

46. On the Singular Integrals. I

By Sumiyuki KOIZUMI

Department of Mathematics, Hokkaidō University, Sapporo, Japan

(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., April 12, 1958)

1. **Introduction.** Let E^n be an n -dimensional Euclidean space. Let $f(P)$ be a function L^p in E^n , $p \geq 1$, and the kernel K has the form

$$(1.1) \quad K(P-Q) = |P-Q|^{-n} \Omega[(P-Q)|P-Q|^{-1}],$$

where $\Omega(P)$ is a function defined on Σ and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Omega(P) d\sigma = 0,$$

where $d\sigma$ is the area element on Σ and Σ denotes a surface of the sphere of radius 1 with center at the origin,

$$(1.3) \quad |\Omega(P) - \Omega(Q)| \leq \omega(|P-Q|),$$

and $\omega(t)$ is an increasing function such that $\omega(t) \geq t$ and

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^1 \omega(t) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_1^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Now we define the operation T by

$$(1.5) \quad Tf = \tilde{f}_{\lambda}(P) = \int_{E^n} K_{\lambda}(P-Q) f(Q) dQ,$$

where

$$(1.6) \quad K_{\lambda}(P-Q) = \begin{cases} K(P-Q) & \text{if } |P-Q| \geq 1/\lambda, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

and dQ is the volume element of E^n .

Then A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund [1] (cf. also [4]) have proved the following

Theorem 1. *Let $f(P)$ belong to L^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then*

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{f}(P) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_{\lambda}(P)$$

exists a.e. If $1 < p < \infty$, then we have also

$$(1.8) \quad \|\tilde{f}_{\lambda}\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_{\lambda}\|_p = 0,$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad \|\tilde{f}_{*}\|_p \leq A_p \|f\|_p, \quad \text{where } \tilde{f}_{*}(P) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \tilde{f}_{\lambda}(P).$$

The constant A_p depends on p and the kernel K only.

We can extend this theorem for the class L^p such that $f(P)$ is measurable and $\varphi(|f|)$ is integrable. $\varphi(u)$ is a continuous increasing function for $u \geq 0$ and satisfies the following conditions: $\varphi(0) = 0$, and

(1.11)

$$\varphi(2u) = O(\varphi(u)),$$

(1.12)

$$\int_u^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{r+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^r}\right) \quad (1 < r < \infty),$$

(1.13)

$$\int_1^u \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^2} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u}\right)$$

for $u \rightarrow \infty$, and

(1.14)

$$\varphi(2u) = O(\varphi(u)),$$

(1.15)

$$\int_u^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{r+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^r}\right), \quad (1 < r < \infty),$$

(1.16)

$$\int_0^u \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^2} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u}\right),$$

for $u \rightarrow 0$.

In particular, these conditions are satisfied if $\varphi(u) = u^p$ or $u^p \psi(u)$, $1 < p < r$ and $\psi(u)$ is a slowly varying function both for $u \rightarrow 0$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Then we have

Theorem 2. *Let $f(P)$ belong to L^φ with this $\varphi(u)$. Then*

(1.17)
$$\tilde{f}(P) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{f}_\lambda(P)$$

exists a.e. We have also

(1.18)
$$\|\tilde{f}_\lambda\|_\varphi \leq A_\varphi \|f\|_\varphi, \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_\varphi \leq A_\varphi \|f\|_\varphi,$$

(1.19)
$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}_\lambda\|_\varphi = 0,$$

and

(1.20)
$$\|\tilde{f}_*\|_\varphi \leq A_\varphi \|f\|_\varphi, \quad \text{where } \tilde{f}_*(P) = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \tilde{f}_\lambda(P).$$

The constant A_φ depends on the φ and the kernel K only.

2. Interpolation of the operation. The proof of Theorem 2 depends on the interpolation of the quasi-linear operation due to J. Marcinkiewicz [2] and A. Zygmund [3]. Let R and S be two spaces — for simplicity Euclidean spaces — with non-negative and completely additive measures μ and ν respectively.

Then J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund have proved

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $\mu(R)$ and $\nu(S)$ are finite, that $1 \leq a < b < \infty$ and that $h = Tf$ is a quasi-linear operation simultaneously of weak types (a, a) and (b, b) . Suppose also that $\varphi(u)$ is a continuous increasing function for $u \geq 0$ and satisfies the following conditions: $\varphi(0) = 0$ and*

(2.1)
$$\varphi(2u) = O(\varphi(u)),$$

(2.2)
$$\int_u^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{b+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^b}\right),$$

(2.3)
$$\int_1^u \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{a+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^a}\right),$$

for $u \rightarrow \infty$. Then $h = Tf$ is defined for every f such that $\varphi(|f|)$ is μ -integrable, and we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_S \varphi(|h|) d\nu \leq A \int_R \varphi(|f|) d\mu + B,$$

where the A, B are independent of f .

We now extend this theorem in the case where $\mu(R)$ and $\nu(S)$ are both infinite:

Theorem 4. Suppose that $\mu(R)$ and $\nu(S)$ are both infinite, and that a quasi-linear operation $h = Tf$ is of weak types (a, a) and (b, b) , where $1 \leq a < b < \infty$. Suppose also that $\varphi(u)$ is a continuous increasing function for $u \geq 0$ satisfying the conditions: $\varphi(0) = 0$, (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) for $u \rightarrow \infty$ and further

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(2u) = O(\varphi(u)),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \int_u^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{b+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^b}\right),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^u \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{a+1}} dt = O\left(\frac{\varphi(u)}{u^a}\right),$$

for $u \rightarrow 0$. Then $h = Tf$ is defined for every f such that $\varphi(|f|)$ is μ -integrable, and we have

$$(2.8) \quad \int_S \varphi(|h|) d\nu \leq A \int_R \varphi(|f|) d\mu,$$

where A is independent of f .

The existence of this theorem is indicated by A. Zygmund [3] implicitly.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let f be any μ -measurable function on R such that $\varphi(|f|)$ is μ -integrable, and let $n(y)$ be the distribution function of $|h|$, that is the ν -measure of the set $E_\nu[|h|] = \{x \mid |h(x)| > y, x \in S\}$. Then we have

$$\int_0^\infty n(y) d\varphi(y) \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \eta_j \{\varphi(\lambda 2^{j+1}) - \varphi(\lambda 2^j)\} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \eta_j \delta_j,$$

where $\eta_j = n(\lambda 2^j)$, $\delta_j = \varphi(\lambda 2^{j+1}) - \varphi(\lambda 2^j)$ and $\lambda = 3\kappa^2$.

For each fixed positive j we write

$$f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= f & 1 \leq |f| < 2^j, & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \\ f_2 &= f & 2^j \leq |f|, & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \\ f_3 &= f & 0 \leq |f| < 1, & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = Tf, \quad h_i = Tf_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Then we have $f_1 \in L^a \cup L^b$, $f_2 \in L^a$, $f_3 \in L^b$ respectively, and Tf is defined. Since

$$E_{\lambda 2^j}[|h|] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^3 E_{2^j}[|h_i|], \quad \lambda = 3\kappa^2 \quad (\kappa \geq 1),$$

we have

$$\eta_j < M \left\{ 2^{-jb} \int_R |f_1|^b d\mu + 2^{-ja} \int_R |f_2|^a d\mu + 2^{-jb} \int_R |f_3|^b d\mu \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \eta_j \delta_j < M(S_1 + S_2 + S_3), \quad \text{say.}$$

Let ε_i be the μ -measure of the set of such points x in R that $2^{i-1} \leq f < 2^i$ ($i=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$), then we have from (2.1) and (2.2)

$$S_1 \leq A \int_{R_2} \varphi(|f|) d\mu, \quad R_2 = \{x \mid |f(x)| \geq 1, x \in R\}.$$

Similarly from (2.1) and (2.3) we have

$$S_2 \leq A \int_{R_2} \varphi(|f|) d\mu.$$

For S_3 , we have by (2.2) and (2.6)

$$S_3 \leq \int_{R_1} |f|^b d\mu \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{b+1}} dt < A \int_{R_1} \varphi(|f|) d\mu, \quad R_1 = \{x \mid |f(x)| < 1, x \in R\}.$$

Next for negative j , we write

$$f = f_4 + f_5 + f_6,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= f & 2^j \leq |f| < 1, & & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \\ f_5 &= f & 0 \leq |f| < 2^j, & & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \\ f_6 &= f & 1 \leq |f|, & & = 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = Tf, \quad h_i = Tf_i, \quad i=4, 5, 6.$$

Then we have $f_4 \in L^a \cup L^b$, $f_5 \in L^b$, $f_6 \in L^a$ respectively, and Tf is defined, and we have

$$\eta_j \leq M \left\{ 2^{-ja} \int_R |f_4|^a d\mu + 2^{-jb} \int_R |f_5|^b d\mu + 2^{-ja} \int_R |f_6|^a d\mu \right\},$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \eta_j \delta_j < M(S_4 + S_5 + S_6), \quad \text{say.}$$

And we have

$$S_4 \leq A \int_{R_1} \varphi(|f|) d\mu,$$

by (2.5) and (2.7),

$$S_5 \leq A \int_{R_1} \varphi(|f|) d\mu,$$

by (2.5) and (2.6),

$$S_6 \leq A \int_R |f_6|^a d\mu \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t^{a+1}} dt \leq A \int_{R_2} \varphi(|f|) d\mu,$$

by (2.7) and (2.3). Thus Theorem 4 is established.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of (1.17). By (1.13) and (1.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u &\leq A\varphi(u) & u &\geq 1, \\ u^p &\leq A_1\varphi(u) & 0 &\leq u < 1. \end{aligned}$$

And we decompose f into the sum of the f_1 and f_2 , where $f_1=f$ if $|f|\geq 1$, $=0$ elsewhere and $f_2=f$ if $|f|\leq 1$, $=0$ elsewhere. Then by (1.7), \tilde{f}_1 and \tilde{f}_2 exist a.e. and \tilde{f} also does a.e.

Proof of (1.18). The first part now follows immediately by the application of Theorem 4 with (1.18) and the following lemma due to A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund [1].

Lemma 1. *The operation $Tf=\tilde{f}_\lambda$ of (1.5) is of weak type (1, 1) or given an $f\geq 0$ of L^p , $p\geq 1$ and any number $y>0$, there is a sequence of non-overlapping cubes I_k such that*

$$y \leq \frac{1}{|I_k|} \int_{I_k} f(P)dP \leq 2^ny, \quad (k=1, 2, \dots),$$

and $f\leq y$ almost everywhere outside $D_y=\bigcup_k I_k$. Moreover $|D_y|\leq \beta^f(y)$ and

$$y \leq \frac{1}{|D_y|} \int_{D_y} f(P)dP \leq 2^ny.$$

And let $f\geq 0$ belong to L^p , $1\leq p\leq 2$, in E^n , and let E_y be the set of points where the function (1.5) exceeds y in absolute value. Then

$$|E_y| \leq \frac{c_1}{y^2} \int_{E^n} [f(P)]_y^2 dP + c_2\beta^f(y),$$

where $[f(P)]_y$ denotes the function equal to f if $f\leq y$ and equal to y otherwise, and c_1 and c_2 are constants independent of λ .

The second part follows from the first part, (1.17) and the Fatou lemma.

Proof of (1.19). This is proved by the well-known process.

Proof of (1.20). The proof runs on the line of the arguments of Theorem 1 of Chap. II of A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund [1]. We only indicate, using the same notation,

Lemma 2. *Let $N(P)$ be a function in E^n and suppose that*

$$|N(P)| \leq \varphi(|P-O|)$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is a decreasing function of x such that

$$\int_{E^n} \varphi(|P-O|)dP < \infty.$$

Let $N_1(P)$ be equal to 1 in the sphere of volume 1 and center at O , and zero elsewhere; and let $\bar{f}(P)$ be defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \bar{f}(P) = \sup_{\lambda} \lambda^n \int_{E^n} N_1[\lambda(P-Q)] |f(Q)| dQ.$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{\lambda} \left| \lambda^n \int_{E^n} N[\lambda(P-Q)] f(Q) dQ \right| \leq \bar{f}(P) \int_{E^n} \varphi(|P-O|) dP,$$

and the operation $Tf = \bar{f}$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and of strong type (p, p) , $(p > 1)$.

Now we can apply Theorem 4 to (3.1), and lemmas which we need are obtained. We cease to go into further.

References

- [1] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund: On the existence of certain integrals, *Acta Math.*, **88**, 85-139 (1952).
- [2] J. Marcinkiewicz: Sur l'interpolation d'opération, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, **208**, 1217-1273 (1939).
- [3] A. Zygmund: On a theorem of Marcinkiewicz concerning interpolation of operations, *Jour. Math.*, **35**, 223-248 (1956).
- [4] A. Zygmund: Hilbert transform in E^n , *Proc. Int. Congress Math., Amsterdam*, **3**, 140-151 (1954).