

F. Balibrea, Department of Mathematics, University of Murcia,  
30100 Murcia, Spain, e-mail: balibrea@gaia.fcu.um.es

J. Smítal\*, Institute of Mathematics, Silesian University, 746 01 Opava, Czech  
Republic, e-mail: smital@fpf.slu.cz

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE SET $\Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$ FOR CONTINUOUS MAPS OF THE INTERVAL WITH ZERO TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY

### Abstract

We give a characterization of the set of nonwandering points of a continuous map  $f$  of the interval with zero topological entropy, attracted to a single (infinite) minimal set  $Q$ . We show that such a map  $f$  can have a unique infinite minimal set  $Q$  and an infinite set  $B \subset \Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$  (of nonwandering points that are not  $\omega$ -limit points) attracted to  $Q$  and such that  $B$  has infinite intersections with infinitely many disjoint orbits of  $f$ .

Let  $I = [0, 1]$  be the compact unit interval, let  $C(I, I)$  be the class of continuous maps  $I \rightarrow I$ , and let  $E_0(I, I) \subset C(I, I)$  be the class of maps with zero topological entropy. A recent paper [3] contains a characterization of the  $\omega$ -limit sets  $\omega_f(x)$  of maps  $f$  in  $E_0(I, I)$ , showing the complexity of maximal infinite  $\omega$ -limit sets. We recall that there is a map  $f$  in  $E_0(I, I)$  possessing a maximal  $\omega$ -limit set  $\tilde{\omega} = \omega_f(x)$  of the form  $Q \cup P$  where  $Q$  is a Cantor set and  $P$  a countably infinite set of isolated points in  $\tilde{\omega}$  such that  $P$  intersects infinitely many (disjoint) orbits and such that  $\omega_f(x) = Q$  for any  $y \in \tilde{\omega}$  (i.e.,  $Q$  is a minimal set for  $f$ ).

---

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary: 26A18, 58F12. Secondary: 58F08, 58F13, 54E70, 54H20

Received by the editors August 2, 1995

\*This paper was made possible by a visit of the second author at the University of Murcia. The research was supported in part by DGICYT PB01-0575, and by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic, Grant No. 201/94/1088. Support of these institutions is gratefully acknowledged.

The main aim of this paper is to extend the above quoted results and show that, for any map  $f$  in  $E_0(I, I)$ , the set  $\Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$  of non-wandering points that are not  $\omega$ -limit points can have a complicated structure. First, in Theorem 8 below, for a map  $f$  in  $E_0(I, I)$  we give a characterization of the set of nonwandering points attracted to a given infinite minimal set  $Q$ . The subsequent Theorem 9 illustrates ideas from Theorem 8 by an example. More precisely, we exhibit a map  $f$  in  $E_0(I, I)$  with unique infinite minimal set  $Q$ , and with the most complex structure of the set  $\Omega(f) \setminus \text{Per}(f)$ ; this set is attracted to  $\omega(f) \setminus \text{Per}(f) = Q$ .

In the sequel, we will use the standard terminology, as, e.g., in [2] or [3]. In particular, given a map  $f$  in  $C(I, I)$ ,  $a$  is a *nonwandering point* if, for any neighborhood  $U$  of  $a$ ,  $f^n(U)$  intersects  $U$  for some  $n > 0$ . The set of nonwandering points of  $f$  is denoted by  $\Omega(f)$ . By  $\omega_f(x)$  we denote the  $\omega$ -limit set of  $x$ , and by  $\omega(f) = \bigcup\{\omega_f(x); x \in I\}$  the set of  $\omega$ -limit points of  $f$ . Concerning the basic properties of  $\Omega(f)$ , we refer to [2]. The following three propositions, however, may not be known.

**Proposition 1** *If  $f \in C(I, I)$ , then any point of  $\Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$  is isolated in  $\Omega(f)$ .*

PROOF. See [5]; cf. also [2, Proposition IV.15]. □

**Proposition 2** *If  $f \in C(I, I)$ , then  $\omega(f) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} f^n(\Omega(f))$ . Consequently, there is no sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$  such that  $f(a_{n+1}) = a_n$ , for any  $n$ .*

PROOF. See [2, Proposition V.10], cf. also [4]. □

**Proposition 3** *Let  $f \in E_0(I, I)$  and let  $a \in \Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$ . Then  $\omega_f(a)$  is an infinite minimal set.*

PROOF. See [2, Theorem VI.34]. □

Before stating the next lemma we recall (cf., e.g., [2] or [3]) that if  $f \in E_0(I, I)$  and if  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a decreasing sequence of minimal compact periodic intervals such that, for any  $n$ ,  $I_n$  has period  $2^n$ , then the set

$$M = M_f(\{I_n\}) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^n} f^i(I_n) \tag{1}$$

contains an infinite minimal  $\omega$ -limit set  $Q$  with  $\omega_f(x) = Q$  for any  $x \in M$  and conversely, any infinite minimal set  $Q$  is contained exactly in one set  $M$

of the form (1). In the sequel, we will denote the set  $M$  by  $M_f(x)$  provided  $Q = \omega_f(x)$  and will call it a *maximal simple set for  $f$* .

In fact,  $M$  is a simple set, according to the following inductive definition. A compact set  $X \subset I$  is a *simple set* for  $f$ , if  $f$  maps  $X$  onto  $X$  and if either  $X$  is a singleton or  $X$  admits a decomposition  $S \cup T$  into compact portions that are exchanged by  $f$  and such that each of  $S$  and  $T$  is a simple set for  $f^2$ . In particular, a periodic orbit is *simple* if it is a simple set; it follows that each simple periodic orbit has period  $2^n$ , for some  $n \geq 0$ . A map restricted to a simple set is a *simple map*.

Now it is easy to see that if  $\omega_f(x)$  is a minimal set and if  $M_f(x)$  has representation (1), then, for each  $n$ , the trajectory of  $x$  is eventually in  $\text{Orb}_f(I_n)$ , the orbit of  $I_n$ . Thus if  $\Omega_f(x)$  denotes the set of points  $y$  in  $\Omega(f)$  with  $\omega_f(y) = \omega_f(x)$  then we have the following

**Lemma 4** *Let  $f \in E_0(I, I)$  and let  $\omega_f(x)$  be an infinite minimal set. Then  $\Omega_f(x) \subset M_f(x)$ .*

**Lemma 5** *Let  $f \in E_0(I, I)$ , let  $M$  be given by (1) and let  $g \in C(I, I)$  be a continuous extension of  $f|M$ . Let  $J$  be an interval intersecting two different connected components of  $M$ . Then  $g^k(J) \supset I_n$ , for some  $k$  and  $n$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  be disjoint components of  $M$  intersecting  $J$ . Then, by (1),  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are contained in two different components of  $\text{Orb}_f(I_n)$ , for some  $n$ . Denote these components by  $J_0$  and  $J_1$ , respectively. Now note that  $J_0$  contains just two component intervals  $J', J''$  from  $\text{Orb}_f(I_{n+1})$  and that both these intervals are exchanged by  $f^{2^n}$ . Since  $J_1$  is invariant with respect to  $f^{2^n}$ , we easily get that  $f^{2^n}(J)$  contains one of the intervals  $J', J''$ , say  $J'$ . Consequently, since  $f|M = g|M$ , we get  $g^{2^n}(J) \supset J'$  and since  $J'$  is periodic, the result follows.  $\square$

The following lemma is useful when changing a map  $f \in E_0(I, I)$ , possessing  $\omega(f)$  with isolated points attracted to an infinite minimal set  $Q$  (like a map constructed in [3]) to a map  $g \in E_0(I, I)$  with an infinite set of points in  $\Omega(g) \setminus \omega(g)$  attracted to  $Q$ .

**Lemma 6** *Let  $f \in E_0(I, I)$ , let  $Q = \omega_f(x)$  be an infinite minimal set and let  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \Omega_f(x) \setminus Q$ .*

(i) *There is a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of pairwise disjoint compact intervals such that, for any  $n$ ,  $U_n \cap M_f(x) = \{a_n\}$ .*

(ii) *Let the points  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  have pairwise disjoint orbits. For each  $n$ , let  $V_n \neq U_n$  be a compact subinterval of  $U_n$  containing  $a_n$  and let  $g$  be a map with*

the following properties:

$$g(y) = f(y) \text{ for } y \notin \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n, \tag{2}$$

$$g(V_n) = f(a_n), \quad g(U_n) = f(U_n), \tag{3}$$

and, for any interval  $W_n$  containing  $a_n$ ,

$$g(U_n \setminus W_n) \supset f(U_n \setminus W_n). \tag{4}$$

Then  $a_n \notin \Omega(g)$  while  $g(a_n) \in \Omega(g)$ , for any  $n$ .

PROOF. (i) This property must be known but, since we cannot give a reference, we include the argument. By Lemma 4,  $a_n \in M_f(x)$ . Let  $M_n$  be the connected component of  $M_f(x)$  containing  $a_n$ . By (1),  $M_n \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  and since  $a_n \notin Q$ ,  $M_n$  must be an interval. Moreover, by (1),  $f^i(M_n) \cap M_n = \emptyset$  whenever  $i > 0$ . Hence  $a_n$  must be an end-point of  $M_n$  since it is nonwandering. Assume first that  $M_n = [a_n, q_n]$ . Then  $q_n \in Q$  and for some  $\epsilon_n > 0$  we have  $[a_n - \epsilon_n, a_n] \cap M_f(x) = \{a_n\}$  since otherwise, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $[a_n - \epsilon, a_n]$  contains infinitely many connected components of  $M_f(x)$  and this would imply  $a_n \in \bar{Q} = Q$  — a contradiction. Similarly find  $\epsilon_n$  if  $M_n = [q_n, a_n]$ . Finally, set  $U_n = [a_n - \epsilon_n/2, a_n]$ , or  $U_n = [a_n, a_n + \epsilon_n/2]$ , respectively. Clearly, the intervals  $U_n$  are now pairwise disjoint.

(ii) First note that  $f(y) = g(y)$  for any  $y$  in  $M_f(x)$ . Hence, keeping the notation from part (i), by (3) we have  $g^i(V_n \cup M_n) = g^i(M_n) = f^i(M_n) \subset M_f(x)$  is a connected component of  $M_f(x)$ , disjoint from  $V_n \cup M_n$ , for any  $i > 0$ . Consequently,  $a_n \notin \Omega(g)$ , since  $V_n \cup M_n$  is a neighborhood of  $a_n$ .

Now set  $b_n = f(a_n) = g(a_n)$  and let  $V$  be an open interval containing  $b_n$ . Assume, to the contrary, that  $V$  can be taken so small that

$$g^i(V) \cap V = \emptyset \text{ for any } i > 0. \tag{5}$$

Since  $f(\Omega(f)) \subset \Omega(f)$ ,  $b_n$  is nonwandering for  $f$ . Hence there is an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $f^k(V) \neq \emptyset$ . Assume that  $k$  is a minimal such integer. By (2),  $f^{k-1}(V)$  intersects some  $U_m$  with  $a_m$  as an endpoint. Consider the following two cases.

If  $a_m \in f^{k-1}(V) (= g^{k-1}(V))$ , then  $m \neq n$  since otherwise  $b_n$  is in  $g^k(V)$  and  $g^k(V)$  intersects  $V$ , contrary to (5). But  $m \neq n$  implies that  $a_m$  is not in the orbit of  $b_n$ , since the orbits of  $a_m$  and  $a_n$  are disjoint. Consequently,  $g^k(V)$  intersects two different components of  $M_f(x)$ . By Lemma 5 we immediately get the result.

So assume that, for any  $m$ ,  $a_m \notin f^{k-1}(V)$ . Then by (4),  $g^k(V) \supset f^k(V)$  and since  $b_n$  is a nonwandering point of  $f$ , (5) cannot be true — a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 7** *Let  $Q \subset (0, 1)$  be a Cantor set and let  $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  be disjoint, countable subsets of  $Q$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  be infinite and dense in  $Q$  and let  $\tilde{B}$  be either finite or dense in  $Q$ . Then there exists a simple map  $h \in C(Q, Q)$  such that  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D}$  are full (i.e., backward and forward) orbits of  $h$ . Moreover, these orbits allow enumerations  $\tilde{A} = \{\tilde{a}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  and  $\tilde{B} \cup \tilde{D} = \{\tilde{b}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$  such that  $\tilde{B} = \{\tilde{b}_n\}_{n=0}^k$  where  $0 \leq k \leq \infty$  and  $h(\tilde{a}_n) = \tilde{a}_{n+1}$  and  $h(\tilde{b}_n) = \tilde{b}_{n+1}$ , for  $-\infty < n < \infty$ .*

PROOF. If  $\tilde{B}$  is infinite then the proof is a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 3.7 in [3]. If  $\tilde{B}$  has  $k$  elements where  $0 < k < \infty$ , choose  $m$  such that  $2^m > k$  and define periodic portions  $\{Q_n; 0 < n < 2^m\}$  of  $Q$  forming a simple orbit (cf. [1]) such that  $Q_n$  contains exactly one point of  $\tilde{B}$ , for  $0 < n \leq k$  and then proceed as in the preceding case.  $\square$

Now we are able to give the main results. The following theorem gives a characterization of nonwandering sets of a map with zero topological entropy, attracted to a single infinite minimal  $\omega$ -limit set.

**Theorem 8** *Let  $Q \subset (0, 1)$  be a Cantor set and  $A, B$  disjoint countable sets of points in  $I \setminus Q$  such that  $A$  is either empty or infinite. Then the following two statements **P1** and **P2** are equivalent.*

**P1.** *There exists a map  $f \in E_0(I, I)$  such that  $Q \cup A \cup B$  is the set of nonwandering points of  $f$  attracted to  $Q$  and such that  $Q \cup A$  is a (maximal)  $\omega$ -limit set for  $f$  and  $B = \Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$ .*

**P2.** (i) *Every interval contiguous to  $Q$  contains at most two points of  $A \cup B$ ,*

(ii) *Each of the intervals  $[0, \min Q]$ ,  $[\max Q, 1]$  contains at most one point of  $A \cup B$ ,*

(iii) *If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is infinite and the intervals contiguous to  $Q$  that intersect  $A$  are dense in the system of intervals contiguous to  $Q$  (with respect to the natural ordering in  $I$ ),*

(iv) *If  $B \neq \emptyset$ , then the system of intervals contiguous to  $Q$  that contain at most one point of  $A \cup B$  is dense in the system of intervals contiguous to  $Q$  (with respect to the natural ordering in  $I$ ).*

PROOF. **P1**  $\Rightarrow$  **P2**: This implication is true when  $B = \emptyset$ , cf., e.g., Theorem 6.5 in [3]. So let  $B$  be nonempty. By (1) and Lemma 4, the points of  $A \cup B$  must be end-points of nondegenerate connected components of  $M = M_f(x)$ , for any

$x$  in  $Q$ . To see this note that any interior point of  $M$  is wandering. Since any component of  $M$  contains at least one point of  $Q$ , it follows that any such component contains at most one point of  $A \cup B$ . This implies (i) and (ii). Property (iii) follows from Theorem 6.5 in [3]. To prove (iv) note that by Proposition 2, there is a point  $b$  in  $B$  that has no preimage in  $Q \cup A \cup B$ . But as above,  $b$  is an endpoint of a nondegenerate connected component of an invariant set  $M$ . Hence there is a sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of points in  $M$  such that  $b_0 = b$  and  $f(b_{n+1}) = b_n$ , for any  $n$ . By the continuity of  $f$ , each  $b_n$  must be in a non-degenerate component of  $M$ . Hence by (1), the intervals contiguous to  $Q$  and containing points  $b_n$  must be dense in the set of all intervals contiguous to  $Q$ . To finish the proof denote by  $M_n$  the component of  $M$  containing  $b_n$ . An induction argument shows that  $M_n \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$ , for  $n > 0$ .

**P2  $\Rightarrow$  P1:** Assume first that  $B$  is infinite. By (iv) and (i), there is a countably infinite set  $D \subset [\min Q, \max Q]$  disjoint from  $Q \cup A \cup B$  and such that any interval  $J \subset \text{conv}(Q)$  complementary to  $Q$  contains exactly two points of  $A \cup B \cup D$  and  $\bar{D} \supset Q$ . Assign to every point  $p$  in  $A \cup B \cup D$  a point  $\phi(p)$  in  $Q$  such that there is no point from  $A \cup B \cup D$  between  $p$  and  $\phi(p)$ . Set  $\tilde{A} = \phi(A)$ ,  $\tilde{B} = \phi(B)$  and  $\tilde{D} = \phi(D)$ . Let  $h, \{\tilde{a}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  and  $\{\tilde{b}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  be as in Lemma 7. Using techniques similar to those employed in [3] (cf. Theorem 4.1 and the proof of Theorem 6.2) we can get a map  $f \in E_0(I, I)$  such that  $f|_Q = h$  and the points  $\{a_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=-\infty}^\infty$  are isolated  $\omega$ -limit points of  $f$  satisfying  $f(a_n) = a_{n+1}$  and  $f(b_n) = b_{n+1}$ , for any  $n$ . In fact, for each  $n$ , let  $M_n^a$  be the compact interval with  $a_n$  and  $\tilde{a}_n$  as end-points and let  $M_n^b$  be defined similarly with  $b_n$  and  $\tilde{b}_n$ . Then put  $M = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^\infty \{M_n^a \cup M_n^b\} \cup Q$ . Extend  $h$  to a continuous map  $\tilde{h} : M \rightarrow M$  so that  $\tilde{h}$  is linear on any  $\{M_n^a\}$  and any  $\{M_n^b\}$ ,  $\tilde{h}(M_n^a) = M_{n+1}^a$  and  $\tilde{h}(M_n^b) = M_{n+1}^b$ . Clearly, we get a simple map  $\tilde{h}$  and a suitable extension of  $\tilde{h}$  yields  $f$ . Now applying Lemma 6 to  $f$  we get a map  $g$  such that  $A$  and  $B$  have the desired properties.

If  $B$  is finite, the construction of  $g$  is similar with the exception that we let  $M_n^b = \{\tilde{b}_n\}$  for  $n > k$  (i.e., we “blow up” only the points  $\{\tilde{b}_n\}_{n=-\infty}^k$  for some  $k > 0$ ; cf. also Remark 6.4 in [3]).  $\square$

**Theorem 9** *There is a map  $F \in C(I, I)$  with zero topological entropy, possessing a unique maximal (with respect to inclusions) infinite  $\omega$ -limit set  $\omega_F(y)$ , of the form  $Q \cup P$ , where  $Q$  is a Cantor set and  $P$  is a countable set of isolated points. Moreover,  $F$  has a countably infinite set  $W = \Omega(F) \setminus \omega(F)$  and also satisfies the following conditions.*

(i) *There is an infinite sequence  $\{p_{0n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of points in  $P$  with mutually disjoint orbits. More precisely, the orbit  $\text{Orb}_F(p_{0n}) = O_n$  of any  $p_{0n}$  contains a chain  $P_n = \{p_{in}\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$  such that  $F(p_{in}) = p_{i+1,n}$ , for any  $i$  and  $P_n =$*

$O_n \cap \Omega(F) \subset P$  if  $n$  is even while  $\{p_{in}\}_{i=-\infty}^0 \subset P$  and  $\{p_{in}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset Q$  for  $n$  odd.

(ii) Every set of the form  $Q \cup P_{n(1)} \cup P_{n(2)} \cup \dots$  where  $\{n(i)\}$  is a finite or infinite set of positive integers, is an  $\omega$ -limit set for  $F$ .

(iii) Consequently, the system  $S_F(x)$  of  $\omega$ -limit sets contained in  $\omega_F(y) = Q \cup P$  has the cardinality of continuum and in fact, contains chains of arbitrary countable order type.

(iv) There is an infinite sequence  $\{w_{0n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $W$  with mutually disjoint orbits. Moreover, for any  $n$ ,  $\text{Orb}(w_{0n}) \cap \Omega(F) = \{w_{in}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  there are infinitely many  $n$  such that  $W_n = \text{Orb}(w_{0n}) \cap W = \{w_{in}\}_{i=0}^k$ .

(v)  $\Omega(F) = Q \cup P \cup W \cup \text{Per}(F)$ .

PROOF. There is a map  $F$  with the above described properties, but with  $W = \emptyset$ , cf. [3, Remark 6.4]. By applying Lemma 6 to a countable system of orbits in  $P$  we get the result.  $\square$

**Remark 1** By Proposition 3 and Theorem 8 we can describe the set  $\Omega(f) \setminus \omega(f)$  for maps in  $E_0(I, I)$ . In fact, by Lemma 4, this set is contained in the union of a family of maximal simple sets and by Proposition 1, each such maximal set contains a subinterval. Hence the family is countable. It is easy to see that it can be infinite.

## References

- [1] L. S. Block, *Simple periodic orbits of mappings of the interval*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **254** (1979), 391–398.
- [2] L. S. Block and W. A. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1513**, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [3] A. M. Bruckner and J. Smítal, *A characterization of  $\omega$ -limit sets of maps of the interval with zero topological entropy*, Erg. Th. and Dyn. Systems, **13** (1993), 7–19.
- [4] V. V. Fedorenko and J. Smítal, *Maps of the interval Ljapunov stable on the set of nonwandering points*, Acta Math. Univ. Comen., **60** (1991), 11–14.
- [5] A. N. Šarkovskij, *Nonwandering points and the center of a continuous map of the line into itself*, Dopovidi AN USSR, **7** (1964), 865–868, in Ukrainian.