

156. On the Summability Methods of Logarithmic Type

By Kazuo ISHIGURO

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., Dec. 12, 1962)

§1. When a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is given we define the method l as follows: If

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} t_0 &= s_0, t_1 = s_1, \\ t_n &= \frac{1}{\log n} \left(s_0 + \frac{s_1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{s_n}{n+1} \right) \quad (n \geq 2) \end{aligned}$$

tend to a finite limit s as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we say that $\{s_n\}$ is summable (l) to s and write $\lim s_n = s(l)$. (See [3], p. 59, p. 87.)

On the other hand we define the method L as follows: If

$$(2) \quad \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

tends to a finite limit s as $x \rightarrow 1$ in the open interval $(0, 1)$, we say that $\{s_n\}$ is summable (L) to s and write $\lim s_n = s(L)$. (See [2].)

Concerning these methods we know the following theorems.

Theorem 1. *If $\{s_n\}$ is Cesàro summable $(C, 1)$ to s , then it is summable (l) to the same sum. There is a sequence summable (l) but not summable $(C, 1)$. (See [3], p. 59, [5], p. 32.]*

Theorem 2. *If $\{s_n\}$ is Abel summable (A) to s , then it is summable (L) to the same sum. There is a sequence summable (L) but not summable (A) . (See [2], [3], p. 81.)*

Here we establish the following theorems.

Theorem 3. *If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (l) to s , then it is summable (L) to the same sum.*

Theorem 4. *If $\{s_n\}$ is summable (l) to s , then*

$$s_n = o(n \log n).$$

Furthermore if we put

$$s_n = a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \quad (n \geq 0),$$

we get

$$a_n = o(n \log n)$$

from the summability (l) of $\{s_n\}$.

Theorem 5. *There is a sequence summable (L) but not summable (l).*

§2. Proof of Theorem 3. From (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= s_0, t_1 = s_1, \\ t_n \log n &= s_0 + \frac{s_1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{s_n}{n+1} \quad (n \geq 2), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(3) \quad t_2 \log 2 = s_0 + \frac{s_1}{2} + \frac{s_2}{3},$$

$$t_n \log n - t_{n-1} \log(n-1) = \frac{s_n}{n+1} \quad (n \geq 3).$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \left[s_0 x + \frac{s_1}{2} x^2 + \frac{s_2}{3} x^3 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \{t_n \log n - t_{n-1} \log(n-1)\} x^{n+1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \left[t_0 x + \frac{t_1}{2} x^2 + \left(t_2 \log 2 - t_0 - \frac{t_1}{2} \right) x^3 - \right.$$

$$\left. - t_2 x^4 \log 2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n (x^{n+1} - x^{n+2}) \log n \right],$$

since, for $0 < x < 1$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n x^{n+1} \log n = 0$$

from the assumption of this theorem. From (4) we get

$$(5) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{-1}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

$$= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{-x(1-x)}{\log(1-x)} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n x^n \log n.$$

Now we put

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x^n \log n,$$

$$\psi_i(x) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n x^n \log n,$$

$$\varphi(x) \begin{cases} = \frac{-\log(1-x)}{x(1-x)} & \text{for } 0 < x < 1 \\ = 1 & \text{for } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\psi(x)$ and $\psi_i(x)$ converge for $0 \leq x < 1$, since $\lim t_n = s$.

Further we have, for $0 \leq x < 1$,

$$\varphi(x) = 1 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right)x + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\right)x^2 + \dots$$

Here we use the following

Lemma. *If $d_n > 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n = \infty$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n x^n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n$ are both convergent for $0 \leq x < 1$, and if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_n}{d_n} = \alpha$, $-\infty \leq \alpha \leq \infty$, then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{\sum c_n x^n}{\sum d_n x^n} = \alpha.$$

For the proof see [4], pp. 175-177.

In this lemma we put

$$d_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and

$$c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$$

$$c_n = t_n \log n \quad (n \geq 3).$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1}} = 1,$$

we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1-0} \frac{\psi_t(x)}{\varphi(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = s,$$

from the assumption of this theorem, whence the proof is complete from (5).

Proof of Theorem 4. From (3) we get

$$s_n = (n+1)\{t_n \log n - t_{n-1} \log(n-1)\} \quad (n \geq 3).$$

Hence

$$\frac{s_n}{n \log n} = \frac{(n+1)}{n} \left\{ t_n - t_{n-1} \frac{\log(n-1)}{\log n} \right\} \quad (n \geq 3),$$

which tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from the assumption of this theorem.

To prove the second part of this theorem we use the following formula:

$$a_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$$

$$= (n+1)t_n \log n - (2n+1)t_{n-1} \log(n-1) + nt_{n-2} \log(n-2).$$

Thus we can see similarly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n \log n} = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 5. We define the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ and the sequence

$$s_n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n \quad (n \geq 0)$$

by the following expression

$$e^{1/(1+x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

This example is used to show the existence of the sequence which is summable (A) but not summable (C, r) for any r, $r > -1$. (See [3], Theorem 56.) It is known that a_n is not $O(n^r)$ for any r, whence $\{s_n\}$ is not summable (l) from Theorem 4. On the other hand $\{s_n\}$ is summable (L) from Theorem 2.

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] D. Borwein: On methods of summability based on power series, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Sect. A, **64**, 342-349 (1957).
- [2] —: A logarithmic method of summability, Jour. London Math. Soc., **33**, 212-220 (1958).
- [3] G. H. Hardy: Divergent Series, Oxford (1949).
- [4] E. W. Hobson: The Theory of Functions of a Real Variable and the Theory of Fourier Series, vol. 2, Cambridge (1926).
- [5] O. Szász: Introduction to the Theory of Divergent Series, Cincinnati (1952).