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#### Abstract

Let $A$ be a bounded linear operator in a Hilbert space whose Hermitian component $A_{I}=\left(A-A^{*}\right) / 2 i\left(A^{*}\right.$ is the adjoint operator), belongs to the Macaev ideal, i.e. the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}\left(A_{I}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots)$ of $A_{I}$ taken with the multiplicities satisfy the condition $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2 k-1)^{-1}\left|\lambda_{k}\left(A_{I}\right)\right|<\infty$. Under certain restrictions it is proved that each operator commuting with $A$ has a closed nontrivial invariant subspace. An illustrative example is presented.
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## 1 Introduction and statement of the main result

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with a scalar product $(., .)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and the unit operator $I$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators in $\mathcal{H}$. For an $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), A^{*}$ is the adjoint operator, $\sigma(A)$ is the spectrum, and $A_{I}=\left(A-A^{*}\right) / 2$.

A subspace $\mathcal{H}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is an invariant one for $A$, if for any $x \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$, we have $A x \in \mathcal{H}_{1}$. It is said to be nontrivial if $\mathcal{H}_{1} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1} \neq \mathcal{H}$.

The problem of the existence of nontrivial closed invariant subspaces for linear operators is one of the most important problems of the theory of linear operators. In spite of its long history that problem continues to attract the attention of many scientists because of the absence of its complete solution. The classical results connected with the problem of the existence of invariant subspaces are presented in the well-known book [2]; the recent results can be found in the survey $[18]$ and papers $[4,6,14,15,16,23,24]$, and the references, which are given therein.

One of the celebrated results here belongs to V. Lomonosov [17] who has proved, in particular, that each bounded linear operator in a Banach space, commuting with a compact one has
nontrivial closed invariant subspaces. That result has caused a great interest of mathematicians $[1,7,8,9,21,22,25]$, etc. Below we prove the existence of nontrivial invariant subspaces for a class of operators in $\mathcal{H}$ commuting with a non-compact linear operator having a compact Hermitian component. That class contains various integro-differential operators.

Introduce the notations. For two orthogonal projections $P_{1}, P_{2}$ in $\mathcal{H}$ we write $P_{1}<P_{2}$ if $P_{1} \mathcal{H} \subset P_{2} \mathcal{H}$. A set $\mathcal{P}$ of orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$ containing at least two orthogonal projections is called a chain, if from $P_{1}, P_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$ with $P_{1} \neq P_{2}$ it follows that either $P_{1}<P_{2}$ or $P_{1}>P_{2}$. For two chains $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ we write $\mathcal{P}_{1}<\mathcal{P}_{2}$ if from $P \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$ it follows that $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2}$. In this case we say that $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ precedes $\mathcal{P}_{2}$. The chain that precedes only itself is called a maximal chain.

For a chain $\mathcal{P}$, let $P^{-}, P^{+} \in \mathcal{P}$, and $P^{-}<P^{+}$. If for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ we have either $P<P^{-}$ or $P>P^{+}$, then the pair $\left(P^{+}, P^{-}\right)$is called a gap of $\mathcal{P}$. Besides, $\operatorname{dim}\left(P_{+} \mathcal{H}\right) \ominus\left(P_{-} \mathcal{H}\right)$ is the dimension of the gap.

An orthogonal projection $P$ in $\mathcal{H}$ is called a limit projection of a chain $\mathcal{P}$ if there is a sequence $P_{k} \in \mathcal{P}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ which strongly converges to $P$. A chain is said to be closed if it contains all its limit projections.

As it is shown in [11, Proposition XX.4.1, p. 478], [3, Theorem II.14.1], a chain is maximal if and only if it is closed, contains 0 and $I$, and all its gaps (if they exist) are one dimensional. A maximal chain is said to be continuous, if it does not have gaps.

We will say that a maximal chain $\mathcal{P}$ is proper of $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, or $A$ has a maximal proper chain $\mathcal{P}$, if $P A P=A P$ for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\sigma(A)$ be real. Then a proper chain $\mathcal{P}$ of $A$ is said to be separating $\sigma(A)$, if for any $t \in \sigma(A)$ exists $P_{t} \in \mathcal{P}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(A P_{t} \mid P_{t} \mathcal{H}\right) \subset(-\infty, t] \text { and } \sigma\left(\left(I-P_{t}\right) A \mid\left(I-P_{t}\right) \mathcal{H}\right) \subset[t, \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and below $C \mid \mathcal{H}_{1}(C \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ means the restriction of $C$ onto a subspace $\mathcal{H}_{1}$.
The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with a real spectrum have a continuous maximal proper chain $\mathcal{P}$ separating its spectrum. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is also a proper chain of any $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with $A$.

The proof of this theorem is presented in the next section.
Recall that the Macaev ideal $\mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ is defined as the set of compact operators $K$ satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_{k}(K)}{2 k-1}<\infty
$$

where $s_{k}(K)$ are the eigenvalues of $\left(K^{*} K\right)^{1 / 2}$ enumerated in the non-increasing order with the multiplicities taken into account, cf. [13, 20].
V. Macaev and Ju. Ljubich [19, 20] have shown that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with a real spectrum has a maximal chain separating $\sigma(A)$, provided $A_{I} \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega}$. Now Theorem 1.1 implies.

Corollary 1.2 Let the maximal proper chain $\mathcal{P}$ of $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with a real spectrum and $A_{I} \in \mathcal{S}_{\omega}$ be continuous. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is also a proper chain of any $X \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with $A$.

This corollary can be considered as a particular generalization of the Lomonosov theorem for operators in a Hilbert space.

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1

1. Following the definitions from [13, Sec. V.1], we will say that an operator-valued function $P(t)$ defined on a bounded closed set $\Omega$ of real numbers is a standard projection function if
a) all values $P(t)(t \in \Omega)$ are orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{H}$,
b) for all $t_{1}<t_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} \in \Omega\right) P\left(t_{1}\right)<P\left(t_{2}\right)$,
c) $P(t)$ is strongly continuous on $\Omega$, i.e. for any $f \in \mathcal{H}, P(t) f$ is continuous in the norm on $\Omega$.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be some chain and $P(t)$ some standard projection function. We will say that that $P(t)$ is obtained by the parameterization of $\mathcal{P}$ on $\Omega$, if $\mathcal{P}$ coincides with the set of all values of $P(t)$. Naturally, $P(t)$ is said to be invariant for $A$ if $P(t) A P(t)=A P(t)$ for all $t \in \Omega$.

A standard projection function is called continuous if the set of its values is a continuous chain. Recall that under consideration it is assumed that $\sigma(A)$ is real and $\mathcal{P}$ is continuous. Put $a=\inf \sigma(A)$ and $b=\sup \sigma(A)$. Since $\mathcal{P}$ is continuous, due to [13, Theorem V.1.1], $\mathcal{P}$ admits a parameterization $P(t)$ on $[a, b]$, such that $(P(t) f, f)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is absolutely continuous for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $P(t)$ is a parameterization of the maximal chain $\mathcal{P}$ separating $\sigma(A)$, we will say that $P(t)$ separates $\sigma(A)$. In this case, according to (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(A P(t) \mid P(t) \mathcal{H})=[a, t] \text { and } \sigma((I-P(t)) A \mid(I-P(t)) \mathcal{H})=[t, b] \quad(t \in[a, b]) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(A P(t) \mid P(t) \mathcal{H}) \cap \sigma((I-P(s)) A \mid(I-P(s))=\emptyset \quad(a \leq t<s \leq b) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Let $H_{1}, H_{2}$ be Hilbert spaces, $C_{k} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H_{k}\right)(k=1,2)$, and $M$ be a bounded linear operator acting from $H_{1}$ into $H_{2}$. Assume that $\sigma\left(C_{1}\right) \cap \sigma\left(C_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. Then due to Theorem I.3.1 [5] (see also equation (3.10) from [5, Section I.3]), the operator equation

$$
Y C_{1}-C_{2} Y=M
$$

has a solution $Y$, which is a a bounded linear operator acting from $H_{1}$ into $H_{2}$ and representable as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=-\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \int_{L_{2}} \int_{L_{1}} \frac{1}{\lambda-\mu} R_{\mu}\left(C_{2}\right) M R_{\lambda}\left(C_{1}\right) d \lambda d \mu \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{k}$ is a Jordan contour surrounding $\sigma\left(C_{k}\right)(k=1,2)$.
If, in particular, $Y C_{2}=C_{1} Y$, then $M=0$ and from (3.3) it follows that $Y=0$.
To prove Theorem 1.1 we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1 Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with a real $\sigma(A)$ have a continuous invariant standard projection function $P(t)(a \leq t \leq b)$. Let there be a $Z \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, such that $P(t)$ is invariant also for the operator $T=Z A-A Z$. Then $P(t)$ is invariant for $Z$.

Proof: Since $P(t)$ is invariant for $T$, we have

$$
(I-P(s)) T P(s)=0 \quad(a \leq s \leq b)
$$

and therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-P(s)) T P(s) P(t)=(I-P(s)) T P(t)=0 \quad(a \leq t<s \leq b) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $s, t \in(a, b)$ with $s>t$, put $P=P(t)$ and $Q=I-P(s)$. Then $Q P=0$. Since $P(s)$ is invariant for $A$, we can write $Q A Q=Q A$. Due to (2.2)

$$
\sigma(A P \mid P \mathcal{H}) \cap \sigma(Q A \mid Q \mathcal{H})=\emptyset
$$

According to (2.4) $Q T P=0$, i.e.

$$
Q(A Z-Z A) P=Q A Q Z P-Q Z P A P=0
$$

Making use of (2.3) with $M=0, Y=Q Z P, C_{2}=Q A$ and $C_{1}=A P$, we obtain $Q X P=0$, or

$$
(I-P(s)) Z P(t)=0(b>s>t \geq a)
$$

Letting $s \rightarrow t$, and taking into account that $P(t)$ is continuous, we get $(I-P(t)) Z P(t)=0$, or $P(t) Z P(t)=Z P(t)$ for any $t \in(a, b)$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Take $Z=X$. Then $T=A X-X A=0$. So $P(t) T P(t)=T P(t)=0$. Now the required result follows from Lemma 2.1.

## 3 Example

Let $L^{2}(0,1)$ be the Hilbert space of complex functions $f$ defined on $[0,1]$ with the traditional scalar product and norm $\|f\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}|f(s)|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}$. Consider the operator $C$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(C f)(x)=a(x) f(x)+\int_{x}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d y \quad\left(x \in[0,1] ; f \in L^{2}(0,1)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a($.$) is a real continuous nondecreasing function defined on [0,1]$ and the kernel $K(x, y)$ : $\{0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{x}^{1}|K(x, y)|^{2} d y d x<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the Volterra operator

$$
V: f \rightarrow \int_{x}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d y \quad\left(x \in[0,1] ; f \in L^{2}(0,1)\right)
$$

is a Hilbert-Schmidt one. Note that condition (3.1) is imposed for the simplicity. Similarly one can consider the Schatten-von Neumann Volterra operators.

Introduce the projection function $\hat{P}(s)$ by

$$
(\hat{P}(s) f)(x)= \begin{cases}f(x) & \text { if } 0 \leq x \leq s  \tag{3.3}\\ 0 & \text { if } s<x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

$\left(f \in L^{2}(0,1), s \in(0,1)\right), \hat{P}(0)=0, \hat{P}(1)=I$. We have

$$
(C \hat{P}(s) f)(x)=a(x) f(x)+\int_{x}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d y \text { for } x \leq s, \text { and }(A \hat{P}(s) f)(x)=0 \text { for } x>s
$$

Therefore, $C \hat{P}(s)=\hat{P}(s) C \hat{P}(s)(0 \leq s \leq 1)$, i.e. the values of $\hat{P}(s)$ form the maximal continuous chain of $C$.

Due to Corollary 8.2 from [10], $\sigma(C)=\sigma(D)$, where $D$ is defined by

$$
(D f)(x)=a(x) f(x) \quad\left(x \in[0,1], f \in L^{2}(0,1)\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\sigma(C)=\{z \in \mathbb{R}: z=a(x), 0 \leq x \leq 1\}=[a, b]
$$

with $a=\inf _{a \leq x \leq b} a(x), b=\sup _{a \leq x \leq b} a(x)$. According to (3.2), $C-C^{*}=V-V^{*}$ is a HilbertSchmidt operator. Now Corollary 1.2 implies.

Corollary 3.1 Let $C$ be defined by (3.1) and condition (3.2) hold. Then for any $X \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^{2}(0,1)\right)$ commuting with $C$ the projection function $\hat{P}(s)$ defined by (3.3) is invariant.
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