

1. Maximal Sum-Free Sets of Elements of Finite Groups

By Palahenedi Hewage DIANANDA and Hian Poh YAP
 Department of Mathematics, University of Singapore, Singapore
 (Comm. by Zyoiti SUTUNA, M. J. A., Jan. 13, 1969)

1. *Introduction.* Let G be an additive group. If S and T are non-empty subsets of G , we write $S \pm T$ for $\{s \pm t; s \in S, t \in T\}$ respectively, $|S|$ for the cardinal of S and \bar{S} for the complement of S in G . We abbreviate $\{f\}$, where $f \in G$ to f . We say that S is sum-free in G if S and $S+S$ have no common element and that S is maximal sum-free in G if S is sum-free in G and $|S| \geq |T|$ for every T sum-free in G . We denote by $\lambda(G)$ the cardinal of a maximal sum-free set in G . We say that S is in arithmetic progression with the difference d if $S = \{s, s+d, s+2d, \dots, s+nd\}$ for some s and $d \in G$ and some integer $n \geq 0$.

In [3] Yap obtained certain results concerning $\lambda(G)$ for abelian G . The main purpose of this paper is to generalize and to improve, where possible, his results.

2. *Abelian groups.* Throughout this section G is an abelian group. We use the following theorem [2; p. 6] due to M. Kneser:

Theorem 1. *Let A and B be finite non-empty subsets of G . Then a subgroup H of G exists such that $A+B+H=A+B$ and $|A+B| \geq |A+H| + |B+H| - |H|$.*

Suppose that S is a maximal sum-free set in G . Then a subgroup H of G exists such that

$$S+S+H=S+S \quad \text{and} \quad |S+S| \geq 2|S+H| - |H|. \quad (1)$$

Lemma 1. *$S+H$ is also a sum-free set in G .*

Proof. Otherwise, $S+H$ and $(S+H)+(S+H)=S+S$ have a common element. Thus $s+h=s_1+s_2$ for some s, s_1 and $s_2 \in S$ and some $h \in H$. Hence $s=s_1+s_2-h \in S+S+H=S+S$. This is not possible since S is sum-free in G .

It now follows that $S+H=S$ since S is maximal sum-free in G . Thus we have

Lemma 2. *S is a union of cosets of H in G .*

Hence $|H|$ is a divisor of $|S|$. Now $|G| \geq |S| + |S+S| \geq 3|S| - |H|$, from (1). Hence

$$|S| \leq |H| \left[\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{|G|}{|H|} + 1 \right) \right],$$

where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x . Thus

$$\lambda(G) \leq \max_{d|G} \frac{|G|}{d} \left[\frac{1}{3}(d+1) \right], \quad (3)$$

if G is finite. Clearly

$$\frac{1}{d} \left[\frac{1}{3}(d+1) \right] = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{d} \right) \right) & \text{if } d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } d \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ \left(\frac{1}{3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} \right) \right) & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We consider the following cases :

Case 1. $|G|$ has at least one prime factor $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Case 2. $|G|$ has no prime factor $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ but has 3 as a factor.

Case 3. $|G|$ has every prime factor and thus every factor $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

It is seen that these three cases are exhaustive and mutually exclusive. We thus have, from (3) and (4),

Lemma 3.

$$\lambda(G) \leq \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{3} |G| \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) & \text{in Case 1,} \\ \frac{1}{3} |G| & \text{in Case 2,} \\ \left(\frac{1}{3} (|G| - 1) \right) & \text{in Case 3,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda(G) \leq \frac{1}{3} |G| \quad \text{in Case 2,} \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda(G) \leq \left(\frac{1}{3} (|G| - 1) \right) \quad \text{in Case 3,} \quad (7)$$

where, in Case 1, p is the least prime factor $\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ of $|G|$.

We note that this lemma implies Theorems 2, 7, 10 and 11 of [3].

Theorem 2. *In Case 1, $\lambda(G) = (1/3)|G|(1 + (1/p))$ and, if S is a maximal sum-free set in G , then S is a union of cosets of some subgroup H of order $|G|/p$ of G , S/H is in arithmetic progression and $S \cup (S+S) = G$.*

Proof. Clearly G has a subgroup K of order $|G|/p$ and an element g of order p such that $G = K \cup (K+g) \cup (K+2g) \cup \dots \cup (K+(p-1)g)$. It is easy to see that $T = (K+g) \cup (K+4g) \cup (K+7g) \cup \dots \cup (K+(p-1)g)$ is sum-free in G and $|T| = (1/3)|G|(1 + (1/p))$. Hence, from (5), T is maximal sum-free in G and $\lambda(G) = (1/3)|G|(1 + (1/p))$.

Now let S be maximal sum-free in G . Then

$$|S| = \frac{1}{3} |G| \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right). \quad (8)$$

Let H be a subgroup of G , satisfying (1). Then (2) is also satisfied and we have $|H| = |G|/p$. By Lemma 2, S is a union of cosets of H in G . From (1) and (8), $|S| + |S+S| \geq |G|$. Since S is sum-free in G , we have equality in the above and $S \cup (S+S) = G$. Further, $|S+S|$

$=2|S| - |H|$ and so $|(S/H) + (S/H)| = 2|S/H| - 1$, where S/H is a subset of the factor group G/H of order p . That S/H is in arithmetic progression follows from the following theorem [2; pp. 3-4] due to A. G. Vosper:

Theorem 3. *Let $C = A + B$, where A and B are non-empty subsets of G of prime order p . Then either $|C| \geq |A| + |B|$ or one of the following holds: (i) $C = G$, (ii) $|C| = p - 1$ and $\bar{B} = f - A$, where $\bar{C} = f$, (iii) A and B are in arithmetic progression with the same difference, (iv) $|A| = 1$ or $|B| = 1$.*

We note that Theorem 2 generalizes Theorems 3, 4 and 5 of [3].

Theorem 4. *In Case 2, $\lambda(G) = |G|/3$ and, if S is a maximal sum-free set in G , then S is a union of cosets of some subgroup H of order $|G|/3m$, where m is an integer such that $3m \mid |G|$, and one of the following holds: (i) $|S + S| = 2|S| - |H|$, (ii) $|S + S| = 2|S|$ and $S \cup (S + S) = G$.*

Proof. Clearly G has a subgroup K of order $|G|/3$ and an element g of order 3 such that $G = K \cup (K + g) \cup (K + 2g)$. It is easy to see that $T = K + g$ is sum-free in G and $|T| = |G|/3$. Hence, from (6), T is maximal sum-free in G and $\lambda(G) = |G|/3$.

Now let S be maximal sum-free in G . Then $|S| = |G|/3$. Let H be a subgroup of G satisfying (1). Then, by Lemma 2, S is a union of cosets of H and $|H| = |G|/3m$, where m is an integer and $3m \mid |G|$. From (1), $|S + S| \geq 2|S| - |H|$. Thus $|S + S| = 2|S| - |H|$ or $2|S|$ since, S being sum-free, $|S| + |S + S| \leq |G|$. Clearly $S \cup (S + S) = G$ if $|S + S| = 2|S|$.

We note that Theorem 4 generalizes Theorems 8 and 9 of [3].

Theorem 5. *In Case 3, $(1/3)|G|(1 - (1/m)) \leq \lambda(G) \leq (1/3)(|G| - 1)$, where m is the maximal order of an element of G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has an element g of order m . Then G clearly has a subgroup K of order $|G|/m$ such that $G = K \cup (K + g) \cup (K + 2g) \cup \dots \cup (K + (m-1)g)$. It is easy to see that $T = (K + 2g) \cup (K + 5g) \cup (K + 8g) \cup \dots \cup (K + (m-2)g)$ is sum-free in G and $|T| = \frac{m-1}{3} \frac{|G|}{m}$. The theorem now follows since (7) also is true.

We note that if G is cyclic then $|G| = m$ and the above theorem yields Theorem 6 of [3]. We make the following conjecture:

In Case 3, $\lambda(G) = \frac{1}{3}|G|\left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)$, where m is as in Theorem 5.

This is true if G is cyclic. We can prove this conjecture for $G = C_7 \times C_7$ also, where each C_7 is a cyclic group of order 7. An outline of the proof follows:

We use the following theorem [2; p. 3] due to A. Cauchy and H. Davenport:

Theorem 6. *If A and B are non-empty subsets of a group G of prime order then $A+B=G$ or $|A+B| \geq |A| + |B| - 1$.*

$G=C_7 \times C_7$ has eight subgroups K_1, K_2, \dots, K_8 of order 7. Their union is G and $K_i \cap K_j = 0$ ($i \neq j$). Let S be a maximal sum-free set in G . Then $0 \notin S$; by Theorem 5, $|S| \geq 14$ and, by Theorem 6, $|S \cap K_i| \leq 2$ for every i . Thus the $S \cap K_i$ are disjoint and $|S \cap K_j| = 2$ for some j . Let the cosets of K_j be $H_i = ia + K_j$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, 6$). Clearly $H_{7+i} = (7+i)a + K_j = H_i$. Let $S_i = S \cap H_i$. Then $|S| = |S_0| + (|S_1| + |S_2| + |S_4| + |S_5| + |S_6| + |S_8|) \leq 14$ and thus $|S| = 14$ if

$$|S_i| + |S_{2i}| + |S_{4i}| \leq 6 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, 6). \quad (9)$$

Clearly, for all i and j ,

$$(S_i + S_j) \cap S_{i+j} = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad (S_i + S_j) \cup S_{i+j} \subset H_{i+j}. \quad (10)$$

Since $|S_0| = 2$, from (10) and Theorem 6, $|S_i| \leq 3$ for every i . If $|S_i| \leq 2$ for every i then (9) is satisfied. If $|S_i| = 3$ for some i ($1 \leq i \leq 6$) then, since $|S_0| = 2$, from (10) and Theorems 6 and 3, we have that S_i is in arithmetic progression. Thus $S_i = ia + b + \{-d, 0, d\}$ for some d ($\neq 0$) and $b \in K_j$. Hence, from (10), $S_{2i} \subset 2ia + 2b + \{-3d, 3d\}$. Since $S_{8i} = S_i$ and $|S_i| = 3$ it follows that $|S_{4i}| \leq 2$. Since also $|S_{2i}| \leq 2$, (9) follows if we prove that $|S_i| = 3$ and $|S_{2i}| = 2$ imply that $|S_{4i}| \leq 1$. If $|S_{2i}| = 2$ then $S_{2i} = 2ia + 2b + \{-3d, 3d\}$. Thus, from (10), $S_{4i} \subset 4ia + 4b + \{-3d, -2d, 2d, 3d\}$. Since $S_{8i} = S_i$, it follows from (10) that S_{4i} can have at most one element, namely $4ia + 4b \pm 2d$. Thus (9) follows. Hence $\lambda(G) = |S| = 14$.

3. *Non-abelian groups.* Hitherto we have considered abelian groups only. In this section we prove some results for groups G which are not necessarily abelian.

We first note that if $S = s + H = H + s$, where $s \in G$ and H is a subgroup of G then $|S + S| = |S|$. A converse of this is contained in the following generalization of Theorem 1 of [3].

Theorem 7. *If S is a finite subset of G and $|S + S| = |S|$ then there is a finite subgroup H of G such that $S + H = S = H + S$ and $S - S = H = -S + S$.*

Proof. Let s_1 and $s_2 \in S$, $H_1 = -s_1 + S$ and $H_2 = S - s_2$. Then $|H_1 + H_2| = |S + S| = |S| = |H_1| = |H_2| < \infty$. But $0 \in H_1 \cap H_2$ and thus $H_1 + H_2 \supset H_1 \cup H_2$. Hence $H_1 + H_2 = H_1 = H_2$. Thus there is a finite subgroup $H = H_1$ of G such that S is both a left and a right coset of H . Thus $H = -s + S = S - s$ for every $s \in S$, and the theorem clearly follows.

Corollary. *Let $|G| = 2m$. Then $\lambda(G) = m$ if and only if G has a subgroup of order m .*

It follows that if G is abelian and $|G| = 2m$ then $\lambda(G) = m$. This is a consequence of Theorem 2 also.

We now prove, for non-abelian G , the following theorem, which, by Theorem 4, is true for abelian G :

Theorem 8. *Let $|G|=3p$, where p is a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Then $\lambda(G)=p$.*

Proof. If G is non-abelian then G has generators a and b such that $3a=0=pb$ and $b+a=a+rb$, where $r^2+r+1\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ [1; p. 51]. Let $H_0=\{0, b, 2b, \dots, (p-1)b\}$, $H_1=a+H_0$, $H_2=2a+H_0$. Then H_1 is sum-free in G and so $\lambda(G)\geq p$. Let S be a sum-free set in G and $S_i=S\cap H_i$. By Theorem 5, $|S_0|\leq k$, where $p=3k+1$. Thus $|S_1|+|S_2|\geq 2k+1$ and we assume, as we may, that $|S_1|\geq k+1$. Let $S_1=a+\{t_1b, t_2b, \dots, t_nb\}$. Then $S_1+S_2=2a+\{rt_1b, rt_2b, \dots, rt_nb\}+\{t_1b, t_2b, \dots, t_nb\}$. Thus, by Theorem 6, $|S_1+S_1|\geq 2|S_1|-1$. Now $(S_1+S_1)\cap S_2=\emptyset$ and $(S_1+S_1)\cup S_2\subset H_2$. Hence $p\geq 2|S_1|-1+|S_2|\geq k+|S_1|+|S_2|\geq |S_0|+|S_1|+|S_2|=|S|$. Thus $\lambda(G)=p$.

References

- [1] Marshall Hall, Jr.: The Theory of Groups. Macmillan Co., New York (1959).
- [2] H. B. Mann: Addition Theorems. Interscience Publ., New York etc. (1965).
- [3] H. P. Yap: Maximal sum-free sets of group elements. J. London Math. Soc., **44**, 131-136 (1969).