ON FACES OF THE KUNZ CONE AND THE NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITHIN THEM

LEVI BOREVITZ, TARA GOMES, JIAJIE MA, HARPER NIERGARTH, CHRISTOPHER O'NEILL, DANIEL POCKLINGTON, ROSA STOLK, JESSICA WANG, AND SHUHANG XUE

ABSTRACT. A numerical semigroup is a cofinite subset of the non-negative integers that is closed under addition and contains 0. Each numerical semigroup S with fixed smallest positive element m corresponds to an integer point in a rational polyhedral cone C_m , called the Kunz cone. Moreover, numerical semigroups corresponding to points in the same face $F \subseteq C_m$ are known to share many properties, such as the number of minimal generators. In this work, we classify which faces of C_m contain points corresponding to numerical semigroups. Additionally, we obtain sharp bounds on the number of minimal generators of S in terms of the dimension of the face of C_m containing the point corresponding to S.

1. INTRODUCTION

A numerical semigroup is a cofinite subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of the non-negative integers that is closed under addition and contains 0. Of recent interest is a family of rational cones C_m , called *Kunz cones*, with the property that each numerical semigroup S with smallest positive element m corresponds to an integer point in C_m . Inspired by a family of polyhedra introduced by Kunz [15], these cones have predominently been used to apply lattice point counting techniques (e.g., Ehrhart's theorem) to the enumeration of numerical semigroups [1, 20], in hopes of making progress on some long-standing open problems in the numerical semigroups literature, such as Wilf's conjecture [6, 7, 12, 23] and the Bras-Amoros conjecture concerning the number of numerical semigroups with a given genus [5, 13].

More recently, a connection between the faces of \mathcal{C}_m and the numerical semigroups therein was discovered [6, 14]. To each face $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$, a finite poset P can be naturally associated (called the *Kunz poset* of F). If a numerical semigroup S corresponds to a point in F (we often say "F contains S" for simplicity), then P coincides with a certain subset of the divisibility poset of S. Note that not all faces of \mathcal{C}_m contain numerical semigroups, but every face has an asociated Kunz poset. This construction was later enhanced to associate to each F a nilsemigroup N, called the *Kunz nilsemigroup* of F, in such a way that (i) the Kunz poset P of F is the divisibility poset of the non-nil elements of N, and (ii) if a numerical semigroup S lies in F, then N is the quotient of S by a certain semigroup congruence [9, 11].

1

Date: August 15, 2024.

An interesting consequence of the above is that the faces of C_m naturally partition the (infinite) collection of numerical semigroups with smallest positive element m into finitely many equivalence classes with similar algebraic properties. For instance, if two numerical semigroups lie in the same face of C_m , then they have the same number of minimal generators (it is one more than the number of minimal generators of the Kunz nilsemigroup N), and the first Betti numbers of their defining toric ideals coincide [11]. In this way, one may view the Kunz cones as a sort of "moduli space of numerical semigroups" though this has yet to be made precise [4].

In this paper, we answer two natural questions.

Main Question 1.1. When does a given face $F \subseteq C_m$ contain numerical semigroups?

We answer Main Question 1.1 in terms of the Kunz nilsemigroup of F (Theorem 3.5). Our characterization is both combinatorial and algebraic in nature, involving both nilsemigroups and the saturation of certain integer lattices, and yields an algorithm for testing whether a given face F contains numerical semigroups. We also provide some examples that highlight the difficulty in obtaining a purely combinatorial (e.g., poset-theoretic or nilsemigroup-theoretic) characterization.

One of the applications of Theorem 3.5 lies in streamlining the process of proving the existence of numerical semigroups with certain properties. The central theme of [18, 19], and the recent manuscript [9], is locating a numerical semigroup with prescribed smallest positive element m, number of minimal generators, and first Betti number. The latter article [9] made significant headway on this problem by first constructing a Kunz nilsemigroup N, from which all 3 quantities are determined, and then locating a numerical semigroup S lying in the face of C_m corresponding to N. Due to forthcoming work of the second and fifth authors of the present manuscript that proves all higher Betti numbers also coincide for numerical semigroups in the same face, and the broader interest these quantities hold (see the survey [21]), it is worthwhile to streamline the process of proving there exists a numerical semigroup with a given Kunz nilsemigroup.

Presently, after identifying a Kunz nilsemigroup N with the desired properties, one must locate a numerical semigroup in the face corresponding to N, the construction of which is often technical and cumbersome due to certain modular arithmetic restrictions that must be satisfied. Theorem 3.5 provides a different (and simpler) avenue: after locating the desired Kunz nilsemigroup N, prove N corresponds to a face $F \subseteq C_m$, then prove F contains numerical semigroups. We demonstrate the utility of this approach in our answer to the following question. Let $\mathbf{e}(F)$ denote the number of minimal generators of the Kunz nilsemigroup of F (if F contains a numerical semigroup S, then $\mathbf{e}(F)$ is one less than the number of minimal generators of S).

Main Question 1.2. Given d and m, what are the possible values of e(F) for $F \subseteq C_m$ with $d = \dim F$?

It is known [14] that dim $F \leq \mathbf{e}(F)$. We obtain, for each face F with dim $F \geq 2$, sharp bounds on $\mathbf{e}(F)$ in terms of dim F. We also identify a family of faces for each mthat demonstrate every intermediate value of $\mathbf{e}(F)$ between these bounds is attained. Our proof of the latter is made more concise and less technical thanks to Theorem 3.5 (we encourage the reader to compare them to the constructions given in [9]). We also identify when the faces in this family contain numerical semigroups, and for those that do not, we prove that locating such a face that does contain numerical semigroups is impossible (again using Theorem 3.5).

Our answers to Main Questions 1.1 and 1.2 can be found in Sections 3 and 4, respectively, after an overview of the background in Section 2.

2. Background

In this section, we recall Kunz nilsemigroups and presentations (for a thorough introduction, see [9]), basic definitions from polyhedral geometry (see [24]), and the Kunz cone (see [14]).

Fix a commutative semigroup (N, +). An element $\infty \in N$ is *nil* if $a + \infty = \infty$ for all $a \in N$. We say an element $a \in N$ is *nilpotent* if $na = \infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and *partly cancellative* if $a + b = a + c \neq \infty$ implies b = c for all $b, c \in N$. We say N is a *nilsemigroup with identity* (or just a *nilsemigroup*) if N has an identity element and every non-identity element is nilpotent, and that N is *partly cancellative* if every nonnil element of N is partly cancellative. The *atoms* of a partly cancellative semigroup are the elements that cannot be written as a sum of two non-identity elements.

Fix a partly cancellative semigroup S with finite generating set n_0, \ldots, n_k (we write $S = \langle n_0, \ldots, n_k \rangle$ in this case). A *factorization* of an element $n \in S$ is an expression

$$n = z_0 n_0 + \dots + z_k n_k$$

of n as a sum of generators of S. The set of factorizations of $n \in S$ is the set

$$\mathsf{Z}_{S}(n) = \{ z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} : n = z_{0}n_{0} + \dots + z_{k}n_{k} \},\$$

viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1}$. The support of a factorization $z = (z_0, \ldots, z_k)$ is the set $\operatorname{supp}(z) = \{i : z_i > 0\}$ of nonzero coordinates. The factorization homomorphism of S is the function $\varphi_S : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} \to S$ given by

$$\varphi_S(z_0,\ldots,z_k)=z_0n_0+\cdots+z_kn_k$$

sending each tuple to the element of S it is a factorization of. The kernel of φ_S is

$$\ker \varphi_S = \{(z, z') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} : \varphi_S(z) = \varphi_S(z')\},\$$

which is an equivalence relation ~ setting $z \sim z'$ whenever $\varphi_S(z) = \varphi_S(z')$. In fact, ~ is a congruence relation, meaning that $z \sim z'$ implies $(z + z'') \sim (z' + z'')$ for all $z, z', z'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1}$ (i.e., ~ is closed under translation). Each such relation $z \sim z'$ is called a trade of S. A set ρ of trades is said to generate ~ if ~ is the smallest congruence on $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{k+1}$ containing ρ . A presentation of S is a set of trades obtained from a generating set of ker φ_S by omitting any generators $z \sim z'$ for which $\varphi_S(z)$ is nil.

Fix a subset $Z \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$. The factorization graph of Z is the graph ∇_Z whose vertices are the elements of Z in which two tuples $z, z' \in Z$ are connected by an edge if $z_i > 0$ and $z'_i > 0$ for some *i*. If S is a finitely generated semigroup and $n \in S$, then we write $\nabla_n = \nabla_Z$ for $Z = \mathsf{Z}_S(n)$. The following, which appeared as [11, Proposition 3.8], identifies a relationship between factorization graphs and presentations.

Proposition 2.1. Fix a finitely generated, partly cancellative semigroup S. A set ρ of relations between factorizations of elements of $S \setminus \{\infty\}$ is a presentation of S if and only if for every non-nil $a \in S$, a connected graph is obtained from ∇_a by adding an edge for each pair of factorizations of a in ρ .

A numerical semigroup is an additive subsemigroup S of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite complement that contains 0. Any numerical semigroup has a unique generating set that is minimal with respect to inclusion. The cardinality of the minimal generating set of S is known as the *embedding dimension* of S, and the smallest positive element of S is called the *multiplicity* of S.

Letting m be the multiplicity of S, the Apéry set of S is the set

$$\operatorname{Ap}(S) = \{ n \in S : n - m \notin S \}$$

of minimal elements of S within each equivalence class modulo m. Since S is cofinite, we have that $|\operatorname{Ap}(S)| = m$ and that $\operatorname{Ap}(S)$ contains exactly one element in each equivalence class modulo m. If we write

$$Ap(S) = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}\},\$$

where $a_i \equiv i \mod m$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$, then we refer to the tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}) as the *Apéry tuple* of *S*.

The Kunz nilsemigroup N of a numerical semigroup S is obtained from S/\sim , where \sim is the congruence that relates $a \sim b$ whenever a = b or $a, b \notin \operatorname{Ap}(S)$ (the set $S \setminus \operatorname{Ap}(S)$ comprises the nil of S/\sim), by replacing each non-nil element with its equivalence class in \mathbb{Z}_m . The atoms of N are thus the elements $\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $a \neq m$ is a minimal generator of S.

Example 2.2. Consider the numerical semigroup $S = \langle 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$. Its Apéry set, written as $\{0, a_1, \ldots, a_5\}$ with $a_i \equiv i \mod 6$, is

$$Ap(S) = \{0, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17\}.$$

Thus the Kunz nilsemigroup N of S is the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \infty\}$ equipped with the operation + defined so that 0 is the identity,

$$1 + 3 = 4,$$
 $2 + 2 = 4,$ $2 + 3 = 5,$



FIGURE 1. The divisibility poset of the non-nil elements of the Kunz nilsemigroup of $S = \langle 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$.

and all other sums yield ∞ . The divisibility poset of $N \setminus \{\infty\}$, which places b above a whenever a + c = b for some $c \in N$, is depicted in Figure 1. The factorization sets of the non-nil elements in N are

$$Z_N(0) = \{(0,0,0)\}, \quad Z_N(1) = \{(1,0,0)\}, \qquad Z_N(2) = \{(0,1,0)\}, Z_N(3) = \{(0,0,1)\}, \quad Z_N(4) = \{(1,0,1), (0,2,0)\}, \quad Z_N(5) = \{(0,1,1)\}.$$

Looking at the factorization graphs of the non-nil elements of N, we see that all are connected except ∇_4 . Only one edge is needed to connect it, namely the edge corresponding to the trade $(1,0,1) \sim (0,2,0)$. Therefore, $\rho = \{((1,0,1), (0,2,0))\}$ is a presentation for N by Proposition 2.1.

A rational polyhedral cone $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the set of solutions to a finite list of linear inequalities with rational coefficients, that is,

$$P = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : Ax \ge 0 \}$$

for some rational matrix A. We say C is *pointed* if it does not contain any positivedimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^d , and the *dimension* of C is the vector space dimension of $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} C$. If none of the inequalities can be omitted without altering C, we call this list an *H*-description or facet description of C (if C is full-dimensional, then such a list of inequalities is unique up to reordering and scaling by positive constants). The inequalities appearing in the *H*-description of C are called facet inequalities of C.

Given a facet inequality $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_dx_d \ge 0$ of C, the intersection of C with the equation $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_dx_d = 0$ is called a *facet* of C. Any intersection F of facets of C is called a *face* of C, and it itself a rational polyhedral cone. The set of facets containing a face F is called the *facet description* of F. The *interior* of F, denoted F° , is the set of points in F that do not lie in any faces properly contained in F.

Fix $m \ge 2$. The Kunz cone $\mathcal{C}_m \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m-1}_{\ge 0}$ is the pointed cone with facet inequalities

 $x_i + x_j \ge x_{i+j}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$ with $i+j \neq 0$,

where the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{m-1} are indexed by $\mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$. The integer points (z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1}) satisfying $z_i \equiv i \mod m$ for each *i* are called *Apéry points*.

Theorem 2.3 ([15]). For each $m \geq 2$, the set of Apéry points in C_m coincides with the set of Apéry tuples of numerical semigroups containing m.

We say a face $F \subseteq C_m$ is *degenerate* if there exists a point $x \in F^\circ$ with some $x_i = 0$. Positive dimensional degenerate faces occur in C_m whenever m is composite (see [14, Example 3.6]). However, such faces never contain Apéry points. The following result, which appeared as [11, Theorem 3.3], implies that the Kunz nilsemigroup of a numerical semigroup S uniquely identifies the face of C_m containing its Apéry tuple.

Theorem 2.4. Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$. Define a commutative operation \oplus on the set $\mathbb{Z}_m \cup \{\infty\}$ so that ∞ is nil and for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$,

$$a \oplus b = \begin{cases} a+b & \text{if } x_a + x_b = x_{a+b}; \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Under this operation, (N, \oplus) is a partly cancellative nilsemigroup (which we call the Kunz nilsemigroup of F).
- (b) When F contains a numerical semigroup S, the Kunz nilsemigroup of S equals the Kunz nilsemigroup of F.

In view of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, given a numerical semigroup S and a face $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$, we write $S \in F$ if the Apéry tuple of S lies in F° .

Remark 2.5. The degenerate faces are precisely those in the image of the injection given by [14, Corollary 3.7], hence it is sufficient to classify the embedding and face dimension of non-degenerate faces. For these reasons, from a classification standpoint, it suffices to consider non-degenerate faces of C_m .

Example 2.6. Let us return to the numerical semigroup $S = \langle 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$ from Example 2.2. Since the Kunz nilsemigroup of S is equal to the Kunz nilsemigroup of the face $F \subseteq C_6$ it lies on, the facet equalities of F are

$$x_1 + x_3 = x_4$$
, $x_2 + x_2 = x_4$, and $x_2 + x_3 = x_5$.

Furthermore, the Apéry tuple of S, (7, 8, 9, 16, 17), satisfies the above facet equalities, indicating that these are in fact the 3 facets of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ containing (7, 8, 9, 16, 17).

Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N and contained in i facets. The embedding dimension of F is the number $\mathbf{e}(F) = k$ of atoms of N. If F contains a numerical semigroup S, then $\mathbf{e}(S) = \mathbf{e}(F) + 1$. The hyperplane matrix of F is the matrix $H_F \in \mathbb{Z}^{i \times (m-1)}$ whose columns are indexed by the nonzero elements of \mathbb{Z}_m and whose rows encode the equations of the facets containing F. Note dim $F = \operatorname{rk} H_F$. Given any finite presentation ρ of N, the matrix $M_\rho \in \mathbb{Z}^{|\rho| \times k}$ whose columns are indexed by the atoms of N and whose rows have the form z - z' for $(z, z') \in \rho$ is called a presentation matrix of N. The following appeared as [11, Theorem 4.3] and expresses dim F in terms of rk M_ρ .

ON FACES OF THE KUNZ CONE AND THE NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITHIN THEM 7



FIGURE 2. Divisibility posets of non-Apéry Kunz nilsemigroups.

Theorem 2.7. If $F \subseteq C_m$ is a non-degenerate face with Kunz nilsemigroup N, then

$$\dim F = \mathbf{e}(F) - \mathrm{rk}(M_{\rho})$$

where ρ is any finite presentation of N.

3. A CRITERION FOR APÉRY FACES

Not all non-degenerate faces of the Kunz cone C_m contain Apéry points. In this section, we provide a criterion for a face $F \subset C_m$ to contain Apéry points (Theorem 3.5). We also discuss some consequences, including an algorithm to determine whether a face contains Apéry points.

Definition 3.1. Fix $m \ge 2$ and a face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N. We say F is Apéry, and that N is Apéry, if F° contains an Apéry point.

Example 3.2. Consider the face $F, F' \subseteq C_6$ whose Kunz nilsemigroups N and N' have divisibility posets depicted in Figures 2a and 2b, respectively. If N were the Kunz nilsemigroup of a numerical semigroup S with Apéry set $\{0, a_1, \ldots, a_5\}$, then $2a_1 = a_2 = 2a_4$, which is impossible since a_1 and a_4 are distinct modulo 6. Similarly, if N' were the Kunz nilsemigroup of S, then since $a_2 = 2a_1 = a_3 + a_5$ we either have $a_3 < a_1 < a_5$ or $a_5 < a_1 < a_3$. Either way, it is impossible to have $a_4 = 2a_5 = a_1 + a_3$. Hence, neither F nor F' are Apéry.

We briefly recall some definitions (see [16, Chapter 7]). A *lattice* is a \mathbb{Z} -submodule $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, and the rank of L is $\operatorname{rk}(L) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{D}} L$. The saturation of L is

$$\operatorname{Sat}(L) \coloneqq \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{O}}(L) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and we say L is saturated if L = Sat(L). The dual or orthogonal complement of L is

$$L^{\perp} = \{ v \in \mathbb{Z}^n : v \cdot v' = 0 \text{ for all } v' \in L \},\$$

which is a saturated lattice, even if L is not saturated, and in particular $L^{\perp\perp} = \operatorname{Sat}(L)$.

Definition 3.3. Fix a Kunz nilsemigroup N and a presentation ρ of N. The presentation lattice of N is given by

$$L_N = L_\rho = \{c_1(z_1 - z'_1) + \dots + c_n(z_n - z'_n) : c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}, (z_1, z'_1), \dots, (z_n, z'_n) \in \rho\}.$$

The following result ensures the presentation lattice L_{ρ} does not depend on the chosen presentation ρ of N, justifying the alternative notation L_N .

Proposition 3.4. If ρ, ρ' are presentations of a Kunz nilsemigroup N, then $L_{\rho} = L_{\rho'}$.

Proof. Let $(z, z') \in \rho$. Since ρ and ρ' generate the same congruence, (z, z') can be obtained via translation, transitivity, and symmetry from trades in ρ' . Thus, z - z' is an integral linear combination of vectors in $L_{\rho'}$, ensuring $z - z' \in L_{\rho'}$. This means $L_{\rho} \subseteq L_{\rho'}$, and so by an identical argument, $L_{\rho} = L_{\rho'}$.

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section, though we defer its proof to later in the section.

Theorem 3.5. Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N. Let a_1, \ldots, a_k denote the atoms of N, and let $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Then F is Apéry if and only if $v \cdot \alpha \equiv 0 \mod m$ for all $v \in \operatorname{Sat}(L_N)$.

Example 3.6. Consider face $F \subseteq C_8$ whose Kunz nilsemigroup N has divisibility poset depicted in Figure 2c. Using the presentation

$$\rho = \{((3,0,0), (0,1,1)), ((0,0,3), (1,1,0))\}$$

of N, one can argue directly that F is not Apéry since any Apéry point $(a_1, \ldots, a_7) \in F$ must satisfy $4a_1 = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 4a_3$, which is impossible since $a_1 \not\equiv a_3 \mod 8$. Theorem 3.5 ensures this same conclusion since

$$(3, -1, -1) - (-1, -1, 3) = (4, 0, -4) \in L_N,$$

implies $(1, 0, -1) \in \text{Sat}(L_N)$, but $(1, 0, -1) \cdot (1, 4, 7) = -6 \not\equiv 0 \mod 8$.

Most "small" examples of non-Apéry faces, including all 3 thus far in this section, rely on m being composite, in that $ax \equiv b \mod m$ has 2 or more solutions when $a \geq 2$ divides m. However, C_m has non-Apéry faces for each $m \geq 11$.

Example 3.7. The face $F \subseteq C_{11}$, whose Kunz nilsemigroup N has divisibility poset depicted in Figure 2d, is not Apéry. Indeed, one presentation of N is

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \{ ((1,0,0,0,1), (0,2,0,0,0)), ((1,1,0,0,0), (0,0,2,0,0)), \\ &\quad ((0,0,1,0,1), (0,0,0,2,0)), ((1,0,0,1,0), (0,0,0,0,2)) \}, \end{split}$$

and since

$$3(1, -2, 0, 0, 1) + 6(1, 1, -2, 0, 0) + (0, 0, 1, -2, 1) + 2(1, 0, 0, 1, -2) = (11, 0, -11, 0, 0),$$

we must have $(1, 0, -1, 0, 0) \in \text{Sat}(L_N)$. Theorem 3.5 then implies F is not Apéry.

Before proving Theorem 3.5, we develop some necessary machinery. We begin with Proposition 3.8, which ensures that in order to locate an Apéry point in the interior of a face $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$, it is equivalent to locate an Apéry point on $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{O}} F$.

Proposition 3.8. Fix a face $F \subseteq C_m$, and let $V = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} F$. If there exists an Apéry point in V, then F is an Apéry face.

Proof. Let $d = \dim F$, and assume there exists an Apéry point $x \in V$. Fix rational vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in F$ that form a basis for V. By scaling appropriately, we may assume v_1, \ldots, v_d have entries that are integer multiples of m. Writing $x = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_dv_d$ where each $c_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, the point

$$x' = x + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \max(\lceil -c_i \rceil, 1)v_i$$

is an Apéry point since $x'_i \equiv x_i \mod m$ for each i, and $x' \in F^\circ$ since it is a positive linear combination of v_1, \ldots, v_d . This completes the proof.

Our next step is Theorem 3.11, a general result we will apply to determine the existence of Apéry points in the lattice $L = (\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$ using vectors in the orthogonal lattice L^{\perp} . We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.9. If $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a saturated lattice of rank d, and $\overline{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ is its image under the canonical projection $\mathbb{Z}^n \to \mathbb{Z}_m^n$, then \overline{L} is a free \mathbb{Z}_m -module of rank d and $\overline{L^{\perp}} = \overline{L}^{\perp}$.

Proof. Let v_1, \ldots, v_d be a \mathbb{Z} -basis for L. Suppose that there exist $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} \overline{c}_i \overline{v}_i = \overline{0}, \quad \text{that is,} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i v_i = mz$$

for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Since L is saturated, $z \in L$, and since the v_i 's form a \mathbb{Z} -basis for L, z is uniquely expressed as

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{c_i}{m} v_i,$$

where the coefficients $\frac{c_i}{m}$ are integers. Hence $m \mid c_i$ for each i, and we conclude $\overline{v}_1, \ldots, \overline{v}_d$ form a basis for \overline{L} . This means \overline{L} is a free \mathbb{Z}_m -module of rank d.

For the final claim, we induct on d. If d = 0, then $\overline{L}^{\perp} = \mathbb{Z}_m^n = \overline{L^{\perp}}$ for every $m \ge 2$, so suppose d > 0. Let v_1, \ldots, v_d be a \mathbb{Z} -basis for L, let

$$T = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{ v_1, \dots, v_{d-1} \},$$

and assume $\overline{T^{\perp}} = \overline{T}^{\perp}$ holds for every $m \geq 2$. Fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis w_1, \ldots, w_{n-d} for L^{\perp} . Since L^{\perp} and T^{\perp} are saturated, $T^{\perp}/L^{\perp} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, so choosing any $w' \in T^{\perp}$ whose image is $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ yields a \mathbb{Z} -basis w_1, \ldots, w_{n-d}, w' is for T^{\perp} .

Since $w' \notin L^{\perp}$, $w' \cdot v_d \neq 0$. We claim $|w' \cdot v_d| = 1$. For the sake of contradiction, suppose $w' \cdot v_d = \ell > 1$. Applying the inductive hypothesis for $m = \ell$, we have $\overline{L}^{\perp} \subsetneq \overline{T}^{\perp} = \overline{T}^{\perp}$, where the strict containment follows from $\overline{T} \subsetneq \overline{L}$ (they are free \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -modules of distinct ranks) and $\overline{L}^{\perp \perp} = \overline{L}$ (by [22, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.5]). However, $w_i \cdot v_j = 0$ for all i and j, $w' \cdot v_j = 0$ for j < d, and $w' \cdot v_d \equiv 0 \mod \ell$, so $\overline{L}^{\perp} = \overline{T}^{\perp}$, which is a contradiction.

Now, fix $m \geq 2$, and consider reduction modulo m. We have $\overline{L^{\perp}} \subseteq \overline{L}^{\perp} \subsetneq \overline{T^{\perp}}$, and $k\overline{w'} \notin \overline{L}^{\perp}$ for $1 \leq k < m$ since the claim in the preceding paragraph implies $kw' \cdot v_d = k \not\equiv 0 \mod m$. Thus, the order of $\overline{w'}$ in $\overline{T^{\perp}}/\overline{L}^{\perp}$ is m, so $|\overline{T^{\perp}}/\overline{L}^{\perp}| \geq m$. By Lagrange's theorem, $|\overline{L}^{\perp}| = m^d = |\overline{L^{\perp}}|$, thereby completing the proof. \Box

Example 3.10. Both parts of Lemma 3.9 can fail if the lattice L is not saturated. Indeed, the image of the lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by (2,0) under the projection into \mathbb{Z}_4^2 is $\overline{L} = \{(\overline{0},\overline{0}), (\overline{2},\overline{0})\}$, which has no basis since $\overline{2}(\overline{2},\overline{0}) = (\overline{0},\overline{0})$. Moreover, $L^{\perp} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{(0,1)\}$ projects to $\overline{L^{\perp}} = \{(\overline{0},\overline{0}), (\overline{0},\overline{1}), (\overline{0},\overline{2}), (\overline{0},\overline{3})\}$, so $(\overline{2},\overline{0}) \in \overline{L}^{\perp} \setminus \overline{L^{\perp}}$.

Theorem 3.11. Fix a saturated lattice $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. There exists $v \in L$ with $v_i \equiv \gamma_i \mod m$ for each *i* if and only if $w \cdot \gamma \equiv 0 \mod m$ for every $w \in L^{\perp}$.

Proof. Lemma 3.9 and [22, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.5] imply $\overline{L^{\perp}}^{\perp} = \overline{L}^{\perp \perp} = \overline{L}$. To prove the claim, it suffices to prove $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{L}$ if and only if $\overline{\gamma} \in \overline{L^{\perp}}^{\perp}$, so we are done. \Box

Letting $L = \ker(H_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$ and $\gamma = (1, 2, \dots, m-1)$, a consequence of Theorem 3.11 is that F is Apéry if and only if $w \cdot \gamma \equiv 0 \mod m$ for every $w \in \operatorname{Row}(H_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$. But Theorem 3.5 states it is enough to consider vectors in the lower-rank lattice $\operatorname{Sat}(L_N)$. To this end, we recall Theorem 3.12, a consequence of the proof of [11, Theorem 4.3] that relates H_F to a presentation matrix of the Kunz nilsemigroup of F, as the final ingredient in our proof of Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.12. Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N, and order the non-nil elements $p_1 \preceq \cdots \preceq p_{m-1}$ of N so that p_1, \ldots, p_k are the atoms of N. Then there exist invertible matrices R and C such that

$$RH_FC = \left(\frac{M_\rho \mid 0}{-A \mid I}\right)$$

where the columns on the right hand side are labeled by p_1, \ldots, p_{m-1} , M_{ρ} is a presentation matrix of N, I is an identity matrix, and A is a matrix whose i-th row is a factorization of p_{k+i} for each $i \leq m-k-1$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Fix a multiplicity m and a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N and hyperplane matrix H_F . Applying Theorem 3.11 with

$$L = \ker(H_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$$
 and $\gamma = (1, 2, \dots, m-1),$

we have that F is Apéry if and only if $w \cdot \gamma \equiv 0 \mod m$ for all $w \in \operatorname{Row}(H_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$. It is now sufficient to show the statement

(3.1)
$$w \cdot \gamma \equiv 0 \mod m \text{ for all } w \in \operatorname{Row}(H_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$$

is equivalent to

(3.2)
$$w \cdot \alpha \equiv 0 \mod m \text{ for all } w \in \operatorname{Sat}(L_N).$$

Letting $\widetilde{H}_F = RH_FC$ as in Theorem 3.12 and $\widetilde{\gamma} = \gamma C$, (3.1) is equivalent to

(3.3) $w \cdot \widetilde{\gamma} \equiv 0 \mod m \text{ for all } w \in \operatorname{Row}(\widetilde{H}_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$

since $\operatorname{Row}(\widetilde{H}_F) = \operatorname{Row}(H_FC)$.

Fix $w = c\widetilde{H}_F \in \operatorname{Row}(\widetilde{H}_F) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{m-1}$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}^{m-1}$. Due to the form of \widetilde{H}_F , we can write c = (c', c'') so that $w = (c'M_{\rho}, 0) + (-c''A, c''I)$. Since each coordinate of c'' coincides with a coordinate of w, each $c''_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, so by the definition of A, we have $(-c''A, c''I) \cdot \widetilde{\gamma} \equiv 0 \mod m$. As such, $w \cdot \widetilde{\gamma} \equiv 0 \mod m$ if and only if

$$(c'M_{\rho}, 0) \cdot \widetilde{\gamma} = (c'M_{\rho}) \cdot \alpha \equiv 0 \mod m,$$

which proves (3.3) is equivalent to (3.2) since $\operatorname{Row}(M_{\rho}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^k = \operatorname{Sat}(L_N)$.

Corollary 3.13. If a non-degenerate face $F \subset C_m$ has Kunz nilsemigroup N satisfying any of the following conditions, then F is Apéry:

- (a) N has a saturated presentation lattice;
- (b) N has a trivial presentation lattice; or
- (c) F is a facet.

Proof. Assume F satisfies (a). Letting α the vector of atoms of N, every $\tau \in L_N$ satisfies $\tau \cdot \alpha \equiv 0 \mod m$ by definition. However, by assumption, $L_N = \text{Sat}(L_N)$, so F is Apéry by Theorem 3.5. Since (b) implies (a), this proves the first two claims.

To complete the proof, we claim (c) implies (b). Indeed, if N has a non-trivial presentation ρ , then N has at most m-2 atoms and $\operatorname{rk}(M_{\rho}) \geq 1$. Hence, Theorem 2.7 implies dim $F \leq m-3 < \dim \mathcal{C}_m - 1$, so F is not a facet.

Example 3.14. Given Corollary 3.13, one might hope that Apéry faces are precisely those with saturated presentation lattice. Unfortunately, this is not true in general. The Kunz nilsemigroup N of the numerical semigroup

 $S = \langle 18, 41, 43, 83, 85, 92, 96, 99, 106 \rangle,$

seen in Figure 3, has presentation $\rho = \{(0,3,0,1,0,-2,0,0), (0,1,0,3,0,0,-2,0)\}.$ One can check that

 $\frac{1}{2}(0,3,0,1,0,-2,0,0) + \frac{1}{2}(0,1,0,3,0,0,-2,0) = (0,2,0,2,0,-1,-1,0) \in \operatorname{Sat}(L_N) \setminus L_N.$

Despite this, the face containing S does not violate Theorem 3.5 since the right hand side above has the desired dot product with the vector of atoms of N.



FIGURE 3. Divisibility poset of an Apéry Kunz nilsemigroup whose presentation lattice L_{ρ} is not saturated. Atoms 2, 6, 9, and 16 are omitted for clarity, and the opaque vertex and dashed edges identify the location of a trade in $\operatorname{Sat}(L_{\rho}) \setminus L_{\rho}$.

One of the primary applications of Theorem 3.5 is an algorithm for determining whether a face F is Apéry using its Kunz nilsemigroup N (Algorithm 3.15). Table 1 gives some data on the distribution of Apéry faces for $m \leq 13$, computed using an implementation of Algorithm 3.15 in SageMath dependent on the packages numsgps-sage and kunzpolyhedron [17, 10], as well as the GAP package numericalsgps [8].

Algorithm 3.15. Check if a given Kunz nilsemigroup N is Apéry.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{function IsAPERY}(N) \\ \alpha \leftarrow (a_1, \dots, a_e) \text{ atoms of } N \\ \rho \leftarrow \text{ a presentation of } N \\ B \leftarrow \text{ a basis of } \operatorname{Sat}(L_{\rho}) \\ \textbf{if } v \cdot \alpha = 0 \text{ for each } v \in B \text{ then} \\ \textbf{return True} \\ \textbf{else} \\ \textbf{return False} \\ \textbf{end if} \\ \textbf{end function} \end{array}
```

We close the section with one final result that will be useful in the next section.

Corollary 3.16. Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$ with Kunz nilsemigroup N. If $n(e_i - e_j) \in L_N$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $i \neq j$, then F is not Apéry.

Proof. Since $n(e_i - e_j) \in L_N$, $e_i - e_j \in \text{Sat}(L_N)$. Letting $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ be the vector of atoms of N, we have $(e_i - e_j) \cdot \alpha \equiv a_i - a_j \mod m$, which is nonzero since a_i and a_j are distinct modulo m. Thus, F is not Apéry by Theorem 3.5.

ON FACES OF THE KUNZ CONE AND THE NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITHIN THEM 13

	m = 6	m = 8	m = 9	m = 10	m = 11	m = 12	m = 13
d	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %	# %
1	6(2)75	23(2)54	48(0) 40	168(16) 78	142(70)30	1398(6) 84	1257(72) 18
2	6(0) 21	83(0)34	111(13)14	909(8) 46	60(60) 1	12773(24) 63	3681(852) 4
3	2(2) 7	93(2)20	68(0) 4	1764(26)29		36213(123) 43	708(780) 0
4		34(0) 9	12(12) 1	1510(0) 17		48354(24) 28	
5		3(3) 2		586(4) 9		34149(122)17	
6				92(0) 4		12871(0) 10	
7				4(4) 1		2424(22) 5	
8						196(0) 2	
9						5(5) 1	

TABLE 1. For each m, the number of dimension d non-Apéry faces in C_m (and how many are maximal under containment among non-Apéry faces), along with the percentage of d-dimensional faces that are non-Apéry.

4. FACE DIMENSION AND EMBEDDING DIMENSION

In this section, we locate in Theorem 4.4 the extremal values of $\mathbf{e}(F)$ that can be attained for faces $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$ for fixed m and $\dim(F) \ge 2$, and identify in Proposition 4.5 for which such values there are no Apéry faces. We also construct in Theorem 4.7 a family of Kunz nilsemigroups that attains those values and many of the values between them, demonstrating that for $\dim(F) \ge 2$, the set of attainable values is an interval.

Lemma 4.1. If N is the Kunz nilsemigroup of a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$, then

$$\dim(F) \ge e(F) - \sum_{p \in N - \{\infty\}} (|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| - 1).$$

Proof. For every $p \in N \setminus \{\infty\}$, the factorization graph ∇_p has $|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)|$ vertices, so it suffices to add at most $|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| - 1$ edges for ∇_p to be connected. By Proposition 2.1, there is a presentation ρ such that $|\rho| \leq \sum_{p \in N - \{\infty\}} (|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| - 1)$. Since $\operatorname{rk}(M_\rho) \leq |\rho|$, an application of Theorem 2.7 gives us the desired result. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let N be a Kunz nilsemigroup, $m = \mathbf{m}(N)$, and $e = \mathbf{e}(N)$. If $p \in N$ is non-nil and $A \subseteq \mathsf{Z}_N(p)$ is the set of factorizations of p with coordinate sum 2, then:

- $(a) |A| \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2}e \rfloor + 1;$
- (b) if m is odd and e is even, $|A| \leq \frac{1}{2}e$; and

(c) if m is even and $|A| = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}e \rfloor + 1$, then p = 2q for some $q \in N$.

Proof. We first prove part (b). Since p = 2q has a unique solution for $q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ when m is odd, p has at most one singleton-support factorization. Further, all factorizations in A must have disjoint support by partial cancellativity of N. If p has no singleton-support

factorizations, then certainly $|A| \leq \frac{1}{2}e$. Otherwise, p has at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(e-1) \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}e - 1$ factorizations supported on 2 atoms, so $|A| \leq \frac{1}{2}e$.

We next prove part (a). Since p = 2q has at most two solutions for $q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, p has at most two singleton-support factorizations. This means p has either zero, one, or two singleton-support factorizations, and proceeding as before in each case, one readily shows $|A| \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}e \rfloor + 1$.

This leaves part (c). If p = 2q has no solutions $q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, then all factorizations in A are supported on 2 atoms, and there can be at most $|A| \leq \left|\frac{1}{2}e\right|$ such factorizations. \Box

Lemma 4.3. Fix a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$, and let N be its Kunz nilsemigroup and e = e(N) = m - 3. If some non-nil element of N has a factorization of coordinate sum 3, then $\dim(F) \ge \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-3) \rfloor$.

Proof. Suppose $p, q \in N \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ are the only two non-atoms. If q has a factorization with coordinate sum 3, then q = p + a for some atom a. Moreover, if $p = a_1 + a_2$ is any factorization of p, then $q = a_1 + a_2 + a$, and since $a_1 + a \neq q$ and $a_2 + a \neq q$ are not atoms, $p = a_1 + a = a_2 + a$. Partial cancellativity implies $a_1 = a_2 = a$. In particular, q = 3a is the only factorization of q with coordinate sum 3, and p = 2a is the only factorization of p.

If m is even, q has at most $\frac{1}{2}(m-4) + 1$ coordinate sum 2 factorizations by Lemma 4.2(a), so $|\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(m-4) + 2 = \frac{1}{2}m$. If m is odd, q has at most $\frac{1}{2}(m-3)$ coordinate sum 2 factorizations by Lemma 4.2(b), so $|\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$. In either case, $|\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}m \rfloor$, and

$$\dim(F) \ge (m-3) - \left(\lfloor \frac{1}{2}m \rfloor - 1\right) = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-3) \rfloor$$

then follows from Lemma 4.1.

Theorem 4.4. Fix a multiplicity $m \geq 7$ and a non-degenerate face $F \subseteq C_m$, and let $e = \mathbf{e}(F)$ and $d = \dim F$. Let N denote the Kunz nilsemigroup of F.

- (a) If e = m 1, then d = m 1.
- (b) If e = m 2, then $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m 1) \rfloor \leq d \leq e$. (c) If e = m 3 and m is odd, then $2 \leq d \leq e$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, $d \leq e$. If e = m - 1, each non-nil element of N is an atom and therefore has a single factorization. Applying Lemma 4.1, we have d = m - 1.

If e = m - 2, there is a unique non-atom $p \in N \setminus \{0, \infty\}$. Every factorization of p has coordinate sum 2, so $|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-2) \rfloor + 1$ by Lemma 4.2. Lemma 4.1 yields

$$d \ge (m-2) - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-2) \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-1) \rfloor.$$

Lastly, suppose e = m - 3 and m is odd, and let $p, q \in N \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ be the non-atoms. If one of p or q has a factorization of coordinate sum 3, Lemma 4.3 gives

$$d \ge \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-3) \right\rfloor \ge 2$$

since $m \ge 7$. Otherwise, all factorizations of p and q have coordinate sum 2, Lemma 4.2 gives $|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| \le \frac{1}{2}(m-3)$ and $|\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| \le \frac{1}{2}(m-3)$. This yields

$$d \ge (m-3) - (m-5) = 2,$$

after applying Lemma 4.1.

Proposition 4.5. Fix $m \ge 7$. In each of the following cases, if $F \subseteq C_m$ is a nondegenerate face with dim F = d and $\mathbf{e}(F) = e$, then m is even and F is not Apéry: (a) $d = \frac{1}{2}m - 1$ and e = m - 2; or (b) d = 1 and e = m - 3.

Proof. Let N be the Kunz nilsemigroup of F. First, suppose $d = \frac{1}{2}m-1$ and e = m-2, and let $p \in N \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ be the unique non-atom. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2(a),

$$\frac{1}{2}m = e - d + 1 \le |\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2}e \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}m \rfloor$$

so $|Z_N(p)| = \frac{1}{2}m = \frac{1}{2}e + 1$. Necessarily, p has two singleton-support factorizations, i.e., p = 2a = 2a' for distinct atoms $a, a' \in N$, and so by Corollary 3.16, F is not Apéry.

Next, suppose d = 1 and e = m - 3, and let $p, q \in N \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ denote the non-atoms. By Lemma 4.3, every factorization of p and q has coordinate sum 2. As in part (a),

$$m - 2 = e - d + 2 \le |\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| + |\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| \le 2(\lfloor \frac{1}{2}e \rfloor + 1) = 2\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m - 1) \rfloor.$$

By Lemma 4.2(b) and the first inequality, m is even. This forces

$$|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| + |\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| = m - 2$$
 and thus $|\mathsf{Z}_N(p)| = |\mathsf{Z}_N(q)| = \frac{1}{2}m - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(e+1).$
There are two cases. First, if $p \neq 2q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, then the atom $p - q$ does not appear in

There are two cases. First, if $p \neq 2q \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, then the atom p = q does not appear in any factorization of p, meaning p has two singleton-support factorizations and F is not Apéry by Corollary 3.16. As such, assume p = 2q and q = 2p. This forces p = 2d and q = 4d for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ with order 6. For each atom $i \in N$, let the standard basis vector e_i denote the factorization of i. Letting $I = [1, 3d - 1] \setminus \{d\}$,

$$\rho = \{ (e_{d+i} + e_{d-i}, 2e_d) : i \in I \} \cup \{ (e_{5d+j} + e_{5d-j}, 2e_{5d}) : j \in I \}$$

is a presentation of N. Letting $\tau_i = (e_{d+i} + e_{d-i}) - 2e_d$ and $\omega_j = (e_{5d+j} + e_{5d-j}) - 2e_{5d}$,

$$\sum_{i \in I} \tau_i - \sum_{j \in I} \omega_j = (m-3)e_{5d} - (m-3)e_d = (m-3)(e_{5d} - e_d) \in L_N$$

since e_i appears exactly once for each $i \neq d, 5d$. By Corollary 3.16, F is not Apéry.

Remark 4.6. Theorem 4.7(b) exhibits faces of the Kunz cone described in Proposition 4.5(a). The Kunz nilsemigroup with divisibility poset depicted in Figure 4a corresponds to a face described by Proposition 4.5(b); it is not difficult to construct similar nilsemigroups for any even $m \ge 8$.

Theorem 4.7. Fix $m \ge 7$. There is a face $F \subseteq C_m$ with $\mathbf{e}(F) = e$ and dim F = d if: (a) e = m - 1 and d = m - 1;



FIGURE 4. Divisibility poset of a Kunz nilsemigroup corresponding to a non-Apéry face with dimension 1, along with depictions of the three constructions for Theorem 4.7.

(b) e = m - 2 and $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}(m-1) \rfloor \le d \le m - 2$; or (c) $2 \le e \le m - 3$ and $d \in [2, e]$.

Each such face F can be chosen Apéry, except where impossible by Proposition 4.5.

Proof. The face $F = C_m$, which has the numerical semigroup $S = \langle m, m+1, \ldots, 2m-1 \rangle$, verifies part (a). Next, for each $k = 1, \ldots, m-2$, consider the point $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ with

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, k; \\ 4 & \text{if } i = k+1; \\ 3 & \text{if } i = k+2, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

Since $x_i + x_j \ge x_k$ for any $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_m \setminus \{0\}$, we have $x \in \mathcal{C}_m$. Moreover, if $x_i + x_j = x_{i+j}$, then $x_i = x_j = 2$ and $x_{i+j} = 4$, which necessitates $i \in [1, k]$ and j = k + 1 - i. As such, the Kunz nilsemigroup N of the face $F \subseteq \mathcal{C}_m$ with $x \in F^\circ$ has divisibility poset depicted in Figure 4b, so dim $F = e - (|\mathbb{Z}_N(k+1)| - 1) = m - 2 - \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(k-1) \rfloor$ by Theorem 2.7. Additionally, k + 1 has at most one singleton-support factorization, so letting $\hat{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_N(k+1)$ denote a factorization of k + 1 with minimal support,

$$\rho = \{ (\hat{z}, z) : z \in \mathsf{Z}_N(k+1), \, z \neq \hat{z} \}$$

is a presentation of N. Since each factorization z above has support 2, after permuting rows and columns $M_{\rho} = [I \mid A]$ for some matrix A, meaning L_N is saturated.

As we vary k in the above construction, we obtain faces whose dimension d has $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \leq d \leq m-2$. If m is odd, $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor = \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$, so to prove part (b), it remains to assume m is even and construct a face F with dim $F = \frac{1}{2}m - 1$. To this end, consider the point $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m-1}$ with

$$x_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 2 & \text{if } i = 2; \\ 1 & \text{if } i = 3, \dots, m-1 \end{cases}$$

As before, it is clear $x \in C_m$, and $x_i + x_j = x_{i+j}$ only preicely when i + j = 2, so the Kunz nilsemigroup of the face $F \subseteq C_m$ with $x \in F^\circ$ has divisibility poset depicted in Figure 4c, and thus dim $F = e - (|\mathsf{Z}_N(2)| - 1) = \frac{1}{2}m - 1$ by Theorem 2.7. As F satisfies Proposition 4.5(a), part (b) is proved.

This leaves part (c). First, if d = 2, then by [3, Theorem 4.2], the numerical semigroup $S = \langle m, m+1, \ldots, m+e \rangle$ lies in a 2-dimensional face of \mathcal{C}_m for $e \in [2, m-3]$, so it suffices to consider $e \ge d \ge 3$. To this end, fix $e \in [3, m-3]$ and fix $k \in [2, e-1]$, let h = m - e - 1, and consider the point $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{m-1}$ with

$$x_{i} = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, k; \\ 2h & \text{if } i = k+1, k+2; \\ 2h-1 & \text{if } i = k+3, \dots, e+1; \\ 2(m-i) & \text{if } i = e+2, \dots, m-1 \end{cases}$$

Certainly $x_i + x_j \ge x_{i+j}$ whenever $x_i \ge h$ and $x_j \ge h$, and it is not hard to check that $x_{-1} + x_j = 2 + x_j \ge x_{j-1}$ for each j. As such, if $x_i < h$, then i > e+2, so

$$x_i + x_j = (m - i)x_{-1} + x_j \ge (m - i - 1)x_{-1} + x_{j-1} \ge \dots \ge x_{i+j}.$$

This proves $x \in C_m$. Moreover, $x_i + x_j = x_{i+j}$ only occurs when (i) $i \in [1, k]$ and either j = k + 1 - i or k + 2 - i, or (ii) $i, j, i + j \in \{e + 2, \dots, m - 1\}$. In particular, the Kunz nilsemigroup of the face $F \subseteq C_m$ with $x \in F^\circ$ has divisibility poset depicted in Figure 4d. As such, $\mathbf{e}(F) = k + ((e + 1) - (k + 2)) + 1 = e$. To obtain dim F, consider the face $F' \subseteq C_{k+3}$ containing the arithmetical numerical semigroup

$$S = \langle k+3, k+4, k+5, \dots, 2k+3 \rangle.$$

The divisibility poset of the Kunz nilsemigroup N' of F' is identical to the divisibility poset depicted in Figure 4d restricted to the elements in $\{0, 1, \ldots, k+2\}$. As such, there exist presentations ρ and ρ' of N and N', respectively, such that

$$M_{\rho} = [M_{\rho'} \mid 0]$$
 and thus $L_N = L_{N'} \times \{0\}^{e-k}$

In particular, L_N is saturated since $L_{N'}$ is, and $\operatorname{rk}(M_{\rho}) = \operatorname{rk}(M_{\rho'})$, so

$$\dim F = e - rk(M_{\rho}) = e - rk(M_{\rho'}) = e - (k - \dim F') = e - k + 2,$$

by Theorem 2.7 and [3, Theorem 4.2].

References

- E. Alhajjar, R. Russell, and M. Steward, Numerical semigroups and Kunz polytopes, Semigroup Forum 99 (2019), no. 1, 153–168.
- [2] A. Assi and P. García-Sánchez, Numerical semigroups and applications, RSME Springer Series, 1. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [3] J. Autry, A. Ezell, T. Gomes, C. O'Neill, C. Preuss, T. Saluja, and E. Torres-Davila, Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets II: locating certain families of semigroups, Advances in Geometry 22 (2022), no. 1, 33–48. Available at arXiv:1912.04460.

- [4] R. Bezrukavnikov, A. Braverman, and Z. Yun, editors, Geometry of Moduli Spaces and Representation Theory, Vol. 24, American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [5] M. Bras-Amorós, Fibonacci-like behavior of the number of numerical semigroups of a given genus, Semigroup Forum 76 (2008), no. 2, 379–384.
- [6] W. Bruns, P. García-Sánchez, C. O'Neill, and D. Wilburne, Wilf's conjecture in fixed multiplicity, International Journal of Algebra and Computation 30 (2020), no. 4, 861– 882.
- [7] M. Delgado, Conjecture of Wilf: a survey, Numerical Semigroups: IMNS 2018 (2020), 39–62.
- [8] M. Delgado, P. García-Sánchez, and J. Morais, NumericalSgps, A package for numerical semigroups, Version 1.3.1 (2022), (Refereed GAP package), https://gap-packages. github.io/numericalsgps/.
- [9] C. Elmacioglu, K. Hilmer, H. Koufmann, M. Okandan, and C. O'Neill, On the cardinality of minimal presentations of numerical semigroups, preprint. Available at arXiv:2211.16283.
- [10] T. Gomes, C. O'Neill, C. Preuss, and E. Torres Davila, *KunzPoset* (Sage software), https://github.com/coneill-math/kunzpolyhedron.
- [11] T. Gomes, C. O'Neill, and E. Torres-Davila, Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets III: minimal presentations and face dimension, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 30 (2023), no. 2, #P2.57.
- [12] N. Kaplan, Counting numerical semigroups by genus and some cases of a question of Wilf J. Pure Appl. Algebra 216 (2012), no. 5, 1016–1032.
- [13] N. Kaplan, Counting numerical semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly 124 (2017), no. 9, 862–875.
- [14] N. Kaplan and C. O'Neill, Numerical semigroups, polyhedra, and posets I: the group cone, Combinatorial Theory 1 (2021), #19.
- [15] E. Kunz, Uber die Klassifikation numerischer Halbgruppen, Regensburger Mathematische Schriften 11, 1987.
- [16] E. Miller and B. Sturmfels, Combinatorial commutative algebra, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 227, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [17] C. O'Neill, numsgps-sage (Sage software), https://github.com/coneill-math/ numsgps-sage.
- [18] J. Rosales, Numerical semigroups with Apéry sets of unique expression, J. Algebra 226 (2000), no. 1, 479–487.
- [19] J. Rosales and P. García-Sánchez, On numerical semigroups with high embedding dimension, J. Algebra 203 (1998), no. 2, 567–578.
- [20] J. Rosales, P. García-Sánchez, J.I. García-García and M. Branco, Systems of inequalities and numerical semigroups, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 65 (2002), no. 3, 611–623.
- [21] D. Stamate, Betti numbers for numerical semigroup rings, Multigraded algebra and applications, 133–157, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 238, Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [22] D. Wilding, M. Johnson, and M. Kambites, Exact rings and semirings, J. Algebra 388 (2013), 324–337.
- [23] H. Wilf, A circle-of-lights algorithm for the "money-changing problem", Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), no. 7, 562–565.
- [24] G. Ziegler, *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 152, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

ON FACES OF THE KUNZ CONE AND THE NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS WITHIN THEM 19

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, IL 60208 Email address: leviborevitz2023@u.northwestern.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455 *Email address*: gomes072@umn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708 *Email address*: jm847@math.duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WATERLOO, ON N2L, CANADA *Email address*: hniergarth@uwaterloo.ca

Mathematics and Statistics Department, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA 92182

 $Email \ address: \verb"cdoneill@sdsu.edu"$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRINNELL COLLEGE, GRINNELL, IA 50112 *Email address:* pockling@grinnell.edu

Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands $\mathit{Email}\ address:\ \texttt{rosasofiestolk0gmail.com}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITY OF BERLIN, BERLIN, GERMANY *Email address*: jessica.wang@student.hu-berlin.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA 22903 *Email address*: hl6de@virginia.edu