

## Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of the form $2^a + 3^b + 5^c$

By Diego MARQUES<sup>\*)</sup> and Alain TOGBÉ<sup>\*\*)</sup>

(Communicated by Shigefumi MORI, M.J.A., Feb. 12, 2013)

**Abstract:** In this paper, we find all Fibonacci and Lucas numbers written in the form  $2^a + 3^b + 5^c$ , in nonnegative integers  $a, b, c$ , with  $\max\{a, b\} \leq c$ .

**Key words:** Fibonacci; Lucas; linear forms in logarithms; reduction method.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be the Fibonacci sequence given by  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$ , for  $n \geq 0$ , where  $F_0 = 0$  and  $F_1 = 1$ . These numbers are well-known for possessing amazing properties (consult [5] together with its very extensive annotated bibliography for additional references and history). We cannot go very far in the lore of Fibonacci numbers without encountering its companion Lucas sequence  $(L_n)_{n \geq 0}$  which follows the same recursive pattern as the Fibonacci numbers, but with initial values  $L_0 = 2$  and  $L_1 = 1$ .

The problem of finding for Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of a particular form has a very rich history. Maybe the most outstanding result on this subject is due to Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek [1, Theorem 1] who showed that 0, 1, 8, 144 and 1, 4 are the only Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, respectively, of the form  $y^t$ , with  $t > 1$  (perfect power). Other related papers searched for Fibonacci numbers of the forms  $px^2 + 1$ ,  $px^3 + 1$  [12],  $k^2 + k + 2$  [7],  $p^a \pm p^b + 1$  [8],  $p^a \pm p^b$  [9],  $y^t \pm 1$  [2] and  $q^k y^t$  [3]. Also, in 1993, Pethő and Tichy [11] proved that there are only finitely many Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a + p^b + p^c$ , with  $p$  prime. However, their proof uses the finiteness of solutions of  $S$ -unit equations, and as such is ineffective. Very recently, the authors [10] found all Fibonacci and Lucas numbers of the form  $y^a + y^b + y^c$ , with  $2 \leq y \leq 9$ .

In this paper, we are interested in Fibonacci and Lucas numbers which are sum of three perfect powers of some prescribed distinct bases. More precisely, our results are the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *The only solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$(1) \quad F_n = 2^a + 3^b + 5^c$$

*in integers  $n, a, b, c$ , with  $0 \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq c$  are*

$$(n, a, b, c) \in \{(4, 0, 0, 0), (6, 1, 0, 1)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *The only solutions of the Diophantine equation*

$$(2) \quad L_n = 2^a + 3^b + 5^c$$

*in integers  $n, a, b, c$ , with  $0 \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq c$  are*

$$(n, a, b, c) \in \{(2, 0, 0, 0), (4, 0, 0, 1), (7, 0, 1, 2)\}.$$

**2. Auxiliary results.** First, we recall the well-known Binet's formulae for Fibonacci and Lucas sequences:

$$F_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad L_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

where  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  and  $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2 = -1/\alpha$ . These formulas allow to deduce the bounds

$$\alpha^{n-2} \leq F_n \leq \alpha^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^{n-1} \leq L_n \leq 2\alpha^n,$$

which hold for all  $n \geq 1$ .

The next tools are related to the transcendental approach to solve Diophantine equations. First, we use a lower bound for a linear form in logarithms à la Baker and such a bound was given by the following result due to Laurent [6, Corollary 2] with  $m = 24$  and  $C_2 = 18.8$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be real algebraic numbers, with  $|\alpha_j| \geq 1$ ,  $b_1, b_2$  be positive integer numbers and*

$$\Lambda = b_2 \log \alpha_2 - b_1 \log \alpha_1.$$

*Let  $A_j$  be real numbers such that*

$$\log A_j \geq \max\{h(\alpha_j), |\log \alpha_j|/D, 1/D\}, j \in \{1, 2\},$$

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11B39, 11J86.

<sup>\*)</sup> Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília-DF, Brazil.

<sup>\*\*)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Purdue University North Central, 1401 S. U.S. 421, Westville, IN 46391, USA.

where  $D$  is the degree of the number field  $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Define

$$b' = \frac{b_1}{D \log A_2} + \frac{b_2}{D \log A_1}.$$

If  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are multiplicatively independent, then

$$\log |\Lambda| \geq -18.8 \cdot D^4 (\max\{\log b' + 0.38, m/D, 1\})^2 \cdot \log A_1 \log A_2.$$

As usual, in the above statement, the *logarithmic height* of an  $\ell$ -degree algebraic number  $\gamma$  is defined as

$$h(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\ell} \left( \log |a| + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \log \max\{1, |\gamma^{(j)}|\} \right),$$

where  $a$  is the leading coefficient of the minimal polynomial of  $\gamma$  (over  $\mathbf{Z}$ ) and  $(\gamma^{(j)})_{1 \leq j \leq \ell}$  are the conjugates of  $\gamma$  (over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ).

After finding an upper bound on  $n$  which is general too large, the next step is to reduce it. For that, we need a variant of the famous Baker-Davenport lemma, which is due to Dujella and Pethő [4]. For a real number  $x$ , we use  $\|x\| = \min\{|x - n| : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$  for the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer.

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $M$  is a positive integer. Let  $p/q$  be a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number  $\gamma$  such that  $q > 6M$  and let  $\epsilon = \|\mu q\| - M\|\gamma q\|$ , where  $\mu$  is a real number. If  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is no solution to the inequality*

$$0 < m\gamma - n + \mu < AB^{-m}$$

in positive integers  $m, n$  with

$$\frac{\log(Aq/\epsilon)}{\log B} \leq m < M.$$

See Lemma 5, a.) in [4]. Now, we are ready to deal with the proofs of our results.

**3. Proof of the Theorem 1.1.** Combining Binet formula together with (2), we get

$$(3) \quad \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{5}} - 5^c = 2^a + 3^b + \frac{\beta^n}{\sqrt{5}} > 0,$$

because  $|\beta| < 1$  while  $2^a \geq 1$ . Thus

$$\frac{\alpha^n 5^{-c}}{\sqrt{5}} - 1 = \frac{2^a}{5^c} + \frac{3^b}{5^c} + \frac{\beta^n}{5^c \sqrt{5}}$$

yields

$$\left| \frac{\alpha^n 5^{-c}}{\sqrt{5}} - 1 \right| < \frac{3}{5^{0.3c}},$$

where we use that  $2 < \sqrt{5}, 3 < 5^{0.7}$  and  $c \geq \max\{a, b\}$ . Therefore,

$$(4) \quad |e^{\Lambda_F} - 1| < \frac{3}{5^{0.3c}},$$

where  $\Lambda_F = n \log \alpha - (2c + 1) \log \sqrt{5}$ . By (3),  $\Lambda_F > 0$  and in particular  $e^{\Lambda_F} \neq 1$ . Thus  $\Lambda_F < e^{\Lambda_F} - 1$  and so

$$(5) \quad \log \Lambda_F < \log 3 - 0.48c.$$

In order to apply Lemma 1, we take

$$\alpha_1 := \sqrt{5}, \alpha_2 := \alpha, b_1 := 2c + 1, b_2 := n.$$

For this choice, we have  $D = 2$ ,  $h(\alpha_1) = \log \sqrt{5} < 0.81$  and  $h(\alpha_2) = (\log \alpha)/2 < 0.25$ . In conclusion,  $\log A_1 := 0.81$  and  $\log A_2 := 0.25$  are suitable choices. We also obtain the estimate

$$\alpha^{n-2} < F_n = 2^a + 3^b + 5^c < 2 \cdot 5^c,$$

which implies that  $n < 3.4c + 3.5$  (as we know that  $2^a + 3^b \leq 2^c + 3^c < 5^c$ ). Thus we have

$$b' = \frac{2c + 1}{0.5} + \frac{n}{1.62} < 6.1c + 4.2.$$

As  $\alpha$  and 5 are multiplicatively independent, we have, by Lemma 1, that

$$(6) \quad \log |\Lambda_F| > -58.97 \cdot (\max\{\log(6.1c + 4.2) + 0.38, 11\})^2.$$

We now combine (5) and (6) to get

$$c < 122.86 \cdot (\max\{\log(6.1c + 4.2) + 0.38, 11\})^2 + \log 3$$

and so  $c < 17585$  and  $n < 59793$ .

Since  $0 < \Lambda_F < 3/5^{0.3c}$ , we can rewrite this as

$$0 < n \log \alpha - c \log 5 + \log(1/\sqrt{5}) < 3 \cdot (1.6)^{-c}.$$

Since  $c > (n - 3.5)/3.4 > 0.29n - 1.03$ , we obtain (dividing by  $\log 5$ )

$$(7) \quad 0 < n\gamma - c + \mu < 3.1 \cdot (1.14)^{-n},$$

with  $\gamma := \log \alpha / \log 5$  and  $\mu := \log(1/\sqrt{5}) / \log 5 = -1/2$ .

We claim that  $\gamma$  is irrational. In fact, if  $\gamma = p/q$ , then  $\alpha^{2q} \in \mathbf{Q}$ , which is an absurdity. Let  $q_n$  be the denominator of the  $n$ -th convergent of the continued fraction of  $\gamma$ . Taking  $M := 59793$ , we have

$$q_{12} = 369777 > 6M$$

and then  $\epsilon := \|\mu q_{12}\| - M\|\gamma q_{12}\| = 0.44198\dots$ . Note that the conditions to apply Lemma 2 are fulfilled for  $A = 3.1$  and  $B = 1.14$ , and hence there is no solution to inequality (7) (and then no solution to the Diophantine equation (1)) for  $n$  in the range

$$\left[ \left\lceil \frac{\log(Aq_{12}/\epsilon)}{\log B} \right\rceil + 1, M \right) = [113, 59793).$$

Thus  $n \leq 112$  and the estimate  $5^c < F_n \leq F_{112}$  yields  $c \leq 33$ .

In order to still decrease the upper bound for  $c$ , we note that  $\nu_5(F_n - 2^a - 3^b) = c$ . To get an upper bound for this 5-adic valuation, we need to exclude the trivial cases when  $F_n - 2^a - 3^b = 0$  (e.g.  $(n, a, b) = (5, 1, 1)$  giving an infinite valuation), because clearly they don't give any solution. Thus, **Mathematica** returns  $\nu_5(F_n - 2^a - 3^b) \leq 6$ , for  $n \leq 112$ ,  $0 \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq 33$ . Therefore  $c \leq 6$  and then  $n \leq 17$ .

Finally, we use a program written in **Mathematica** to find the solutions of Eq. (1) in the range  $0 \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq c \leq 6$  and  $n \leq 17$ . Quickly, the program returns the following solutions

$$(n, a, b, c) \in \{(4, 0, 0, 0), (6, 1, 0, 1)\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

**4. Proof of the Theorem 1.2.** By combining Binet formula together with (2), we get

$$(8) \quad \alpha^n - 5^c = 2^a + 3^b - \beta^n > 0$$

and similarly as in the proof of previous theorem, we obtain

$$|e^{\Lambda_L} - 1| < \frac{3}{5^{0.3c}},$$

where  $\Lambda_L := n \log \alpha - c \log 5$ . The estimates  $\Lambda_L > 0$  and  $\Lambda_L < e^{\Lambda_L} - 1$  lead to

$$(9) \quad \log |\Lambda_L| < \log 3 - 0.48c.$$

To apply Lemma 1, we take

$$D = 2, \quad b_1 = c, \quad b_2 = n, \quad \alpha_1 = 5, \quad \alpha_2 = \alpha.$$

We choose  $\log A_1 = 1.61$  and  $\log A_2 = 0.25$ . So we get

$$b' = \frac{c}{0.5} + \frac{n}{3.22} < 3.1c + 0.8,$$

where we use  $n < 3.4c + 2.5$ , which is obtained from  $\alpha^{n-1} < L_n < 2 \cdot 5^c$ .

As  $\alpha$  and 5 are multiplicatively independent, by Lemma 1 we get

$$(10) \quad \log |\Lambda_L| \geq -116.57 \cdot (\max\{\log(3.1c + 0.8) + 0.38, 11\})^2.$$

Now, we combine the estimates (9) and (10) to obtain

$$(11) \quad c < 242.86 \cdot (\max\{\log(3.1c + 0.8) + 0.38, 11\})^2 + 2.3.$$

Therefore inequality (11) gives  $c \leq 34790$  and so  $n \leq 118289$ .

In this case, the reduction method is not useful for reducing the bounds. However, we use the following approach. First, note that  $c = \nu_5(L_n - 2^a - 3^b)$ . To get an upper bound for this 5-adic valuation, we also need to exclude the trivial cases when  $L_n - 2^a - 3^b = 0$  (e.g.  $(n, a, b) = (3, 0, 1)$ ), because it doesn't give any solution. Notice that contrarily to the Fibonacci case, the bounds for  $n, a$  and  $b$  are very large, more precisely  $n \leq 118289$  and  $a, b \leq 34790$ . Thus, it roughly took for **Mathematica** 102 hours on 2.5 GHz Intel Core i5 4 GB Mac OSX to return  $\nu_5(L_n - 2^a - 3^b) \leq 26$ . Therefore,  $c \leq 26$  and then  $n \leq 90$ .

To finish, we use again **Mathematica** to find the solutions of Eq. (2) in the range  $0 \leq \max\{a, b\} \leq c \leq 26$  and  $n \leq 90$ . We get the following solutions

$$(n, a, b, c) \in \{(2, 0, 0, 0), (4, 0, 0, 1), (7, 0, 1, 2)\}.$$

This completes the proof. □

**5. Final comments.** We remark that we can use our approach to prove that if  $(G_n)_n$  is a linear recurrence sequence (under some weak technical assumptions), then there are only finitely many solutions (and all of them are effectively computable) for the Diophantine equation

$$G_n = p_1^{a_1} + p_2^{a_2} + \dots + p_k^{a_k},$$

in integers  $n, a_1, \dots, a_k$ , with  $n > 0$  and  $0 \leq \max\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\} \leq a_k$ , where  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are distinct primes previously fixed. However, it is important to notice that for each choice of primes, this study brings a lot of particular techniques.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author is grateful to CNPq, DPP-UnB and FEMAT-Brazil for the financial support. The second author is supported in part by Purdue University North Central.

## References

- [ 1 ] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations. I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **163** (2006), no. 3, 969–1018.
- [ 2 ] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, F. Luca, and S. Siksek, Fibonacci numbers at most one away from a perfect power, *Elem. Math.* **63** (2008), no. 2, 65–75.
- [ 3 ] Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte and S. Siksek, Sur les nombres de Fibonacci de la forme  $q^k y^p$ , *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **339** (2004), no. 5, 327–330.
- [ 4 ] A. Dujella and A. Pethő, A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **49** (1998), no. 195, 291–306.
- [ 5 ] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2001.
- [ 6 ] M. Laurent, Linear forms in two logarithms and interpolation determinants. II, *Acta Arith.* **133** (2008), no. 4, 325–348.
- [ 7 ] F. Luca, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $k^2 + k + 2$ , in *Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. 8 (Rochester, NY, 1998)*, 241–249, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.
- [ 8 ] F. Luca and L. Szalay, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a \pm p^b + 1$ , *Fibonacci Quart.* **45** (2007), no. 2, 98–103.
- [ 9 ] F. Luca and P. Stănică, Fibonacci numbers of the form  $p^a \pm p^b$ , *Congr. Numer.* **194** (2009), 177–183.
- [ 10 ] D. Marques and A. Togbé, Terms of a linear recurrence sequence which are sum of powers of a fixed integer. (Preprint).
- [ 11 ] A. Pethő and R. F. Tichy,  $S$ -unit equations, linear recurrences and digit expansions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **42** (1993), no. 1–2, 145–154.
- [ 12 ] N. Robbins, Fibonacci numbers of the forms  $pX^2 \pm 1$ ,  $pX^3 \pm 1$ , where  $p$  is prime, in *Applications of Fibonacci numbers (San Jose, CA, 1986)*, 77–88, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.