ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS Vol., No., YEAR 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

28

31

37

38

41

42

https://doi.org/rmj.YEAR..PAGE

ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS OF INTEGERS THAT ARE RELATIVELY PRIME TO THEIR DIGITAL SUMS

RYAN BLAU, JOSHUA HARRINGTON, SARAH LOHREY, ELIEL SOSIS, AND TONY W. H. WONG

ABSTRACT. For an integer $b \ge 2$, we call a positive integer b-anti-Niven if it is relatively prime to the sum of the digits in its base-b representation. In this article, we investigate the maximum lengths of arithmetic progressions of b-anti-Niven numbers.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let $b \ge 2$ be an integer. For all positive integers n, let $s_b(n)$ denote the sum of the digits in the base-b expansion of n, i.e., if $n = \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j b^j$, where m is a nonnegative integer and $0 \le a_j \le b-1$ are integers for each $0 \le j \le m$, then $s_b(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i$.

For positive integers n, d, and t, we call the sequence $\{n+jd: 0 \le j \le t-1\}$ a d-AP of length t and we call the sequence $\{n+jd: j \geq 0\}$ a d-AP of infinite length. A positive integer n is b-Niven if $s_b(n) \mid n$. If every term of a d-AP is b-Niven, we call it a b-Niven d-AP. We note that a b-Niven 1-AP is a sequence of consecutive Niven numbers.

In 1993, Cooper and Kennedy [1] showed that the maximum length of a 10-Niven 1-AP is 20. Grundman [3] generalized this result in 1994 by showing that the maximum length of a b-Niven 1-AP is 2b. These maximum lengths were shown to be attainable by Wilson [7]. More recently, Grundman, Harrington, and Wong [4] investigated maximum length b-Niven d-APs for d > 1 and Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5] showed that every infinite d-AP contains infinitely many b-Niven numbers.

In 1975, Olivier [6] studied sets $S_b = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \gcd(n, s_b(n)) = 1\}$ and showed that the natural density of these sets is $\frac{6}{\pi^2} \prod_{p|(b-1)} \frac{p}{p+1}$. In 1997, Cooper and Kennedy [2] published a weaker result that established Olivier's density as an upper bound for the density of S_{10} .

In this paper, we define a positive integer n to be b-anti-Niven if $gcd(s_b(n), n) = 1$. If every term of a d-AP is b-anti-Niven, then we call it a b-anti-Niven d-AP. In Section 2 we give necessary and sufficient conditions on d, b, and n for which the d-AP $\{n+jd: j \geq 0\}$ contains at least one b-anti-Niven number. We also show that there is no b-anti-Niven d-AP of infinite length, but for any b and t, there are infinitely many b-anti-Niven d-APs of length t. In Section 3 we investigate the maximum length of b-anti-Niven d-APs when b and d satisfy various constraints.

2. b-anti-Niven Numbers in d-APs

In this section, we are going to give several general results on b-anti-Niven numbers in d-APs.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A63, 11B25.

Key words and phrases. Niven, anti-Niven, arithmetic progressions.

```
Lemma 2.1. Let \delta be a positive integer such that \delta \mid (b-1). Then for all positive integers n, \delta \mid n if
   and only if \delta \mid s_b(n).
   Proof. Let n = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i b^i, where m is a nonnegative integer and 0 \le a_i \le b-1 are integers for each
   0 \le j \le m. The proof follows from the simple observation that b \equiv 1 \pmod{\delta} and thus \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j b^j \equiv
   \sum_{j=0}^{m} a_j \pmod{\delta}.
       The following lemma was proven by Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5].
Lemma 2.2 ([5, Proposition 2.6]). Let \xi = \gcd(s_b(n), s_b(d), b-1). Then there exists a positive
multiple \overline{d} of d such that \gcd(s_b(n), s_b(\overline{d})) = \xi.
   Theorem 2.3. The d-AP of infinite length \{n+jd: j \geq 0\} contains a b-anti-Niven number if and only
    if \gcd(n, d, b - 1) = 1.
    Proof. Assuming that gcd(n,d,b-1)=1, we have gcd(s_h(n),s_h(d),b-1)=1 by Lemma 2.1. By
    Lemma 2.2, there exists a positive multiple \overline{d} of d such that gcd(s_b(n), s_b(\overline{d})) = 1. Let k be a
    positive integer such that s_b(n) + k \cdot s_b(\overline{d}) = p is a prime with p > \max(b, \overline{d}), and we further let
   m_0 = \lfloor \log_b(n) \rfloor + 1 and m_i = m_{i-1} + \lfloor \log_b(\overline{d}) \rfloor + 1 for all 1 \le i \le k. Consider n + j\overline{d} and n + j'\overline{d},
   where j = \sum_{i=0}^{k} b^{m_i} and j' = j - b^{m_k} + b^{m_k+1}. Note that both s_b(n+j\overline{d}) and s_b(n+j'\overline{d}) are equal to
   s_b(n) + k \cdot s_b(\overline{d}) = p, and (n + j'\overline{d}) - (n + j\overline{d}) = b^{m_k}(b - 1)\overline{d} is not divisible by p since p > \max(b, \overline{d}).
    Hence, at least one of n + j\overline{d} and n + j'\overline{d} is our desired b-anti-Niven number in the given d-AP.
       Conversely, if gcd(n,d,b-1) = \delta > 1, then \delta \mid gcd(n+jd,s_b(n+jd)) for all integers j \geq 0 by
    Lemma 2.1. Therefore, \{n+jd: j \ge 0\} does not contain any b-anti-Niven numbers.
                                                                                                                       27
28
       The following theorem is a consequence of a result of Harrington, Litman, and Wong [5] who
    showed that every arithmetic progression of infinite length contains at least one b-Niven number n with
    s_b(n) \neq 1.
31
    Theorem 2.4. For any positive integer d, there is no b-anti-Niven d-AP of infinite length.
34
       Our next theorem shows that there exist arithmetic progressions of arbitrary length containing only
    b-anti-Niven numbers.
37
```

Theorem 2.5. For every positive integer t, there exist positive integers n and d such that $\{n+jd:0\leq n\}$

Proof. Let m be a positive integer such that $b^m/(m(b-1)+1) \ge t$, and let $d = b(b^m-1)(m(b-1)+1)$. Consider the d-AP $\{(d+1)+jd: 0 \le j \le t-1\}$. For all $0 \le j \le t-1$, note that $\widetilde{j} = (j+1)(m(b-1)+1)$.

 $j \le t - 1$ is a b-anti-Niven d-AP of length t.

40

25

ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS OF INTEGERS THAT ARE RELATIVELY PRIME TO THEIR DIGITAL SUMS 3

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad 1) + 1) \le b^m. \text{ Hence,}$$

$$s_b((d+1) + jd) = s_b(\widetilde{j}(b^{m+1} - b) + 1)$$

$$= s_b(\widetilde{j}(b^{m+1} - b)) + 1$$

$$= s_b((\widetilde{j} - 1)b^{m+1} + b(b^m - 1 - (\widetilde{j} - 1))) + 1$$

$$= s_b(\widetilde{j} - 1) + s_b(b^m - 1 - (\widetilde{j} - 1)) + 1$$

$$= s_b(\widetilde{j} - 1) + s_b\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (b - 1)b^j - (\widetilde{j} - 1)\right) + 1$$

$$= s_b(\widetilde{j} - 1) + m(b - 1) - s_b(\widetilde{j} - 1) + 1$$

$$= m(b - 1) + 1.$$

Since $(d+1)+jd \equiv 1 \pmod{m(b-1)+1}$, we conclude that (d+1)+jd is *b*-anti-Niven for all $0 \le j \le t-1$.

Although Theorem 2.5 shows that there are b-anti-Niven d-APs of arbitrary length, the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven d-AP is bounded above by b-2 for many values of b and d, as shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. For b > 2 and a positive integer d, let p be the smallest prime such that $p \mid (b-1)$ and $p \nmid d$. Then every b-anti-Niven d-AP has length at most p-1.

Proof. Since $p \nmid d$, every d-AP of length p contains a multiple of p. By Lemma 2.1, this multiple of p is not b-anti-Niven. Hence, the maximum length of a d-AP that contains only b-anti-Niven numbers is at most p-1.

3. Maximum Length b-anti-Niven d-APs

Theorem 2.6 in the previous section gives a bound on the maximum length of certain *b*-anti-Niven *d*-APs. We begin this section by demonstrating that there are instances when this bound is achieved. Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 investigate 1-APs, i.e. sequences of consecutive *b*-anti-Niven numbers, and 2-APs, respectively. The following lemma will be a common tool in establishing these two theorems.

Lemma 3.1. For all finite collections of distinct primes $q_1, q_2, ..., q_t$, there exist infinitely many positive integers m such that $b^m \equiv b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t}$.

34 *Proof.* Without loss of generality, assume that there exists $0 \le t' \le t$ such that $q_i \nmid b$ for all $1 \le i \le t'$ and 35 $q_i \mid b$ for all $t' + 1 \le i \le t$. By Euler's theorem, $b^{k\phi(q_1q_2\cdots q_{t'})} \equiv 1 \pmod{q_1q_2\cdots q_{t'}}$ for every positive 36 integer k. Hence, $m = k\phi(q_1q_2\cdots q_{t'}) + 1$ is our desired choice of integer.

Theorem 3.2. For b > 2, let p be the smallest prime such that $p \mid (b-1)$. Then the maximum length of a sequence of consecutive b-anti-Niven numbers is p-1. Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length p-1.

Proof. By Theorem 2.6, the maximum length of a *b*-anti-Niven 1-AP is at most p-1. It remains to show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_t be all primes less than p. By

```
Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers m such that b^m \equiv b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t}. Now,
 for all 0 \le j \le p-2, we have s_b(b^m+j)=j+1. Since j+1 < p, for any prime divisor q of j+1,
we have b^m + j \equiv b + j \equiv b - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}. Therefore, gcd(b^m + j, s_b(b^m + j)) = 1, implying that
   \{b^m + j : 0 \le j \le p - 2\} forms a sequence of p - 1 consecutive b-anti-Niven numbers.
   Theorem 3.3. Let b > 2 be such that b \neq 2^r + 1 for any integer r, and let p be the smallest odd prime
   such that p \mid (b-1). Then the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven 2-AP is p-1. Furthermore, there
   exist infinitely many such sequences of length p-1.
   Proof. By Theorem 2.6, the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven 2-AP is at most p-1. It remains to
   show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let q_1, q_2, \dots, q_t be all primes less than or equal to b.
11 By Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers m such that b^m \equiv b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t}.
      Consider the case when b is even. For all 0 \le j \le p-2, we have s_b(b^m+2j+1)=2(j+1). Since
j+1 < p, for any prime divisor q of 2(j+1), we have b^m+2j+1 \equiv b+2j+1 \equiv b-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}.
14 Therefore, gcd(b^m + 2j + 1, s_b(b^m + 2j + 1)) = 1, implying that \{b^m + 2j + 1 : 0 \le j \le p - 2\} forms
15 a b-anti-Niven 2-AP of length p-1.
      Next, consider the case when b is odd. For all 0 \le j \le (p-1)/2, we have s_b(b^m + b - p + a)
(2j) = 1 + b - p + 2j. Since 1 + b - p + 2j \le b, for any prime divisor q of 1 + b - p + 2j, we have
b^m + b - p + 2j \equiv 2b - p + 2j \equiv 2b - p + 2j \equiv 2b - p + 2j - 2(1 + b - p + 2j) \equiv p - 2j - 2 \pmod{q}. Note that
\frac{19}{2} - 1 \le p - 2j - 2 \le p - 2, so none of these odd numbers share a common prime factor with b - 1.
20 Hence, gcd(p-2j-2, 1+b-p+2j) = gcd(p-2j-2, b-1) = 1, implying that p-2j-2 \neq 0
21 \pmod{q}. Thus, \gcd(b^m + b - p + 2j, s_b(b^m + b - p + 2j)) = 1 when 0 \le j \le (p-1)/2.
22
      Furthermore, for all 0 \le j \le (p-5)/2, we have s_b(b^m+b+1+2j) = 3+2j. Since 3+2j \le
p-2 < b, for any prime divisor q of 3+2j, we have b^m+b+1+2j \equiv 2b+1+2j \equiv 2b+1+2j
24 - 2j - (3+2j) \equiv 2(b-1) \pmod{q}. Note that 2(b-1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q} since q is an odd prime less
25 than p. Thus, gcd(b^m + b + 1 + 2j, s_b(b^m + b + 1 + 2j)) = 1 when 0 \le j \le (p-5)/2. Therefore,
b^m + b - p + 2j : 0 \le j \le (p-1)/2 \cup \{b^m + b + 1 + 2j : 0 \le j \le (p-5)/2\} forms a b-anti-Niven
\frac{27}{2} 2-AP of length p-1.
28
```

So far, the theorems in this section have shown that the bound provided in Theorem 2.6 is attainable. However, there are infinitely many instances when the maximum length of b-anti-Niven d-APs does not attain this bound. The following theorem illustrates one such instance.

Theorem 3.4. Let $b \ge 6$ be even, and let $3 \le d \le b/2$ be an odd integer. Then the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven d-AP is at most $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$.

Note that when b-1 is an odd prime, the bound given by Theorem 2.6 is b-2, while the bound given by Theorem 3.4 is strictly smaller when b > 15.

37

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Suppose there are two consecutive terms from a d-AP in the interval $[ab, ab + 39 \ b-1]$ that are b-anti-Niven. Let these two numbers be $ab + a_0$ and $ab + a_0 + d$ for some nonnegative integers a and $a_0 \le b - 1 - d$. Recalling that d is odd, there exists $\chi \in \{0,1\}$ so that $a_0 + \chi d$ is even. As a result, $ab + a_0 + \chi d$ is also even since b is even. Since $ab + a_0 + \chi d$ is b-anti-Niven, $ab + a_0 + \chi d = a_0 + \chi d$ is odd, implying that $a_0 + a_0 + \chi d = a_0 + \chi d$ is odd.

Note that there are at most $\lceil 2b/d \rceil$ terms from a d-AP in the interval [ab, (a+1)b+(b-1)]. Hence, if there is a b-anti-Niven d-AP of length $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 3$, then there exists a nonnegative integer a such that each of the intervals [ab, ab+b-1], [(a+1)b, (a+1)b+b-1], and [(a+2)b, (a+2)b+b-1] contains at least two terms from this d-AP. From the above observation, we conclude that $s_b(a)$, $s_b(a+1)$, and $s_b(a+2)$ are all odd, which is a contradiction. This establishes an upper bound for the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven d-AP as stated in the theorem.

We now turn our attention to d-APs for which Theorem 2.6 does not apply.

Theorem 3.5. Let b be even. Then the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP is 2b+1. Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length 2b+1.

Proof. Suppose there is a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP $\mathscr S$ of length at least 2b+1. Since $\gcd(b-1,b)=1$, there exist two terms in $\mathscr S$ that are multiples of b. Let these two terms be ab and ab+(b-1)b for some positive integer a. Note that ab is even, so $s_b(ab)=s_b(a)$ is odd. Since ab+2(b-1)=(a+1)b+b-2 is an even term in $\mathscr S$, the digit sum $s_b((a+1)b+b-2)=s_b(a+1)+b-2$ must be odd, implying that $s_b(a+1)$ is also odd. Hence, a=cb+b-1 for some nonnegative integer c, where $s_b(c)$ is even. In other words, $ab=cb^2+(b-1)b$ and $ab+(b-1)b=(c+1)b^2+(b-2)b$. Also, $s_b(c+1)$ is odd since $s_b((c+1)b^2+(b-2)b)=s_b(c+1)+b-2$ is odd.

Now, note that cb^2 and $s_b(cb^2) = s_b(c)$ are even, so cb^2 is not in \mathscr{S} . Similarly, $(c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2$ and $s_b((c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2) = s_b(c+1) + b - 1 + b - 1 + b - 2$ are even, so $(c+1)b^2 + (b-1)b + b - 2 = cb^2 + (2b+2)(b-1)$ is also not in \mathscr{S} . Therefore, \mathscr{S} is a subsequence of $\{cb^2 + j(b-1) : 1 \le j \le 2b+1\}$, thus the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP is at most is -2b+1.

It remains to show that such sequences occur infinitely often. Let c be a nonnegative integer such that $s_b(c+1) = s_b(c) + 1$. Then it is not difficult to observe that $s_b(cb^2 + j(b-1)) = s_b(c) + b - 1$ for $1 \le j \le b$ and $b+2 \le j \le 2b$, and $s_b(cb^2 + j(b-1)) = s_b(c) + 2(b-1)$ for $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$. Hence, it suffices to show that there exist infinitely many positive integers c such that

• $b \nmid (c+1)$,

30

31

38

39

- $gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + b 1) = 1$ for $1 \le j \le b$ and $b+2 \le j \le 2b$, and
- $gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + 2(b-1)) = 1$ for $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$.

Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_t be all primes less than or equal to 2b. By Lemma 3.1, there exist infinitely many positive integers m such that $b^{m+1} \equiv b \pmod{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t}$. In other words, $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t \mid b(b^m - 1)$. Let $P = b^m + 1$. Since $\gcd(b^m + 1, b) = 1$ and $\gcd(b^m + 1, b^m - 1) = 1$, we have $\gcd(P, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_t) = 1$. Next, consider q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_V be all prime factors of $b^{m-1} + 1$. Hence, $b^{m-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_V}$. Let $r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{(P-b+1)/2}$ be positive integers, where $r_{i+1} - r_i \ge m+1$ for all $1 \le i \le (P-b-1)/2$, be defined as follows.

• If (P-b+1)/2 is odd, then

$$b^{r_i+2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{\nu}} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le (P-b-1)/4; \\ 1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{\nu}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS OF INTEGERS THAT ARE RELATIVELY PRIME TO THEIR DIGITAL SUMS 6

• If (P-b+1)/2 is even, then

15

25

27

32

37

$$b^{r_i+2} \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{\tau}} & \text{if } 1 \le i \le (P-b-3)/4; \\ 1 \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{\tau}} & \text{if } (P-b+1)/4 \le i \le (P-b-3)/2; \\ b \pmod{q_1 q_2 \cdots q_{\tau}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let $c = \sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_i}(b^m+1)$. Since $b \mid c$, we have $b \nmid (c+1)$. Next, $s_b(c) = P-b+1$ from our construction, thus $s_b(c) + b - 1 = P = b^m + 1$, which is a factor of c. Recalling that P is relatively prime to all positive integers up to 2b, we have $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), P) = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2b$. It remains to prove that $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1), s_b(c) + 2(b-1)) = 1$ for $j \in \{b+1, 2b+1\}$. Note that $s_b(c) + 2(b-1) = P-b+1+2(b-1) = P+b-1 = b(b^{m-1}+1)$. For any prime factor q of $s_b(c) + s_b(c) + s_b$

$$cb^{2} + (b+1)(b-1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_{i}+2}\right) P + b^{2} - 1$$

$$\equiv P + b^{2} - 1$$

$$\equiv P + b^{2} - 1 - (P+b-1)$$

$$\equiv b(b-1)$$

$$\not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$

and $cb^2 + (2b+1)(b-1) = cb^2 + (b+1)(b-1) + b(b-1) \equiv 2b(b-1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. If (P-b+1)/2 is even, then

$$cb^{2} + (b+1)(b-1) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{(P-b+1)/2} b^{r_{i}+2}\right) P + b^{2} - 1$$

$$\equiv 2bP + b^{2} - 1$$

$$\equiv 2bP + b^{2} - 1 - 2b(P+b-1)$$

$$\equiv -(b-1)^{2}$$

$$\not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$$

and $cb^2 + (2b+1)(b-1) = cb^2 + (b+1)(b-1) + b(b-1) \equiv -(b-1)^2 + b(b-1) \equiv b-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Finally, our proof is completed by noticing that $\gcd(cb^2 + j(b-1),b) = 1$ for $j \in \{b+1,2b+1\}$.

To complete the investigation on 1-APs, we provide the following corollary by choosing b = 2 in Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.6. For b = 2, the maximum length of a sequence of consecutive 2-anti-Niven numbers is 5. Furthermore, there exist infinitely many such sequences of length 5.

4. Concluding Remarks

Theorem 3.3 establishes that the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven 2-AP is at most b-2 when b-1 has an odd prime divisor. Although we have not established an upper bound for the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven 2-AP when $b=2^r+1$ for some nonnegative integer r, the following theorem establishes a lower bound.

Theorem 4.1. Let $b = 2^r + 1$ for some nonnegative integer r. Then the maximum length of a b-anti8 Niven 2-AP is at least b.

9 *Proof.* If r = 0, then b = 2, and $\{2,4\}$ forms a 2-anti-Niven 2-AP of length 2. If r > 0, then b is odd. 10 For all $0 \le j \le (b-1)/2$, we have $\gcd(b+2j,s_b(b+2j)) = \gcd(b+2j,1+2j) = \gcd(b+2j,b-1) = \gcd(2^r+1+2j,2^r) = 1$. Furthermore, for all $0 \le j \le (b-3)/2$, we have $\gcd(2b+1+2j,s_b(2b+1+2j,2b+1)) = \gcd(2b+1+2j,3+2j) = \gcd(2b+1+2j,2b-2) = \gcd(2^{r+1}+3+2j,2^{r+1}) = 1$. Therefore, $\{b+2j:0\le j\le (b-1)/2\}\cup\{2b+1+2j:0\le j\le (b-3)/2\}$ forms a b-anti-Niven 2-AP of length b.

Theorem 3.5 establishes that the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP is at most 2b+1 when b is even. The following theorem establishes a lower bound when b is an odd prime.

Theorem 4.2. Let b be an odd prime. Then the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP is at least 2b+1.

Proof. Clearly, 1, b, and b^2 are b-anti-Niven. For all $1 \le j \le b-1$, we have

$$\begin{split} \gcd \left(b + j(b-1), s_b(b+j(b-1)) \right) &= \gcd \left((j+1)b - j, s_b(jb+b-j) \right) \\ &= \gcd ((j+1)b - j, j+b-j) \\ &= \gcd ((j+1)b - j, b) = 1 \end{split}$$

since b is a prime. Furthermore, for all $1 \le j \le b-1$, we have

21

22 23

24

27

28

29

30

$$\gcd(b^2 + j(b-1), s_b(b^2 + j(b-1))) = \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, s_b(b^2 + (j-1)b + b - j))$$

$$= \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, 1 + j - 1 + b - j)$$

$$= \gcd(b^2 + jb - j, b) = 1.$$

Therefore, $\{1,b\} \cup \{b+j(b-1): 1 \le j \le b-1\} \cup \{b^2\} \cup \{b^2+j(b-1): 1 \le j \le b-1\}$ forms a b-anti-Niven (b-1)-AP of length 2b+1.

Recall that Theorem 3.4 shows that the upper bound on the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven d-AP given by Theorem 2.6 may not be achievable for even b. However, when b is odd, computational data suggests otherwise. Of course, if d is odd, then Theorem 2.6 implies that the maximum length of a b-anti-Niven d-AP is at most 1, which is clearly attainable. It is more interesting to investigate if d is even. The next conjecture addresses this more interesting case and generalizes Theorem 3.3 to other even values of d.

Conjecture 4.3. Let b be odd such that $b \neq 2^r + 1$ for any positive integer r, let d be even, and let p be the smallest prime such that $p \mid (b-1)$ and $p \nmid d$. Then there exist infinitely many b-anti-Niven d-APs of length p-1.

To partially support Conjecture 4.3, we have verified computationally that for $b \in \{7, 11, 13, 15, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29\}$

and even integers $d \le 100$ such that d is not a multiple of the square-free kernel of b-1, there exists

at least one *b*-anti-Niven *d*-AP of length p-1, where p is the smallest prime p satisfying $p \mid (b-1)$ and $p \nmid d$.

Theorem 3.4 established an upper bound for the maximum length of a *b*-anti-Niven *d*-AP when $b \ge 6$ is even and $3 \le d \le b/2$ is an odd integer. We conjecture that this bound is attainable for infinitely many pairs (b,d).

Conjecture 4.4. There exist infinitely many pairs (b,d), where $b \ge 6$ is even and $3 \le d \le b/2$ is an odd integer, for which there is a b-anti-Niven d-AP of length $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$.

		First term of
b	d	a <i>b</i> -anti-Niven <i>d</i> -AP
		of length $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$
10	3	1190
12	3	2005
14	3	3513
18	3	6463
20	5	8779
22	9	457
24	5	549
28	9	3892
30	5	867
32	9	3031
34	15	1126
36	15	1247
38	15	1393
40	15	1549
42	7	1717
44	15	1879
48	7	2251
50	21	2435
52	9	2653
54	15	2849

		First term of
b	d	a <i>b</i> -anti-Niven <i>d</i> -AP
		of length $\lceil 2b/d \rceil + 2$
56	15	3073
58	15	3293
60	5	3537
62	21	3763
66	15	4283
68	9	4549
70	9	4825
72	9	5107
74	15	5389
78	21	5993
80	9	6313
82	15	6631
84	15	6959
88	15	7643
90	15	8017
92	21	8380
94	21	8746
96	25	9099
98	15	9499
100	27	9883

TABLE 1. Computational data supporting Conjecture 4.4

5. Acknowledgements

These results are based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant numbered MPS-2150299.

39

41

References [1] C. Cooper and R. E. Kennedy, On consecutive Niven numbers, Fibonacci Quart. 31 (1993), 146-151. [2] C. Cooper and R. E. Kennedy, On the set of positive integers which are relatively prime to their digital sum and its complement, J. Inst. Math. Comput. Sci. Math. Ser. 10 (1997), 173–180. 5 [3] H. Grundman, Sequences of consecutive n-Niven numbers, Fibonacci Quart. 32 (1994), 174–175. [4] H. Grundman, J. Harrington, and T. W. H. Wong, Arithmetic progressions of b-Niven numbers, Rocky Mountain J. Math., to appear (https://projecteuclid.org/journals/rmjm/ rocky-mountain-journal-of-mathematics/DownloadAcceptedPapers/211029-Wong.pdf). [5] J. Harrington, M. Litman, and T. W. H. Wong, Every arithmetic progression contains infinitely many b-Niven numbers, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., to appear (https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972723000758). 10 [6] M. Olivier, Sur la probabilite que n soit premier a la somme de ses chiffres, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B, 280 (1975), A543-A545. $\overline{12}$ [7] B. Wilson, Construction of 2*n consecutive *n*-Niven numbers, *Fibonacci Quart.* **35** (1997), 122–128. 13 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & PHYSICAL SCIENCES, THE COLLEGE OF IDAHO, 2112 CLEVELAND BLVD, 14 CALDWELL, ID 83605, USA 15 Email address: ryan.blau@yotes.collegeofidaho.edu 16 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CEDAR CREST COLLEGE, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, ALLENTOWN, PA 18104, USA 17 Email address: joshua.harrington@cedarcrest.edu 18 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRYN MAWR COLLEGE, 101 NORTH MERION AVE, BRYN MAWR, PA 19010, 19 20 Email address: slohrey@brynmawr.edu 21 22 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109, 23 USA Email address: esosis@umich.edu 24 25 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KUTZTOWN UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, 15200 KUTZTOWN ROAD, KUTZ-26 TOWN, PA 19530, USA 27 Email address: wong@kutztown.edu 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38