**ROCKY MOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS** 

Vol., No., YEAR 

15

https://doi.org/rmj.YEAR..PAGE

# THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF T<sub>0</sub> SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

#### CHONG SHEN, XIAOYONG XI, AND DONGSHENG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. Keimel and Lawson proposed a set of conditions for proving the reflectivity of a category of topological spaces in the category of all  $T_0$  spaces. Recently, these conditions were used to prove the reflectivity of the category of all well-filtered spaces. In this paper, we prove that, in certain sense, these conditions are not only sufficient but also necessary for a category of  $T_0$  spaces to be reflective. By applying this general result, we can easily deduce that several categories proposed in domain theory are not reflective, thereby answering a few open problems.

## 1. Introduction

16 Given a full subcategory **D** of a category **C**, one natural and frequently asked question is whether **D** is 17

reflective in C. The objects in D can be viewed as "special objects", the reflectivity of D ensures that 18

every general object in C can be "completed" to be a special object, or "densely embedded into" a 19 special object. 20

Keimel and Lawson [12] proved that a full subcategory **K** of **Top**<sub>0</sub> of all  $T_0$  spaces is reflective if it 21 satisfies the following four conditions: 22

(K1) K contains all sober spaces. 23

(K2) If  $X \in \mathbf{K}$  and Y is homeomorphic to X, then  $Y \in \mathbf{K}$ . 24

(K3) If  $\{X_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$  is a family of subspaces of a sober space, then the subspace  $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i \in \mathbf{K}$ . 25

(K4) If  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping from a sober space X to a sober space Y, then for any 26 subspace  $Y_1$  of  $Y, Y_1 \in \mathbf{K}$  implies that  $f^{-1}(Y_1) \in \mathbf{K}$ . 27

It has been proved that the categories of d-spaces, well-filtered spaces and sober spaces all satisfy 28 the aforementioned four conditions, as shown in [12, 22, 23]. Therefore, they are all reflective 29 30 subcategories of **Top**<sub>0</sub>.

For a full subcategory **K** of **Top**<sub>0</sub>, we say that **K** 31

32 (1) is *productive*, if the product  $\prod_{i \in I} X_i \in \mathbf{K}$  whenever  $\{X_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ , and

33 (2) is *b*-closed-hereditary, if  $Y \in \mathbf{K}$  whenever Y is a *b*-closed subspace of some  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ .

34 The four conditions (K1)-(K4) can, however, usually only be used to confirm the reflectivity of

35 subcategories of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. In this paper we shall prove that, in certain sense, they are also necessary 36

conditions, and can therefore be used to disprove the reflectivity of some subcategories of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. In 37

41 Key words and phrases. b-topology, consonant space, co-sober space, k-bounded sober spaces, reflective subcategory, 42 sober space.

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (1210010153, 12071188), Jiangsu 38

Provincial Department of Education (21KJB110008), and International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship Program (No. 39 PC2022013). 40

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 06B30, 06B35, 54A05, 18F60.

1 particular, we shall use this result to solve several open problems that were posed in [24]. Our main

<sup>2</sup> results are as follows.

13

14

23 24

<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Theorem A. For a full subcategory K of Top<sub>0</sub> with  $K \not\subseteq Top_1$ , if K satisfies (K2), then the following four statements are equivalent:

 $\frac{5}{6}$  (1) **K** is reflective in **Top**<sub>0</sub>;

 $\frac{1}{2}$  (2) **K** satisfies conditions (K1)–(K4);

(3) **K** is productive and *b*-closed-hereditary;

 $\mathbf{K}$  (4) **K** is productive and has equalizers.

<sup>10</sup> **Theorem B.** The categories of co-sober spaces, strongly *k*-bounded sober spaces, strongly *d*-spaces,  $\frac{11}{12}$  and consonant  $T_0$  spaces, are not reflective in **Top**<sub>0</sub>.

## 2. Preliminaries

15 Let *P* be a poset. For any  $A \subseteq P$ , let  $\downarrow A = \{x \in P : x \le a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$  and  $\uparrow A = \{x \in P : x \ge a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ . For  $x \in P$ , we write  $\downarrow x$  for  $\downarrow \{x\}$  and  $\uparrow x$  for  $\uparrow \{x\}$ , respectively. A subset *A* of *P* is 17 called a *lower set* (resp. *upper set*) if  $A = \downarrow A$  (resp.  $A = \uparrow A$ ).

For a  $T_0$  space X, the specialization order  $\leq$  on X is defined as  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \in cl(\{y\})$ , where cl is the closure operator on X. In the following, when we consider a  $T_0$  space X as a poset, it is always equipped with the specialization order.

For a  $T_0$  space X, we use  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  to denote the topology of X. For any subset A of X, the *saturation* of A, denoted by Sat(A), is defined to be

$$Sat(A) = \bigcap \{ U \in \mathscr{O}(X) : A \subseteq U \}$$

<sup>25</sup> A subset *A* of a  $T_0$  space *X* is *saturated* if A = Sat(A).

 $\frac{26}{27}$  **Remark 2.1** ([6, 7]). Let *X* be a *T*<sub>0</sub> space.

(1) For any subset A of X,  $Sat(A) = \uparrow A$ .

(2) For any  $x \in X$ ,  $\downarrow x = cl(\{x\})$ , and  $x \in Sat(A)$  if and only if  $\downarrow x \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

(3) For any open subset U of X,  $U = \uparrow U$ , and for any closed subset F of X,  $F = \downarrow F$ .

A nonempty subset *A* of a  $T_0$  space is called *irreducible* if for any closed sets  $F_1, F_2, A \subseteq F_1 \cup F_2$ implies  $A \subseteq F_1$  or  $A \subseteq F_2$ . A  $T_0$  space *X* is called *sober* if for any irreducible closed set *F* of *X* there is a (unique) point  $x \in X$  such that  $F = cl(\{x\})$ .

A very effective tool for studying sober spaces is the *b*-topology introduced by L. Skula [17] (see  $\frac{35}{36}$  also [3]).

**37 Definition 2.2** ([3, 17]). Let X be a  $T_0$  space. The *b*-topology (also called *Skula topology* [17] or *strong* **38** topology [6, Exercise V-5.31]) associated with X is the topology having

39 40

$$\{U \cap \downarrow x : x \in U \in \mathscr{O}(X)\}$$

41 as a base. The resulting space will be denoted by bX. A subset B of X is b-dense in X, if it is dense in

42 X with respect to the b-topology.

The following properties on *b*-topology will be used later. For further information, one can refer to [10, 12] and Exercise V-5.31 in [6].

**Remark 2.3.** Let X be a  $T_0$  space.

33

34 35 36

- (1) The *b*-topology on X is finer than the original topology on X. This follows trivially from the fact that for any open set U in X, we have  $U = \bigcup_{x \in U} U \cap \downarrow x$ . 6
- (2) Let X be a T<sub>0</sub> space. For each  $x \in X$ , we have that  $\downarrow x = X \cap \downarrow x$ , so  $\downarrow x$  is b-open, and it is also *b*-closed since  $X \setminus \downarrow x$  is *b*-open. Thus, the *b*-topology of X is always Hausdorff. 8 9 10 11
  - (3) Every saturated set A in a  $T_0$  space X is b-closed. In fact, we have that

$$X \setminus A = {\downarrow}(X \setminus A) = \bigcup_{x \in X \setminus A} {\downarrow}x,$$

- 12 which is *b*-open by (2). Therefore,  $A = \uparrow A$  is *b*-closed.
- 13 (4) For each *b*-closed set *E* of *X*,  $E = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \cup (X \setminus V_i)$ , where  $U_i, V_i \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  for any  $i \in I$ . In fact, since 14  $X \setminus E$  is *b*-open, for each  $x \notin E$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x \cap \downarrow x \subseteq X \setminus E$ , 15 which implies that  $X \setminus E = \bigcup_{x \notin E} V_x \cap \downarrow x$ ; thus  $E = \bigcap_{x \notin E} (X \setminus \downarrow x) \cap (X \setminus V_x)$ , completing the proof.
- 16 **Definition 2.4.** (1) A space X is a *retract* of space Y, if there exist two continuous maps  $s: X \longrightarrow Y$ 17 (the section) and  $r: Y \longrightarrow X$  (the retraction) such that  $r \circ s = id_X$ , the identity mapping on X [7]. 18 (2) We call X a *b*-retract of Y if X is a retraction of Y such that s(X) is *b*-dense in Y. 19
- 20 **Remark 2.5** ([7]). Every section  $s: X \longrightarrow Y$  is an embedding and every retraction  $r: Y \longrightarrow X$  is a 21 quotient mapping.
- 22 **Proposition 2.6** ([17, 2.6], [19, Proposition 2.11]). If X and Y are  $T_0$  spaces and X is a b-retract of Y, 23 then X is homeomorphic to Y. 24

25 In what follows, we shall denote by **Top**<sub>0</sub> (resp. **Top**<sub>1</sub>, **Sob**) the category of all  $T_0$  spaces (resp.  $T_1$ ) 26 spaces, sober spaces) with continuous mappings as morphisms. All subcategories of  $Top_0$  are assumed 27 to be full and closed under the formation of homeomorphic objects (i.e., satisfy (K2)).

28 **Definition 2.7** ([15]). A full subcategory **K** of **Top**<sub>0</sub> is *reflective* if, for each  $X \in$  **Top**<sub>0</sub>, there exists  $X^k \in \mathbf{K}$  (the **K**-completion for X) and a continuous mapping  $\mu_X : X \longrightarrow X^k$  (the **K**-reflection for X) 30 satisfying the universal property: for any continuous mapping  $f: X \longrightarrow Z$  to a space  $Z \in \mathbf{K}$ , there 31 exists a unique continuous mapping  $g: X^k \longrightarrow Z$  such that  $g \circ \mu_X = f$ : 32



37 Equivalently, **K** is reflective if the inclusion functor  $I : \mathbf{K} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Top}_0$  has a left adjoint (see IV-3 in 38 [15]). The category **Sob** is a full reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. The **Sob**-completion for X is usually 39 called the *sobrification* of *X*. 40

The following lemma can be easily verified by using the definition of **K**-reflection. 41

**42 Lemma 2.8.** Let  $\mu_1 : X \longrightarrow Y_1$  be a **K**-reflection for X. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

#### THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF $T_0$ SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

- 1 (1)  $\mu_2: X \longrightarrow Y_2$  is a **K**-reflection;
- (2) there exists a (unique) homeomorphism  $h: Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$  such that  $h \circ \mu_1 = \mu_2$ .

<sup>3</sup> **Definition 2.9.** A mapping  $e: X \longrightarrow Y$  between topological spaces is called a *b*-dense embedding, if it <sup>4</sup> is a topological embedding such that e(X) is *b*-dense in *Y*.

- **Theorem 2.10** ([12, Proposition 3.4, Corollary 3.5]). Let X be a sober space and  $Y \subseteq X$ .
- 7 (1) The subspace Y is sober if and only if Y is b-closed.
- <sup>8</sup> (2) The inclusion mapping  $e: Y \longrightarrow Y^s$ ,  $x \mapsto x$ , is a sober reflection for Y, where  $Y^s$  is the b-closure of Y in X.

**Theorem 2.11** ([12, Proposition 3.2]). Let X be a  $T_0$  space, Y a sober space and  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  a continuous mapping. Then, f is a sober reflection for X if and only if it is a b-dense embedding.

<sup>13</sup> Theorem 2.12 ([19, Theorem 3.2]). Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . <sup>14</sup> Then, each **K**-reflection is a b-dense embedding.

## 3. Main results

 $\frac{17}{18}$  In this section, we present the main results, starting with a simple yet useful topological space in domain theory.

**20** Definition 3.1 ([6, 7]). The *Sierpiński space* is the Scott space  $\Sigma^2$ , where the underlying set 2 is the 21 two-element chain  $2 = \{0, 1\}$  with the order defined by  $0 \le 1$ . Note that the open sets in this space are 22  $\emptyset, \{0, 1\}$ , and  $\{1\}$ .

<sup>23</sup>/<sub>24</sub> **Remark 3.2** ([6, 7]). (1) For any set M,  $(\Sigma 2)^M = \Sigma(2^M, \subseteq)$ .

(2) Let X be a  $T_0$  space and  $M = \mathscr{O}(X)$ . Then, the mapping  $e: X \longrightarrow (\Sigma 2)^M$ ,  $x \mapsto (\chi_U(x))_{U \in M}$ , is an embedding. Hence, by Theorem 2.10, X is a sober space iff e(X) is a *b*-closed subset of  $(\Sigma 2)^M$ .

**27** Lemma 3.3. Let X be a  $T_0$  space. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

 $\frac{28}{2}$  (1) X is non-T<sub>1</sub>;

15 16

32

35 36

- <sup>29</sup> (2)  $\Sigma 2$  is a retract of X;
- $\frac{30}{2}$  (3)  $\Sigma 2$  is homeomorphic to a b-closed subspace of X;
- <sup>31</sup> (4)  $\Sigma 2$  is homeomorphic to a subspace of X.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose X is non- $T_1$ . Then, there exist  $x_0, x_1 \in X$  such that  $x_0 < x_1$ . We define two mappings  $s : \Sigma 2 \longrightarrow X$  by  $s(0) = x_0$  and  $s(1) = x_1$ , and  $r : X \longrightarrow \Sigma 2$  by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \le x_0; \\ 1, & \text{else}, \end{cases}$$

for any  $x \in X$ . It is trivial to verify that both *r* and *s* are continuous mappings such that  $r \circ s = id_{\Sigma 2}$ , where  $id_{\Sigma 2}$  is the identity mapping on  $\Sigma 2$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma 2$  is a retract of *X*.

<sup>39</sup> <sup>40</sup> (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): If  $\Sigma 2$  is a retract of *X*, then by Remark 2.5,  $\Sigma 2$  is homeomorphic to a subspace  $\{x_1, x_2\}$ of *X*. In addition, by Remark 2.3(2), we know that *bX* is Hausdorff; thus  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  is *b*-closed. <sup>41</sup> (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): It is clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Note that the  $T_1$ -separation property is hereditary. Then, since  $\Sigma 2$  is a non- $T_1$  subspace of X up to homeomorphism, it follows that X is also a non- $T_1$  space.  $\square$ 3 4 5 As an immediate result of Lemma 3.3, the following corollary is clear. **Corollary 3.4.** Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. Then, the following statements are equivalent: (1)  $\mathbf{K} \not\subset \mathbf{Top}_1$ ; (2) The space  $\Sigma 2$  can be topologically embedded into some space Y that belongs to **K**. 9 The following lemma extends Result 2.5 in [17]. 10 **Lemma 3.5.** Let  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbf{Top}_0$ ,  $k : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping such that k(X) is b-dense in Y, 11 and  $f: X \longrightarrow Z$  a continuous mapping. 12 (1) There exists at most one continuous mapping  $g: Y \longrightarrow Z$  such that  $f = g \circ k$ . 13 14 (2) If  $g: Y \longrightarrow Z$  is a continuous mapping such that  $f = g \circ k$ , then  $g(Y) \subseteq cl_b(f(X))$ , where  $cl_b(f(X))$ 15 is the b-closure of f(X) in Z. 16 **Proof.** (1) Suppose that there exist two continuous mappings  $g_1, g_2: Y \longrightarrow Z$  such that  $g_1 \circ k = g_2 \circ k =$ 17 18 f:19 20  $X \xrightarrow{\kappa} Y$   $f \xrightarrow{\downarrow} g_1, g_2$ 21 22 23 Let  $y \in Y$ . Suppose  $V \in \mathscr{O}(Z)$  such that  $g_1(y) \in V$ . Then  $y \in g_1^{-1}(V) \in \mathscr{O}(Y)$ . Since k(X) is *b*-dense 24 in *Y* and  $g_1^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow y$  is *b*-open,  $k(X) \cap g_1^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow y \neq \emptyset$ . In addition, since  $g_1 \circ k = g_2 \circ k = f$ , we deduce that  $k(X) \cap g_1^{-1}(V) = k(X) \cap g_2^{-1}(V) \subseteq g_2^{-1}(V)$ . It follows that  $g_2^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow y \neq \emptyset$ , which implies that  $y \in g_2^{-1}(V)$ , i.e.,  $g_2(y) \in V$ . These show that each open neighborhood of  $g_1(y)$  contains  $g_2(y)$ ; thus  $g_1(y) \in cl(\{g_2(y)\})$ . Dually, it holds that  $g_2(y) \in cl(\{g_1(y)\})$ . Since Z is a  $T_0$  space, we have that 29  $g_1(y) = g_2(y)$ . Therefore,  $g_1 = g_2$ . 30

(2) Let  $y \in Y$  and  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Z)$  such that  $g(y) \in V$ . Then  $y \in g^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , and since k(X) is *b*-dense in  $Y, k(X) \cap g^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow y \neq \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $k(x_0) \in g^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow y$ , which implies that  $g(y) \ge g(k(x_0)) \in V$  (note that *g* is monotone since it is continuous); thus  $f(x_0) = g(k(x_0)) \in G(k(x_0)) \in Y$ is hows that  $g(y) \in \operatorname{cl}_b(f(X))$ . Hence,  $g(Y) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_b(f(X))$ .

<sup>35</sup> **Theorem 3.6.** Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . Then, the following <sup>36</sup> statements hold.

 $\frac{37}{2}$  (1) **K** is b-closed-hereditary.

<sup>38</sup> (2) The Sierpiński space  $\Sigma 2 \in \mathbf{K}$ . Hence, for any set M, the product  $(\Sigma 2)^M \in \mathbf{K}$ .

 $\frac{39}{40}$  (3) Sob  $\subseteq$  K.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ , *A* be a *b*-closed subspace of *X*, and  $\mu_A : A \longrightarrow A^k$  be the **K**-reflection for *A*. Then,  $\mu_A(A)$  is a *b*-dense subset of  $A^k$  by Theorem 2.12. Consider the inclusion mapping  $e : A \longrightarrow X$ ,

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

## THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF $T_0$ SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

1  $x \mapsto x$ . Then there exists a unique continuous mapping  $f: A^k \longrightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ \mu_A = e$ :

 $A \xrightarrow{\mu_A} A^k \xrightarrow{i}_{e} \xrightarrow{i}_{h} f$ Then by Lemma 3.5, we have  $f(A^k) \subseteq cl_b(e(A)) = A$ , which shows that A is a b-dense retract of  $A^k$ . By Proposition 2.6, *A* is homeomorphic to  $A^k$ , and since  $A^k \in \mathbf{K}$ , it follows that  $A \in \mathbf{K}$ . (2) Since  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top_1}$ , there exists a  $T_0$  and non- $T_1$  space  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $\Sigma 2$  is a *b*-closed subspace of X up to homeomorphism, and from result (1) it follows that  $\Sigma 2 \in \mathbf{K}$ . Since **K** is reflective, **K** is productive (see V-6 in [15]), hence  $(\Sigma 2)^M \in \mathbf{K}$ . (3) Let  $X \in$  Sob. By Remark 3.2, there is an embedding  $e: X \longrightarrow (\Sigma 2)^M$  such that e(X) is a *b*-closed subspace of  $(\Sigma 2)^M$ , where  $M = \mathscr{O}(X)$ . By (2),  $(\Sigma 2)^M \in \mathbf{K}$  and since **K** is *b*-closed-hereditary, we have that  $e(X) \in \mathbf{K}$ , and since X is homeomorphic to e(X), it follows that  $X \in \mathbf{K}$ . Hence, Sob  $\subseteq \mathbf{K}$ . Note that every saturated subset of a  $T_0$  space is *b*-closed by Remark 2.3(3). Thus, the following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.6(1). **Corollary 3.7.** Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . If  $X \in \mathbf{K}$  and Y is a saturated subspace of X, then Y belongs to K. Since there exist sober but non- $T_1$  spaces (such as  $\Sigma^2$ ), the following corollary follows directly from Theorem 3.6(3). **Corollary 3.8.** Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. Then,  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{Sob} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ . Recall that the reflective hull of a subcategory C of **Top**<sub>0</sub> is the smallest reflective subcategory of Top<sub>0</sub> containing C. Let Sier be the full subcategory of Top<sub>0</sub> consisting of all  $T_0$  spaces X which are homeomorphic to  $\Sigma 2$ . **Corollary 3.9** ([16, Theorem 3.4]). *The reflective hull of* **Sier** *in* **Top**<sub>0</sub> *is* **Sob**. <sup>30</sup> **Proof.** Suppose **K** is a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that Sier  $\subseteq$  **K**. Note that  $\Sigma$ <sub>2</sub> is a  $T_0$  but <sup>31</sup> non- $T_1$  space; thus  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . By Theorem 3.6(3),  $\mathbf{Sob} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ . Since  $\mathbf{Sob}$  is reflective, it is the smallest reflective subcategory of Top<sub>0</sub> having Sier as a subcategory. Therefore, Sob is the reflective hull of Sier in Top<sub>0</sub>. **Lemma 3.10** ([13, Lemma 5, pp.116]). If  $\{f_i : X \longrightarrow Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of continuous mappings between  $T_0$  spaces, then the diagonal  $\Delta_{i \in I} f_i : X \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  is a continuous mapping, where  $\forall x \in X, \ (\Delta_{i \in I} f_i)(x) = (f_i(x))_{i \in I}.$ A skeleton of a category C is a full subcategory, denoted by skC, such that each object of C is isomorphic to exactly one object of skC.

**Remark 3.11.** Some properties on the skeleton are listed below (see [1, Proposition 4.14, pp. 51]): 41

42 (1) Every category has a skeleton.

 $\square$ 

#### THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF $T_0$ SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

1 (2) Any two skeletons of a category are isomorphic.

 $\frac{2}{3}$  A category is a *small category* if its class of objects is a set.

<sup>4</sup> Lemma 3.12. For any cardinal number  $\alpha$ , let  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Top}_0$  consisting of all  $T_0^{5}$  spaces whose cardinality is less than or equal to  $\alpha$ . Then, every skeleton of  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$  is a small category.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$  consisting of all  $T_0$  spaces of the form  $(\beta, \mathscr{T})$ , where  $\beta$  is a cardinal number such that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , and  $\mathscr{T}$  is an arbitrary  $T_0$  topology on  $\beta$ . Then, it is clear that  $\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}$  is a skeleton of  $\mathbf{T}_{\alpha}$ , and  $|\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}| \leq |\bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} 2^{\beta}|$ , where  $|\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}|$  is the cardinality of the class of all objects of  $\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}$ . Thus, the class of objects of  $\mathbf{skT}_{\alpha}$  is a small category.  $\Box$ 

<sup>11</sup> Theorem 3.13. Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . Then, the following statements <sup>12</sup> are equivalent:

 $\frac{13}{11}$  (1) **K** is reflective;

 $\frac{14}{15}$  (2) **K** is productive and b-closed-hereditary.

<sup>16</sup> **Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): It is well-known that if **K** is reflective, then it is productive (see V-6 in [15]), and by <sup>17</sup> Theorem 3.6, it is *b*-closed-hereditary.

 $\frac{18}{19} \quad (2) \Rightarrow (1): \text{ Let } X \in \mathbf{Top_0}. \text{ We will complete the proof in a few steps.}$ 

Step 1: We define the full subcategory C(X) of **K** to consist of all objects *Y* such that there exists a continuous mapping  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  with the property that f(X) is *b*-dense in *Y*. Then, for each  $Y \in C(X)$ , the sobrification  $f(X)^s$  of f(X) and the sobrification  $Y^s$  of *Y* are homeomorphic (see [12, Proposition 23, 3.4]), which implies that

$$|Y| \le |Y^s| = |f(X)^s| = |Irr(f(X))| \le 2^{|f(X)|}$$

where Irr(f(X)) is the set of all irreducible closed sets in the subspace f(X) of Y. Note that  $|f(X)| \le |X|$  (because f is a mapping), so  $|Y| \le 2^{|X|}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{skC}(X)$  be a skeleton of  $\mathbf{C}(X)$ . Since the cardinality of each space in  $\mathbf{skC}(X)$  is less than or equal to  $2^{|X|}$ , by Lemma 3.12,  $\mathbf{skC}(X)$  is a small category, so there is a cardinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $\frac{1}{30}$   $|\mathbf{skC}(X)| \leq \alpha$ .

Step 2: Denote by  $\Phi(X)$  the family of all pairs (Y, f), where  $Y \in \mathbf{skC}(X)$  and  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping such that f(X) is *b*-dense in *Y*. For each  $Y \in \mathbf{skC}(X)$ , since the cardinality of the set of all continuous mappings from *X* to *Y* is less than or equal to  $|Y|^{|X|}$ , we have that

35 36

37

$$\Phi(X)| \le \left| \bigcup_{Y \in \mathbf{skC}(X)} |Y|^{|X|} \right|.$$

Thus,  $\Phi(X)$  is a set, and then we may assume that  $\Phi(X) = \{(Y_i, f_i) : i \in I\}$ , where *I* is a set. Therefore, for each  $i \in I$ ,  $Y_i \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $f_i : X \longrightarrow Y_i$  is a continuous mapping such that  $f_i(X)$  is *b*-dense in  $Y_i$ .

40 Step 3: Let  $X^k = cl_b((\Delta_{i \in I} f_i)(X))$  be the *b*-closure of  $(\Delta_{i \in I} f_i)(X)$  in the product space  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i$ , where 41  $\Delta_{i \in I} f_i : X \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  is the diagonal (i.e.,  $x \mapsto (f_i(x))_{i \in I}$ ). Since  $\{Y_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{K}$  is productive, 42  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i \in \mathbf{K}$ , and since  $\mathbf{K}$  is *b*-closed-hereditary,  $X^k \in \mathbf{K}$ . Let  $k : X \longrightarrow X^k$  be the restriction of the

21

22 23 24

32 33 34

35 36

37

38

Step 4: Now, we prove that the subspace  $X^k$  of  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  with the mapping k is the **K**-reflection for X. To see this, suppose  $Y \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping. We consider the following two 5 cases: 6

(c1) f(X) is b-dense in Y. Then,  $Y \in \mathbf{C}(X)$ , and there is a homeomorphism h from Y to a unique 8 9 10 space Z in **skC**(X). It is trivial to check that  $h \circ f : X \longrightarrow Z$  is a continuous mapping such that h(f(X)) is b-dense in Z, so  $(Z, h \circ f) \in \Phi(X)$ . Assume  $(Z, h \circ f) = (Y_i, f_i)$  for some  $j \in I$ . Let  $p_i: X^k \longrightarrow Y_i$  be the restriction of the projection from  $\prod_{i \in I} Y_i$  to  $Y_i$  (i.e.,  $(x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto x_i$ ). Then  $p_i$  is 11 a continuous mapping, and clearly  $p_i \circ k = f_i$ : 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19



Let  $\widehat{f} = h^{-1} \circ p_j$ . Then  $\widehat{f} : X^k \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping such that  $\widehat{f} \circ k = (h^{-1} \circ p_j) \circ k = h^{-1} \circ (p_j \circ k) = h^{-1} \circ f_j = h^{-1} \circ (h \circ f) = (h^{-1} \circ h) \circ f = f$ :



Recall that  $k: X \longrightarrow X^k$  is a continuous mapping such that k(X) is b-dense in  $X^k$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5,  $\hat{f}$  is the unique continuous mapping such that  $\hat{f} \circ k = f$ .

(c2) f(X) is not b-dense in Y. Let  $cl_b(f(X))$  be the b-closure of f(X) in Y with the relative topology. 39 40 Then the co-restriction  $f^*: X \longrightarrow cl_b(f(X))$  of f (i.e.,  $\forall x \in X, f^*(x) = f(x)$ ) is a continuous mapping such that  $f^*(X)$  is *b*-dense in  $cl_b(f(X))$ . Since  $Y \in \mathbf{K}$  and  $\mathbf{K}$  is *b*-closed-hereditary, it 41 follows that  $cl_b(f(X)) \in \mathbf{K}$ . Then using the argument of (c1), there is a continuous mapping 42

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

## THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF $T_0$ SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

 $g: X^k \longrightarrow cl_b(f(X))$  such that  $g \circ k = f^*$ :  $X \xrightarrow{k} X^{k}$ Let  $e: \operatorname{cl}_b(f(X)) \longrightarrow Y$  be the inclusion mapping. Then,  $e \circ f^* = f$ . Let  $\widehat{f} = e \circ g$ . Then  $\widehat{f}: X^k \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping such that  $\widehat{f} \circ k = (e \circ g) \circ k = e \circ (g \circ k) = e \circ f^* = f$ :  $f^{*} \qquad g \qquad f^{*} \qquad$ 18 The uniqueness of  $\hat{f}$  follows from Lemma 3.5. 19 All these show that  $k: X \longrightarrow X^k$  is a **K**-reflection for X. Therefore, **K** is a reflective subcategory of Top<sub>0</sub>. **Definition 3.14.** We say that a full subcategory K of Top<sub>0</sub> has equalizers if it has equalizers in the sense of category theory. Specifically, for any continuous mappings  $f,g: X \longrightarrow Y$  in **K**, the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$  equipped with the subspace topology of X belongs to **K**. **Lemma 3.15.** Let  $X \in \mathbf{Top}_0$  and  $E \subseteq X$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent: (1) E is b-closed in X; (2) there exist continuous mappings  $f,g: X \longrightarrow (\Sigma 2)^M$  for some set M such that  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$ g(x); (3) there exist continuous mappings  $f, g: X \longrightarrow Y$  for some  $Y \in \mathbf{Top}_0$  such that  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = x \in X \}$ g(x). **Proof**. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since *E* is *b*-closed, by Remark 2.3(4), we have that  $E = \bigcap_{i \in M} (U_i \cup (X \setminus V_i)),$ where  $U_i, V_i \in \mathscr{O}(X)$  for all  $i \in M$ . Define  $f, g: X \longrightarrow (\Sigma 2)^M$  by  $f(x)(i) = \chi_{U_i}(x)$  and  $g(x)(i) = \chi_{U_i \cup V_i}(x)$ for any  $x \in X$  and  $i \in M$ . It is easy to verify that both f and g are continuous, and for each  $x \in X$ , f(x)(i) = g(x)(i) iff  $x \in U_i \cup (X \setminus V_i)$  for all  $i \in M$ . It follows that  $E = \{x \in X : f(x) = g(x)\}$ .  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : It is clear. 42

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $x \notin E$ . That is,  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Since Y is  $T_0$ , we may assume  $f(x) \nleq g(x)$  without loss of generality. Then, there exists  $V \in \mathcal{O}(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in V$  and  $g(x) \notin V$ . It follows that 3  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$  and  $x \notin g^{-1}(V)$ . We claim that  $E \cap f^{-1}(V) \cap \downarrow x = \emptyset$ . In fact, if  $y \in \downarrow x \cap f^{-1}(V) \cap E$ , 4 then  $g(y) = f(y) \in V$  and  $g(y) \leq g(x)$ , and hence  $g(x) \in V$ , a contradiction. This shows that E is **5** *b*-closed. 

6 By Lemma 3.15, the following proposition is clear.

**Proposition 3.16.** Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub>. If  $\{(\Sigma 2)^M : M \text{ is a set}\} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$ , then the following statements are equivalent: 9

(1) **K** has equalizers; 10

(2) **K** is b-closed-hereditary. 11

12 As an immediate result of Theorem 3.13 and Proposition 3.16, we have the following theorem.

13 **Theorem 3.17** ([8, 9.33 and 10.2.1]). Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . Then, 14 the following satements are equivalent: 15

(1) **K** is reflective; 16

(2) **K** is productive and has equalizers. 17

**18** Theorem 3.18. Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ , and Z a sober space. 19 Then, the following statements hold.

<sup>20</sup> (1) If { $X_i$  : *i* ∈ *I*} ⊆ **K** is a family of subspaces of *Z*, then the subspace  $\bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$  of *Z* belongs to **K**.

21 (2) For each subspace X of Z, the inclusion mapping  $e^k : X \longrightarrow cl_k(X)$  is a **K**-reflection for X, where 22  $\operatorname{cl}_k(X) = \bigcap \{ A \in \mathbf{K} : X \subseteq A \subseteq Z \}.$ 

23 **Proof**. (1) We prove this in a few steps. 24

Step 1: Let  $X = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$ . Then, by Theorem 2.10(2), the inclusion mapping  $e^s : X \longrightarrow X^s$  is a sober 25 reflection for X, where  $X^s = cl_b(X)$  is the b-closure of X in Z. Assume  $\mu_X : X \longrightarrow X^k$  is a K-reflection for X. By Theorem 3.6(3),  $X^s \in \mathbf{K}$ , and thus there exists a unique continuous mapping  $f: X^k \longrightarrow X^s$ 27 such that  $f \circ \mu_X = e^s$ : 28



30

31

32

33

Step 2: We prove that  $f(X^k) = X$ . Note that  $X = e^s(X) = f(\mu_X(X)) \subseteq f(X^k)$ . It remains to prove 34 that  $f(X^k) \subseteq X_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . 35

 $X \xrightarrow{\mu_X} X^k$ 

(c1) Let  $e^i: X \longrightarrow X_i$  be the inclusion mapping (note that X is a subspace of  $X_i$ ). Since  $X_i \in \mathbf{K}$ , there 36 exists a unique continuous mapping  $f_i: X^k \longrightarrow X_i$  such that  $f_i \circ \mu_X = e^i$ . 37



41 42



(c2) Let  $(X_i)^s = cl_b(X_i)$  be the *b*-closure of  $X_i$  in Z, which belongs to **K** by Theorem 3.6(3). Let  $e^{is}: X \longrightarrow (X_i)^s$  be the inclusion mapping (note that X is a subspace of  $X_i$  and  $X_i$  is a subspace of 

 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

 $(X_i)^s$ ). Then, there exists a unique continuous mapping  $g: X^k \longrightarrow (X_i)^s$  such that  $g \circ \mu_X = e^{is}$ .  $X \xrightarrow{\mu_X} X^k$   $e^{is} \qquad \downarrow g$ (c3) Let  $e_i^{is}: X_i \longrightarrow (X_i)^s$  be the inclusion mapping. Then, for each  $x \in X$ , by (c1) and (c2), we have that  $(g \circ \mu_X)(x) \stackrel{(\mathbf{c2})}{=} e^{is}(x) = x = e^i(x) \stackrel{(\mathbf{c1})}{=} (f_i \circ \mu_X)(x) = ((e_i^{is} \circ f_i) \circ \mu_X)(x).$ Hence,  $g \circ \mu_X = (e_i^{is} \circ f_i) \circ \mu_X$ . By the uniqueness of g, we deduce that  $g = e_i^{is} \circ f_i$ , i.e., the following diagram commutes: e<sup>is</sup>  $(X_i)^s$ (c4) Let  $e_s^{is}: X^s \longrightarrow (X_i)^s$  be the inclusion mapping (by noting that  $X^s = cl_b(X) \subseteq cl_b(X_i) = (X_i)^s$ ). Then, for each  $x \in X$ , we have that 26 27  $(g \circ \mu_X)(x) \stackrel{\text{(c2)}}{=} e^{is}(x) = x = e^s(x) \stackrel{\text{Step 1}}{=} (f \circ \mu_X)(x) = ((e^{is}_s \circ f) \circ \mu_X)(x).$ 28 Hence,  $g \circ \mu_X = (e_s^{is} \circ f) \circ \mu_X$ . By the uniqueness of g, we deduce that  $g = e_s^{is} \circ f$ , i.e., the 29 following diagram commutes: 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38  $(X_i)^s$ 39 (c5) For each  $y \in X^k$ , we have that 40 41  $f(y) = e_s^{is}(f(y)) \stackrel{\text{(c4)}}{=} g(y) \stackrel{\text{(c3)}}{=} e_i^{is}(f_i(y)) = f_i(y) \in X_i.$ 42

1 Thus,  $f(X^k) \subseteq X_i$ .

12

22

<sup>2</sup> Therefore,  $f(X^k) = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i = X$ .

Step 3: Now we have proved that the codomain of  $f: X^k \longrightarrow X^s$  is X, that is,  $f(X^k) = X$ . Then, we define the co-restriction  $\hat{f}: X^k \longrightarrow f(X^k) = X$  of f, which is a continuous mapping such that  $\hat{f} \circ \mu_X = \operatorname{id}_X$ , the identity mapping on X. From Theorem 2.12,  $\mu_X$  is a b-dense embedding, which implies that X is a *b*-retract of  $X^k$ ; then by Proposition 2.6, X is homeomorphic to  $X^k \in \mathbf{K}$ . Therefore,  $\frac{7}{2}X = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i \in \mathbf{K}$ .

9 (2) We prove the conclusion in the following steps.

Step 1: Suppose that  $\mu_X : X \longrightarrow X^k$  is a **K**-reflection for X. Applying result (1), we have that  $\operatorname{cl}_k(X) \in \mathbf{K}$ . Thus, there exists a unique continuous mapping  $f : X^k \longrightarrow \operatorname{cl}_k(X)$  such that  $f \circ \mu_X = e^k$ :



18 Step 2: Suppose that  $\eta_{X^k} : X^k \longrightarrow Y$  is a sober reflection for  $X^k$ . Let  $X^s = cl_b(X)$  be the *b*-closure of 19 X in Z. Then,  $X^s \in \mathbf{Sob} \subseteq \mathbf{K}$  by Theorem 3.6(3), which implies that  $cl_k(X) \subseteq X^s$ . Let  $e_k^s : cl_k(X) \longrightarrow X^s$ 20 be the inclusion mapping. Then, there exists a unique continuous mapping  $h : Y \longrightarrow X^s$  such that 21  $h \circ \eta_{X^k} = e_k^s \circ f$ :



*Step 3:* Let  $e^s = e_k^s \circ e^k : X \longrightarrow X^s$  be the inclusion mapping. Using results of Step 2 and Step 3, we have that  $h \circ \eta_{X^k} \circ \mu_X = (e_k^s \circ f) \circ \mu_X = e_k^s \circ (f \circ \mu_X) = e_k^s \circ e^k = e^s$ :



By Theorems 2.11 and 2.12, both  $\mu_X$  and  $\eta_{X^k}$  are *b*-dense embeddings, so is their composition  $\eta_{X^k} \circ \mu_X : X \longrightarrow Y$ . Then, by Theorem 2.11,  $\eta_{X^k} \circ \mu_X$  is a sober reflection for *X*, and by Theorem 2.10, the inclusion mapping  $e^s = e_k^s \circ e^k : X \longrightarrow X^s$  is also a sober reflection for *X*. Applying Lemma 2.8, we deduce that *h* is a homeomorphism.

*Step 4:* We prove that  $f(X^k) = h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k)) = cl_k(X)$ . On the one hand, by Step 3, it is clear that  $X = e^s(X) = h(\eta_{X^k}(\mu_X(X))) \subseteq h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k)) \subseteq X^s \subseteq Z$ . On the other hand, since  $\eta_{X^k}$  is an embedding and *h* is a homeomorphism,  $h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k))$  is homeomorphic to  $X^k \in \mathbf{K}$ , so  $h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k)) \in \mathbf{K}$ . Recall that  $cl_k(X) = \bigcap\{K \in \mathbf{K} : X \subseteq K \subseteq Z\}$ , so we have that

$$\operatorname{cl}_k(X) \subseteq h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k)) \stackrel{\text{Step 2}}{=} e_k^s(f(X^k)) = f(X^k) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_k(X).$$

<sup>7</sup> Therefore,  $f(X^k) = h(\eta_{X^k}(X^k)) = \operatorname{cl}_k(X)$ .

13 14

15 16 17

35

 $\frac{8}{9} \quad Step 5: \text{ Let } \widehat{f}: X^k \longrightarrow \operatorname{cl}_k(X) \text{ be the co-restriction of } h \circ \eta_{X^k}, \text{ i.e., } \widehat{f}(y) = h(\eta_{X^k}(y)) \text{ for any } y \in X^k.$   $\frac{9}{10} \text{ Then, } \widehat{f} \text{ is a homeomorphism, since } h \circ \eta_{X^k} \text{ is a topological embedding. In addition, by Step 4, it }$ satisfies that  $\widehat{f}(\mu_X(x)) = h(\eta_{X^k}(\mu_X(x))) = f(\mu_X(x)) \text{ for each } x \in X. \text{ Hence, } \widehat{f} \circ \mu_X = f \circ \mu_X. \text{ By the }$   $\frac{11}{12} \text{ uniqueness of } f, \text{ we deduce that } f = \widehat{f} \text{ is a homeomorphism:}$ 



<sup>18</sup>/<sub>19</sub> Therefore, by Lemma 2.8,  $e^k : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{cl}_k(X)$  is also a **K**-reflection for X.

**Theorem 3.19.** Let **K** be a reflective subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . If  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping from a sober space X to a sober space Y, then for any subspace  $Y_1$  of Y,  $Y_1 \in \mathbf{K}$  implies that the subspace  $f^{-1}(Y_1)$  of X belongs to **K**.

**Proof.** Let  $X_1 = f^{-1}(Y)$  and  $(X_1)^k = \bigcap \{K \in \mathbf{K} : X_1 \subseteq K \subseteq X\}$  be the subspace of *X*. By Theorem 3.18, the inclusion mapping  $e_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow (X_1)^k$  is a **K**-reflection for  $X_1$ . Consider the restriction  $f_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow Y_1$  $(x \mapsto f(x))$  of *f*, then there exists a unique continuous mapping  $g_1 : (X_1)^k \longrightarrow Y_1$  such that  $g_1 \circ e_1 = f_1$ :



Consider the composition  $e_{Y_1} \circ f_1 : X_1 \longrightarrow Y$ , where  $e_{Y_1} : Y_1 \longrightarrow Y$  is the inclusion mapping. Since Y is a sober space, by Theorem 3.6(3),  $Y \in \mathbf{K}$ . Then, there exists a unique continuous mapping  $g_2 : (X_1)^k \longrightarrow Y$  such that  $g_2 \circ e_1 = e_{Y_1} \circ f_1$ :



Let  $f_2: (X_1)^k \longrightarrow Y$   $(x \mapsto f(x))$  be the restriction of f. On the one hand, for each  $x \in X_1$ , we have  $f_2 \circ e_1(x) = f(x) = (e_{Y_1} \circ f_1)(x) = (g_2 \circ e_1)(x)$ , it follows that  $f_2 \circ e_1 = g_2 \circ e_1$ , which implies  $g_2 = f_2$ 

1 by the uniqueness of  $g_2$ . On the other hand,  $g_2 \circ e_1 = e_{Y_1} \circ f_1 = e_{Y_1} \circ (g_1 \circ e_1) = (e_{Y_1} \circ g_1) \circ e_1$ , which implies that  $e_{Y_1} \circ g_1 = g_2 = f_2$  by the uniqueness of  $g_2$ , i.e., the following diagram commutes: 2 3 4 5 6 7 8



9 10 Then for each  $x \in (X_1)^k$ , we have  $f(x) = f_2(x) = g_2(x) = (e_{Y_1} \circ g_1)(x) = g_1(x) \in Y_1$ , which implies  $x \in f^{-1}(Y_1) = X_1$ . Hence,  $(X_1)^k \subseteq X_1$ , and so  $X_1 = (X_1)^k \in \mathbf{K}$ . 

11 Using Theorems 3.6, 3.18 and 3.19, and Keimel and Lawson's result in [12], we obtain the main 12 result in this paper. 13

14 **Theorem 3.20.** Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . Then, the following satements 15 are equivalent:

16 (1) **K** is reflective;

17 (2) **K** satisfies conditions (K1)–(K4). 18

19 By Theorems 3.13, 3.17 and 3.20, several equivalent conditions for the reflectivity of **K** are 20 summarized as follows.

21 **Theorem 3.21.** Let **K** be a full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent: 23

(1) **K** is reflective in **Top**<sub>0</sub>; 24

(2) **K** satisfies conditions (K1)–(K4); 25

(3) **K** *is productive and b-closed-hereditary;* 26

(4) **K** is productive and has equalizers. 27

28 **Remark 3.22.** In the paper [5], Ershov proved that **K** is reflective in **Top**<sub>0</sub> if and only if **K** satisfies conditions (K1)–(K4) for every wide category K, where a wide category K is a full subcategory of 30 **Top**<sub>0</sub> such that every  $T_0$  space X can be topologically embedded into some space Y belonging to **K**. 31 By Corollary 3.4, it is clear that every wide category **K** satisfies  $\mathbf{K} \not\subseteq \mathbf{Top}_1$ . However, the converse is 32 not true. For example, the full subcategory **Sier** of  $Top_0$ , consisting of all topological spaces that are 33 homeomorphic to  $\Sigma 2$ , satisfies Sier  $\not\subseteq$  Top<sub>1</sub> but is not a wide category. Consequently, Ershove's result 34 can be regarded as a corollary of Theorem 3.21. Furthermore, the condition  $\mathbf{K} \not\subset \mathbf{Top_1}$  of Theorem 3.21 35 is a common and easily checkable condition in domain theory. Additionally, the approach presented in 36 this paper differs significantly from that employed in [5]. 37

# 38

39

## 4. Some applications

<sup>40</sup> By using the results in the last section, we investigate the reflectivity of several categories of  $T_0$  spaces,

including co-sober spaces, strong d-spaces, k-bounded sober spaces, and consonant  $T_0$  spaces. It is

<sup>42</sup> worth noting that all these classes of spaces are closed under the formation of homeomorphic objects.

**4.1.** *Co-sober spaces.* In order to study the dual Hofmann-Mislove Theorem, Escardó, Lawson and Simpson [4] introduced the co-sober spaces [4], which are defined below.

<sup>3</sup> **Definition 4.1** ([4]). Let X be a  $T_0$  space, and Q a nonempty compact saturated subset of X.

<sup>4</sup> (1) *Q* is called *k*-irreducible if for any compact saturated subsets  $Q_1, Q_2$  of *X*,  $Q = Q_1 \cup Q_2$  implies <sup>5</sup>  $Q = Q_1$  or  $Q = Q_2$ .

<sup>6</sup> (2) X is called *co-sober* if for each k-irreducible set Q, there exists a unique  $x \in X$  such that  $Q = \uparrow x$ .

For a poset P, the family of all upper sets of P forms a topology, called the Alexandroff topology on  $\frac{8}{9}$  P [7].

**10** Lemma 4.2. (1) Every poset equipped with the Alexandroff topology is co-sober.

11 (2) A poset equipped with the Alexandroff topology is sober if and only if the poset is a dcpo. Hence,

12 *co-sober spaces need not be sober.* 

<sup>13</sup> **Proof**. Let *P* be a poset equipped with the Alexandroff topology.

(2) This follows immediately from the fact that the irreducible subsets of P are exactly the directed sets.

<sup>20</sup> Let **Co-Sob** be the full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> consisting of all co-sober spaces.

21 It is worth noting that the topology of the Sierpiński space  $\Sigma 2$  coincides with the Alexandroff 22 topology on the two-point chain  $2 = \{0, 1\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.2,  $\Sigma 2$  is co-sober, and since it is not 23  $T_1$ , we can conclude that **Co-Sob**  $\not\subseteq$  **Top**<sub>1</sub>. The question of whether every sober space is co-sober was 24 raised in [4]. A negative answer was given by Wen and Xu in [21], where they proved that Isbell's 25 complete lattice (see [11]) equipped with the lower topology is sober but not co-sober. Furthermore, 26 it has been proved in [18] that there exists a dcpo that is sober but not co-sober with respected to the 27 Scott topology. Therefore, we have that **Sob**  $\not\subseteq$  **Co-Sob**. Then, by applying Theorem 3.6(3), we obtain 28 the following result. 29

Corollary 4.3. The category Co-Sob is not reflective in Top<sub>0</sub>.

<sup>31</sup> **4.2.** *Strong d-spaces.* The class of strong *d*-spaces was introduced by Xu and Zhao [25], which lies <sup>32</sup> between the classes of  $T_1$  spaces and *d*-spaces.

**Definition 4.4** ([25]). A  $T_0$  space X is called a *strong d-space* if for any  $x \in X$ , any directed subset D of X, and any open subset U of X,  $\bigcap_{d \in D} \uparrow d \cap \uparrow x \subseteq U$  implies  $\uparrow d_0 \cap \uparrow x \subseteq U$  for some  $d_0 \in D$ .

 $\overline{\mathbf{36}}$  Let **SD** be the full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> consisting of all strong *d*-spaces.

In [25, Example 3.34], it was shown that there exists a continuous dcpo *P* whose Scott topology is not a strong *d*-space. However, it is well-known that the Scott topology on any continuous dcpo is always sober. In addition, it has been noted in [25, Remark 3.21] that the Scott topology on every continuous lattice is a strong *d*-space. Therefore, **Sob**  $\nsubseteq$  **SD** and **SD**  $\nsubseteq$  **Top**<sub>1</sub>. By applying Theorem 1. 3.6(3), we deduce the following result.

42 **Corollary 4.5.** *The category* **SD** *is not reflective in* **Top**<sub>0</sub>*.* 

1 4.3. k-bounded sober spaces. In [26], Zhao and Ho introduced another weaker notion of sobriety, 2 called *k-bounded sobriety*. This notion is defined as follows.

**Definition 4.6** ([26]). A T<sub>0</sub> space X is k-bounded sober if for any irreducible closed subset F of X with  $\bigvee F$  existing, there is a unique point  $x \in X$  such that  $F = \downarrow x$ . 5

Let **KSob** be the full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> consisting of all k-bounded sober spaces. It is clear that 6 Sob  $\subseteq$  KSob and Sob  $\not\subseteq$  Top<sub>1</sub>. Thus, we conclude that KSob  $\not\subseteq$  Top<sub>1</sub>.

<sup>8</sup> Example 4.7. Let X = [0,3] equipped with the Scott topology (i.e., the open sets are  $\emptyset$ , [0,3] and all <sup>9</sup> sets of the form (x,3], where  $x \in [0,3]$ ). Since [0,3] is a continuous lattice, we know that X is a sober <sup>10</sup> space, and hence it is also k-bounded sober. For each integer  $n \ge 2$ , let  $X_n = [0,1) \cup (2-\frac{1}{n}, 2+\frac{1}{n})$ . We <sup>11</sup> have the following facts.

12 (1) Each subspace  $X_n$  of X is k-bounded sober. To show this, let F be an irreducible closed set in  $X_n$ 13 and  $x \in X_n$  such that  $\bigvee_{X_n} F = x$ . There are two cases: 14

(c1)  $x \in [0,1)$ . Then,  $F \subseteq \downarrow x \subseteq [0,1)$ , which follows that  $\operatorname{cl}_{X_n}(F) = \operatorname{cl}_{X_n}(\{x\})$ . (c2)  $x \in (2 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n})$ . Then,  $F \cap (2 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n}) \neq \emptyset$ , which implies that 15

16 17 18

$$F = \bigvee_{X_n} F = \bigvee_{X_n} F \cap (2 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n}) = \bigvee_X F \cap (2 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n}) = \bigvee_X F \cap X_n = \bigvee_X F.$$

Since X is sober, we have  $cl_X(F) = cl_X(\{x\})$ , and thus  $cl_{X_n}(F) = cl_X(F) \cap X_n = cl_X(\{x\}) \cap X_n = cl_X(\{x\}) \cap X_n$ 

- $cl_{X_n}(\{x\})$ , where the last equality holds because  $x \in X_n$ . 19
- All these show that  $X_n$  is *k*-bounded sober. 20

(2) The intersection  $Y = \bigcap_{n \ge 2} X_n = [0, 1) \cup \{2\}$  equipped with the subspace topology of X is not 21 k-bounded sober. In fact, the set F:=[0,1) is irreducible since it is directed, and  $\bigvee_{Y} F = 2$ . In 22 23 addition, since [0,1] is a closed set in X and  $F = [0,1] \cap Y$ , we have that F is a closed set in Y. For each  $x \in [0,1)$ , we have that  $cl_Y(\{x\}) = [0,x] \neq F$ , and  $cl_Y(\{2\}) = Y \neq F$ . Therefore, Y is not a 24 *k*-bounded sober space. 25

26 The above example shows that **KSob** does not satisfy (K3). Thus, by Theorem 3.21, we obtain the <sup>27</sup> following corollary.

28 **Corollary 4.8** ([14]). *The category* **KSob** *is not reflective in* **Top**<sub>0</sub>. 29

30 4.4. Consonant spaces. The class of consonant spaces was introduced by Dolecki, Greco and Lechicki <sup>31</sup> in [2], which plays an important role in discussion of the equality of the Isbell topology and the compactopen topology on function spaces [20]. The definition is given as follows. 32

33 **Definition 4.9** ([2]). A topological space X is called *consonant* if for every Scott open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of 34  $\mathscr{O}(X)$ , there exists a family  $\{K_i : i \in I\}$  of compact subsets of X such that  $\mathscr{U} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathscr{N}(K_i)$ , where 35  $\mathcal{N}(K_i) := \{ U \in \mathcal{O}(X) : K_i \subseteq U \}$  for all  $i \in I$ . 36

Let **Const** be the full subcategory of **Top**<sub>0</sub> consisting of all consonant  $T_0$  spaces. We note the 37 following facts: 38

- (1) Every finite topological space X is consonant, since every subset of X is compact. As a consequence,
- $\Sigma 2$  is a consonant  $T_0$  but non- $T_1$  space, so we have that **Const**  $\not\subseteq$  **Top**<sub>1</sub>; 40
- (2) Nogura and Shakhmatov [20] have shown that there exists a metric space (hence is sober) that is 41
- 42 not consonant, so we have that **Sob**  $\not\subseteq$  **Const**.

1 Therefore, by Theorem 3.6(3), we obtain the following corollary.

 $\frac{2}{3}$  Corollary 4.10. The category Const is not reflective in Top<sub>0</sub>.

## 5. Conclusion

<sup>6</sup> In this paper we proved that if a reflective subcategory of  $\mathbf{Top}_0$  contains a non- $T_1$  space and satisfies <sup>7</sup> the (K2) condition proposed by Lawson and Keimel, then it also satisfies the remaining conditions <sup>8</sup> (K1), (K3) and (K4). Based on this result, we concluded that several subcategories are not reflective, <sup>9</sup> thus giving negative answers to some open problems. We expect that this result might also serve as a <sup>10</sup> tool for verifying the reflectivity of other subcategories of  $\mathbf{Top}_0$ .

12

4 5

Acknowledgments. (1) We appreciate the referee for giving us many valuable suggestions to
 improve our work, particularly the new proof of Theorem 3.18. (2) This work was supported by
 the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 1210010153, 12071188), Jiangsu Provincial
 Department of Education (No. 21KJB110008), and International Postdoctoral Exchange Fellowship
 Program (No. PC2022013).

18 19

#### References

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, G. E. Strecker, Abstract and Concrete Categories -The Joy of Cats, Dover Publications, 2009.
- [2] S. Dolecki, G.H. Greco, A. Lechicki, When do the upper Kuratowski topology (homeomorphically, Scott topology) and the co-compact topology coincide?, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 347 (1995) 2869–2884.
- [3] C. H. Dowker, D. Papert, Quotient frames and subspaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 3 (16) (1966) 275–296.
- [4] M. Escardó, J. Lawson, A. Simpson, Comparing Cartesian closed categories of (core) compactly generated spaces, Topol. Appl. 143 (2004) 105–145.
- [5] Yu. L. Ershov, K-Completions of  $T_0$ -Spaces, Algebra and Logic 61(4) (2022) 177–187.
- [6] G. Gierz, K. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. Lawson, M. Mislove, D. Scott, Continuous lattices and Domains, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol.93, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [7] J. Goubault-Larrecq, Non-Hausdorff topology and Domain Theory, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [8] H. Herrlich, Topologische Reflexionen und Coreflexionen, Lecture Notes Math. Vol. 78, Berlin, 1968.
- 30 [9] R-E. Hoffmann, Topological functors admitting generalized Cauchy-completions, in Categorical Topology, Proc. of the
- conf. held at Mannheim 1975 ed. E. Binz and H. Herrlich, pp. 286–344, Lect. Notes in Math., 540, Berlin-Heidelberg New York: Springer 1976.
- [10] R-E. Hoffmann, On the sobrification remainder  ${}^{s}X X$ , Pacific J. Math. 83(1) (1979) 145–156.
- [11] J.R. Isbell, Completion of a construction of Johnstone, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982) 333–334.
- [11] J.R. Isbell, Completion of a construction of somsteine, Proc. Punct. Multi-Soc. 05 (1992) 355-554.
  [12] K. Keimel, J.D. Lawson, D-completions and the d-topology, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 159 (2009) 292–306.
- <sup>35</sup> [13] J.K. Kelly, General Toplogy, Springer-Verlag, 1955.
- 36 [14] J. Lu, K. Wang, G. Wu, B. Zhao, Nonexistence of k-bounded sobrification, preprint, 2020, arXiv: 2011.11606v1.
- 37 [15] S. Mac Lane, Categories for the Working Mathematician, Springer, 1997.
- [16] L.D. Nel, R.G. Wilson, Epireflections in the category of  $T_0$ -spaces, Fund. Math. 75 (1972) 69–74.
- $\frac{1}{39}$  [17] L. Skula, On a reflective subcategory of the category of all topological spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (1969) 37–41.
- <sup>40</sup> [18] C. Shen, G. Wu, X. Xi, D. Zhao, Sober Scott spaces are not always co-sober, Topol. Appl. 282 (2020) 107316.
- <sup>41</sup> [19] C. Shen, X. Xi, D. Zhao, The non-reflectivity of open well-filtered spaces via *b*-topology, Houston J. Math. (2023)
- 42 accepted.

# THE REFLECTIVITY OF SOME CATEGORIES OF T<sub>0</sub> SPACES IN DOMAIN THEORY

1	[20] T. Nogura, D. Shakhmatov, When does the Fell topology on a hyperspace of closed sets coincide with the meet of the
2	upper Kuratowski and the lower Vietoris topologies?, Topol. Appl. 70 (2–3) (1996) 213–243.
3	[21] X.P. Wen and X.Q. Xu, Sober is not always co-sober, Topol. Appl. 250 (2018) 48–52.
4	[22] G. Wu, X. Xi, X. Xu and D. Zhao, Existence of well-filterification, Topol. Appl. 267 (2019) 10/044.
5	[23] O. Wyler, Dedekind complete posets and Scott topologies, in: B. Banaschewski, R. E. Hoffman (Eds.), Continuous
	Lattices, Proc. Bremen, 1979, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 871, 1981, pp. 384–389.
6	[24] X. Xu, D. Zhao, Some open problems on well-filtered spaces and sober spaces, Topol. Appl. (2020) 10/540.
7	[25] X. Xu, D. Zhao, On topological Rudin's lemma, well-intered spaces and sober spaces, Topol. Appl. 272 (2020) 107080.
8	[20] D. Zhao, w. no, On topologies defined by ineducible sets, J. Log. Algebi. Methods Program. 84 (1) (2013) 163–193.
9	CHONG SHEN, KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION NETWORKS, SCHOOL OF SCIENCE,
10	BEIJING UNIVERSITY OF POSTS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS, BEIJING, CHINA 100876
11	Email address: shenchong0520@163.com
12	
13	XIAOYONG XI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YANCHENG TEACHERS UNIVERSITY, JIANGSU,
14	YANCHENG, CHINA 224002
15	Email address: x1xy@yctu.edu.cn
10	DONGSHENG ZHAO, MATHEMATICS AND MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION,
10	NANYANG TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, 1 NANYANG WALK, SINGAPORE 637616
17	<i>Email address</i> : dongsheng.zhao@nie.edu.sg
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
25	
30	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	