge 4, \ \alpha \le k-2, \text{ and } |S_{\alpha}(A)| = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1, \text{ then } A = d * [1,k] \text{ for some positive positive integer } d.$

Theorem 2.10. [5, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2] Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$ and $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ with $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1,k]$. Let $1 \le \alpha \le 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i$ be an integer. Then there exists an integer $m \in [1,k]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i$ and

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_i + m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i - \alpha\right) + 1$$

³⁵ ³⁶ ³⁶ ³⁶ ³⁷ *Moreover, if* $k \ge 4$, $\alpha \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$, and $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_i + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i - \alpha) + 1$, then ³⁶ ³⁷ $\mathbb{A} = d * [1,k]_{\vec{r}}$ for some positive integer d.

3. Freiman's theorem for subset sum

⁴⁰ In the following lemma, we reprove the direct and inverse results of Nathanson for S(A) when the set ⁴¹ A contains positive integers. Then, in the next two theorems, we prove Freiman-like results for S(A) in

 $\overline{42}$ the cases in which A contains positive integers and A contains nonnegative integers with $0 \in A$.

1 Lemma 3.1. [22, Theorem 3, Theorem 5] Let A be a set of k positive integers. Then

$$\frac{2}{3}$$
 (3.1) $|S(A)| \ge \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$.

Moreover, if $k \ge 4$ and $|S(A)| = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$, then A = d * [1,k] for some positive integer d.

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$. It is easy to see that the result holds for k = 1, 2. Assume that $k \ge 3$ and the result holds for all sets that have less than k elements. Let $B = A \setminus \{a_{k-1}, a_k\}$. Then $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k$ are two disjoint subsets of S(A). By 9 10 Theorem 2.2 and the induction hypothesis, we get

11 12 $|S(A)| \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k|$ (3.2) $\geq 2(k+1) - 3 + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2}$ 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 $=\frac{k(k+1)}{2}.$

Now, suppose that $k \ge 4$ and $|S(A)| = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$. Then by (3.2), we have $|2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| = 2(k+1) - 3$. Applying Theorem 2.2 on $A \cup \{0\}$, we get that $A \cup \{0\}$ is an arithmetic progression. Hence A = $a_1 * [1,k].$ 20

Theorem 3.2. Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ be a set of k positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_2 < a_3$ 22 ... < a_k and d(A) = 1. Then 23

$$|S(A)| \ge egin{cases} a_k + rac{k(k-1)}{2}, & ext{if } a_k \le 2k-3; \ egin{array}{c} heta(k+1) - 4 + rac{k(k-1)}{2}, & ext{if } a_k \ge 2k-2. \end{array} \end{cases}$$

28 *Proof.* From equation (3.2), we have the following inequality

29

33 34

35 36 37

38 39

40 41 42 $|S(A)| \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k|,$

30 where $B = A \setminus \{a_{k-1}, a_k\}$. Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Lemma 3.1 on B we obtain 31 32

$$\begin{split} |S(A)| &\geq \left| 2^{\wedge} (A \cup \{0\}) \right| + |S(B)| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_k + k - 1 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}, & \text{if } a_k \leq 2(k+1) - 5; \\ (\theta + 1)(k+1) - 6 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}, & \text{if } a_k \geq 2(k+1) - 4, \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}, & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - 4 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2}, & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $k \ge 4$ and $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of k nonnegative integers such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ Theorem 3.3. Let } k \ge 4 \text{ and } A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \text{ be a set of } k \text{ nonnegative}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1} \text{ and } d(A) = 1. \text{ Then}$$

$$\frac{3}{4} = \left\{ (k-1)(k-2) + 1, \quad \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k-5; \\ \theta k - 3 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} + 1, \quad \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k-5; \\ \theta k - 3 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}, \quad \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k-4. \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ Proof. Set } B = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}. \text{ Then } B \text{ is a set of } k-1 \text{ positive integers with } 0 \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ here } S(A) = S(B) + \{0\} \text{ Theorem } 22 \text{ it follows that} \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ here } S(A) = S(B) + \{0\} \text{ Theorem } 22 \text{ it follows that} \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ here } S(A) = S(B) + \{0\} \text{ Theorem } 22 \text{ it follows that} \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ here } S(A) = S(B) + \{0\} \text{ Theorem } 22 \text{ it follows that} \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ here } S(A) = S(B) + \frac{1}{2} \text{ follows that} \\ \frac{7}{8} \text{ f$$

Proof. Set $B = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$. Then B is a set of k-1 positive integers with d(B) = 1. Further, we have $S(A) = S(B) \cup \{0\}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, it follows that

$$|S(A)| \ge |S(B)| + 1 \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} + 1, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - 3 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

4. Freiman's theorem for subsequence sum

¹⁸ In this section, we start by giving a new proof of direct and inverse results of Mistri *et al.* [18] for $S(\mathbb{A})$ 19 in Lemma 4.1. Then, using Lemma 4.1, we prove a Freiman-like result for $S(\mathbb{A})$ in Theorem 4.2 when ²⁰ the sequence \mathbb{A} contains positive integers. In Theorem 4.4, we improve our previous bound assuming ²¹ that every element of the sequence appears at least twice. To prove Theorem 4.4 we first prove Lemma ²² 4.3. Further, in Theorem 4.5, we prove a similar Freiman's 3k - 4-like theorem for $S(\mathbb{A})$ when the ²³ sequence \mathbb{A} contains nonnegative integers with $0 \in \mathbb{A}$.

Lemma 4.1. [18, Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2] Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Then 26

$$\frac{27}{28} (4.1) |S(\mathbb{A})| \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i$$

10

16

17

24

35

Moreover, if $k \ge 4$ and $|S(\mathbb{A})| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i$, then $\mathbb{A} = d * [1,k]_{\vec{r}}$ for some positive integer d. 30

31 *Proof.* To prove (4.1), we use induction on k. For k = 1, we have $\mathbb{A} = (a_1)_{\vec{r_1}}$, and so $S(\mathbb{A}) =$ 32 $\{a_1, 2a_1, \ldots, r_1a_1\}$. For k = 2, we have $\mathbb{A} = (a_1, a_2)_{\vec{r}}$ with $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2)$. It is easy to see, in this case, 33 that 34

$$S(\mathbb{A}) \supseteq \{ia_1 : i \in [1, r_1]\} \cup \{(r_1 - 1)a_1 + ia_2 : i \in [1, r_2]\} \cup \{r_1a_1 + ia_2 : i \in [1, r_2]\},\$$

³⁶ where the three sets on the right hand side are pairwise disjoint. Therefore (4.1) holds for k = 1, 2. ³⁷ Assume that $k \ge 3$ and (4.1) holds for all sequences whose number of distinct terms is less than k. ³⁸ Set $\mathbb{B} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}_{\vec{s}}$ with $\vec{s} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-2})$. Then $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S(\mathbb{B}) + a_{k-1} + a_k$ are two ³⁹ disjoint subsets of $S(\mathbb{A})$. For $1 \le i \le r_{k-1} - 1$ and $1 \le j \le k - 2$, define

$$s_{i,j} = \sum_{t=1, t \neq k-j-1}^{k-2} r_t a_t + (r_{k-j-1}-1)a_{k-j-1} + (i+1)a_{k-1} + a_k$$

7 Aug 2024 00:03:31 PDT 231221-Pandey Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math.

1 and $s_{i,k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_t a_t + (i+1)a_{k-1} + a_k.$ $\begin{array}{c}
 2 \\
 3 \\
 4 \\
 5 \\
 6 \\
 7 \\
 8 \\
 9 \\
 10 \\
 11 \\
 12 \\
 \end{array}$ Similarly, for $1 \le i \le r_k - 1$ and $1 \le j \le k - 1$, define $u_{i,j} = \sum_{t-1}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (r_{k-j} - 1)a_{k-j} + (i+1)a_k,$ and $u_{i,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (i+1)a_k.$ It is easy to see that 13 14 $s_{i,1} < s_{i,2} < \cdots < s_{i,k-2} < s_{i,k-1} < s_{i+1,1}$ $s_{r_{k-1}-1,k-1} < u_{1,1},$ 15 16 and $u_{i,1} < u_{i,2} < \cdots < u_{i,k-1} < u_{i,k} < u_{i+1,1}.$ 17 Therefore, the elements $s_{i,j}$ and $u_{i,j}$ are all distinct, all are in the set $S(\mathbb{A})$, and bigger than the elements 18 of $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S(\mathbb{B}) + a_{k-1} + a_k$. Note also that $s_{i,j}$ is not defined for $r_{k-1} = 1$ and $u_{i,j}$ are not 19 defined for $r_k = 1$. By Theorem 2.2 and the induction hypothesis we get 20 $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S(\mathbb{B}) + a_{k-1} + a_k| + \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} s_{i,j}\right| + \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_k-1} \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} u_{i,j}\right|$ 21 22 23 24 $= \left| 2^{\wedge} (A \cup \{0\}) \right| + |S(\mathbb{B})| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{k-1}-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r_k-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} 1$ 25 26 27 $\geq 2(k+1) - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_i + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) + k(r_k-1)$ 28 29 $=\sum_{i=1}^{k}ir_{i}$ 30 (4.2)31 32 Now suppose that $k \ge 4$ and $|S(\mathbb{A})| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i$. Then from (4.2) it follows that $|2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i$. 33 2(k+1)-3. Theorem 2.2 implies that $A \cup \{0\}$ is an arithmetic progression. Hence $\mathbb{A} = a_1 * [1,k]_{\vec{r}}$. 34 **Theorem 4.2.** Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$, 35 $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let d(A) = 1. Then 36 37 $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i + a_k - k, & \text{if } a_k \le 2k - 3; \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_i + \theta(k+1) - k - 4, & \text{if } a_k \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$ 38 39 40 *Proof.* Set $\mathbb{B} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}_{\vec{s}}$ with $\vec{s} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-2})$. From (4.2) we have 41 $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S(\mathbb{B})| + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) + k(r_k-1).$ 42

Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Lemma 4.1 on \mathbb{B} , we get

$$|S(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_k + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_i + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) + k(r_k-1), & \text{if } a_k \le 2(k+1) - 5; \\ (\theta+1)(k+1) - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_i + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) + k(r_k-1), & \text{if } a_k \ge 2(k+1) - 4, \\ \ge \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^k ir_i + a_k - k, & \text{if } a_k \le 2k - 3; \\ \sum_{i=1}^k ir_i + \theta(k+1) - k - 4, & \text{if } a_k \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

⁹ This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the next theorem, we prove an improved bound for $|S(\mathbb{A})|$ than that in Theorem 4.2, when every element of \mathbb{A} appears at least twice in \mathbb{A} . Before that, we prove the following lemma, which is crucial for our next theorem.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $k \geq 2$, $a_1 < 1$ 14 $a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$, and $r_i \ge 2$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let $r := \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$ and $\mathbb{B}' =$ 15 $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{k-1}\}_{\vec{t}}$ with $\vec{t} = (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{k-1})$. Then 16 17 (4.3) $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S(\mathbb{B}')| + k(r_k - r).$ 18 *Proof.* If $r_k = r$, then $r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $S(\mathbb{B}') + ra_k$ are two disjoint subsets of $S(\mathbb{A})$. Thus 19 $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S(\mathbb{B}') + ra_k| = |r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S(\mathbb{B}')|.$ 20 21 If $r_k > r$, for $1 \le i \le r_k - r$ and $1 \le j \le k - 1$ we define 22 23

$$v_{i,j} = \sum_{t=1, t \neq k-j}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (r_{k-j} - 1)a_{k-j} + (r+i)a_k,$$

 $\frac{25}{26}$ and

27

24

 $\frac{28}{29}$ Then

$$v_{i,1} < v_{i,2} < \cdots < v_{i,k-1} < v_{i,k} < v_{i+1,1}$$

 $v_{i,k} = \sum_{t=1}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (r+i)a_k.$

Therefore, the elements $v_{i,j}$ are all distinct, all are in the set $S(\mathbb{A})$, and bigger than the elements of $r(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S(\mathbb{B}') + ra_k$. Thus

$$|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S(\mathbb{B}') + ra_k| + \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_k - r} \bigcup_{j=1}^k v_{i,j} \right|$$

= $|r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S(\mathbb{B}')| + k(r_k - r).$

Theorem 4.4. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $k \ge 2$, $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 2$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let d(A) = 1 and $\min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\} = r$. Then $|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge |(r-1)(A \cup \{0\})| + \min\{a_k, r(k-1)+1\} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + k(r_k - r).$

⁴² This completes the proof of the theorem.

7 Aug 2024 00:03:31 PDT 231221-Pandey Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math.

5. Freiman's theorem for α -subset sum

In this section, we prove Freiman-like theorems for $S_{\alpha}(A)$, when the set A contains positive integers and when the set A contains nonnegative integers with $0 \in A$, in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, respectively. To prove our results, we define

$$S_1^{\alpha}(A) := \{ s(B) : B \subseteq A, 1 \le |B| \le |A| - \alpha \}.$$

⁷ Then $S_{\alpha}(A) = \sum_{a \in A} a - (S_1^{\alpha}(A) \cup \{0\})$. Therefore, $|S_{\alpha}(A)| = |S_1^{\alpha}(A)| + 1$ if $0 \notin A$ and $|S_{\alpha}(A)| = \frac{8}{9} |S_1^{\alpha}(A)|$ if $0 \in A$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $k \ge 3$. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ be a set of k positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < 1$ $1 \le a_k$ and d(A) = 1. Let $\alpha \le k - 2$ be a positive integer. Then

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| \geq \begin{cases} a_k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1, & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k-3; \\ \theta(k+1) - 4 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1, & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k-2. \end{cases}$$

 $\frac{16}{17}$ *Proof.* Set $B = A \setminus \{a_{k-1}, a_k\}$. Then

1

12 13 14

15

18

22

$$2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\}) \cup (S_1^{\alpha}(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k) \subset S_1^{\alpha}(A)$$

¹⁹ Here we are assuming that $S_1^{\alpha}(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k = \emptyset$ if $\alpha = |B|$. Observe that $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S_1^{\alpha}(B) + a_{k-1} + a_k$ are disjoint. Thus

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| = |S_{1}^{\alpha}(A)| + 1 \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{1}^{\alpha}(B)| + 1 = |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(B)|.$$

If $a_k \le 2k-3 = 2(k+1)-5$, then applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.9 on *B*, we obtain

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| \ge a_k + k - 1 + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1$$
$$= a_k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1.$$

 $\frac{28}{29}$ If $a_k \ge 2k - 2 = 2(k+1) - 4$, then again by Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9, we get

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| \ge (\theta+1)(k+1) - 6 + \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1$$
$$= \theta(k+1) - 4 + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{2} + 1.$$

 $\overline{_{34}}$ This proves the theorem.

We also have the following theorem when the set A has 0 as an element. 36

Theorem 5.2. Let $k \ge 4$. Let $A = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ be a set of nonnegative integers such that $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}$ and d(A) = 1. Let $\alpha \le k-2$ be a positive integer. Then

$$|S_{\alpha}(A)| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} + 2, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k-5; \\ \theta k - 4 + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} - \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} + 2, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k-4. \end{cases}$$

11

1 Proof. Set $B' = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$. Then B' is a set of k-1 positive integers, d(B') = 1 and $S_1^{\alpha}(A) =$ $S_1^{\alpha-1}(B') \cup \{0\}$. Then, from Theorem 5.1, it follows that

This proves the theorem.

12 13

6. Freiman's theorem for α -subsequence sum

Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers, where $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ with $r_i \ge 1$ for 15 all $i \in [1,k]$. Let min $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_k\} = r$, and let $\alpha \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. In this section, we prove Freiman's 3k - 4-like results for $S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})$. The proofs are quite similar to the ones in Section $\overline{17}$ 4, however, in this section, the proofs are more involved and depend heavily on α . In Theorem 6.1, we assume that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$. Then, in Theorems 6.2 and 6.3, we consider the case that $r \ge 2$ ig and $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$. Further, in Theorems 6.4 and 6.5, we assume that r = 1 and $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$. In Theorem 6.4, we consider all possible cases with r = 1, except the one that $r_{k-1} = 1$ and $r_k \neq 1$, 21 with which we deal in Theorem 6.5. In all the above-mentioned theorems the sequence \mathbb{A} contains 22 positive integers. We prove similar results in Theorems 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8, when the sequence \mathbb{A} contains 23 nonnegative integers with $0 \in \mathbb{A}$.

Before proceeding to the results of this section, we first define 24

25

26 27

38 39 40

$$S_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbb{A}) := \left\{ s(\mathbb{B}) : \mathbb{B} \subseteq \mathbb{A}, 1 \leq |\mathbb{B}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \boldsymbol{\alpha} \right\}.$$

Then $S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{A}} a - (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) \cup \{0\})$. Therefore, $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1$ if $0 \notin \mathbb{A}$ and $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1$ 29 $|S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ if $0 \in \mathbb{A}$. 30

Theorem 6.1. Let $k \ge 3$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that 31 $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\}$. Let 32 $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2 \text{ and } d(A) = 1.$ If r = 1, then 33

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \ge \begin{cases} a_k + k, & \text{if } a_k \le 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k + 1) - 4, & \text{if } a_k \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

37 If $r \geq 2$, then

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_k + k + 1, & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k - 1; \\ 3k, & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k. \end{cases}$$

41 *Proof.* If r = 1, then 42

$$S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = 2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\}).$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.8, we get 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1 \ge \begin{cases} a_{k} + k, & \text{if } a_{k} \le 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k + 1) - 4, & \text{if } a_{k} \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$ If $r \ge 2$, then $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = 2(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}.$ Therefore, by Theorem 2.3, we get $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1 \ge \begin{cases} a_k + k + 1, & \text{if } a_k \le 2k - 1; \\ 3k, & \text{if } a_k \ge 2k. \end{cases}$ 12 13 **Theorem 6.2.** Let $k \ge 3$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 2$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let min $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\} = r$ and 15 d(A) = 1. Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - r$ be a positive integer. Then there exists an integer $m \in [1,k]$ such that 16 17 $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^m r_i$ and 18 19 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \ge |(r-1)(A \cup \{0\})| + \min\{a_k, r(k-1)+1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^m ir_i + m\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i - \alpha\right) + k(r_k - r).$ 20 21 *Proof.* Set $\mathbb{B}_1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}_{\vec{s}_1}$ with $\vec{s}_1 = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_k - r)$. Then 22 $(r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}) \cup (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_1) + ra_k) \subset S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}),$ 23 24 where $(r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}) \cap (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_1) + ra_k) = \emptyset$. Therefore 25 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1 \ge |r(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{1})| + 1 = |r(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{1})| - 1.$ 26 If $m \le k-1$, then applying Theorem 2.5 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_1 , we obtain 27 28 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \ge |(r-1)(A \cup \{0\})| + \min\{a_k, r(k-1)+1\} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + k(r_k - r) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_i$ 29 30 $+m\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}r_{i}-\alpha\right)+1$ 31 32 33 $= |(r-1)(A \cup \{0\})| + \min\{a_k, r(k-1)+1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^m ir_i + m\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_i - \alpha\right)$ 34 35 36 $+k(r_k-r).$ 37 If m = k, then again applying Theorem 2.5 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_1 , we obtain 38 39 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \ge |(r-1)(A \cup \{0\})| + \min\{a_k, r(k-1)+1\} + k\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i + r_k - r - \alpha\right).$ 40 41 42 This proves the theorem.

7 Aug 2024 00:03:31 PDT 231221-Pandey Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math. In the following theorem, we prove a similar result for the remaining values of α , i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - r \leq 1$

In the followin $\frac{1}{2} \quad \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2.$ $\frac{3}{4} \quad \text{Theorem 6.3. } L$ $\frac{4}{5} \quad a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < d_1 < a_2 < \cdots < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d_2 < d_1 < a_2 < d$ **Theorem 6.3.** Let $k \ge 3$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 2$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let $\min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k\} = r$ and d(A) = 1. Let $\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - r \le \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. Then

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_k - k + 2 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k - 1; \\ k + 1 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $\mathbb{B}_2 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}_{\vec{s}_2}$ with $\vec{s}_2 = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}, r_k - 2)$. Then

$$(2(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}) \cup (S_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbb{B}_2) + 2a_k) \subset S_1^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\mathbb{A})$$

where $(2(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}) \cap (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_2) + 2a_k) = \emptyset$. Therefore,

25 26

27

28

33

34

35 36 37

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1 \ge |2(A \cup \{0\}) \setminus \{0\}| + |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{2})| + 1 = |2(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{2})| - 1.$$

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Applying Theorem 2.4 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_2 we obtain

$$\begin{split} |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| &\geq |2(A \cup \{0\})| - 1 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i + r_k - 2 - \alpha\right) + 1 \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_k + k + 1 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right) - 2k, & \text{if } a_k \leq 2(k+1) - 3; \\ 3(k+1) - 3 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right) - 2k, & \text{if } a_k \geq 2(k+1) - 2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} a_k - k + 1 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k - 1; \\ k + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The case r = 1 is considered in the following two theorems.

29 Theorem 6.4. Let $k \ge 3$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < \infty$ 30 $a_2 < \cdots < a_k$, $\vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ and $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1, k]$. Let $r_{k-1} \ne 1$ and $r_k \ne 1$ or $r_{k-1} = r_k = 1$ 31 or $r_{k-1} \neq 1$ and $r_k = 1$. Let d(A) = 1. Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. Then the following 32 holds.

(1) If $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 1$, then there exists an integer $m \in [1, k-1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i$ and

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - k - 4 + k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

1 *Proof.* Set $\mathbb{B}_3 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}_{\vec{s}_3}$ with $\vec{s}_3 = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-2}, r_{k-1} - 1, r_k - 1)$. Then $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
 2 & 3 & 4 \\
 3 & 4 & 5 \\
 6 & 7 & 8 \\
 9 & 10 & 11 \\
 12 & 13 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\
 13 & 12 \\$ $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\}) \cup (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_3) + a_{k-1} + a_k) \subset S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}),$ where $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\}) \cap (S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_3) + a_{k-1} + a_k) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1 \ge |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})| + 1 = |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})|.$ **Case I** ($r_{k-1} \ge 2$ and $r_k \ge 2$). If $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i$, then $m \le k-2$ for both A and B₃. Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_3 , we get 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ $\geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_3)|$ $\geq \begin{cases} a_{k} + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - (k-1) - k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, \\ \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k+1) - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - (k-1) - k - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, \\ \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2, \end{cases}$ $= \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$ 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 If $\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 1$, then m = k - 1 for both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}_3 . Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ 28 29 and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_3 , we get 30 31 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ 32 $> |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\circ}(\mathbb{R}_{2})|$

$$\frac{34}{35} \geq \left\{ \begin{aligned} a_{k} + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - (2k-1) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} - (k-1)\right) + (k-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - 1 - \alpha\right) + 1, \\ \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k+1) - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - (2k-1) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} - (k-1)\right) + (k-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - 1 - \alpha\right) + 1, \\ \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2; \\ \frac{40}{41} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} + (k-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} + (k-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{aligned} \right.$$

16

Case II $(r_{k-1} \ge 2 \text{ and } r_k = 1)$. In this case, the sequence \mathbb{B}_3 has k-1 distinct elements and the vector $\vec{s_3}$ has k-1 terms. If $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i$, then $m \le k-2$ for both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}_3 . Applying Theorem 2.8 on $15 A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_3 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \\ &\geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})| \\ &\geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_{k} + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, \\ &\text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ &(\theta + 1)(k+1) - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} + (k-1)(r_{k-1}-1) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, \\ &\text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2, \end{cases} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ &\theta(k+1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$, then m = k - 1 for both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}_3 . Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_3 , we get

 $\begin{aligned} & |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \\ & \geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})| \\ & \geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})| \\ & \geq \begin{cases} a_{k} + k - 1 + (k - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_{i} + r_{k-1} - 1 - \alpha\right) + 1, & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k + 1) - 6 + (k - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_{i} + r_{k-1} - 1 - \alpha\right) + 1, & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2, \end{cases} \\ & = \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} + (k - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k + 1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_{i} + (k - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{i} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$

41 **Case III** $(r_{k-1} = r_k = 1)$. In this case, the sequence \mathbb{B}_3 has k-2 distinct elements and the vector $\vec{s_3}$ 42 has k-2 terms. Thus, $m \le k-2$ for both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B}_3 . Applying Theorem 2.8 on $A \cup \{0\}$ and Theorem $\geq \begin{cases} a_{k} + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, & \text{if } a_{k} \le 2k - 3; \\ (\theta + 1)(k + 1) - 6 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + 1, & \text{if } a_{k} \ge 2k - 2, \end{cases}$ $= \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \le 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k + 1) - k - 3 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \ge 2k - 2. \end{cases}$

1 2.10 on \mathbb{B}_3 , we get

 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$

 $\geq \left|2^{\wedge}(A\cup\{0\})\right| + \left|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{3})\right|$

39

42

Theorem 6.5. Let $k \ge 3$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k, \vec{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k), r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [1, k - 2], r_{k-1} = 1$ and $r_k \ge 2$. Let d(A) = 1. Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^k r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. Then the following holds.

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k} + k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i}, \text{ then there exists an integer } m \in [1, k-2] \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_{i} \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} \text{ and} \\ a_{k} + k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + (k - m + 1)(r_{k} - 1), \\ if a_{k} \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) + k - 4 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} ir_{i} + m(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} - \alpha) + (k - m + 1)(r_{k} - 1), \\ if a_{k} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

(2) If
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k} r_i - 2$$
, then

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_k - k + 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_k \leq 2k - 3; \\ \theta(k+1) - k - 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_k \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Set $\mathbb{B}_4 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2}\}_{\vec{s}_4}$ with $\vec{s}_4 = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-2})$. If $\alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i$, then $2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})$ and $(S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_4) + a_{k-1} + a_k)$ are two disjoint subsets of $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})$. For $1 \le i \le r_k - 1$ and $1 \le j \le k - m - 1$, define

$$v_{i,j} = \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} r_{\ell} - \alpha\right) a_m + \sum_{t=m+1, t \neq k-j}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (r_{k-j} - 1)a_{k-j} + (i+1)a_k,$$
$$v_{i,k-m} = \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} r_{\ell} - \alpha - 1\right) a_m + \sum_{t=m+1}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (i+1)a_k,$$
$$v_{i,k-m+1} = \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} r_{\ell} - \alpha\right) a_m + \sum_{t=m+1}^{k-1} r_t a_t + (i+1)a_k.$$

$$\frac{\frac{40}{41}}{41}$$
 It is easy to see that

$$v_{i,1} < v_{i,2} < \dots < v_{i,k-m-1} < v_{i,k-m} < v_{i,k-m+1} < v_{i+1,1}$$

Therefore, the elements $v_{i,j}$ are all distinct, all are in the set $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})$ and bigger than the elements of 2^ $(A \cup \{0\})$ and $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_4) + a_{k-1} + a_k$. Therefore, we have $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| + 1$ $\geq |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_4)| + \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_k-1}\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-m+1}v_{i,j}\right| + 1$ $= |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_4)| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_k-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-m+1} 1.$ By Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.10, we have

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{I}_{j})| = |S_{1}(\mathbb{I}_{j})| + |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{4})| + \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_{k}-1}\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-m+1}v_{i,j}\right| + 1$$
$$= |2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})| + |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_{4})| + \sum_{i=1}^{r_{k}-1}\sum_{j=1}^{k-m+1}1.$$

13

7 Aug 2024 00:03:31 PDT 231221-Pandey Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math.

1 Thi	equation together with Theorem 2.8 applying on $A \cup \{0\}$, give
2	$ S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = \left 2^{\wedge}(A \cup \{0\})\right + S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{B}_5) $
4	$ \left\{ a_k + k - 1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i + r_k - 1 - \alpha \right) + 1, \text{if } a_k \le 2k - 3; \right. $
5	$\geq \left\{ (\theta+1)(k+1) - 6 + (k-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i + r_k - 1 - \alpha\right) + 1, \text{if } a_k \geq 2k - 2, \right\}$
7	$\begin{cases} a_{1} - k + 2 + (k - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} - \alpha_{i} \right) & \text{if } a_{i} \leq 2k - 3; \end{cases}$
8	$= \begin{cases} a_{k} - k + 2 + (k - 1) (\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \le 2k - 3, \\ a_{k} - k + 2 + (k - 1) (\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i} - \alpha), & \text{if } a_{k} \le 2k - 3, \end{cases}$
9	$\left(\theta(k+1) - k - 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} r_i - \alpha \right), \text{if } a_k \ge 2k - 2. \right)$
$\frac{10}{11}$ This	proves the theorem.
12 I	the following three theorems, the sequence \mathbb{A} contains nonnegative integers with $0 \in \mathbb{A}$.
$\frac{13}{14}$ The $\frac{15}{16}$ that $r =$	orem 6.6. Let $k \ge 4$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers success $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}, \ \vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \ and \ r_i \ge 1 \ for \ all \ i \in [0, k-1].$ Let $d(A) = 1 \ and min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\}$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$. If $r = 1$, then
17 18 19	$ S_{m lpha}(\mathbb{A}) \geq egin{cases} a_{k-1}+k-1, & a_{k-1} \leq 2k-5; \ (m heta+1)k-5, & a_{k-1} \geq 2k-4. \end{cases}$
<u>²⁰</u> <i>If r</i>	\geq 2, then
21 22 23	$ S_{m lpha}(\mathbb{A}) \geq egin{cases} a_{k-1}+k, & a_{k-1}\leq 2k-3;\ 3k-3, & a_{k-1}\geq 2k-2. \end{cases}$
$\frac{24}{24}$ Pro	of. If $r = 1$, then
25	$S_{lpha}(\mathbb{A})=2^{\wedge}A\cup\{0\}.$
$\frac{26}{27}$ The	refore, by Theorem 2.8, we get
28	(
29	$ S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = 2^{\wedge}A + 1 \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + k - 1, & a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ (\theta + 1)k - 5, & a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4 \end{cases}$
30	$((0+1)k-5, u_{k-1} \geq 2k-4.$
$\frac{1}{32}$ If r	\geq 2, then
33	$S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = 2A.$
34 The	refore, by Theorem 2.3, we get
35	$\left(a_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}, b_{5}$
36	$ S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = 2^{\wedge}A + 1 \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + \kappa, & a_{k-1} \le 2\kappa - 3, \\ a_k - 2, & m \ge 2k - 2, \end{cases}$
37	$(3k-3, a_{k-1} \geq 2k-2.$
38	
39 40 Th	orom 67 Let $k > 4$ Let $k = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers are
$\frac{40}{11}$ that	orem o. i. Let $k \ge 4$. Let $A = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers suc $0 = a_0 \le a_1 \le \dots \le a_{k-1} \le \vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1})$ and $r_k \ge 1$ for all $i \le [0, k-1]$. Let $d(A) = 1$ and
$\frac{1}{42}$ min	$\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{k-1}\} = r \ge 2$. Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. Then the following holds.
_	$(1, 2,, k, 1) = \dots + \mu_{l=0} + $

(1) If $0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - r$, then there exists an integer $m \in [1,k]$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i$

 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \ge |(r-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, r(k-2)+1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (i-1)r_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (i-1)r_{i-1}$ + $(m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right)$ + $(k-1)(r_{k-1} - r)$. (2) If $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - r \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$, then $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k - 3; \\ k - 1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 2. \end{cases}$ <u>26</u> Proof. Set $\mathbb{B}_6 := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}_{\vec{s}_6}$ with $\vec{s}_6 := (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1})$. Then $d(B_6) = 1$. Observe that $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = S(\mathbb{B}_6) \cup \{0\}$ if $0 < \alpha \le r_0$ and $S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = S_1^{\alpha-r_0}(\mathbb{B}_6) \cup \{0\}$ if $r_0 < \alpha \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$. Note also that, if $\alpha > r_0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i$ for some $m \in [2,k]$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} r_i \le \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i$. Therefore the integer *m* for \mathbb{A} will work as m - 1 for \mathbb{B}_6 . If $0 < \alpha \le r_0$, we have from Theorem 4.4 that

25

 $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$

and

40 41

42

7 Aug 2024 00:03:31 PDT

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| &= |S_{1}^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \\ &= |S(\mathbb{B}_{6})| + 1 \\ &\geq |(r-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, r(k-2) + 1\} - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_{i} + (k-1)(r_{k-1} - r) + 1 \\ &= |(r-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, r(k-2) + 1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (i-1)r_{i-1} + (k-1)(r_{k-1} - r). \end{aligned}$$

231221-Pandey Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math.

If $r_0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$, then we have two possibilities: either $r_0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - r$ or $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - r \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$. In the first case, we have $0 < \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - r$, so by Theorem 6.2, we obtain $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ $= |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ $= \left|S_1^{\alpha - r_0}(\mathbb{B}_6)\right| + 1$ $= |S_{\alpha-r_0}(\mathbb{B}_6)|$ $\geq |(r-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, r(k-2)+1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ir_i + (m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0)\right)$ $+(k-1)(r_{k-1}-r)$ $= |(r-1)A| + \min\{a_{k-1}, r(k-2)+1\} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (i-1)r_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (i-1)r_{i-1}$ + $(m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right)$ + $(k-1)(r_{k-1} - r)$. 21 22 In the second case, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - r \le \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 2$. By Theorem 6.3, we get 23 24 25 26 15

$$\begin{split} S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) &|= \left| S_{\alpha-r_0}(\mathbb{B}_6) \right| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1}; \leq 2k-3 \\ k-1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k-2 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k-3; \\ k-1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k-2. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

 $\frac{34}{35}$ This completes the proof of the theorem.

The following theorem is for r = 1.

38 39

36 37

27 28

29 30

31 32 33

Theorem 6.8. Let $k \ge 4$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}_{\vec{r}}$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers such that $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}, \vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{k-1})$ and $r_i \ge 1$ for all $i \in [0, k-1]$. Let d(A) = 1 and $\min\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1}\} = r = 1$. Let $\alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$ be a positive integer. Then the following holds.

$$|S(\mathbb{A})| \\ \geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (i-1)r_{i-1} + (m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), \\ if a_{k-1} \leq 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} (i-1)r_{i-1} + (m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), \\ if a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} r_i - 1 \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$ with $r_{k-1} \ne 1$ and $r_k \ne 1$ or $r_{k-1} = r_k = 1$ or $r_{k-1} \ne 1$ and $r_k = 1$, then

$$|S(\mathbb{A})| \ge \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 3 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

(3) If $0 < \alpha \le r_0$ with $r_{k-2} = 1$ and $r_{k-1} \ne 1$, then

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k-5; \\ \theta k - k - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k-4. \end{cases}$$

(4) If $r_0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} r_i$ with $r_{k-2} = 1$ and $r_{k-1} \neq 1$, then there exists an integer $m \in [1,k]$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} r_i \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i$ and

26 $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$

25

29

31 32

 $\begin{array}{c|c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$

37 38

$$|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 3 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 1 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

39 *Proof.* Set $\mathbb{B}_7 := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}\}_{\vec{s}_7}$ with $\vec{s}_7 := (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{k-1})$. Then $d(B_7) = 1$. Observe that $\frac{40}{41} S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = S(\mathbb{B}_7) \cup \{0\} \text{ if } 0 < \alpha \le r_0 \text{ and } S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A}) = S_1^{\alpha - r_0}(\mathbb{B}_7) \cup \{0\} \text{ if } r_0 < \alpha \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2. \text{ Note}$ $\frac{41}{41} \text{ also that, if } \alpha > r_0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} r_i \le \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} r_i \text{ for some } m \in [2,k], \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} r_i \le \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i.$ 42 Therefore the integer *m* for A will work as m-1 for \mathbb{B}_7 .

Case I ($r_{k-1} \neq 1$ and $r_k \neq 1$ or $r_{k-1} = r_k = 1$ or $r_{k-1} \neq 1$ and $r_k = 1$). If $0 < \alpha \le r_0$, we have from 1 Theorem 4.2 that $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S_1^{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})|$ $= |S(\mathbb{B}_7)| + 1$ $\int a_{k-1} - (k-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + 1, \quad \text{if } a_{k-1} < 2(k-1) - 3;$

$$\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - (k-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + 1, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2(k-1) - 3, \\ \theta k - (k-1) - 4 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + 1, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2(k-1) - 2. \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

If $r_0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$, then we have two possibilities: either $0 < \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i - 1$ or $\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i - 1 \le \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - 2$. If $\alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} r_i - 1$, then by Theorem 6.4, we get

$$\begin{split} |S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| \\ &= |S_{\alpha-r_0}(\mathbb{B}_7)| \\ &\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0)\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2(k-1) - 3; \\ \theta k - k - 3 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0)\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2(k-1) - 2, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 1 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 3 + (k-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha\right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \geq 2k - 4. \end{cases}$$

FREIMAN'S (3k-4)-LIKE RESULTS FOR SUBSET AND SUBSEQUENCE SUMS

Case II $(r_{k-2} = 1 \text{ and } r_{k-1} \neq 1)$. If $0 < \alpha \le r_0$, we have from Theorem 4.2 that $\begin{array}{c|c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$ $|S_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})| = |S(\mathbb{B}_7)| + 1$ $\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - (k-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + 1, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2(k-1) - 3; \\ \theta k - (k-1) - 4 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} ir_i + 1, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2(k-1) - 2. \end{cases}$ $= \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (i-1)r_{i-1}, & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$ If $r_0 < \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-3} r_i$, then $0 < \alpha - r_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} r_i$. Thus, it follows from the proof of Theorem 6.5 that $|S(\mathbb{A})|$ $= |S_{\alpha-r_0}(\mathbb{B}_7)|$ $\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} + k - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ir_i + (m-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right) + (k-m)(r_{k-1} - 1), \\ \text{if } a_{k-1} \leq 2(k-1) - 3; \\ \theta k + k - 5 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-3} ir_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} ir_i + (m-1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right) + (k-m)(r_{k-1} - 1), \\ \text{if } a_k \geq 2(k-1) - 2, \end{cases}$ $=\begin{cases} \text{if } a_k \ge 2(k-1)-2, \\ a_{k-1}+1+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(i-1)r_{i-1}-\sum_{i=1}^m(i-1)r_{i-1}+(m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_{i-1}-\alpha\right)+(k-m)(r_{k-1}-1), \\ \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k-5; \\ \theta k-3+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(i-1)r_{i-1}-\sum_{i=1}^m(i-1)r_{i-1}+(m-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^m r_{i-1}-\alpha\right)+(k-m)(r_{k-1}-1), \\ \text{if } a_k \ge 2k-4 \end{cases}$ 26 27 If $\sum_{i=0}^{k-3} r_i \leq \alpha < \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i - 2$, then we have again from Theorem 6.5 that $|S(\mathbb{A})| = |S_{\alpha - r_0}(\mathbb{B}_7)|$ 28 $\geq \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 3 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2(k-1) - 3; \\ \theta k - k - 1 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i - (\alpha - r_0) \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2(k-1) - 2, \\ = \begin{cases} a_{k-1} - k + 3 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \le 2k - 5; \\ \theta k - k - 1 + (k-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} r_{i-1} - \alpha \right), & \text{if } a_{k-1} \ge 2k - 4. \end{cases}$ 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 This completes the proof of the theorem. 36 37

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her useful comments that improved the presentation of the article. The first author would like to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, for providing the grant to carry out the research with Grant No. 09/143(0925)/2018-EMR-I.

42 A part of this work was done when the second author was at the Institute of Mathematical Sciences

in Chennai, India. He thanks IMSc Chennai for the postdoctoral fellowship he received during that period.

References

- 1. B. Bajnok, Additive Combinatorics: A Menu of Research Problems, CRC Press, 2018.
- 6 2. É. Balandraud, An addition theorem and maximal zero-sum free sets in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, *Israel J. Math.* **188** (2012), 405–429.
- 7 3. É. Balandraud, Addition theorems in \mathbb{F}_p via the polynomial method, arXiv: 1702.06419 (2017).
- 4. É. Balandraud, B. Girard, S. Griffiths, and Y. O. Hamidoune, Subset sums in abelian groups, *European J. Comb.* 34 (2013), 1269–1286.
- 5. J. Bhanja and R. K. Pandey, Inverse problems for certain subsequence sums in integers, *Discrete Math.* 343(12) (2020), article no. 112148 (11 pages).
- 6. J. Bhanja and R. K. Pandey, On the minimum size of subset and subsequence sums in integers, *Comptes Rendus Math.* 360 (2022), 1099–1111.
- 7. D. Daza, M. Huicochea, C. Martos, and C. Trujillo, A Freiman-type theorem for restricted sumsets, *Int. J. Number Theory* 19(10) (2023), 2309–2332.
- 8. M. DeVos, L. Goddyn, B. Mohar, and R. Šámal, A quadratic lower bound for subset sums, *Acta Arith.* 129 (2007), 187–195.
 8. M. DeVos, L. Goddyn, B. Mohar, and R. Šámal, A quadratic lower bound for subset sums, *Acta Arith.* 129 (2007), 187–195.
- 9. H. K. Dwivedi and R. K. Mistri, Direct and inverse problems for subset sums with certain restrictions, *Integers* 22 (2022), Paper No. A112, 13 pp.
- ¹⁸ 10. G. A. Frieman, On the addition of finite sets I, *Izv. Vysh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **13**(6) (1959), 202–213.
- 19 11. G. A. Freiman, *Foundations of structural theory of set addition*, vol. 37, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973.
- 12. G. A. Freiman, L. Low, and J. Pitman, Sumsets with distinct summands and the conjecture of Erdős-Heilbronn on sums of residues, *Astérisque* 258 (1999), 163–172.
 22. D. G. D. Erdős-Heilbronn on sums of residues, *Astérisque* 258 (1999), 163–172.
- ²² 13. S. Griffiths, Asymptotically tight bounds on subset sums, *Acta Arith.* **138** (2009), 53–72.
- ²³ 14. X.-W. Jiang and Y.-L. Li, On the cardinality of subsequence sums, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14**(3) (2018), 661–668.
- ²⁴ 15. V. F. Lev, Restricted set addition in groups I: the classical setting, J. London Math. Soc. **62**(1) (2000), 27–40.
- 25 16. V. F. Lev, Structure theorem for multiple addition and the Frobenius problem, J. Number Theory 58(1) (1996), 79–88.
- 17. V. F. Lev, The structure of multisets with a small number of subset sums, In Structure theory of set addition, *Astérisque* 27
 258 (1999), 179–186.
- 18. R. K. Mistri, R. K. Pandey, and O. Prakash, Subsequence sums: direct and inverse problems, *J. Number Theory* 148 (2015), 235–256.
- 19. R. K. Mistri, R. K. Pandey, and O. Prakash, Subset and subsequence sums in integers, *J. Comb. Number Theory* 8 (2016), 207–223.
- 31 20. F. Monopoli, A generalization of sumsets modulo a prime, J. Number Theory 157 (2015), 271–279.
- 21. M. B. Nathanson, Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets, Springer, 1996.
- 22. M. B. Nathanson, Inverse theorems for subset sums, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 347(4) (1995), 1409–1418.
- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, UTTARAKHAND, 247667, INDIA
 Email address: mohan98math@gmail.com
- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY, DESIGN AND MANUFAC TURING, KANCHEEPURAM, CHENNAI-600127, INDIA
 E. J. H. Schwick, Schwick and Schwic
- 30 Email address: jagannath@iiitdm.ac.in 39
- DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, UTTARAKHAND, 247667, INDIA Email address: ram.pandey@ma.iitr.ac.in
- 42