

## On the Vanishing of Iwasawa Invariants of Certain $(p, p)$ -extensions of $\mathbf{Q}$

By Gen YAMAMOTO

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Engineering, Waseda University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., March 12, 1997)

**Abstract:** Let  $p$  be any odd prime. We show that the Iwasawa  $\lambda_p$  and  $\mu_p$ -invariants of certain  $(p, p)$ -extension fields  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  vanish, and that there are infinitely many such  $K$ .

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. Let  $k$  be a finite extension of the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,  $k_\infty$  a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $k$ , and  $k_n$  the  $n$ -th layer of  $k_\infty/k$ . Let  $A_n$  be the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of  $k_n$ . Iwasawa proved the well-known theorem about the order  $\# A_n$  of  $A_n$  that there exist integers  $\lambda = \lambda(k_\infty/k) \geq 0$ ,  $\mu = \mu(k_\infty/k) \geq 0$ ,  $\nu = \nu(k_\infty/k)$ , and  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$\# A_n = p^{\lambda n + \mu p^n + \nu}$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . These integers  $\lambda = \lambda(k_\infty/k)$ ,  $\mu = \mu(k_\infty/k)$  and  $\nu = \nu(k_\infty/k)$  are called *Iwasawa invariants* of  $k_\infty/k$  for  $p$ . If  $k_\infty$  is the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $k$ , we write  $\lambda_p(k)$ ,  $\mu_p(k)$  and  $\nu_p(k)$  for the above invariants, respectively.

In [4], Greenberg conjectured that if  $k$  is a totally real,  $\lambda_p(k) = \mu_p(k) = 0$ . About the conjecture, there are many results for real quadratic fields by Fukuda, Ichimura, Komatsu, Ozaki, Sumida, Taya, etc.. For example, it is known that if  $p = 3$  and  $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ ,  $1 < m < 10000$ , then  $\mu_3(k) = \lambda_3(k) = 0$  (cf. [5] and [8]). For  $p$ -extension fields of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , there are results by Greenberg ([4], V), Iwasawa ([6]), Fukuda, Komatsu, Ozaki, and Taya ([3]), etc. On the other hand, Ferrero and Washington have shown that  $\mu_p(k) = 0$  for any abelian extension field  $k$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

In this paper we shall show  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$  for some abelian extension number fields  $K$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with  $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbf{Q}) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^2$ , and the existence of infinitely many such  $K$ .

**2. Theorem.** Let  $p$  be a fixed odd prime. Let  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be distinct primes with  $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then there exists the unique subfield  $k(p_i)$  of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p_i})$  which is cyclic over  $\mathbf{Q}$  of degree  $p$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $\zeta_{p_i}$  is a primitive  $p_i$ -th root

of unity. We put  $K = k(p_1)k(p_2)$ . Let  $K_\infty$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$  and  $K_n$  the  $n$ -th layer and  $A_n$  the  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the ideal class group of  $K_n$ . Our main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $p, p_1, p_2$  and  $K$  be as above. Assume that  $p$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$  and  $p_2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ . If one of the following conditions (i)-(iii) is satisfied, then  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$ .*

- (i)  $p$  is a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$ .
- (ii)  $p_2$  is a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_1$ .
- (iii)  $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  be the first layer of the cyclotomic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbf{Q}$ . For the field  $K_1 = K\mathbf{Q}_1$ , it is easy to see that  $K_1/\mathbf{Q}$  is Galois and unramified outside  $p, p_1$  and  $p_2$  and  $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbf{Q}) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^3$ . Let  $G_p, G_{p_i} (i = 1, 2)$  be the decomposition groups for  $p, p_i$  in  $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbf{Q})$  and let  $D_p, D_{p_i}$  be the fixed field of  $G_p, G_{p_i}$ , respectively.

For the field  $K_1$ , we have the following result which we shall use as a lemma (The author wishes to thank Dr. Manabu Ozaki for drawing his attention to the result).

**Lemma 2** ([1] (G. Cornell and M. Rosen)). *Following statements (a) and (b) are equivalent:*

- (a) *The class number of  $K_1$  is not divisible by  $p$ .*
- (b)  $[D_p : \mathbf{Q}] = [D_{p_1} : \mathbf{Q}] = [D_{p_2} : \mathbf{Q}] = p$  and  $D_p D_{p_1} D_{p_2} = K_1$ .

On the other hand, we have also the following result.

**Lemma 3** ([2] (T. Fukuda)). *Let  $k_\infty/k$  be a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extension. Let  $e \geq 0$  be an integer such that in  $k_\infty/k_e$  all ramified primes are totally ramified. If  $\# A_n = \# A_{n+1}$  for some  $n \geq e$ , then  $\mu_p(k_\infty/k) = \lambda_p(k_\infty/k) = 0$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.** First we note that  $A_0$  is trivial because  $p_1$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$ . We check  $[D_p : \mathbf{Q}] = [D_{p_1} : \mathbf{Q}] = [D_{p_2} : \mathbf{Q}] = p$ . Since  $\text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbf{Q}) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^3$  and  $p, p_i (i = 1, 2)$  have ramification indices  $p$ , it is sufficient for this purpose to show that there exists a subfield of  $K_1 (\neq \mathbf{Q})$  in which  $p$  or  $p_1$  or  $p_2$  remains prime. But from our assumptions for  $p, p_i$ , it follows easily that  $p$  is inert in  $k(p_1)$ ,  $p_1$  is inert in  $k(p_2)$ , and  $p_2$  is inert in  $\mathbf{Q}_1$ .

We note that  $D_p \subset k(p_1)k(p_2) = K, D_{p_1} \subset k(p_2)\mathbf{Q}_1$ , and  $D_{p_2} \subset k(p_1)\mathbf{Q}_1$ . Next, we consider the composite field  $D_p D_{p_1} D_{p_2}$  in each of the cases (i)-(iii).

(i) Since  $D_p = k(p_2)$  by (i), and  $D_{p_1} \neq k(p_2)$ , it follows that  $D_p D_{p_1} = k(p_2)\mathbf{Q}_1$ . Also since  $D_{p_2} \subset k(p_1)\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $D_{p_2} \neq \mathbf{Q}_1, D_{p_2} \not\subset k(p_2)\mathbf{Q}_1 = D_p D_{p_1}$ . Hence we have  $D_p D_{p_1} D_{p_2} = K_1$ .

(ii) Since  $D_{p_2} = k(p_1)$  by (ii), and  $D_p \neq k(p_1)$ , it follows that  $D_p D_{p_2} = k(p_1)k(p_2)$ . Also since  $D_{p_1} \subset k(p_2)\mathbf{Q}_1$  and  $D_{p_1} \neq k(p_2), D_{p_1} \not\subset k(p_1)k(p_2) = D_p D_{p_2}$ . Hence we have  $D_p D_{p_1} D_{p_2} = K_1$ .

(iii) Since  $D_{p_1} = \mathbf{Q}_1$  by (iii), and  $D_{p_2} \neq \mathbf{Q}_1$ , it follows that  $D_{p_1} D_{p_2} = k(p_1)\mathbf{Q}_1$ . Also since  $D_p \subset k(p_1)k(p_2)$  and  $D_p \neq k(p_1), D_p \not\subset k(p_1)\mathbf{Q}_1 = D_{p_1} D_{p_2}$ . Hence we have  $D_p D_{p_1} D_{p_2} = K_1$ .

Hence if one of conditions (i)-(iii) is satisfied, then the class number of  $K_1$  is not divisible by  $p$  by Lemma 2. This means that  $A_1$  is trivial. Since  $p$  does not ramify in  $K/\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions are unramified outside  $p$  (cf. [9, p. 264]), all ramified primes in  $K_\infty/K$  are totally ramified. Hence we can apply Lemma 3 and conclude that  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$ .  $\square$

**3. Remarks.** We note that our theorem 1 (ii) has the following relations with the known result. In [4], Greenberg proved  $\lambda_p(k) = \mu_p(k) = 0$  for the fields  $k \subseteq K$ , where  $K$  satisfies the conditions of our theorem 1 (ii) and  $k/\mathbf{Q}$  is cyclic and  $p$  remains prime in  $k$ . This follows from our theorem because if  $k \subseteq K$  then  $\lambda_p(k) \leq \lambda_p(K)$  and  $\mu_p(k) \leq \mu_p(K)$ .

In [6], Iwasawa proved that if  $K$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1 (ii) and  $p_1 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$ , then  $\lambda_p(K) = \mu_p(K) = 0$ , which is contained in our theorem. Iwasawa proved also that there exist infinitely many pairs of primes  $(p_1, p_2)$  satisfying these conditions. We shall show that we can prove by the method as in [6], the existence of infinitely many pairs of primes  $(p_1,$

$p_2)$  satisfying the conditions of our theorem 1 (i), (ii). We have namely,

**Theorem 4.** For any given odd prime  $p$ , there exist infinitely many pairs of prime numbers  $(p_1, p_2)$  which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 (i), (ii), and (iii), respectively.

*Proof.* Since the case (ii) is proved in [6], we prove (i) and (iii). Let  $P$  and  $P'$  denote the cyclotomic fields  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$  and  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$ , respectively. Then  $P'$  and  $P(\sqrt[p]{p})$  are independent cyclic extensions of degree  $p$  over  $P$ .

(i) We can choose a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  of  $P$  with absolute degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  is undecomposed in  $P(\sqrt[p]{p})$ . By Tchebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many such prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ . Let  $p_1 = N_{P/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ , where  $N_{P/\mathbf{Q}}$  is the norm map from  $P$  to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Then  $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and, by Kummer theory,  $p$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_1$ . Now  $P', P(\sqrt[p]{p})$  and  $P(\sqrt[p]{p_1})$  are independent cyclic extensions of degree  $p$  over  $P$ . Hence there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  of  $P$  with absolute degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  is undecomposed in both  $P'$  and  $P(\sqrt[p]{p_1})$ , but is decomposed in  $P(\sqrt[p]{p})$ . By Tchebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many such prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}_2$ . Let  $p_2 = N_{P/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}_2)$ . Then  $p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, p_2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}, p_1$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$  and  $p$  is a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$ . Hence  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 (i) and there exist infinitely many pairs  $(p_1, p_2)$ .

(iii) We can choose a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  of  $P$  with absolute degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  is undecomposed in  $P(\sqrt[p]{p})$ , but is decomposed in  $P'$ . Let  $p_1 = N_{P/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$ . Then  $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  and  $p$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_1$ . Now  $P'$  and  $P(\sqrt[p]{p_1})$  are independent cyclic extensions of degree  $p$  over  $P$ . Hence there is a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  of  $P$  with absolute degree 1 such that  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  is undecomposed in both  $P'$  and  $P(\sqrt[p]{p_1})$ . Then  $p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, p_2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$  and  $p_1$  is not a  $p$ -th power residue modulo  $p_2$ . Hence  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 (iii) and there exist infinitely many pairs  $(p_1, p_2)$  by Tchebotarev density theorem.  $\square$

**References**

[1] G. Cornell and M. Rosen: The class group of an absolutely abelian  $l$ -extension. Illinois J. Math., **32**, 453-461 (1988).  
 [2] T. Fukuda: Remarks on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -extensions of Number

- fields. Proc. Japan Acad., **70A**, 264–266 (1994).
- [3] T. Fukuda, K. Komatsu, M. Ozaki, and H. Taya: On Iwasawa  $\lambda_p$ -invariants of real cyclic extension of degree  $p$ . Tokyo J. Math. (to appear).
- [4] R. Greenberg: On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields. Amer. J. Math., **98**, 263–284 (1976).
- [5] H. Ichimura and H. Sumida: On the Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariants of certain real abelian fields. (preprint).
- [6] K. Iwasawa: A note on capitulation problem for number fields. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **65A**, 183–186 (1989).
- [7] K. Iwasawa: Riemann-Hurwitz formula and  $p$ -adic Galois representations for number fields. Tôhoku Math. J., **33**, 263–288 (1981).
- [8] J. Kraft and R. Schoof: Computing Iwasawa modules of real quadratic number fields. Compositio Math., **97**, 135–155 (1995).
- [9] L. C. Washington: Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1982).

