

ON THE WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE 2D EULER-BÉNARD SYSTEM WITH NONLINEAR THERMAL DIFFUSIVITY.

OUSSAMA MELKEMI AND YOUSOUF MAAFA

ABSTRACT. This study presents the global existence of a unique solution within the Yudovich class for the Bénard system with nonlinear thermal diffusivity.

1. Introduction

The inviscid Bénard model is one of the systems that play an important role in the study and understanding of some of the terms and phenomena related to fluid dynamics and hydrodynamics. This model is represented by the following system:

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \theta_t + v \cdot \nabla \theta - \operatorname{div}(\kappa(\theta) \nabla \theta) = \mu v_2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ v_t + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla P = \theta \vec{e}_2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ (v(0, x), \theta(0, x)) = (v_0(x), \theta_0(x)), \end{cases}$$

here $v = (v_1, v_2)$ represents the velocity field which is assumed to be free-divergence, θ and P denotes the temperature and the pression of the fluid respectively, the term $\theta \vec{e}_2$ referred as the buoyancy force and the term μv_2 describes the Rayleigh-Bénard convection in the gravity direction. In what follows κ is a function stands for the thermal diffusivity. By neglecting the temperature θ in the system (1), then we will get the Euler-equations

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} v_t + v \cdot \nabla v + \nabla P = 0, & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0, \\ v(0, x) = v_0(x). \end{cases}$$

This equation have been extensively studied by many mathematicians in various function spaces. For example, in the d -dimensional case ($d > 2$), Kato and Ponce [18] showed that the equation (2) is locally well-posed, whenever the initial velocity belongs to $W^{s,p}$, with $\frac{d}{p} + 1 < s$, thereafter Chemin [8] extends this result to the Hölder class \mathcal{C}^s , with $1 < s$, and then by Chae [6] in the Besov framework. It is worth noting that until now, in 3 dimensional, the global theory for this equations still

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35 35B65 76D03 35Q86.

Key words and phrases. Bénard system, Yudovich solutions, nonlinear thermal diffusivity, Global well-posedness.

1 an open problem. The concept of vorticity ($w \triangleq \nabla \times v$) is very important to study and understand some
 2 phenomena related to the system (2). For instance, Beale, Kato and Majda [2] proved the following
 3 blow up criterion:

4 The lifespan T_* is finite if and only if $\int_0^{T_*} \|w(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau = +\infty$.

5 In the 2–dimensional case, the vorticity obeys the following transport equations

$$6 \quad w_t + v \cdot \nabla w = 0,$$

7
 8 then as an immediate application for the (BKM)-criterion¹, we can find the global existence of Kato's
 9 solutions. Yudovich in his famous paper [37] showed the existence/uniqueness of weak solution to the
 10 system (2) with initial vorticity belongs to $L^p \cap L^\infty$, where $p > 1$. The Yudovich's result extended by
 11 Serfati [29], where he just needs from the vorticity to be bounded. By back to the system (1), which
 12 can be considered as a generalization for the Euler equations, which can be divided into several cases:

13
 14 • CASE 1: (isotropic case) κ is a positive constant:

15 Chae [7] proved the global regularity for the classical Boussinesq system $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu=0}$, this result
 16 extended by Hmidi and Keraani [16] to the critical Besov spaces. For other related results in the critical
 17 Besov spaces see [14, 15, 23, 24, 26]. Concerning the Yudovich framework Danchin and Paicu [9]
 18 showed that the systems $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu=0}$ and $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu>0}$ admit a unique global solution belongs to $L^2 \cap L^\infty$
 19 (see also [32, 22]).

20 • CASE 2: (anisotropic case) κ is a positive constant with a dissipation in one direction $(\partial_{x_i}^2)_{i=1,2}$
 21 instead of the full Laplacian operator:

22 Danchin and Paicu [10] proved the global existence of the anisotropic Boussinesq system, Adhikari et
 23 al. investigated the anisotropic Boussinesq system in different situations in [1]. In the reference [21],
 24 Ma and Zhang established the global weak solution for the 2D Bénard system with vertical dissipation
 25 in the first component of the velocity field and horizontal thermal diffusivity. They also provided
 26 global regularity criteria for this weak solution. Additionally, they demonstrated the global existence
 27 and regularity criteria of the weak solution for the Bénard system in other cases involving partial
 28 viscosity and thermal diffusivity. More recently, the author [25] established the global existence of
 29 weak solution for the Bénard system with variable viscosity. The existence uniqueness and stability
 30 of such a system were explored by many authors, the reader can consult the following references
 31 [4, 5, 33, 12].

32 • CASE 3: κ is a positive constant and the operator $-\Delta$ is replaced by the fractional dissipation
 33 $(-\Delta)^{s/2}$:

34 The system $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu=0}$ explored by many authors, where Hmidi et al. [17] proved the global existence
 35 for the inviscid Boussinesq system $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu=0}$ with critical dissipation corresponding to the case
 36 $s = 1/2$, the method of [17] helps to cancel the effect of the rough term of the Lipschitz norm of the
 37 temperature. More recently, Melkemi et al. [27] proved the global existence of Yudovich's solution
 38 for Boussinesq system $(1)_{\kappa>0, \mu=0}$ with general source term and critical dissipation. Based on the
 39 technique used in [17], Wu and Xue [34] proved the global existence of the Yudovich solution for the
 40

41 ¹(BKM) is an abbreviation for Beale, Kato and Majda criterion

42 ²For $s \in (0, 1]$ the operator $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined via its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s g)(\xi) \triangleq |\xi|^s \mathcal{F}(g)(\xi)$

1 inviscid Bénard (1) $_{\kappa>0,\mu>0}$ system with subcritical dissipation, later Xu and Xue [31] investigated the
 2 Yudovich type solution for the inviscid Boussinesq system with critical dissipation. More recently, Z.
 3 Ye [36] was able to show the global existence of the Yudovich solution for the Bénard equation with
 4 critical dissipation.

5 • CASE 4: κ is a function depends on temperature:

6 Díaz and Galiano [11] proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the system (1) $_{\mu=0}$ on
 7 domains, recently Li and Xu [19] proved the global regularity for the system (1) $_{\mu=0}$, when $(v_0, \theta_0) \in$
 8 $(H^s(\mathbb{R}^2))^2$, and they have assumed that the coefficient κ is a smooth function such that $\frac{1}{C_0} \leq \kappa(\cdot) \leq C_0$.

9 Recently, Li [20], established the global regularity for the system (1) $_{\mu>0}$

10 More recently, M. Paicu and N. Zhu [28] investigated and studied the Yudovich type solution for the
 11 system (1) $_{\mu=0}$, where they have assumed that the nonlinear thermal diffusivity coefficient is sufficiently
 12 close to some positive constant in L^∞ . More precisely, they assumed that, the function κ obeys the
 13 following conditions:
 14

15 • Boundedness of the function κ :

$$16 \quad C_0^{-1} \leq \kappa(x) \leq C_0, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R};$$

17 • boundedness of the first derivative of κ :

$$18 \quad \kappa'(x) \leq C_0;$$

19 • smallness condition: there exists a constant ε such that

$$20 \quad (3) \quad \|\kappa(\theta) - 1\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon.$$

21 The key idea of [28] is based on taking advantage of the heat kernel semi-group. From Duhamel's
 22 formula, the authors were able to get the high regularities for the temperature via using the fact that the
 23 operator

$$24 \quad \mathcal{A}(f) \triangleq \int_0^t \nabla^2 e^{(t-\tau)\Delta} f_x(\tau) d\tau$$

25 is continuous from $L^r((0,t);L^p)$ into itself and the fact that the nonlinear thermal diffusivity coefficient
 26 is sufficiently close to some positive constant, thus the authors get the uniform bound for the vorticity
 27 in $L^2 \cap L^\infty$, which is enough to obtain the global existence of solution. Then, Y. Maafa and M. Zerguine
 28 [38] used the same technique of [28] to study the vortex patch problem of the system (1) $_{\mu=0}$. In 2022,
 29 Z. He and X. Liao [13], studied the global regularity for the Boussinesq system with temperature-
 30 dependent thermal and viscosity diffusions in general Sobolev spaces. More recently, Ye [35] dropped
 31 the boundedness of the first derivative of the function κ , and the smallness condition (3).
 32

33 Main Result

34 In the current paper, we are mainly interested with (CASE 4) corresponding to the case when κ is a
 35 function depends on temperature and μ is a positive constant. To be more precise, we study the system
 36 (1), where the initial vorticity belongs to the Yudovich class and we assume that the function κ is a
 37 smooth function that obeys the following conditions:

$$38 \quad \kappa_* \leq \kappa(z) \leq \kappa^* \text{ and } |\kappa'(z)| \leq C_0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

1 and we have the following result:

2 **Theorem 1.1.** Let $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\operatorname{div} v_0 \triangleq 0$ and $w_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We assume that $\mu \geq 0$ ³
3 and $\theta_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, the system (1) has a **unique global solution** (v, w, θ) such that

$$4 \quad v \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad w \in L^\infty([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

5 and

$$6 \quad \theta \in L^\infty([0, T]; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap \dot{W}^{1, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad \Delta(\kappa(\theta)\nabla\theta) \in L^2([0, T], L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

7
8
9 Let us say a few words about the strategy of our proof, the main difficulty lies in the presence of
10 the nonlinear thermal diffusivity, which makes the control of $\|\theta\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$ seems tough. First, we start
11 by establishing L^2 -estimate of velocity, vorticity and temperature, then we move to deal with the
12 L^∞ -norm of vorticity. In [30], Sun and Zhang observed that the primitive of the function κ , which is
13 denoted by $\Phi(t, x) := \int_0^\theta \kappa(s) ds$ satisfies the following system:

$$14 \quad (4) \quad \begin{cases} \Phi_t + v \cdot \nabla \Phi - \kappa(\theta) \Delta \Phi = \kappa(\theta) v_2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Phi(0, x) = \Phi_0(x). \end{cases}$$

15
16 So, we perform the \dot{H}^1 -estimates of Φ , then we can get that Φ belongs to $L^2([0, T], \dot{H}^2)$ therefore by
17 using an interpolation theorem combined with the fact that $\partial_i \Phi = \kappa(\theta) \partial_i \theta$ and κ is bounded function
18 we get the following estimate $\|\nabla \theta\|_{L_T^1 L^4} < +\infty$, after this we move to performing \dot{H}^2 -estimates for the
19 function Φ , which leads us to control $\|\nabla \theta\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}$ thus we obtain the L^∞ -norm of the vorticity, hence
20 the global Yudovich-solutions. The uniqueness part will be treated by adopting the Yudovich method.
21 This paper is arranged as follows, where in the next section, we perform some a priori estimate for
22 the velocity, temperature and vorticity. In the last section we prove the uniqueness of solution for the
23 system (1).
24
25
26

27 **Notations:** As usual, throughout the paper, we agree with the following notations, where we denote by
28 C any positive constant which changes from one line to another and we shall use the notation $X \lesssim Y$
29 instead of the notation, $\exists C_0 > 0$ such that $X \leq CY$ and C_0 is a positive constant depending on the initial
30 data. For every $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ denotes the L^p norms. For $T > 0$, we denote by C_T any constant
31 depends on the initial datum and the time T .
32

33 **Proof of Theorem 1.1**

34
35 In what follows, we present the proof of Theorem 1.1, we start first with some a priori estimates for the
36 velocity, temperature, thermal diffusivity, and vorticity.

37 **2. A priori estimate**

38
39 This section is devoted to perform some a priori estimate of the temperature, velocity and vorticity.
40

41
42 ³For the clarity of the computations, we assume that $\mu = 1$

1 L^2 —estimates for velocity and temperature:

2 The basic L^2 —estimates of velocity and temperature are given as follows:

3 **Proposition 2.1.** *We assume that (θ, v) is a smooth solution for (1) such that $\theta_0, v_0 \in L^2$, then*

4
5 (5)
$$\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\kappa_* \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2 L^2} \leq C_T,$$

6 *Proof.* By taking the L^2 inner product of the temperature and velocity equations and integrating by
7 parts over the spatial variable, we obtain

8
9
10
$$\frac{d}{dt} (\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \kappa_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla\theta(t, x)|^2 dx \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \theta v_2 dx.$$

11 From the Hölder and Young inequalities, and the Gronwall inequality we obtain the required estimate.

□

12
13
14 L^2 —estimate of the vorticity:

15 **Proposition 2.2.** *Let v be a smooth divergence free field with $w \triangleq \text{curl } v$. We assume that (w, θ) a*
16 *smooth solution for (1), then we have*

17
18
$$\|w\|_{L^2} \leq C_T.$$

19
20 *Proof.* First we observe that the vorticity w obeys the following equation

21
22 (6)
$$w_t + v \cdot \nabla w = \partial_1 \theta.$$

23
24 The classical L^2 estimate, ensures that

25
26
$$\|w(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|w_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla\theta(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau.$$

27 Hölder inequality and (5) leads to

28
29
$$\|w(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|w_0\|_{L^2} + C_T \|\theta_0\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_T.$$

□

30
31
32 In order to control the L^∞ —bound of vorticity we need to get more information about the temperature,
33 first, we observe that the function $\Phi \equiv \int_0^\theta \kappa(s) ds$ satisfies the following parabolic equation:

34
35 (7)
$$\begin{cases} \Phi_t + v \cdot \nabla \Phi - \kappa(\theta) \Delta \Phi = \kappa(\theta) v_2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \Phi(0, x) = \Phi_0(x). \end{cases}$$

36
37
38
39 **Global bound in \dot{H}^1 :**

40
41 **Proposition 2.3.** *Let v be a smooth divergence free field. We assume that Φ a smooth solution for (7),*
42 *then we have:*

$$(8) \quad \|\Phi\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \|\Phi\|_{L^2_t \dot{H}^2} \leq C_T,$$

$$(9) \quad \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^1_t L^4} \leq C_T.$$

Proof. We start by proving (8). Multiplying (7) by $-\Delta\Phi$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \kappa(\theta) |\Delta\Phi(t, x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} v \cdot \nabla\Phi \Delta\Phi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \kappa(\theta) v_2 \Delta\Phi,$$

We know that

$$\kappa_* \leq \kappa(\theta) \leq \kappa^*,$$

and

$$\kappa_* \|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \kappa(\theta) |\Delta\Phi(t, x)|^2 dx$$

then, from the above inequalities and after integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa_* \|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |D_j v_i| |D_i \Phi| |D_j \Phi| dx + \kappa^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v_2| |\nabla\Phi| dx \\ &\leq \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^4}^2 + \kappa^* \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} + \kappa^* \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \kappa^* \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq \frac{\kappa_*}{2} \|\Delta\Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa_*}{2} + C_\kappa \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

we point out that we have used in the above inequality the Young, Ladyzhenskaya inequalities and the Calderón-Zygmund inequality. Integrating with respect to time and by using Gronwall Lemma, we obtain

$$\|\Phi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^1} + \frac{\kappa_*}{2} \int_0^t \|\Delta\Phi(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \leq C_T.$$

□

For (9), we apply again the Ladyzhenskaya inequality, we obtain

$$\kappa_* \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^4} \leq \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^4} \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\Delta\Phi\|_{L^2}^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, we find out

$$\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^1_t L^4} \leq C_T.$$

1 **Global bound in \dot{H}^2 :**

2 **Proposition 2.4.** *Let v be a smooth divergence free field. We assume that Φ a smooth solution for (7),*
 3 *then we have:*

$$4 \quad (10) \quad \|\Phi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \kappa_* \int_0^T \|\Delta \nabla \Phi(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \lesssim C_T.$$

5
 6 *Proof.* We multiply (7) by $\Delta^2 \Phi$ and integrating with respect to space variable, we obtain after using
 7 Hölder inequality:

$$8 \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \Phi|^2 dx + \kappa_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \nabla \Phi|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla v| |\nabla \Phi| |\Delta \nabla \Phi| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |v| |\Delta \Phi| |\Delta \nabla \Phi| dx \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\kappa'(\theta)| |\nabla \theta| |\Delta \nabla \Phi| dx + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla v_2 \nabla \Delta \Phi dx \right| \\ &\leq \|\nabla v\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^4} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \Phi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \kappa^* \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

9 by using Young's inequality, we get

$$10 \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \Phi|^2 dx + \kappa_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \nabla \Phi|^2 dx &\leq C_\kappa \|\nabla v\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \Phi\|_{L^4}^2 + \frac{\kappa_*}{8} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\kappa \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\kappa_*}{8} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\kappa \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa_*}{8} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ C_\kappa \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa_*}{8} \|\Delta \nabla \Phi\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

11 Thus

$$12 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \Phi|^2 dx + \frac{\kappa_*}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \nabla \Phi|^2 dx \lesssim C_T + \|v\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Delta \Phi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

13 Integrating with respect to time, we infer that

$$14 \quad \|\Phi(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 + \kappa_* \int_0^T \|\Delta \nabla \Phi(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \lesssim C_T + \int_0^T \|v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\Phi(\tau)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^2 d\tau.$$

15 In order to close the above inequality, we need to bound $\|v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}$, in fact, from the interpolation
 16 inequality, we have

$$17 \quad \begin{aligned} \|v(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}^2 &\leq \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|\nabla v(\tau)\|_{L^4}^{4/3} \\ &\leq \|v(\tau)\|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|w(\tau)\|_{L^4}^{4/3}. \end{aligned}$$

18 According to Gronwall's Lemma, we find out the desired result. □

19 **Global bound of the vorticity in L^∞ :**

Proposition 2.5. *Let v be a smooth divergence free field with $w \triangleq \operatorname{curl} v$. We assume that (w, θ) be a smooth solution for (1), then we have*

$$\|w\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_T.$$

Proof. From the maximum principle of (6), we have

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|w_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}.$$

We have,

$$(11) \quad \kappa_* \|\nabla\theta(t)\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \leq \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty}.$$

On one hand, from interpolation inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L^2}^{1/3} \|\Delta\Phi\|_{L^4}^{2/3} \\ &\lesssim C_T \|\Delta\Phi\|_{L^4}^{2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have, from Ladyzhenskaya's inequality:

$$\|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^4}^{2/3} \lesssim \|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^{1/3} \|\Delta\nabla\Phi(t)\|_{L^2}^{1/3}.$$

Then from Young's inequality and (10), we arrive to

$$\|\Delta\Phi(t)\|_{L_T^1 L^4}^{2/3} \lesssim C_T.$$

Hence,

$$\|\nabla\theta(t)\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_*} \|\nabla\Phi\|_{L_T^1 L^\infty} \lesssim C_T,$$

from this we conclude that

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_T.$$

□

With these results we can obtain the existence of global solutions for the system (1) and it will be done in similar way in [28], thus we omit the details.

3. Uniqueness

This part is concerned with the uniqueness of the solution for the system (1). For the proof we adopt the Yudovich method. We assume that the system (1) admits to solutions (v_1, θ_1, p_1) and (v_2, θ_2, p_2) with same initial datum and we set $\bar{v} = v_1 - v_2$, $\bar{\theta} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$ and $\bar{p} = p_1 - p_2$.

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\theta}_t + v_2 \cdot \nabla \bar{\theta} - \operatorname{div}(\kappa(\theta_2) \nabla \bar{\theta}) = -\bar{v} \cdot \nabla v_1 + \operatorname{div}((\kappa(\theta_2) - \kappa(\theta_1)) \nabla \theta_1) + \bar{v}^2 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \bar{v}_t + v_2 \cdot \nabla \bar{v} + \nabla \bar{p} = \bar{\theta} \bar{e}_2 - \bar{v} \cdot \nabla v_1 & \text{if } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \end{cases}$$

From the classical L^2 -estimate we find out, for $r \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\bar{v}(t)\|_{L^2}^2) &\leq \|\nabla v_1\|_{L^r} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^{2r'}}^2 + \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim r \|\nabla v_1\|_{\mathbb{L}} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^\infty}^{2/r} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2}^{2/r'} + \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\nabla f\|_{\mathbb{L}} \triangleq \sup_{r \in [2, \infty)} \frac{\|\nabla f\|_{L^r}}{r}$.

In a similar way, we estimate the temperature term $\bar{\theta}$, where the standard L^2 -estimate gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \kappa_* \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\kappa(\theta_2) - \kappa(\theta_1)\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

Combining Young's inequality with an interpolation theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \kappa_* \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq (1 + \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^\infty}) \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_1\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^{3/2} \\ &\lesssim (1 + \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^\infty}) \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_1\|_{L^4}^{4/3} + \frac{\kappa_*}{2} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^2}^2) + \frac{\kappa_*}{2} \|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq (1 + \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^\infty}) \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^2} + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \bar{\theta}_1\|_{L^4}^{4/3}.$$

Let δ be a small parameter and by setting

$$\mathbb{Y}_\delta(t) \triangleq \left(\|\bar{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

According to the previous estimates, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{Y}_\delta(t) \lesssim r \|\nabla v_1\|_{\mathbb{L}} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^\infty}^{2/r} \mathbb{Y}_\delta^{1-2/r}(t) + C \left(1 + \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \theta_1\|_{L^4}^{4/3} \right) \mathbb{Y}_\delta(t).$$

Setting $\Upsilon_\delta(t) \triangleq \exp\left(-\int_0^t (1 + \|\nabla \theta_1(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \theta_1(\tau)\|_{L^4}^{4/3}) d\tau\right) \mathbb{Y}_\delta(t)$.

From the above estimates, we have

$$\frac{2}{r} \Upsilon_\delta^{\frac{2}{r}-1}(t) \frac{d}{dt} \Upsilon_\delta(t) \lesssim \|\nabla v_1\|_{\mathbb{L}} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^\infty}^{2/r} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{r} \int_0^t (1 + \|\nabla \theta_1(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla \theta_1(\tau)\|_{L^4}^{4/3}) d\tau\right),$$

after an integration with respect to time, we infer that

$$\Upsilon_\delta(t) \leq \left(\delta^{1/r} + C \int_0^t \|\nabla v_1\|_{\mathbb{L}} \|\bar{v}\|_{L^\infty}^{2/r} \right)^{r/2}.$$

1 Since $\|\nabla v_1\|_{\mathbb{L}}$ is locally bounded, then we can find $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{T_0} \|\nabla v_1(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{L}} d\tau < \frac{1}{2}.$$

2
3
4
5 Thus by letting $r \rightarrow +\infty$ we deduce that $(\bar{v}, \bar{\theta})$ equals to 0 on $[0, T_0]$ and by using a bootstrap argument
6 we can deduce that $(\bar{v}, \bar{\theta})$ equals to 0 on $[0, T]$, for all $T > 0$, which finishes the proof of the uniqueness
7 part.

8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42

- [1] D. Adhikari, C. Cao, H. Shang, J. Wu, X. Xu, Z. Ye, *Global regularity results for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial dissipation*, Journal of Differential Equations, Volume 260, Issue 2, 2016, Pages 1893-1917, ISSN 0022-0396, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.09.049>.
- [2] J. T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda: *Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3D–Euler equations*. Commun. Math. Phys. 94, 61–66 (1984).
- [3] A. Bertozzi, A. Majda, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002.
- [4] C. Cao, J. Wu,: *Global regularity for the 2D anisotropic Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 208, 985-1004, 2013
- [5] A. Larios, E. Lunasin, E.S. Titi,: *Global well-posedness for the 2D Boussinesq system with anisotropic viscosity and without heat diffusion*. J. Differ. Equ. 255, 2636-2654, 2013
- [6] D. Chae, *Local existence and blow-up criterion for the Euler equations in the Besov spaces*. Asymptotic Analysis 38 (2004) 339-358.
- [7] D. Chae : *Global regularity for the 2-D Boussinesq equations with partial viscous terms*. Adv. Math. 203(2), 497-513 (2006)
- [8] J.-Y. Chemin: *Perfect incompressible Fluids*. Oxford University Press (1998).
- [9] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, *Global well-posedness issues for the inviscid Boussinesq system with Yudovich-s type data*, Comm. Math. Phys. 290 (2009) 1-14.
- [10] R. Danchin and M. Paicu. *Global existence results for the anisotropic Boussinesq system in dimension two*. Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci., 21:421-457, 2011.
- [11] J. I. Díaz and G. Galiano: *Existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Boussinesq system with nonlinear thermal diffusion*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 28, no. 7-8, 1237–1263 (2003).
- [12] B. Dong ,J. Wu ,X. Xu ,N. Zhu *Stability and exponential decay for the 2D anisotropic Boussinesq equations with horizontal dissipation*. Calc Vari Partial Differ Equ. 2021;60(3)(No. 116, 21pp).
- [13] Z. He, X. Liao,: *On the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations with temperature-dependent thermal and viscosity diffusions in general Sobolev spaces*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 73, Paper No. 16, 25 (2022)
- [14] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani: *Incompressible viscous flows in borderline Besov spaces*, Arch. for Rational Mech. and Analysis 189 (2008), no 2, 283-300
- [15] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani,: *Inviscid limit for the two-dimensional Navier–Stokes system in a critical Besov space*. Asymptotic Anal. 53(3), 125–138 (2007)
- [16] T.Hmidi and S.Keraani, *On the global well-posedness of the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with a zero diffusivity*. Adv.Differential Equations 12 (2007), no.4,461-480.
- [17] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani, F. Rousset, : *Global well-posedness for Euler–Boussinesq system with critical dissipation*. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 36, 420-445 (2011)
- [18] T. Kato, G. Ponce: *Well-posedness of the Euler and Navier–Stokes equations in the Lebesgue spaces $L^p_s(\mathbb{R}^2)$* . Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 2 (1986), no. 1-2, 73-88.
- [19] D. Li, X. Xu, *Global well-posedness of an inviscid 2D Boussinesq system with nonlinear thermal diffusivity*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 10 (2013) 255-265.

- 1 [20] Z. Li *Global Well-Posedness of the 2D Euler-Boussinesq System with Stratification Effects*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.
2 40 (14), 5212-5221 (2017)
- 3 [21] L. Ma, L. Zhang: *Global existence of weak solution and regularity criteria for the 2D Bénard system with partial*
4 *dissipation*. Bound. Value Probl. (2018)
- 5 [22] Y. Maafa, and O. Melkemi, *The vortex patch issue for the generalized Boussinesq system*. Annales Polonici
6 Mathematici (pp. 1-28).
- 7 [23] Y. Maafa, and O. Melkemi *On the vanishing viscosity limit for the viscous and resistive 3D magnetohydrodynamic*
8 *system with axisymmetric data*. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 65(4).
- 9 [24] Y. Maafa, and M. Zerguine. *Inviscid limit for the full viscous MHD system with critical axisymmetric initial data*.
10 *Applicable Analysis*, 102(1):343–383, 2023.
- 11 [25] O. Melkemi, *Global Existence For the 2D Anisotropic Bénard equations with partial variable viscosity*. Math. Meth.
12 Appl. Sci. (2023), 1-13. DOI: 10.1002/mma.9359
- 13 [26] O. Melkemi, M. S. Abdo, A. Aiyashi, M.D. Albalwi: *On the Global Well-Posedness for a Hyperbolic Model Arising*
14 *from Chemotaxis Model with Fractional Laplacian Operator*. J. Math. 2023, 2023, 1140032.
- 15 [27] O. Melkemi , M. S. Abdo , W. Shammakh , H. Z. Alzumi: *Yudovich type solution for the two dimensiona Euler-*
16 *Boussinesq system with critical dissipation and general source term*. To appear in AIMS Mathematics
- 17 [28] M. Paicu and N. Zhu: *On the Yudovich's type solutions for the 2D Boussinesq system with thermal diffusivity*.
18 *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*. In press, hal-02500672.
- 19 [29] P. Serfati, *Structures holomorphes à faible régularité spatiale en mécanique des fluides*. J. Math. Pures Appl. 74
20 (1995), 95-104.
- 21 [30] Y. Sun, Z. Zhang, *Global regularity for the initial-boundary value problem of the 2-D Boussinesq system with*
22 *variable viscosity and thermal diffusivity*, J. Differ. Equ. 255 (2013) 1069–1085.
- 23 [31] X. Xu, L. Xue, : *Yudovich type solution for the 2D inviscid Boussinesq system with critical and supercritical*
24 *dissipation*. J. Differ. Equ. 256, 3179-3207 (2014)
- 25 [32] F. Xu, J. Yuan: *On the global well-posedness for the 2D Euler-Boussinesq system*. Nonlinear Anal, Real World Appl.
26 17, 137-146 (2014)
- 27 [33] J Wu,Q Zhang . *Stability and optimal decay for a system of 3D anisotropic Boussinesq equations*. Nonlinearity.
28 2021;34(8):5456-5484.
- 29 [34] G. Wu, L. Xue,: *Global well-posedness for the 2D inviscid Bénard system with fractional diffusivity and Yudovich's*
30 *type data*. J. Differ. Equ. 253, 100-125 (2012)
- 31 [35] Z. Ye, *Global well-posedness for 2D Euler-Boussinesq equations with temperature-dependent thermal diffusivity*,
32 *Journal of Differential Equations*,
- 33 [36] Z. Ye, *Global well-posedness for the 2D Euler-Boussinesq-Bénard equations with critical dissipation*. Journal of
34 Differential Equations, 392, pp.209-254.
- 35 [37] V. I. Yudovich: *Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid*. Zhurnal Vych Matematika, 3, 1032-106
36 (1963).
- 37 [38] M. Zerguine and Y. Maafa, *Inviscid limit for the viscous 2D Boussinesq system with temperature-dependent diffusivity*.
38 arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.07014.

34 HIGHER NATIONAL SCHOOL OF RENEWABLE ENERGIES, ENVIRONMENT & SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT, BATNA,
35 ALGERIA

36 *Email address:* ou.melkemi@hns-re2sd.dz

37 LABORATORY OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND APPLICATIONS, UNIVERSITY OF BATNA 2, ALGERIA

38 *Email address:* y.maafa@univ-batna2.dz

39

40

41

42