

90. On Cauchy's Problem in the Large for Wave Equations.

By Kôsaku YOSIDA.

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University.
(Comm. by Z. SUETUNA, M.J.A., Oct. 13, 1952.)

§ 1. *Introduction.* Let R be a connected domain of an orientable, m -dimensional Riemannian space with the metric $ds^2 = g_{ij}(x)dx^i dx^j$. We consider the wave equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial t^2} = A_x u(x,t), \quad -\infty < t < \infty,$$

with Cauchy's data

$$(1.2) \quad u(x,0) = f(x), \quad \frac{\partial u(x,0)}{\partial t} = h(x).$$

Here the differential operator $A = A_x$ defined by

$$(1.3) \quad A_x f(x) = b^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + a^i(x) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x^i} + e(x)f(x)$$

is *elliptic* in the sense that the quadratic form $b^{ij}(x)\xi_i \xi_j$ is > 0 for $\sum_i (\xi_i)^2 > 0$. Since the value of $A_x f(x)$ must be independent of the local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^m) of the point x , the coefficients $a^i(x)$ and $b^{ij}(x)$ must be transformed, by the coordinates change $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$, respectively into

$$(1.4) \quad \bar{a}^i(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k} a^k(x) + \frac{\partial^2 \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k \partial x^s} b^{ks}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{b}^{ij}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^j}{\partial x^s} b^{ks}(x).$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that $g_{ij}(x)$, $b^{ij}(x)$, $a^i(x)$ and $e(x)$ are infinitely differentiable functions of the local coordinates (x^1, \dots, x^m) .

Since we are concerned with *the existence in the large of the integral* of (1.1)–(1.2), it will perhaps be necessary to rely upon operator-theoretical method¹⁾. We here assume that the operator A_x is, as in the case of Laplacian, *formally self-adjoint* and *non-positive definite*, viz.

$$(1.5) \quad \int_R (A_x f(x))h(x)dx = \int_R f(x)(A_x h(x))dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_R (A_x f(x))f(x)dx \leq 0$$

$$(dx = \sqrt{g(x)} \, dx^1 \dots dx^m, \quad g(x) = \det(g_{ij}(x))),$$

if $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are twice continuously differentiable such that $f(x)$ vanishes outside a compact set contained in the interior of R . Then we may integrate, by virtue of the Hilbert space technique, an operator-theoretical variant of (1.1)–(1.2). It will next be shown, by a parametrix consideration, that this operator-theoretical integral is, for sufficiently smooth initial data (1.2), equivalent to the ordinary integral of the genuine differential equation (1.1)–(1.2). It is

to be noted that the Lemma 2 below, which is of the type of Poisson's equation, may be of use in other problems relating to the elliptic differential operator.

§ 2. *An operator-theoretical integration.* Let L be the linear space of twice continuously differentiable real-valued functions $f(x)$ vanishing outside compact set and satisfying a certain linear boundary condition on the boundary ∂R of R . It is assumed that the boundary condition is chosen in such a way that we have

$$(2.1) \quad \int_R (A_x f(x))h(x)dx = \int_R f(x)(A_x h(x))dx \text{ and}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \int_R (A_x f(x))f(x)dx \leq 0 \quad \text{for } f, h \in L.$$

Such boundary condition is possible because of the assumption (1.5). L is a pre-Hilbert space by the norm

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\| = \left(\int_R f(x)^2 dx\right)^{1/2} = (f, f)^{1/2},$$

such that the completion L^a of this linear normed space L is a real Hilbert space $L_2(R)$.

We consider $A = A_x$ to be an additive operator defined on $L \subseteq L^a$ into L^a . Let \tilde{A} be a non-positive definite self-adjoint extension of A . Such \tilde{A} may be defined as follows²⁾: Let L' be the completion of the linear space L by the new metric

$$(2.4) \quad \|f\|' = ((-A f, f) + (f, f))^{1/2}.$$

Because of (2.2), we may identify L' with a linear subspace of L^a . Then

(2.5) \tilde{A} is the contraction of the adjoint operator A^* of A restricted to the domain $D(\tilde{A}) = L' \cap D(A^*)$, where $D(A^*)$ is the domain of A^* .

We have, by (2.1),

$$(2.6) \quad L \subseteq D(\tilde{A}).$$

Let (2.7):
$$-\tilde{A} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dE(\lambda)$$

be the spectral resolution of $-\tilde{A}$ and let

$$(2.8) \quad (-\tilde{A})^{1/2} = \int_0^\infty \lambda^{1/2} dE(\lambda)$$

be the positive square root of the operator $-\tilde{A}$. Surely we have

(2.9) the domain $D((-\tilde{A})^{1/2})$ of $(-\tilde{A})^{1/2} \supseteq D(\tilde{A})$, and hence, by (2.6),

$$(2.6)' \quad L \subseteq D(\tilde{A}) \subseteq D((\tilde{A})^{1/2}).$$

Let us consider, for f and $h \in L$,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x, t) &= (\cos(-\tilde{A})^{1/2}t)f(x) + (\sin((-\tilde{A})^{1/2}t)/(-\tilde{A})^{1/2})h(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \cos(\lambda^{1/2}t)dE(\lambda)f(x) + \int_0^\infty (\sin(\lambda^{1/2}t)/\lambda^{1/2})dE(\lambda)h(x). \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the right hand integral is clear. We see, by (2.6)', that $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ satisfies the operator-theoretical differential equation

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_i u(x, t) &= \tilde{A}_x \tilde{u}(x, t), \text{ where} \\ \partial_i \tilde{u}(x, t) &= \text{strong } \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta^{-1} (\tilde{u}(x, t + \delta) - \tilde{u}(x, t)). \end{aligned}$$

We have also (2.12): $\tilde{u}(x, 0) = f(x)$, $\partial_i \tilde{u}(x, 0) = h(x)$.
Therefore we have:

Theorem 1. (2.10) is an operator-theoretical solution of Stokes' type of the operator-theoretical variant (2.11)–(2.12) of (1.1)–(1.2).

Let D be the subset of L consisting of all the infinitely differentiable functions $f(x)$ such that $f(x) \in$ the domain $D(\tilde{A}_x^q)$ of the operator \tilde{A}_x^q for every $q > 0$. Such is the case for infinitely differentiable function $f(x)$ when $f(x)$ vanishes outside a compact set contained in the interior of R . From the definition (2.10) and (2.6)', we see that (2.13): if f and h are both in D , the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ given by (2.10) is in the domain $D(\tilde{A}_x^q)$ for any $q > 0$. We will show, in § 4, that such $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ is equal (x, t) -almost everywhere to a function $u(x, t)$ which is infinitely differentiable in (x, t) , so that $u(x, t)$ is an ordinary integral of the genuine differential equation (1.1)–(1.2).

§ 3. *The parametrix for the iterated elliptic operator.* The hypothesis of the formal self-adjointness of the operator $A = A_x$ is not needed in this §. Thus let

$$(3.1) \quad A_x' f(x) = b^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} + c^i(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} + p(x) f(x)$$

be the formally adjoint operator of A_x . We will construct a parametrix for the iterated elliptic operator (3.2): $A_x'^{q-1}$. To this purpose, let $\Gamma(P, Q) = r(P, Q)^2$ be the square of the smallest distance between the two points $P = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$ and $Q = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$ of R according to the new metric (3.3): $dr^2 = b_{ij}(x) dx^i dx^j$, where $(b_{ij}(x)) = (b^{ij}(x))^{-1}$. We have then:

Lemma 1³. Let the dimension m be odd. For any positive integer n and for any even $\alpha \geq 0$, we may construct a parametrix $W_\alpha(P, Q)$ for the operator $A' = A_x'$:

$$(3.4) \quad W_\alpha(P, Q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \Gamma(P, Q)^{(\alpha+2k-m)/2} V_k(P, Q) / K_m(\alpha) L_m(\alpha + 2k),$$

where $K_m(\alpha) = 2^{\alpha/2} \Gamma(\alpha/2)$, $L_m(\alpha + 2k) = 2^{(\alpha+2k)/2} \Gamma((\alpha + 2k + 2 - m)/2)$ and $V_k(P, Q)$ are infinitely differentiable in the vicinity of $Q = P$ and $V_0(P, P) = 1$

so that (3.5): $A_x' W_{\alpha+2}(P, Q) = W_\alpha(P, Q) + \Gamma(P, Q)^{(\alpha+2+2n-m)/2} A_x' W_\alpha(P, Q) / K_m(\alpha + 2) L_m(\alpha + 2 + 2n)$.

Proof. We introduce the normal coordinates y of $Q = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$ in the vicinity of P :

$$(3.6) \quad y^\sigma = (\Gamma(P, Q))^{1/2} \left(\frac{dx^\sigma}{dr} \right)_{r=0}.$$

Let (3.7): $dr^2 = \beta_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j$.

We have the well-known formulae

$$(3.8) \quad \Gamma(P, Q) = \beta_{ij}(0)y^i y^j, \quad \beta_{ij}(y)y^j = \beta_{ij}(0)y^j.$$

By virtue of (3.8), the operator

$$(3.9) \quad A' = A'_y = \beta^{ij}(y) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} + \alpha^t(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^t} + \gamma(y) \\ ((\beta^{ij}(y)) = (\beta_{ij}(y))^{-1}),$$

when applied to the function of the form $f(\Gamma(P, Q), y)$, may be written as follows :

$$(3.10) \quad A'_y f = 4\Gamma \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \Gamma^2} + 4y^\sigma \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \Gamma \partial y^\sigma} + M \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Gamma} + N(f), \quad \text{where}$$

$$M = \beta^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} + \alpha^t \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y^t} = 2m + 0(y), \quad N(f) = \beta^{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^i \partial y^j} + \alpha^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^t} + \gamma f.$$

The differentiation in A'_y and in $N(f)$ are to be performed as if Γ and y^σ are independent variables. Hence, by

$$(3.11) \quad \alpha/K_m(\alpha+2) = 1/K_m(\alpha), \quad (\alpha+2-m)/L_m(\alpha+2) = 1/L_m(\alpha),$$

we obtain $A'_y W_{\alpha+2}(P, Q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\Gamma(P, Q)^{(\alpha+2k-m)/2}}{K_m(\alpha+2)L_m(\alpha+2k)}$

$$\times \left\{ 2y^\sigma \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial y^\sigma} + \left(\frac{M}{2} + 2k - m + \alpha \right) V_k + A'_y V_{k-1}(P, Q) \right\} \\ + \frac{\Gamma(P, Q)^{(\alpha+2+2n-m)/2}}{K_m(\alpha+2)L_m(\alpha+2+2n)} A'_y V_n \\ = W_\alpha(P, Q) + \frac{\Gamma(P, Q)^{(\alpha+2+2n-m)/2}}{K_m(\alpha+2)L_m(\alpha+2+2n)} A'_y V_n,$$

if $V_k(P, Q)$ may be so determined that $V_k(P, Q)$ are infinitely differentiable in the vicinity of $Q=P$, $V_{-1}(P, Q) \equiv 0$, $V_0(P, P) = 1$ and

$$(3.12) \quad 2y^\sigma \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial y^\sigma} + \left(\frac{M}{2} + 2k - m \right) V_k(P, Q) + A'_y V_{k-1}(P, Q) \\ = 0, \quad (k=0, 1, \dots, n).$$

Such $V_k(P, Q)$ exist by virtue of the order relation

$$(3.13) \quad M = 2m + 0(y).$$

Proof. By putting $y^\sigma = r\eta^\sigma$, (3.12) is reduced to the ordinary differential equation in r containing the parameters η :

$$(3.12)' \quad 2r \frac{dV_k(P, r\eta)}{dr} + \left(\frac{M(r\eta)}{2} + 2k - m \right) V_k(P, r\eta) = -A'_y V_{k-1}(P, r\eta).$$

Hence, by $V_{-1}(P, Q) \equiv 0$ and $V_0(P, P) = 1$, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad V_0 = \exp \left(- \int_0^r (2t)^{-1} \left(\frac{M}{2} - m \right) dt \right), \\ V_k = -V_0 r^{-k} \int_0^r t^{k-1} V_0^{-1} A'_y V_{k-1} dt.$$

Corollary.

$$(3.15) \quad A_y^{q-t} W_{2q}(P, Q) = W_{2t}(P, Q) + 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2t+2+2n-m)/2}), \\ A_y^q W_{2q}(P, Q) = 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2+2n-m)/2}) \text{ for } P \neq Q.$$

Next let P_0 be any inner point of R and consider, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

(3.16) $U_\alpha(P, Q) = W_\alpha(P, Q)\delta(\Gamma(P, Q))\delta(\Gamma(P_0, P))$, where $\delta(x) \geq 0$ is infinitely differentiable in $x \geq 0$ such that $\delta(x) = 1$ or 0 according as $x \leq \varepsilon$ or $x \geq 2\varepsilon$.

Thus, in a certain vicinity of P_0 ,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q) &= U_{2i}(P, Q) + 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2i+2+2n-m)/2}), \\ A_y^q U_{2q}(P, Q) &= 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2+2n-m)/2}) \text{ for } P \neq Q. \end{aligned}$$

After these preliminaries, we may prove an analogue of Poisson's equation, viz.

Lemma 2. *Let the dimension m be odd and ≥ 2 , and let $k(Q)$ be $\in L$. Then we have, for $2n \geq m$,*

$$(3.18) \quad C(P)k(P) = \int_R (A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k(Q))dQ, \text{ where } C(P) \text{ is infinitely differentiable and } \neq 0 \text{ in a certain vicinity of } P_0.$$

Proof. We have, by Green's integral theorem and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R (A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k(Q))dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{R - \{Q; \Gamma(P, Q) \leq \kappa\}} (A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k(Q))dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{R - \{Q; \Gamma(P, Q) \leq \kappa\}} (A_y'(A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q))k(Q))dQ \\ &+ \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma(P, Q) = \kappa} \left\{ \frac{A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q)}{\partial \nu} k(Q) - (A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q)) \frac{\partial k(Q)}{\partial \nu} \right\} dS \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the transversal direction defined by

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{\partial \nu}{\partial y^i} = (\sqrt{g(y)} \beta^{ij}(y) \cos(r, y^j))^{-1}, \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, m)$$

and dS is the hypersurface element on $\Gamma(P, Q) = \kappa$.

We have, from (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} A_y^q U_{2q}(P, Q) &= 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2+2n-m)/2}) \text{ for } P \neq Q, \\ A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q) &= (4\Gamma((4-m)/2))^{-1}\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2-m)/2} + 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(2+2n-m)/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have, when $\Gamma(P, Q) = \kappa$ tends to zero

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q)}{\partial \nu} &= (8\Gamma((4-m)/2))^{-1}(2-m)\Gamma^{-m/2} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial y^i} \sqrt{g(y)} \beta^{ij}(y) \cos(r, y^j) \\ &= (4\Gamma(4-m)/2)^{-1}(2-m)\Gamma^{-m/2} \beta_{ik}(0) y^k \sqrt{g(y)} \beta^{ij}(y) \cos(r, y^j) \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\ &= (4\Gamma((4-m)/2))^{-1}(2-m) y^j \Gamma^{-m/2} \sqrt{g(y)} \cos(r, y^j) \quad (\text{by (3.8)}) \\ &= (4\Gamma((4-m)/2))^{-1}(2-m)\Gamma^{(1-m)/2} \sqrt{g(r\eta)} \sum_{j=1}^m (\eta^j)^2 \quad (\text{by putting } y^j = r\eta^j). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore we have} \quad & \int_R (A_y^{q-1}U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k(Q))dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{\beta_{ij}(P)\eta^i\eta^j = 1} (4\Gamma((4-m)/2))^{-1}(2-m)\kappa^{(1-m)/2} \sqrt{g(\sqrt{\kappa}\eta)} \sum_{j=1}^m (\eta^j)^2 dS_{\sqrt{\kappa}\eta} \\ &= (4\Gamma(4-m)/2)^{-1}(2-m)\sqrt{g(P)} \int_{\beta_{ij}(P)\eta^i\eta^j = 1} \sum_{j=1}^m (\eta^j)^2 dS_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.16).

§ 4. *The differentiability of the operator-theoretical solution $\tilde{u}(Q, t)$.* We first remark that we are dealing with the case $A' = A$. We will prepare two lemmas.

Lemma 3. For fixed t , there exists a sequence of functions $\{k_i(Q)\} \subseteq L$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \text{strong } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k_i(Q) = \tilde{u}(Q, t),$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_R w(Q)(A_y k_i(Q)) dQ = \int_R w(Q)(\tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ \text{ for every } w(Q) \in L.$$

Proof. By $\tilde{u}(Q, t) \in D(\tilde{A}_y)$ and the definition (2.5) of \tilde{A} , there exists a sequence of functions $\{k_i(Q)\} \subseteq L$ such that $\text{strong } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k_i(Q) = \tilde{u}(Q, t)$. We have, for any $w(Q) \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_R w(Q)(A_y k_i(Q)) dQ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_R (A_y w(Q)) k_i(Q) dQ \\ &= \int_R (A_y w(Q)) \tilde{u}(Q, t) dQ = \int_R w(Q)(\tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1) and by the definition (2.5) of \tilde{A} .

Lemma 4. We have, for $w(Q) \in L$ and for $1 \leq i \leq q$,

$$(4.2) \quad \int_R w(P)(A_y^{q-i} U_{2q}(P, Q)) dP \in L.$$

Proof. By (3.16), we see that the integral vanishes outside a compact coordinate neighbourhood of P_0 . Moreover, by (3.4), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17), we see that the integral is twice continuously differentiable in Q (*Q.E.D.*).

We have, by (3.18),

$$C(P)k_i(P) = \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k_i(Q)) dQ$$

in a certain vicinity of P_0 . Let $w(Q) \in L$ vanish outside this vicinity. Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$\int_R w(P)C(P)k_i(P) dP = \int_R w(P) dP \left\{ \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q))(A_y k_i(Q)) dQ \right\},$$

we obtain, by the Lemma 3 and Lemma 4,

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{u}(P, t) = C(P)^{-1} \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q))(\tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ \text{ almost everywhere in } P \text{ in a certain vicinity of } P_0.$$

The function $\tilde{u}(Q, t)$ belongs to $D(\tilde{A}_y^p)$ for every $p > 0$. Thus we see, by the Lemma 3, that there exists a sequence of functions $\{k_i(Q)\} \subseteq L$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \text{strong } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} k_i(Q) = \tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t),$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_R w(Q)(A_y k_i(Q)) dQ = \int_R w(Q)(\tilde{A}_y^2 \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ \text{ for every } w(Q) \in L.$$

Hence we have

(4.5) $\int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (\tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) k_i(Q) dQ$
 almost everywhere in P . Also, by Green's integral theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) k_i(Q) dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{R - \{Q; \Gamma(P, Q) \leq \kappa\}} (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) k_i(Q) dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{R - \{Q; \Gamma(P, Q) \leq \kappa\}} (A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (A_y k_i(Q)) dQ \\ &= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Gamma(P, Q) = \kappa} \left\{ \frac{\partial A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)}{\partial \nu} k_i(Q) - (A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)) \frac{\partial k_i(Q)}{\partial \nu} \right\} dS \\ &= \int_R (A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (A_y k_i(Q)) dQ. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality may be obtained, as in the proof of (3.18), from the order relation (3.17):

$$A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q) = 0(\Gamma(P, Q)^{(4-m)/2}).$$

Hence, for any $w(P) \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_R w(P) dP \left\{ \int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) k_i(Q) dQ \right\} \\ &= \int_R w(P) dP \left\{ \int_R (A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (A_y k_i(Q)) dQ \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by letting $i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain, from (4.4), (4.5) and the Lemma 4,

$$\int_R (A_y^{q-1} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (\tilde{A}_y \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ = \int_R (A_y^{q-2} U_{2q}(P, Q)) (\tilde{A}_y^2 \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ$$

almost everywhere in P . Repeating the process, we obtain, from (4.3),

Theorem 2. Let the dimension m be odd and ≥ 2 , and let $2n \geq m$ in the definition of $U_{2q}(P, Q)$. Then, for the initial data f and h in D , we have

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{u}(P, t) = C(P)^{-1} \int_R U_{2q}(P, Q) (\tilde{A}_y^q \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ \text{ almost everywhere in}$$

P in a certain vicinity of P_0 .

Corollary. $\tilde{u}(Q, t)$ is, for fixed t , equal almost everywhere to a function $u(P, t)$ which is infinitely differentiable in P in a certain vicinity of P_0 such that

$$(4.6)' \quad u(P, t) = C(P)^{-1} \int_R U_{2q}(P, Q) (\tilde{A}_y^q \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ.$$

Proof. We see that, if $q \geq m$,

$$u(P, t) = C(P)^{-1} \int_R U_{2q}(P, Q) (\tilde{A}_y^q \tilde{u}(Q, t)) dQ$$

is, by (3.17), q times continuously differentiable in P . As q may be taken arbitrarily large, the Corollary is proved.

In the above, we have assumed that the dimension m be odd and ≥ 2 . Let us consider the case in which m does not satisfy this condition. In such a case, let $m' > m$ be odd and ≥ 2 . We consider the function

$\hat{u}(\hat{Q}, t) = u(y^1, \dots, y^m, t) \exp(-(y^{m+1})^2 - \dots - (y^{m'})^2)$
of m' independent variables $y^1, \dots, y^m, y^{m+1}, \dots, y^{m'}$. By introducing the operator

$$(4.7) \quad A^{(1)} = A + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (y^{m+1})^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (y^{m'})^2}$$

in place of the operator $A = A_y$, we see, as above, that (4.6)' holds good for $u(\hat{Q}, t)$ in this case also. *Proof.* $\tilde{A}^{(1)q} \hat{u}(\hat{Q}, t)$ belongs, for fixed t , to the product Hilbert space

$$L^a \times L_2(-\infty < y^{m+1} < \infty, \dots, -\infty < y^{m'} < \infty)$$

and hence we may apply the proof of the Theorem 2 above¹⁾.

Next since $u(Q, t)$ belong to $D(\tilde{A}_y^p)$ for every $p > 0$, it is easy to see, by (2.10), that

$$(4.8) \quad (\partial_i \partial_i)^r \tilde{A}_y^q u(Q, t) = \tilde{A}_y^{q+r} u(Q, t) \text{ for every } r \geq 0.$$

Thus we see, by (4.6)', that $u(P, t)$ is, for fixed P , infinitely differentiable in t .

Moreover, since $u(Q, t)$ is infinitely differentiable in Q , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \tilde{A}_y^{q+r} u(Q, t) = A_y^{q+r} u(Q, t) \text{ almost everywhere in } Q.$$

For, we have, by the definition (2.5) of \tilde{A} ,

$$\int_R w(Q) (\tilde{A}_y^{q+r} u(Q, t)) dQ = \int_R (A_y^{q+r} w(Q)) u(Q, t) dQ = \int_R w(Q) (A_y^{q+r} u(Q, t)) dQ,$$

when $w(Q)$ is infinitely differentiable and vanishes outside a compact set contained in the interior of R .

Therefore, in view of (2.11), we have proved finally the

Theorem 3. *When f and h are in D , the function $\tilde{u}(x, t)$ given by (2.10) is (x, t) -almost everywhere equal to an infinitely differentiable function $u(x, t)$ satisfying (1.1)-(1.2).*

1) Cf. K. Yosida: On the integration of diffusion equations in Riemannian spaces, to appear in the Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

2) See K. Friedrichs: Spektraltheorie halbbeschränkter Operatoren, Math. Ann. **109** (1934), 456-487. H. Fruedenthal: Über die Friedrichssche Fortsetzung halbbeschränkter Hermitescher Operatoren, Proc. Amsterdam Acad. **39** (1936), 832-833.

3) Suggested by M. Riesz: L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy, Acta Math. **81** (1948), 1-223. Cf. L. Schwartz: Théorie des distributions, I (1950), p. 47.

4) This argument may be called a method of descent. Cf. J. Hadamard: Le problème de Cauchy et les équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires hyperboliques, (1932), p. 287.