1
2 by $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$ the class of all connected graphs of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices. Also, denote by $\mathscr{B}_{n, k}$ the class of graphs of order $n$ with $k$ cut edges. These classes of graphs were studied for Zagreb indices, the reduced second Zagreb indices [17,21], the augmented Zagreb index [4], the multiplicative sum Zagreb indices [23], the Randić index [40, 45], and the Sombor index [22].

In 2021, a new vertex-degree-based graph invariant was introduced in [18], defined as

$$
S O=S O(G)=\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_{G}(u)^{2}+d_{G}(v)^{2}}
$$

and named the Sombor index. This index was motivated by the geometric interpretation of the degree radius of an edge $u v$, which is the distance from the origin to the ordered pair $\left(d_{G}(u), d_{G}(v)\right)$. Also, several variants of the Sombor index were considered in [18].

Although Sombor-type indices were introduced in 2021, dozens of articles regarding these have been published in scientific journals [1,5,7,12,14,35,37]. Chemical applications of the Sombor index
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were presented in $[28,30,31,36]$, and molecular graphs were studied in $[2,3,6,15]$. The Sombor index has been studied for trees [8,11,19,25,39,47], unicyclic and bicyclic graphs [7, 13], cacti [26], and graphs with integer values $[14,33]$. Furthermore, bounds and extremal results related to the Sombor index and its variants can be found in $[9,10,16,20,32,34,43,44,46,47]$, and we suggest readers refer to a recent review [29].

The multiplicative Sombor index is defined as

$$
\Pi_{S O}=\Pi_{S O}(G)=\prod_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_{G}(u)^{2}+d_{G}(v)^{2}}
$$

just as the multiplicative versions of other well-known topological indices.
Kulli [24] studied the multiplicative Sombor index of certain nanotubes, and we continue this research for certain classes of graphs. Liu [27] determined the extremal values of the multiplicative Sombor index of trees and unicyclic graphs by using some graph transformations.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we determine the extremal values of the multiplicative Sombor index over bipartite graphs with a given order. Also, we prove that a kite graph has a minimal multiplicative Sombor index in the class of graphs with a given order and clique number. In Section 3, unicyclic graphs are studied that have an extremal multiplicative Sombor index. In Section 4, we determine the graphs that have the maximum multiplicative Sombor index in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{n, k}$.

## 2. Graphs with extremal multiplicative Sombor index

In this section, we determine the graphs with an extremal multiplicative Sombor index for some classes of graphs of order $n$. For this purpose, first we give the following lemmas, which are useful for characterizing graphs with an extremal multiplicative Sombor index.

Lemma 2.1. [27] Let uv be an edge of a graph $G$ such that $d_{G}(u) \geq 2, d_{G}(v) \geq 2$ and $N_{G}(u) \cap N_{G}(v)=$ $\emptyset$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by the contraction of $u v$ onto $u$ and adding a new pendent edge $u v$. Then $\Pi_{S O}(G)<\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Lemma 2.2. [27] Let $H$ be a connected graph and $G$ be the graph obtained from $H$ by attaching two paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ onto vertices $u$ and $v$ of $H$, respectively. Suppose that $x$ is the neighbor of the vertex $u$ on $P_{1}$ and $y$ is the pendent vertex on $P_{2}$. Let $G^{\prime}=G-u x+x y$. If $d_{G}(u) \geq 3$, then $\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\Pi_{S O}(G)$.

Denote by $P_{n}, S_{n}$, and $K_{n}$ the path, the star and the complete graph of order $n$, respectively. Let $K_{p, q}$ be a complete bipartite graph of order $n$ with two partite sets having $p$ and $q$ vertices, respectively.

Theorem 2.3. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph of order $n$. Then

$$
\Pi_{S O}(G) \leq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n^{2}}{8}} & \text { ifn is even }, \\
\left(\frac{n^{2}+1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n^{2}-1}{8}} & \text { ifn is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$.
Proof. Let $p$ and $q$ be the number of vertices of parts in $G$, where $p+q=n$ and $p \geq q$. Then by the definition of $\Pi_{S O}$, one can easily obtain that $\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2} \leq\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{p q}$ with equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{p, q}$. Let us consider the following functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(x)=\left[x^{2}+(n-x)^{2}\right]^{x(n-x)},\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq x \leq n-1 \\
g(x)=\ln \left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right)-\frac{2 x(n-x)}{2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}},\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq x \leq n-1 . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{\prime}(x) & =f(x)\left[(n-2 x) \ln \left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right)+\frac{2\left(n x-x^{2}\right)(2 x-n)}{2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}}\right] \\
& =(n-2 x) f(x)\left[\ln \left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right)-\frac{2 x(n-x)}{2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}}\right]  \tag{2}\\
g^{\prime}(x) & =\frac{4 x-2 n}{2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}}-\frac{2(n-2 x) n^{2}}{\left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{4(2 x-n)\left(x^{2}-n x+n^{2}\right)}{\left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

and

On the other hand, since $2 x-n \geq 0$, we have $g^{\prime}(x) \geq 0$ which means that $g(x)$ is an increasing function. Thus, $g(x) \geq g\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) \geq 0$ for $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq x \leq n-1$ and from (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-2 x) \ln \left(2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}\right) \leq \frac{2 x(n-x)(n-2 x)}{2 x^{2}-2 n x+n^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $2 x-n \geq 0$. Hence, from (2) and (3), we get $f^{\prime}(x) \leq 0$ for $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq x \leq n-1$. Therefore, $f(x)$ is a decreasing function for $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil \leq x \leq n-1$ and one can easily see that

$$
\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2} \leq\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{p q}=\left(p^{2}+(n-p)^{2}\right)^{p(n-p)} \leq f\left(\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) \leq\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor^{2}+\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil^{2}\right)^{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}
$$

with equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil}$.
The kite graph $K i_{n, \omega}$ is the graph of order $n$ obtained by identifying a pendent vertex of $P_{n-\omega+1}$ with a vertex of $K_{\omega}$. In particular, $K i_{n, n} \cong K_{n}$, and $K i_{n, 2} \cong P_{n}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n$ with clique number $\omega$. Then $\Pi_{S O}(G) \geq$ $\Pi_{S O}\left(K i_{n, \omega}\right)$ with equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $K i_{n, \omega}$.

Proof. If $\omega=n$, then $G \cong K_{n}$ and hence the equality holds. Otherwise, $2 \leq \omega \leq n-1$. We consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $\omega=2$. In this case the girth of $G$ is greater than 3 or $G \cong T$, where $T$ is any tree of order $n$. First we assume that $G \cong T$. Let $\Delta$ be the maximum degree in $T$. If $\Delta=2$, then $T \cong P_{n}$ and hence
$\Pi_{S O}(G)=\Pi_{S O}(T)=\Pi_{S O}\left(P_{n}\right)=\Pi_{S O}\left(K i_{n, 2}\right)$, the equality holds. Otherwise, $\Delta \geq 3$. Using Lemma 2.2 several times (if exists) on tree $T$, we obtain

$$
\Pi_{S O}(G)=\Pi_{S O}(T)>\cdots>\Pi_{S O}\left(K i_{n, 2}\right)=\Pi_{S O}\left(P_{n}\right)
$$

the inequality strictly holds.
Next we assume that the girth of $G$ is greater than 3 . Then, by deleting the edges on the cycles of $G$, we arrive at a tree. Similarly, as above, we prove that $\Pi_{S O}(G)>\Pi_{S O}\left(P_{n}\right)$. The inequality strictly holds.

Case 2. $3 \leq \omega \leq n-2$. Suppose that $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is the minimum in the class of graphs of order $n$ with clique number $\omega$ and $G$ is not isomorphic to $K i_{n, \omega}$. By the definition of $\Pi_{S O}$, we have $\Pi_{S O}(G-e)<\Pi_{S O}(G)$, where $e$ is any edge in $G$. Using this, we conclude that $G$ is isomorphic to a graph such that $G-E\left(K_{\omega}\right)$ is a forest of order $n$. Since $G \not \not K i_{n, \omega}$, then there are at least two pendent paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ with origins $u$ and $v$, respectively. Let $x$ be the neighbor of $u$ on $P_{1}$ and $y$ be the pendent vertex on $P_{2}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get $\Pi_{S O}(G-u x+x y)<\Pi_{S O}(G)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 3. $\omega=n-1$. Let $\delta$ be the minimum degree in $G$. Since $G$ is connected, $\delta \geq 1$. If $\delta=1$, then $G \cong K i_{n, n-1}$ as $\omega=n-1$. Otherwise, $\delta \geq 2$. We can assume that $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \geq d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right) \geq \cdots \geq d_{G}\left(v_{n}\right)$, where $d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right)$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{i}$. Let $H \cong K i_{n, n-1}$. Then $d_{H}\left(v_{1}\right)=n-1, d_{H}\left(v_{i}\right)=n-2(2 \leq$ $i \leq n-1), d_{H}\left(v_{n}\right)=1$. Again since $G$ is connected and $\omega=n-1$ with $\delta \geq 2$, we have that $H$ is a strictly subgraph of $G$ with $V(G)=V(H)$ and $d_{G}(u) \geq d_{H}(u)$ for all $u \in V(G)$. Thus we have $d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=d_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=n-1, d_{G}\left(v_{i}\right) \geq n-2(3 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and $d_{G}\left(v_{n}\right)=\delta \geq 2$. From the above, one can easily see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{S O}\left(K i_{n, n-1}\right)=\Pi_{S O}(H)=\prod_{u v \in E(H)} \sqrt{d_{H}(u)^{2}+d_{H}(v)^{2}} & <\prod_{u v \in E(H)} \sqrt{d_{G}(u)^{2}+d_{G}(v)^{2}} \\
& <\prod_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{d_{G}(u)^{2}+d_{G}(v)^{2}}=\Pi_{S O}(G) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inequality strictly holds. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. Unicyclic graphs with extremal multiplicative Sombor index

Denote by $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$ the class of all unicyclic graphs of order $n$ with girth $g$. Let $C_{n, g}$ be the unicyclic graph obtained by identifying a pendent vertex of $P_{n-g+1}$ with a vertex of the cycle of order $g$. Also, let $C_{n}^{g}$ be the unicyclic graph obtained by attaching $n-g$ pendent edges to a vertex of the cycle with length $g$. Liu [27] proved that $C_{n, g}$ has the minimum value in $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$. Now, we prove that $C_{n}^{g}$ has the maximum value in $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n$ and $g$ be positive integers with $3 \leq g \leq n-2$. If $G \in \mathscr{U}_{n, g}$, then

$$
5^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 8^{\frac{n-4}{2}} 13^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq \Pi_{S O}(G) \leq 8^{\frac{g-2}{2}}\left[(n-g+2)^{2}+4\right]\left[(n-g+2)^{2}+1\right]^{\frac{n-g}{2}}
$$

with left-hand side of equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n, g}$, and with right-hand side of equality if and only if $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n}^{g}$.

Proof. Lower Bound: Suppose that $G$ has a minimum $\Pi_{S O}$-value in $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$ and it is not isomorphic to $C_{n, g}$. Then there are two pendent paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ with origins $u$ and $v$, respectively. Let $x$ be the neighbor of $u$ on $P_{1}$ and $y$ be the pendent vertex on $P_{2}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we get $\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<\Pi_{S O}(G)$, where $G^{\prime}=G-u x+x y$. Clearly, $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{U}_{n, g}$ and a contradiction. Hence, $G$ is isomorphic to $C_{n, g}$ and $\Pi_{S O}\left(C_{n, g}\right)=5^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 8^{\frac{n-4}{2}} 13^{\frac{3}{2}}$.
Upper Bound: Now suppose that $G$ has a maximum $\Pi_{S O}$-value in $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$ and it is not isomorphic to $C_{n}^{g}$. Let $C_{g}$ be the cycle of $G$, and $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{g}$ be the vertices on the cycle. By Lemma 2.1, one can easily conclude that all cut edges of $G$ are pendent, and it follows that each cut edge of $G$ is incident to a vertex of $C_{g}$. Let $n_{i}$ denote the number of pendent edges incident to $u_{i}$. Then $n_{i}=d_{G}\left(u_{i}\right)-2$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n_{1}=\max \left\{n_{j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq g\right\}, n_{k}=\min \left\{n_{j} \mid n_{j} \geq 1,1 \leq j \leq g\right\}$. Let now $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n_{k}}$ be the pendent vertices that are adjacent to $u_{k}$. Since $G \not \equiv C_{n}^{g}, u_{k}$ is different from $u_{1}$. Then one can construct a new graph $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u_{k} x_{1}, \ldots, u_{k} x_{n_{k}}\right\}+\left\{u_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, u_{1} x_{n_{k}}\right\}$. We distinguish the following two cases.

Case 1. $d\left(u_{1}, u_{k}\right) \geq 2$. By the definition of $\Pi_{S O}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}= & \frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{g}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{g}+2\right)^{2}} \\
& \times \frac{2^{2}+\left(n_{k-1}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{k-1}+2\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{2^{2}+\left(n_{k+1}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{k+1}+2\right)^{2}} \times \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}+n_{k}}}{\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}}\left[\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{k}}} \\
\text { (4) } \quad> & {\left[\frac{8}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+4}\right]^{2} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{k}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{k}+4\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{1}}\left[1+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}+2 n_{k}+4\right)}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{k}} }
\end{aligned}
$$

First we can assume that $n_{k}=1$. Then by (4) and Bernoulli's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}} & >\left(\frac{8}{13}\right)^{2} \cdot\left[1+\frac{2 n_{1}+5}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{1}}\left[1+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}+6\right)}{10}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{64}{169} \cdot \frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1+n_{1}\left(2 n_{1}+5\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1} \cdot \frac{n_{1}^{2}+6 n_{1}+10}{10} \\
& =\frac{192 n_{1}^{4}+1728 n_{1}^{3}+5696 n_{1}^{2}+7680 n_{1}+3200}{1690 n_{1}^{2}+6760 n_{1}+8450} \\
& \geq \frac{1728 n_{1}^{3}+7680 n_{1}+5696 n_{1}^{2}+3200}{1690 n_{1}^{2}+6760 n_{1}+8450}>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we can assume that $n_{k} \geq 2$. Then $n_{1} \geq n_{k} \geq 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2 n_{1}+n_{k}+4\right)^{2}>2\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right] \text { and }\left(2 n_{k}+n_{1}+4\right)^{2}>2\left[\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Taylor's theorem, we have $(1+x)^{\alpha} \geq 1+\alpha x+\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} x^{2}$ for $\alpha \geq 2$ and $x>0$. Therefore, by using inequality (6) in (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}> {\left[\frac{8}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+4}\right]^{2} \cdot\left(1+\frac{n_{1} n_{k}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{k}+4\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right) n_{k}^{2}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{k}+4\right)^{2}}{2\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{2}}\right) } \\
& \times\left(1+\frac{n_{1} n_{k}\left(2 n_{k}+n_{1}+4\right)}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{k}\left(n_{k}-1\right) n_{1}^{2}\left(2 n_{k}+n_{1}+4\right)^{2}}{2\left[\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq {\left[\frac{8}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+4}\right]^{2} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{1} n_{k}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{k}\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right) n_{k}^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right] } \\
& \times\left[1+\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{k}+2 n_{1} n_{k}\left(n_{k}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{k}\left(n_{k}-1\right) n_{1}^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right] \\
& \geq {\left[\frac{8}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+4}\right]^{2} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{k}\left(n_{k}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{2} } \\
&= {\left[\frac{8}{\left(n_{k}+2\right)^{2}+4}\right]^{2} \cdot\left[\frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1+n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{k}\left(n_{k}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{2} } \\
&=\left(\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}+7 n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}+4 n_{1} n_{k}^{2}+12 n_{1} n_{k}^{2}+8 n_{1}^{2}+16 n_{1} n_{k}+32 n_{1}+16 n_{1} n_{k}+40}{n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}+4 n_{1}^{2} n_{k}+4 n_{1} n_{k}^{2}+5 n_{k}^{2}+8 n_{1}^{2}+16 n_{1} n_{k}+32 n_{1}+20 n_{k}+40}\right)^{2}>1 \\
& \text { as } 7 n_{1}^{2} n_{k}^{2}>4 n_{1}^{2} n_{k}, 12 n_{1} n_{k}^{2}>5 n_{k}^{2} \text { and } 16 n_{1} n_{k}>20 n_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 2. $d\left(u_{1}, u_{k}\right)=1$. Then $k=2$ or $k=g$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $k=2$. By the definition of $\Pi_{S O}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}= & \frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+2^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{g}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{g}+2\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{2^{2}+\left(n_{3}+2\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+\left(n_{3}+2\right)^{2}} \\
& \times \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}+n_{2}}}{\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}}\left[\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{2}}} \\
> & \frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{2}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}+4\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{1}}\left[1+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}+2 n_{2}+4\right)}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

First we can assume that $n_{2}=1$. Then by (7) and $n_{1} \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}} & >\frac{8}{13} \cdot\left[1+\frac{2 n_{1}+5}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{1}}\left[1+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}+6\right)}{10}\right] \\
& >\frac{8}{13} \cdot 1 \cdot\left[1+\frac{7}{10}\right]>1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we can assume that $n_{2} \geq 2$. Then $n_{1} \geq n_{2} \geq 2$ and $\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}+4\right)^{2}>2\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]$. Therefore, from (7), using similar method in Case 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}} & >\frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{2}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}+4\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right]^{n_{1}} \\
& >\frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot\left(1+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}+4\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right) n_{2}^{2}\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}+4\right)^{2}}{2\left[\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1\right]^{2}}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{1} n_{2}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{2}\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}+\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right) n_{2}^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right] \\
& =\frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot\left[1+\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{2}\left(n_{1}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1}\right] \\
& \geq \frac{8}{\left(n_{2}+2\right)^{2}+4} \cdot \frac{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1+n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}+2 n_{1} n_{2}\left(n_{2}+2\right)}{\left(n_{1}+2\right)^{2}+1} \\
& =\frac{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}+7 n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}+4 n_{1} n_{2}^{2}+12 n_{1} n_{2}^{2}+8 n_{1}^{2}+16 n_{1} n_{2}+32 n_{1}+16 n_{1} n_{2}+40}{n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}+4 n_{1}^{2} n_{2}+4 n_{1} n_{2}^{2}+5 n_{2}^{2}+8 n_{1}^{2}+16 n_{1} n_{2}+32 n_{1}+20 n_{2}+40}>1
\end{aligned}
$$

as $7 n_{1}^{2} n_{2}^{2}>4 n_{1}^{2} n_{2}, 12 n_{1} n_{2}^{2}>5 n_{2}^{2}$ and $16 n_{1} n_{2}>20 n_{2}$.
In the above two cases, we have $\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\Pi_{S O}(G)$ and it contradicts our assumption that $G$ has the maximum $\Pi_{S O}$-value in $\mathscr{U}_{n, g}$.

## 4. Extremal graphs in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{n, k}$ with respect to the multiplicative Sombor index

In this section, we determine extremal graphs with respect to the multiplicative Sombor index for the classes of graphs of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices and of order $n$ with $k$ cut edges. Denote by $\mathscr{A}(n, k)$ the class of all graphs of order $n$ with $k$ pendent vertices in which the removal of all pendent vertices and their incident edges result in a complete graph of order $n-k$.

Lemma 4.1. Let $n$ and $k$ be integers with $0 \leq k<n-1$. If $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$, then $G \in \mathscr{A}(n, k)$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $G \notin \mathscr{A}(n, k)$. Then there exist two non-adjacent vertices $u$ and $v$ in $G$ whose degrees are greater than one. Consider the graph $G^{\prime}=G+u v$. Then $G^{\prime} \in \mathscr{A}_{n, k}$ and $\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\Pi_{S O}(G)$, a contradiction as $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $n$ and $k$ be integers with $0 \leq k<n-1$. If $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$, then $G$ is isomorphic to the graph obtained by attaching $k$ pendent edges to a vertex of the complete graph of order $n-k$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $G$ is not isomorphic to the graph obtained by attaching $k$ pendent edges to a vertex of the complete graph of order $n-k$. Since $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathscr{A}_{n, k}$, we
have $G \in \mathscr{A}(n, k)$ by Lemma 4.1. Let $n_{i}$ denote the number of pendent edges incident to vertex $v_{i}$ of the clique of $G(1 \leq i \leq n-k)$. Then $n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{n-k}=k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n_{1}=\max \left\{n_{i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-k\right\}$. Then there exists a pendent vertex $x$ adjacent to a vertex $v_{t}$, where $v_{t}$ is different from $v_{1}$. We now construct a new $G^{\prime}=G-x v_{t}+x v_{1}$. Then $d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{1}\right)=d_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)+1$, $d_{G}\left(v_{t}\right)=d_{G^{\prime}}\left(v_{t}\right)-1$ and $d_{G^{\prime}}(v)=d_{G}(v)$ for $v \in V(G) \backslash\left\{v_{1}, v_{t}\right\}$. For convenience, denote $p=n-k-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}= & \frac{\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}}{\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}} \cdot \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}+1}}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}}} \cdot \frac{\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}-1}}{\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}}} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2, i \neq t}^{p+1} \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]} \\
> & \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}+1}}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}}} \cdot \frac{\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}-1}}{\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}}} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2, i \neq t}^{p+1} \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{i}+p\right)^{2}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=2, \ldots, p+1, i \neq t$. Then, from (8) and (9), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}> & \frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}+1}}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{1}}} \cdot \frac{\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}-1}}{\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+1\right]^{n_{t}}} \\
& \times\left(\frac{\left[\left(n_{1}+1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}-1+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]}{\left[\left(n_{1}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]\left[\left(n_{t}+p\right)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]}\right)^{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider the following functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\left[(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]^{p-1} \cdot\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]^{x}, x \geq n_{t} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\ln f(x)+\ln f\left(n_{t}-1\right)-\ln f(x-1)-\ln f\left(n_{t}\right), x \geq n_{t} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (10) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{\Pi_{S O}(G)^{2}}>\frac{f\left(n_{1}+1\right) f\left(n_{t}-1\right)}{f\left(n_{1}\right) f\left(n_{t}\right)} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11), it follows that

$$
\ln f(x)=(p-1) \ln \left[(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]+x \ln \left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right] .
$$

Thus,
and

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\ln f(x)]^{\prime}=\frac{2(p-1)(x+p)}{(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}}+\ln \left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]+\frac{2 x(x+p)}{(x+p)^{2}+1} .} \\
{[\ln f(x)]^{\prime \prime}=} \\
\quad 2(p-1) \frac{(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}-2(x+p)^{2}}{\left[(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}+\frac{2(x+p)}{(x+p)^{2}+1} \\
\quad+\frac{(2 p+4 x)\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]-2(p+x)\left(2 p x+2 x^{2}\right)}{\left[(p+x)^{2}+1\right]^{2}} \\
= \\
\quad \frac{(2 p-2)\left[\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}-(x+p)^{2}\right]}{\left[(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}\right]^{2}}+\frac{2(x+p)\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]}{\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]^{2}}  \tag{14}\\
= \\
=\frac{(2 p+4 x)\left(p^{2}+2 p x+x^{2}+1\right)-\left(2 p x+2 x^{2}\right)(2 p+2 x)}{\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]^{2}} \\
{\left[(x+p)^{2}+1\right]^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, one can easily see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x+p)^{2}+\left(n_{j}+p\right)^{2}>(x+p)^{2}+1,(2 p-2)(x+p)^{2}<4 p^{3}+4 p+6 x+2 x^{3}+8 p x^{2}+10 p^{2} x . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (14) and (15), we get that $[\ln f(x)]^{\prime \prime}>0$. Hence $[\ln f(x)]^{\prime}$ is a strictly increasing function when $x \geq n_{t}$ and it follows that $[\ln f(x)]^{\prime}>[\ln f(x-1)]^{\prime}$. From this, $h^{\prime}(x)=\left[\ln f(x)+\ln f\left(n_{t}-1\right)-\right.$ $\left.\ln f(x-1)-\ln f\left(n_{t}\right)\right]^{\prime}>0$ for $x \geq n_{t}$. Thus $h(x)$ is an increasing function when $x \geq n_{t}$. From (12), it follows that $h(x) \geq h\left(n_{t}\right)=0$. Thus, we have $\ln f(x)+\ln f\left(n_{t}-1\right) \geq \ln f(x-1)+\ln f\left(n_{t}\right)$. By setting $x=n_{1}+1$ in the above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n_{1}+1\right) f\left(n_{t}-1\right) \geq f\left(n_{1}\right) f\left(n_{t}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By combining (13) and (16), we obtain $\Pi_{S O}\left(G^{\prime}\right)>\Pi_{S O}(G)$, which contradicts to $G$ has the maximum $\Pi_{S O}$-value in $\mathscr{A}(n, k)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The same argument as in the proof of the above theorem yields the following result.
Theorem 4.3. Let $n$ and $k$ be integers with $0 \leq k<n-1$. If $\Pi_{S O}(G)$ is maximum in $\mathscr{B}_{n, k}$, then $G$ is isomorphic to the graph obtained by attaching $k$ pendent edges to $a$ vertex of the complete graph of order $n-k$.
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