AN EXTENSION OF BREMNER AND MACLEOD'S THEOREM

NGUYEN DUY TAN AND NGUYEN XUAN THO

ABSTRACT. Bremner and Macleod [An unusual cubic representation problem, Ann. Math. Inform. 43 (2014), 29-41] showed that for all odd positive integers n, the equation

$$n = \frac{x}{y+z} + \frac{y}{z+x} + \frac{z}{x+y}$$

has no solutions in the positive integers. We extend this theorem to the equation

$$2na^{2}b^{2}c^{2} - a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2} = \frac{a^{2}x}{y+z} + \frac{b^{2}y}{z+x} + \frac{c^{2}z}{x+y},$$
(1)

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ and $n, x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Furthermore, we show that the insolubility (1) (under some conditions on a, b, c, n) can be explained by a Brauer-Manin obstruction for weak approximation for an elliptic curve model of the defining equation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The following remarkable theorem was proved by Bremner and Macleod in [1].

Theorem 1.1. Let n be an positive odd integer. Then the equation

$$n = \frac{x}{y+z} + \frac{y}{z+x} + \frac{z}{x+y} \tag{2}$$

has no solutions in the positive integers.

The size of positive integer solutions to (2) for small even values of n could be large, see [1, Table 2]. The goal of this paper is to extend Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. Let a, b, c be nonzero integers such that -(a+b+c) and abc are square numbers with $2 \nmid a+b+c$ and gcd(abc, a+b+c) = 1. Then for all positive integers n corpine to a+b+c, the equation

$$2na^{2}b^{2}c^{2} - a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2} = \frac{a^{2}x}{y+z} + \frac{b^{2}y}{z+x} + \frac{c^{2}z}{x+y}$$
(3)

has no solutions in the positive integers. Furthermore, the insolubility of (3) is explained by a Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation for a certain elliptic curve.

Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.2 when |a| = |b| = |c| = 1.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The Brauer-Manin obstruction. This section follows Colliot-Thélène and Skorobogatov [4, Chapter 13], see also Poonen [7, Chapter 8]. Let k be a number field, let Ω be the set of all places of k, and let A_k be the adèle ring of k. Let X be a proper, smooth, geometrically irreducible variety over k. Let Br(X) be the Brauer group of X, that is the group of equivalence classes of Azumaya algebras over X. In 1970, Manin [6] introduced the Brauer-Manin paring

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k) \times \operatorname{Br}(X) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

sending $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ and $\mathcal{A} \in Br(X)$ to

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}((P_v)) = \sum_{v \in \Omega} \operatorname{inv}_v(\mathcal{A}(P_v)) \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14G12, 14G05.

Key words and phrases. Azumaya algebras, Brauer group, Brauer-Manin obstruction, rational points.

where for each valuation v and each Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} in $\operatorname{Br}(X)$, $\operatorname{inv}_v \colon \operatorname{Br}(k_v) \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the local invariant map from class field theory and $\mathcal{A}(P_v)$ is defined as follows. A point $P_v \in X(k_v)$ gives a map $\operatorname{Spec}(k_v) \to X$, and hence induces a pullback map $\operatorname{Br}(X) \to \operatorname{Br}(k_v)$. We write $\mathcal{A}(P_v)$ for the image of \mathcal{A} under this map. The Brauer set of $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\operatorname{Br}}$ is given

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \{(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k) \text{ such that } \mathrm{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}((P_v)) = 0 \text{ for all } \mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)\}$$

The following theorem is due to Manin, see [6].

Theorem 2.1. Let k be a number field and let X be a variety over k. The Brauer-Manin set $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ contains the closure of the image of the diagonal map $X(k) \to X(\mathbb{A}_k)$.

Assume that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq X(\mathbb{A}_k)$, then one says there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation for X. Our model problem is that for a given variety X over k, we would like to show $X(k)_{\mathcal{P}} = \emptyset$, where $X(k)_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the set of all points in X(k) having property \mathcal{P} . The guiding principle is to construct an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)$ such that

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\mathcal{A}}((P_v)) \neq 0$$
 for all $P \in X(k)_{\mathcal{P}}$,

where $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k) = \prod_{v \in \Omega} X(k_v)$ is the image of $P \in X(k)$ under the diagonal map.

2.2. The local Hilbert symbol. This section follows Cohen [3, Section 5.2]. Let p be a prime number. For a p-adic number $a \neq 0$, let $v_p(a)$ denote the p-adic valuation of a; that is, the exponent of the highest power of the prime number p dividing a. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$ or $k = \mathbb{R}$. For a and b in k^* , the local Hilbert symbol $(a, b)_p$ is defined by

$$(a,b)_p = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } ax^2 + by^2 = z^2 \text{ has a point in } \mathbb{P}^2(k), \\ -1 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

• For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$,

$$(a, b^2)_p = 1,$$

 $(a, bc)_p = (a, b)_p (a, c)_p.$

• For
$$a = p^{\alpha}u$$
, $b = p^{\beta}v$, where $\alpha = v_p(a)$ and $\beta = v_p(b)$,

$$(a,b)_p = (-1)^{\alpha\beta(p-1)/2} \left(\frac{u}{p}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{v}{p}\right)^{\alpha} \text{ if } p \neq 2,$$
$$(a,b)_p = (-1)^{(u-1)(v-1)/4 + \alpha(v^2 - 1)/8 + \beta(u^2 - 1)/8} \text{ if } p = 2,$$

where $\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol.

Let
$$\mathbb{Z}^2 = \{x^2 \colon x \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \mathbb{Z}_p^2 = \{x^2 \colon x \in \mathbb{Z}_p\}, \mathbb{Q}_p^2 = \{x^2 \colon x \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}, \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \colon v_p(x) = 0\}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Assume that there exist positive integers x_0, y_0, z_0 satisfying (3). Then $[x_0 : y_0 : z_0]$ is a point on the projective cubic curve \mathcal{F} defined by

$$(2na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}-a^{2}-b^{2}-c^{2})(x+y)(y+z)(z+x)-a^{2}x(x+y)(x+z)-b^{2}y(y+z)(y+x)-c^{2}z(z+x)(z+y) = 0$$

A Weierstrass form is
$$\mathcal{E}: y^{2} = x(x^{2}+Ax+B), \qquad (4)$$

where

$$A = 16n^{2}a^{4}b^{4}c^{4} - 8na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2}) + a^{4} + b^{4} + c^{4} - 2a^{2}b^{2} - 2a^{2}c^{2} - 2b^{2}c^{2},$$

$$B = 64na^{4}b^{4}c^{4}.$$

A map ϕ from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{E} is given by

$$\phi(x:y:z) = (u:v:1),$$

23 Jan 2023 00:00:18 PST 220901-Xuan Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math. where

$$\begin{cases} u = -\frac{(x+y)(64n^2a^6b^2c^4 + 2na^6c^2 - 48na^4b^2c^2 + 8a^2b^2 - a^2c^2) + (y+z)c^4(2na^2c^2 - 1)}{(x+y)(4na^2c^2 - 1)}, \\ v = \frac{8c^4(2a^4 + 2na^2c^2 - 1)^2(a^2(x+y)(2na^2c^2 - 1) - 2na^2c^4(x-y) + c^2x)}{(x+y)(4na^2c^2 - 1)^3}. \end{cases}$$
(5)

Note that

$$A^2 - 4B = DEFG_3$$

where

$$D = (a + b + c)^{2} - 4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}, E = (a - b + c)^{2} - 4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2},$$

$$F = (a + b - c)^{2} - 4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}, G = (-a + b + c)^{2} - 4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}.$$

The Magma code verifying the map ϕ and the factorization of $A^2 - 4B$ is available at https: //www.overleaf.com/read/wwmkcknjfkbv.

Lemma 3.1. D < 0, E < 0, F < 0, and G < 0.

Proof. We show that D < 0. The cases E < 0, F < 0, and G < 0 are treated similarly. Without loss of generality, we assume $|a| = \max\{|a|, |b|, |c|\}$.

Case 1: |bc| > 1. Then

$$(a+b+c)^{2} \leq (|a|+|b|+|c|)^{2} \leq 9|a|^{2} < 4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}.$$

Hence D < 0.

Case 2: |bc| = 1. Then (b,c) = (1,1), (1,-1), (-1,1), (-1,-1). But (b,c) = (1,1) is impossible due to the condition that -(a+b+c) and *abc* are both perfect squares.

If (b, c) = (1, -1), (-1, 1), then

$$D = a^2 - 4na^2 < 0.$$

If $(b, c) = (-1, -1)$, since $a + b + c < 0$ and $abc > 0$, $0 < a < 2$. Hence $a = 1$. Therefore

$$D = 1 - 4n < 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1,

 $A^2 - 4B = DEFG > 0.$

Therefore \mathcal{E} is an elliptic curve and the set $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ has two components: the bounded component with x < 0 and the unbounded component with $x \ge 0$. A remarkable property of the curve \mathcal{E} is that it has no rational points on the bounded component x < 0. This surprising property also holds for many other curves, see [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10].

Theorem 3.2. Let S be the set of points $(x, y) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$ with x < 0. Then S is empty. Furthermore, the emptiness of S is explained by a Brauer-Manin obstruction to weak approximation for \mathcal{E} .

We need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E})$ be the function field of \mathcal{E} . Let $d \in \mathbb{Q}^*$. Let \mathcal{A} be the class of quaternion algebras in $Br(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}))$ defined by

 $\mathcal{A} = (x, d).$

Then \mathcal{A} is an Azumaya algebra of \mathcal{E} ; that is, \mathcal{A} belongs to the subgroup $Br(\mathcal{E})$ of $Br(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}))$. Furthermore, the quaternion algebras \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} , \mathcal{C} , where

$$\mathcal{B} = (x^2 + Ax + B, d), \ \mathcal{C} = (\frac{x^2 + Ax + B}{x^2}, d)$$

all represent the same class in $Br(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}))$.

23 Jan 2023 00:00:18 PST 220901-Xuan Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math. *Proof.* Since $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = (y^2, d)$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$. Since $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C} = (x^2, d)$, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$. Hence $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$. Let U_1, U_2, U_3 be the maximal open subsets of \mathcal{E} where $x, x^2 + Ax + B$, and $(x^2 + Ax + B)/x^2$ have neither zeroes nor poles respectively. Then $\mathcal{A} \in Br(U_1)$, $\mathcal{B} \in Br(U_2)$, and $\mathcal{C} \in Br(U_3)$. We just need to show that

$$\mathcal{E} = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3. \tag{6}$$

Since $U_1 = \mathcal{E} - \{(0,0),\infty\}$, $U_2 = \mathcal{E} - \{(\alpha_1,0), (\alpha_2,0),\infty\}$, where α_1 and α_2 are roots of $x^2 + Ax + B = 0$,

$$U_1 \cup U_2 = \mathcal{E} - \{\infty\}. \tag{7}$$

However, at ∞ then $x^{-1}(\infty) = 0$. Therefore

$$\frac{x^2 + Ax + B}{x^2}(\infty) = (1 + \frac{A}{x} + \frac{B}{x^2})(\infty) = 1 \neq 0.$$

 $\infty \in U_3.$ (8)

Thus

Then (6) follows from (7) and (8).

Let \mathcal{M} be the class of quaternion algebras in $\operatorname{Br}(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}))$ given by $\mathcal{M} = (x, D)$. By Lemma 3.1, $D \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.3, \mathcal{M} belongs to the Brauer group $\operatorname{Br}(\mathcal{E})$.

Fix $P = (x, y) \in S$. Then x < 0 and

$$y^2 = x(x^2 + Ax + B).$$
 (9)

Lemma 3.4. Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$\operatorname{inv}_p(\mathcal{M}(P_p)) = 0.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $(x, D)_p = 1$.

Case 1: $v_p(x) < 0$. Let $x = x_1/p^r$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $v_p(x_1) = 0$. From (9),

$$y^{2} = \frac{x_{1}(x_{1}^{2} + p^{r}Ax_{1} + Bp^{2r})}{p^{3r}}.$$
(10)

Therefore $v_p(y^2) = -3r$. Thus 2|r. From (10),

$$(p^{3r/2}y)^2 = x_1(x_1^2 + p^r A x_1 + B p^{2r}).$$
(11)

Reducing (11) modulo p gives $x_1 \equiv$ square (mod p). Therefore $x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Hence $x = x_1/p^r \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$. Thus $(x, D)_p = 1$.

Case 2: $v_p(x) = 0$.

Case 2.1: $p \nmid D$. Since x and D are units in \mathbb{Z}_p , $(x, D)_p = 1$ **Case 2.2:** p|D. Since $D|A^2 - 4B$, $p|A^2 - 4B$. Therefore

$$x^{2} + Ax + B = (x + \frac{A}{2})^{2} + \frac{4B - A^{2}}{4}$$

$$\equiv (x + \frac{A}{2})^{2} \pmod{p}.$$
 (12)

• $p \nmid x + A/2$. From (12), $x^2 + Ax + B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Therefore

$$x = \frac{y^2}{x^2 + Ax + B} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$$

Thus $(x, D)_p = 1$.

• $p|\mathbf{x} + A/2$. Then

$$x \equiv -\frac{A}{2} \pmod{p}.$$
 (13)

Since $p|D = (a + b + c)^2 - 4na^2b^2c^2$, $4na^2b^2c^2$

$$4na^2b^2c^2 \equiv (a+b+c)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

23 Jan 2023 00:00:18 PST 220901-Xuan Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math.

Therefore

$$A = 16n^{2}a^{4}b^{4}c^{4} - 8na^{2}b^{2}c^{2}(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2}) + a^{4} + b^{4} + c^{4} - 2a^{2}b^{2} - 2a^{2}c^{2} - 2b^{2}c^{2}$$

$$= (4na^{2}b^{2}c^{2} - a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2})^{2} - 4(a^{2}b^{2} + b^{2}c^{2} + c^{2}a^{2})$$

$$\equiv ((a + b + c)^{2} - a^{2} - b^{2} - c^{2})^{2} - 4(a^{2}b^{2} + b^{2}c^{2} + c^{2}a^{2}) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (2(ab + bc + ca))^{2} - 4(a^{2}b^{2} + b^{2}c^{2} + c^{2}a^{2}) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv 8abc(a + b + c) \pmod{p}.$$
(14)

From (13) and (14),

$$x \equiv -4abc(a+b+c) \pmod{p}.$$

Since $-abc(a+b+c) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $p \nmid x, x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Therefore $(x, D)_p = 1$. **Case 3:** $v_p(x) > 0$. Let $x = p^r x_1$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $v_p(x_1) = 0$. From (9),

$$y^{2} = p^{r} x_{1} (p^{2r} x_{1}^{2} + p^{r} A x_{1} + B).$$
(15)

Case 3.1: p|B. Then p|nabc. Therefore

$$D = (a+b+c)^2 - 4na^2b^2c^2 \equiv (a+b+c)^2 \pmod{p}.$$
 (16)

Since gcd(nabc, a + b + c) = 1 and $p|nacb, p \nmid a + b + c$. Then (16) shows that $D \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Thus $(x, D)_p = 1$.

Case 3.2: $p \nmid B$. From (15), $v_2(y^2) = r$. Thus 2|r.

• $p \nmid D$. Then

$$(x, D)_p = (p^r x_1, D)_p$$

= $(x_1, D)_p$ (since $2|r$)
= 1 (since $x_1, D \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$)

• p|D. Then $4na^2b^2c^2 \equiv (a+b+c)^2 \pmod{p}$. Since gcd(nabc, a+b+c) = 1 and $2 \nmid a+b+c$, $p \nmid abc(a+b+c)$. Therefore

$$x^{2} + Ax + B \equiv B \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv 64na^{4}b^{4}c^{4} \pmod{p}$$
$$\equiv 16a^{2}b^{2}c^{2}(a+b+c)^{2} \pmod{p}$$
$$\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Hence $x^2 + Ax + B \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2$. Thus

$$x = \frac{y^2}{x^2 + Ax + B} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^2$$

Therefore $(x, D)_p = 1$.

Lemma 3.5.

$$\operatorname{inv}_2(\mathcal{M}(P_2)) = 0.$$

Proof. If 2|nabc then

$$D \equiv (a+b+c)^2 - 4na^2b^2c^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}.$$

Hence $D \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$. Therefore $(x, D)_2 = 1$.
We consider the case $2 \nmid nabc$. Then $2 \nmid n$ and $2 \nmid abc$.
Case 1: $2|v_2(x)$. Let $x = 2^rx_1$, where $2|r$ and $v_2(x_1) = 0$. Then
 $(x, D)_2 = (2^rx_1, D)_2$
 $= (x_1, D)_2 \pmod{2|r}$
 $= (-1)^{(x_1-1)(D-1)/4}$

$$= (-1)^{1/2} + (-1)^{1/2} = 1 \quad (\text{since } 4|D-1).$$

23 Jan 2023 00:00:18 PST 220901-Xuan Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math. Case 2: $2 \nmid v_2(x)$. Case 2.1: $v_2(x) < 0$. Let $x = x_1/2^r$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $v_2(x_1) = 0$. From (9),

$$y^{2} = \frac{x_{1}(x_{1}^{2} + 2^{r}As + 2^{2r}B)}{2^{3r}}.$$

Therefore $v_2(y^2) = 3r$, which impossible since $2 \nmid r$.

Case 2.2: $v_2(x) > 0$. Let $x = 2^r x_1$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $v_2(x_1) = 0$. From (9),

$$y^{2} = 2^{r} x_{1} (2^{2r} x_{1}^{2} + 2^{r} A x_{1} + 2^{6} n a^{4} b^{4} c^{4}).$$
(17)

Since $2 \nmid abc$,

$$A = 16n^2 a^4 b^4 c^4 - 8a^2 b^2 c^2 (a^2 + b^2 + c^2) + a^4 + b^4 + c^4 - 2a^2 b^2 - 2a^2 c^2 - 2b^2 c^2$$

$$\equiv 5 \pmod{8}.$$

Thus $v_2(A) = 0$.

• r > 6. From (17),

$$y^{2} = 2^{r+6}x_{1}(2^{2r-6}x_{1}^{2} + 2^{r-6}Ax_{1} + na^{4}b^{4}c^{4}).$$

Therefore $v_2(y^2) = r + 6$, which is impossible since $2 \nmid r$. •r < 6. From (17),

$$y^{2} = 2^{2r}x_{1}(2^{r}x_{1}^{2} + Ax_{1} + 2^{6-r}na^{4}b^{4}c^{4}).$$
(18)

Thus $v_2(y) = r$. From (18),

$$(2^{-r}y)^2 = x_1(2^r x_1^2 + Ax_1 + 2^{6-r} n a^4 b^4 c^4).$$
⁽¹⁹⁾

Note that in (19) we have $A \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, $2 \nmid r$, 0 < r < 6, $2 \nmid nabc$.

(i) r = 1. Reducing (19) modulo 4 gives

$$1 \equiv x_1(2+x_1) \equiv 2x_1+1 \pmod{4}$$

which is impossible since $2 \nmid x_1$.

(ii) r = 3. Reducing (19) modulo 8 gives

$$1 \equiv 5 \pmod{8},$$

which is impossible.

(iii) r = 5. Reducing (19) modulo 4 gives

$$1 \equiv x_1(x_1+2) \equiv 1+2x_1 \pmod{4}$$

which is impossible since $2 \nmid x_1$.

Lemma 3.6.

$$\operatorname{inv}_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}(P_{\infty})) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Proof. Since D < 0 (proved in Lemma 3.1) and x < 0, $(x, D)_{\infty} = -1$. Therefore $inv_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}(P_{\infty})) = 1/2$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof. Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6 show that for all $P \in S$,

$$\operatorname{inv}_{v}(\mathcal{M}(P_{v})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \neq \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } v = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\mathcal{M}}((P_v)) = \operatorname{inv}_{\infty}(\mathcal{M}(P_{\infty})) + \sum_{\substack{p < \infty \\ 6}} \operatorname{inv}_p(\mathcal{M}(P_p)) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \forall P \in S.$$

$$\tag{20}$$

23 Jan 2023 00:00:18 PST 220901-Xuan Version 2 - Submitted to Rocky Mountain J. Math. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathrm{Br}}$. In particular, $S \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\mathrm{Br}}$. Hence

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\mathcal{M}}((P_v)) = 0 \quad \forall P \in S.$$
 (21)

It follows from (20) and (21) that $S = \emptyset$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 1.2 is now a consequence of Theorem 3.2. Let
$$(u_0: v_0: 1) = \phi(x_0: y_0: z_0)$$
. By (5),

$$u_0 = -\frac{(x_0 + y_0)(64n^2a^6b^2c^4 + 2na^6c^2 - 48na^4b^2c^2 + 8a^2b^2 - a^2c^2) + (y_0 + z_0)c^4(2na^2c^2 - 1)}{(x_0 + y_0)(4na^2c^2 - 1)}.$$

Since $n, a^2, b^2, c^2, x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$64n^2a^6b^2c^4 > 48na^4b^2c^2 + 8a^2b^2, \ 2na^6c^2 > a^2c^2, \ 2na^2c^2 > 1.$$

Therefore $u_0 < 0$. Hence $(u_0, v_0) \in S$, which is impossible since S is empty. Thus equation (3) has no solutions in the positive integers.

Remark 3.7. The method in this paper allows one to study the results in [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10] within the Brauer-Manin obstruction framework. A major part in [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10] is to show the nonexistence of rational points on the bounded component x < 0 on certain elliptic curves \mathcal{E} of the form

$$y^2 = x(x^2 + Ax + B)$$

with $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then Lemma 3.3 is used to construct an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{M} in $Br(\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{E}))$ such that for all $P = (x, y) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Q})$ with x < 0,

$$\operatorname{inv}_{v}(\mathcal{M}(P_{v})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \neq \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } v = \infty, \end{cases}$$

$$(22)$$

where (P_v) is the image of P in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A}_Q)$. And the rest follows exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Professor Nguyen Ngoc Dong Quan for many useful discussions. The authors are greatly indebted to the referee for several helpful comments concerning the content and the presentation of the paper. This paper is funded by the Ministry of Education and Training of Vietnam under the project "Nghiên cứu điểm hữu tỷ và đại số trên đường cong và mặt", B2022-CTT-03, 2022-2023.

References

- A. Bremner and A. Macloed, An unusual cubic representation problem, Ann. Math. Inform. 43 (2014), 29-41.
- [2] A. Bremner and N. X. Tho, The equation (w+x+y+z)(1/w+1/x+1/y+1/z) = n, Int. J. Number Theory. **14** (05) (2018), 1-18.
- [3] H. Cohen, Number Theory, Volume 1: Tools and Diophantine Equations, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 239, Springer (2007).
- [4] J. L. Colliot-Thélène and A. N. Skorobogatov, *The Brauer-Grothendieck Group*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **71**, Springer (2021).
- [5] E. Dofs and N. X. Tho, The Diophantine equation $x_1/x_2 + x_2/x_3 + x_3/x_4 + x_4/x_1 = n$, Int. J. Number Theory. 18 (1) (2021), 75-87.
- Y. I. Manin, Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne, Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970) Tome 1 (1971), 401–411.
- [7] B. Poonen, Rational Points on Varieties, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 186, AMS (2017).
- [8] N. X. Tho, On a Diophantine equation, Vietnam J. Math. 50 (1) (2022), 183-194.
- [9] N. X. Tho, What positive integers n can be presented in the form n = (x + y + z)(1/x + 1/y + 1/z)?, Ann. Math. Inform. 54 (2021) 141–146.
- [10] N. X. Tho, On sums of positive rationals with given products, *Mediterr. J. Math.* 19 (2022) Paper No. 179, 13 pp.

HANOI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HANOI, VIETNAM *Email address*: tan.nguyenduy@hust.edu.vn

HANOI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, HANOI, VIETNAM *Email address*: tho.nguyenxuan1@hust.edu.vn