

## 17. Operators Satisfying the Growth Condition $(G_1)$

By Teishirô SAITÔ

Tohoku University and Tulane University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., Jan. 12, 1971)

1. This note is motivated by the following theorem by I. H. Sheth.

**Theorem 1** [6]. *Let  $T=UR$ ,  $R=(T^*T)^{1/2}$  be an invertible hyponormal operator such that  $U$  is cramped, then  $0 \notin \overline{W(T)}$ .*

The purpose of this note is to prove a generalization of Theorem 1 to the case of operators satisfying the growth condition  $(G_1)$ . The technique of [6] actually proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $T=UR$ ,  $R=(T^*T)^{1/2}$  be an invertible operator such that  $T$  satisfies  $(G_1)$  and  $U$  is cramped, then  $0 \notin \overline{W(T)}$ .*

In the case of normal operator, this was proved by Berberian [1]. Durszt [2] constructed an invertible operator  $T$  such that the unitary operator  $U=T(T^*T)^{-1}$  is cramped and  $0 \in \overline{W(T)}$ .

2. In the following, an operator means a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space. Let  $T$  be an operator on  $H$ ,  $\sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T)$  denote the spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of  $T$  respectively. Let  $\text{conv } \sigma(T)$  be the (automatically closed) convex hull of  $\sigma(T)$ . The numerical range  $W(T)$  is the set  $W(T)=\{(Tx, x) : x \in H, \|x\|=1\}$ . We write  $\overline{W(T)}$  the closure of  $W(T)$ .  $T$  satisfies the condition  $(G_1)$  if

$$(G_1) \quad \|(T-\alpha I)^{-1}\| \leq 1/d(\alpha, \sigma(T))$$

for all  $\alpha \notin \sigma(T)$ , where  $d(\alpha, \sigma(T))$  is the distance from  $\alpha$  to  $\sigma(T)$ . A unitary operator  $U$  is cramped if  $\sigma(U) \subset \{e^{i\theta} : \theta_0 < \theta < \theta_0 + \pi\}$ .

If  $T$  is hyponormal,  $T$  satisfies Condition  $(G_1)$ . In fact, in this case  $(T-\alpha I)^{-1}(\alpha \notin \sigma(T))$  is also hyponormal, hence

$$\|(T-\alpha I)^{-1}\| = 1/\inf \{|\lambda-\alpha| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\} = 1/d(\alpha, \sigma(T)).$$

Let  $X$  be a compact convex set of the complex plane. A point  $\lambda \in X$  is bare if there is a circle through  $\lambda$  such that no points of  $X$  lie outside this circle.

3. To prove Theorem 2, we use the following facts which are stated as lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $U$  is unitary,  $U$  is cramped if and only if  $0 \notin \overline{W(U)}$ .*

**Proof.** See [1: Lemma 3].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T$  be an operator which satisfies Condition  $(G_1)$ , then every bare point  $\lambda$  of  $\overline{W(T)}$  is contained in  $\sigma_a(T)$  and has the following property:  $Tx_n - \lambda x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) if and only if  $T^*x_n - \bar{\lambda}x_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) for a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of unit vectors.*

**Proof.** Since  $T$  satisfies Condition (G<sub>1</sub>),  $\overline{W(T)} = \text{conv } \sigma(T)$  by [4: Theorem 2]. Thus every bare point  $\lambda$  of  $\overline{W(T)}$  is contained in  $\sigma_a(T)$ . The second assertion follows from [5: Theorem 1].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact convex set of the complex plane and let  $B_X$  be the set of all bare points of  $X$ . Then  $X$  is the closed convex hull of  $B_X$ .*

**Proof.** See [4: Lemma 3].

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $0 \in \overline{W(T)}$ , then  $0 \in \text{conv } \sigma(T)$ , because Condition (G<sub>1</sub>) implies  $\overline{W(T)} = \text{conv } \sigma(T)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By Lemma 3, there exist bare points  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r$  of  $\overline{W(T)}$  and real numbers  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  such that

$$\alpha_k \geq 0 \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, r); \quad \sum_{k=1}^r a_k = 1; \quad \left| \sum_{k=1}^r a_k \alpha_k \right| < \varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 2, for each  $k=1, 2, \dots, r$  there exists a sequence  $\{x_n^{(k)}\}$  of unit vectors such that

$$\|Tx_n^{(k)} - \alpha_k x_n^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and

$$\|T^*x_n^{(k)} - \bar{\alpha}_k x_n^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since

$$T^*T - |\alpha_k|^2 = T^*(T - \alpha_k) + \alpha_k(T^* - \bar{\alpha}_k),$$

we see that

$$\|T^*Tx_n^{(k)} - |\alpha_k|^2 x_n^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

for each  $k=1, 2, \dots, r$ . Thus for every polynomial  $p(\lambda)$ ,

$$\|p(T^*T)x_n^{(k)} - p(|\alpha_k|^2)x_n^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $R = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  is a strong limit of a sequence of polynomials of  $T^*T$ , there exists an integer  $N > 0$  such that

$$\|Rx_n^{(k)} - |\alpha_k| x_n^{(k)}\| < \varepsilon \quad (n > N)$$

for each  $k=1, 2, \dots, r$ . Note that

$\inf \{|\alpha| : \alpha \in B_{\overline{W(T)}}\} \geq \gamma > 0$ , for  $0 \notin \sigma(T)$ . Since

$$T - \alpha_k I = U(R - |\alpha_k| D) + |\alpha_k| \left( U - \frac{\alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|} I \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha_k| \left\| Ux_n^{(k)} - \frac{\alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|} x_n^{(k)} \right\| \\ \leq \|Tx_n^{(k)} - \alpha_k x_n^{(k)}\| + \|Rx_n^{(k)} - |\alpha_k| x_n^{(k)}\| \\ < 2\varepsilon \quad (n > N) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $k=1, 2, \dots, r$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this shows that

$\frac{\alpha_k}{|\alpha_k|} \in \sigma(U)$ . Let  $b_j = \frac{\alpha_j \alpha_j}{\sum_{k=1}^r a_k |\alpha_k|}$

for  $j=1, 2, \dots, r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^r a_j \alpha_j &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^r a_k |\alpha_k| \right) \sum_{j=1}^r b_j \frac{\alpha_j}{|\alpha_j|}; \\ b_j &\geq 0 \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, r); \quad \sum_{j=1}^r b_j = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k |\alpha_k| \geq \gamma > 0$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^r b_j \frac{\alpha_j}{|\alpha_j|} \right| < \varepsilon / \gamma.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $0 \in \text{conv } \sigma(U) = \overline{W(U)}$ . This is a contradiction, for  $U$  is cramped. Hence  $0 \notin \overline{W(T)}$ .

Let  $T$  be an operator such that

$$\|T - \alpha I\| = \sup \{|\lambda - \alpha| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$$

for all  $\alpha$ , then  $W(T) = \text{conv } \sigma(T)$ , but the second assertion of Lemma 2 is open in this case.

In conclusion we mention a result by Williams [7]. He proved that if  $S^{-1}TS = T^*$  and  $0 \notin \overline{W(S)}$ , then  $\sigma(T)$  is real. This result implies that if  $\overline{W(T)} = \text{conv } \sigma(T)$  and  $S^{-1}TS = T^*$  with  $0 \notin \overline{W(S)}$ , then  $T$  is self-adjoint. In fact,  $W(T)$  is real in this case.

### References

- [1] S. K. Berberian: The numerical range of a normal operator. *Duke Math. J.*, **31**, 479-484 (1964).
- [2] E. Durszt: Remark on a paper of S. K. Berberian. *Duke Math. J.*, **33**, 795-796 (1966).
- [3] S. Hildebrandt: Über den numerischen Wertebereich eines Operators. *Math. Ann.*, **163**, 230-247 (1966).
- [4] G. H. Orland: On a class of operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **15**, 75-79 (1964).
- [5] T. Saitô: A theorem on boundary spectra (to appear).
- [6] I. H. Sheth: Some results on hyponormal operators. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et appl.*, **15**, 395-398 (1970).
- [7] J. P. Williams: Operators similar to their adjoints. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **20**, 121-123 (1969).