

29. A Characterization of Certain Real Quadratic Fields

By Ryuji SASAKI

Department of Mathematics, College of Science
and Technology, Nihon University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., March 12, 1986)

§ 1. Introduction. Let d be a positive square-free integer. We denote by $\omega(d)$ the algebraic integer \sqrt{d} (resp. $(1/2)(1+\sqrt{d})$) in the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ if $d \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$), and by $\Delta(d)$ and $h(d)$ the discriminant and the class number of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, respectively. The positive real quadratic irrational $\omega(d)$ can be expanded into the periodic infinite continued fraction :

$$\begin{aligned}\omega(d) &= [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_k] = [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k, a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots] \\ &= a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1} + \frac{1}{a_2} + \frac{1}{a_3} + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

where a_0, a_1, \dots are positive integers. We call k the *period* of $\omega(d)$ or of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and denote it by $k(d)$.

The purpose of this note is to give a characterization of real quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $h(d) = k(d) = 1$, in analogy to Rabinovitch's theorem ([5], [6]) characterizing imaginary quadratic fields whose class number is 1.

§ 2. Preliminaries. We recall some facts about integral indefinite binary quadratic forms (cf. [2], Ch. VI). Let $Q(\Delta(d))$ denote the set of integral quadratic forms $aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ with the discriminant $\Delta(d) = b^2 - 4ac$. Two forms $aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ and $a'X^2 + b'XY + c'Y^2$ in $Q(\Delta(d))$ are said to be (*properly*) *equivalent* if $a'(X')^2 + b'X'Y' + c'(Y')^2 = aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$, $(X', Y') = (X, Y)M$, for some $M \in SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$. We denote by $Q_+(\Delta(d))$ the quotient of $Q(\Delta(d))$ by this equivalence relation. There is a natural bijection between $Q_+(\Delta(d))$ and the ideal class group of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ in the narrow sense. We shall denote its order by $h_+(d)$.

A quadratic form $aX^2 + bXY + cY^2$ in $Q(\Delta(d))$ is said to be *reduced* if $0 < \sqrt{\Delta(d)} - b < 2|a| < \sqrt{\Delta(d)} + b$. Using the continued fraction $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_{k(d)}]$, we define reduced forms, in $Q(\Delta(d))$, $\Phi_i = (-1)^i A_i X^2 + B_i XY + (-1)^{i+1} A_{i+1} Y^2$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, where A_i and B_i are inductively defined by $A_0 = 1$, $B_0 = \text{Tr}(a_0 - \omega(d))$, $A_1 = -\text{Nm}(a_0 - \omega(d))$, $B_{i+1} + B_i = 2a_{i+1}A_{i+1}$ and $(B_i + \sqrt{\Delta(d)}) / (2A_{i+1}) = [a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, a_{i+3}, \dots]$. By the periodicity of $\omega(d)$, we get $\Phi_{k(d)} = \Phi_0$ or $\Phi_{2k(d)} = \Phi_0$ according as $k(d)$ is even or odd. Moreover any reduced form which is equivalent to Φ_0 coincides with Φ_i for some i .

§ 3. Finiteness of the number of real quadratic fields with given class number and period. Let $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_{k(d)}]$ be as above; then we have the following :

Lemma 1. (1) $a_i = a_{k(d)-i}$ for $0 < i < k(d)$ and $a_{k(d)} = \text{Tr}(a_0 - \omega(d))$.

(2) $a_i \leq a_0$ for $0 < i < k(d)$.

Proof. (1) is well-known (cf. [1]). Since a similar proof works in case $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we shall prove (2) only in case $d \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Since Φ_i is reduced, we have $0 < \sqrt{d} - B_i < 2A_i$. Similarly we get $0 < \sqrt{d} - B_0 < 2A_0 = 2$. Since $B_0 = 2a_0$, it follows that all B_i are even. Assume $A_i = 1$ ($i > 0$). Then we have $B_i = B_0$; hence $A_{i+1} = A_1$. Thus we get $(B_i + \sqrt{d}) / (2A_{i+1}) = (B_0 + \sqrt{d}) / (2A_1)$; this means $i \equiv 0 \pmod{k(d)}$. Since $(B_{i-1} + \sqrt{d}) / (2A_i) = [a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots]$, we get $a_i < (B_{i-1} + \sqrt{d}) / (2A_i)$; hence $2A_i a_i < B_{i-1} + 2\omega(d)$. Since B_{i-1} is even, we have $B_i + B_{i-1} = 2a_i A_i \leq 2a_0 + B_{i-1}$. Thus we have $B_i \leq 2a_0$. Similarly we have $A_{i+1} a_{i+1} \leq a_0 + B_i / 2$; hence $A_{i+1} a_{i+1} \leq 2a_0$. If $0 \leq i < k(d) - 1$, then $A_{i+1} \geq 2$; hence $a_{i+1} \leq a_0$. Q.E.D.

Let $\eta(d)$ be the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, which is given by $\eta(d) = p_{k(d)-1} + \omega' q_{k(d)-1}$, where $\omega' = \omega(d)$ (resp. $\omega(d) - 1$) if $d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ (resp. $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$). Then $p_{k(d)-1} / q_{k(d)-1}$ is the $(k(d) - 1)$ -th convergent to $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_{k(d)}]$ (cf. [2], [3]). Moreover we have $\text{Nm}(\eta(d)) = (-1)^{k(d)}$; hence we have $h(d) = h_+(d)$ if $k(d)$ is odd.

Lemma 2. $(3/2)^{k(d)-2} \sqrt{d} < \eta(d) < \sqrt{d}^{k(d)}$.

Proof. Assume $d \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Let p_n / q_n be the n -th convergent to the infinite continued fraction $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_{k(d)}]$, i.e., p_n and q_n are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_0 &= a_0, & p_1 &= a_1 a_0 + 1, & p_n &= a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2) \\ q_0 &= 1, & q_1 &= a_1, & q_n &= a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2} \quad (n \geq 2). \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove $p_n + \sqrt{d} q_n < (2\sqrt{d})^{n+1}$. By the above equations and Lem. 1 (2), we have $p_0 + \sqrt{d} q_0 = a_0 + \sqrt{d} < 2\sqrt{d}$ and $p_1 + \sqrt{d} q_1 = a_0 a_1 + 1 + \sqrt{d} a_1 \leq (a_0)^2 + 1 + \sqrt{d} a_0 < (2\sqrt{d})^2$. Inductively we get $p_n + \sqrt{d} q_n = a_n(p_{n-1} + \sqrt{d} q_{n-1}) + p_{n-2} + \sqrt{d} q_{n-2} < a_0(2\sqrt{d})^n + (2\sqrt{d})^{n-1} < (2\sqrt{d})^{n+1}$. Next we shall show the first inequality. Let u_n denote the Fibonacci sequence which is defined by $u_1 = 1, u_2 = 1$ and $u_n = u_{n-1} + u_{n-2}$ for $n \geq 3$. Then we have $p_n + \sqrt{d} q_n > u_{n+2} \sqrt{d}$. For $p_0 + \sqrt{d} q_0 = a_0 + \sqrt{d} > \sqrt{d} = u_2 \sqrt{d}$ and $p_1 + \sqrt{d} q_1 = a_1 a_0 + 1 + \sqrt{d} \geq a_0 + 1 + \sqrt{d} > 2\sqrt{d} = u_3 \sqrt{d}$. Inductively we have $p_n + \sqrt{d} q_n = a_n(p_{n-1} + \sqrt{d} q_{n-1}) + (p_{n-2} + \sqrt{d} q_{n-2}) > (u_{n+1} + u_n) \sqrt{d} = u_{n+2} \sqrt{d}$. Since $u_{n+2} / u_{n+1} \geq 3/2$ ($n \geq 0$), it follows that $p_n + \sqrt{d} q_n > u_{n+2} \sqrt{d} = (u_{n+2} / u_{n+1})(u_{n+1} / u_n) \cdots (u_4 / u_3) 2\sqrt{d} = 2(3/2)^{n-1} \sqrt{d} = (3/2)^{n-1} \sqrt{d}$. A similar proof works in case $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 1. For given positive integers h and k , there exist a finite number of real quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $k = k(d)$ and $h = h(d)$.

Proof. Suppose there exists an infinite sequence $\{d_n\}$ of square-free positive integers such that $d_1 < d_2 < \dots$ and $k(d_i) = k$. By Siegel's theorem (cf. [3] Ch. 12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(E)} \quad & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(h(d_i) \log \eta(d_i))}{\log \sqrt{d_i}} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(h(d_i)k)}{\log \sqrt{d_i}} + \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log((1/k) \log \eta(d_i))}{\log \sqrt{d_i}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Lem. 2, we have $0 < \log \eta(d_i) < k \log \sqrt{d(d_i)}$. It follows that the second term in the middle of (E) is 0; hence the first term is 1, which guarantees our assertion. Q.E.D.

§ 3. Main Theorems. We shall begin with the following :

Lemma 3. *Let α be a positive real number and a_0, a_1, a_2 positive integers; then we have*

- (1) $\alpha = [a_0, \dot{a}_1] \iff \alpha = (1/2)(2a_0 - a_1 + \sqrt{a_1^2 + 4})$
- (2) $\alpha = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dot{a}_2] \iff \alpha = (1/2)(2a_0 - a_2) + (1/(2a_1))\sqrt{a_1 a_2 (a_1 a_2 + 4)}$.

Proof. Straightforward. Q.E.D.

For a square-free positive integer d , let $P(X)$ denote the polynomial $X^2 + \text{Tr}(\omega(d))X + \text{Nm}(\omega(d))$. We denote by $[\alpha]$ the greatest integer not exceeding a real number α .

Lemma 4. *Assume $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. If*

$$P([(1/2)\sqrt{d}]) = -1 \text{ (resp. } P([(1/2)\sqrt{d}]) = 1),$$

then $k(d) = 1$ (resp. $k(d) = 2$ or $d = 5$).

Proof. Set $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{a}_1, \dots, \dot{a}_{k(d)}]$, then $a_0 < \omega(d) = (1/2)(1 + \sqrt{d}) < a_0 + 1$; hence $[(1/2)\sqrt{d}] = a_0$ or $a_0 - 1$. If $[(1/2)\sqrt{d}] = a_0$ and $P(a_0) = a_0^2 + a_0 + (1/4)(1 - d) = -1$, then $\omega(d) = (1/2)\{2(a_0 + 1) - (2a_0 + 1) + \sqrt{(2a_0 + 1)^2 + 4}\} = [a_0 + 1, 2\dot{a}_0 - 1]$ by Lem. 3; this means $k(d) = 1$. If $[(1/2)\sqrt{d}] = a_0$ and $P(a_0) = 1$, then $d = (2a_0 + 1)^2 - 4 = (2a_0 - 1)(2a_0 - 1 + 4)$ and $\omega(d) = (1/2)\{2a_0 - (2a_0 - 1) + \sqrt{(2a_0 - 1)(2a_0 - 1 + 4)}\}$; hence $\omega(d) = [a_0, \dot{1}, 2\dot{a}_0 - 1]$. If $a_0 = 1$, $\omega(d) = [1, \dot{1}]$; this means $d = 5$. We shall omit a similar proof which works in case $[(1/2)\sqrt{d}] = a_0 - 1$. Q.E.D.

Theorem 2. *Assume $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; then $h(d) = k(d) = 1$ if and only if $d = 2$.*

Proof. If $d = 2$, then $h(2) = 1$ and $\omega(2) = \sqrt{2} = [1, \dot{2}]$; hence $k(2) = 1$. Conversely assume $h(d) = k(d) = 1$. Then we have $\sqrt{d} = [a_0, \dot{a}_1]$ for some positive integers a_0, a_1 ; hence, by Lem. 3, $\sqrt{d} = (1/2)(2a_0 - a_1 + \sqrt{a_1^2 + 4})$. It follows that $2a_0 = a_1$ and $d = a_0^2 + 1$. Since $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, a_0 is odd. Suppose $a_0 \geq 3$. Since $0 < \sqrt{d(d)} - 2(a_0 - 1) < 4 < \sqrt{d(d)} + 2(a_0 - 1)$, the quadratic form $2X^2 + 2(a_0 - 1)XY - a_0Y^2$ is a reduced one with the discriminant $d(d) = 4d$. Since $h(d) = k(d) = 1$, by the fact stated in the last part in § 2, any reduced form must be $\Phi_0 = X^2 + 2a_0XY - Y^2$ or $\Phi_1 = -X^2 + 2a_0XY + Y^2$; this is a contradiction. Thus we have $a_0 = 1$ and $d = 2$. Q.E.D.

Remark. If $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $k(d)$ is even.

Theorem 3. *Assume $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$; then the following (1)–(4) are equivalent :*

- (1) $h(d) = k(d) = 1$.
- (2) $d = p^2 + 4$ is a prime, where p is an odd prime or 1. Let $n = \text{Nm}(x + \omega(d)y)$, $x, y \in \mathbf{Z}$, such that $(x, y) = (p, n) = 1$ and $|n| < (2p - 3)^2$; then $|n|$ is a prime or 1.
- (3) $d = p^2 + 4$ is a prime, where p is an odd prime or 1. If $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfies $0 \leq x < 2p - 3$ and $x \neq (1/2)(3p + 1), (3/2)(p - 1)$, then $|P(x)|$ is a prime or 1.

(4) $d=5$, or $|P(0)|, \dots, |P(\lfloor(1/2)\sqrt{d}\rfloor-1)|$ are primes and $P(\lfloor(1/2)\sqrt{d}\rfloor) = -1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Since $k(d)=1$, $\omega(d)=(1/2)(1+\sqrt{d})=[a_0, a_1]=(1/2)(2a_0 - a_1 + \sqrt{a_1^2+4})$ for some positive integers a_0, a_1 ; hence $\sqrt{d}=2a_0 - a_1 - 1 + \sqrt{a_1^2+4}$ and $d=(2a_0-1)^2+4$. Let $p=2a_0-1$; then p is a prime or 1. For, suppose p is neither a prime nor 1, we have $p=p_1p_2$ with $3 \leq p_1 \leq p_2$. Since p_1 is odd, we can set $p_1=2b-1$ for some $2 \leq b \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $4\text{Nm}(b+\omega(d)) = (2b+1)^2 - d = (2b-1)(2b+3) - p^2$; hence p_1 divides $\text{Nm}(b+\omega(d))$. Since $\sqrt{d} - \text{Tr}(b+\omega(d)) = \sqrt{d} - (2b+1) > 0$, we have a non-negative integer n such that $0 < \sqrt{d} - \text{Tr}(b+np_1+\omega(d)) < 2p_1$. Then the quadratic form $Q = p_1X^2 + \text{Tr}(b+np_1+\omega(d))XY + (1/p_1)\text{Nm}(b+np_1+\omega(d))Y^2$ is an integral reduced form with the discriminant $\Delta(d)=d$. Since $h(d)=k(d)=1$, Q must be equal to $\Phi_0 = X^2 + \text{Tr}(a_0 - \omega(d))XY - Y^2$ or $\Phi_1 = -X^2 + \text{Tr}(a_0 - \omega(d))XY + Y^2$; this is impossible. Next we shall show that p^2+4 is a prime number. Suppose $p^2+4=q_1q_2$ such that $q_1=2b+1$ is a prime number and $3 \leq q_1 < q_2$. By the same argument as above, using q_1 and b , we get the conclusion. The last part of (2) is proved by F. G. Frobenius ([4] § 5).

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Since $P(x) = (1/4)\{(2x+1)^2 - (p^2+4)\} = (1/4)\{(2x-1)(2x+3) - p^2\} = \text{Nm}(x+\omega(d))$, (2) implies (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (4): If $p=1$, then $d=5$. If $p \geq 3$, then $\lfloor(1/2)\sqrt{d}\rfloor = \lfloor(1/2)\sqrt{p^2+4}\rfloor = (1/2)(p-1)$ and $P(\lfloor(1/2)\sqrt{d}\rfloor) = -1$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Since $h(5)=k(5)=1$, we assume $d \neq 5$. By Lem. 4, we have $k(d)=1$. Suppose $h(d) \geq 2$, and there exists a non-principal integral prime ideal α such that $1 < \text{Nm } \alpha < (1/2)\sqrt{\Delta(d)}$. Since α is not a principal ideal, $\text{Nm } \alpha = q$ is a prime. There exists an integer b such that $\alpha = [q, b + \omega(d)] = \mathbf{Z}q \oplus \mathbf{Z}(b + \omega(d))$ and $0 \leq b < q < (1/2)\sqrt{\Delta(d)} = (1/2)\sqrt{d}$. Then q divides $\text{Nm}(b + \omega(d)) = P(b)$; this contradicts to the assumption (4). Q.E.D.

Remark. There are six fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $h(d)=k(d)=1$;

$d=5$	13	29	53	173	293
$p=1$	3	5	7	13	17.

I do not know whether there are other such fields (cf. [4]).

By the same method we obtain similar results for real quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ with $h(d)k(d) \leq 2$.

References

- [1] H. Davenport: The Higher Arithmetic. London, Hutchinson (1952).
- [2] L. E. Dickson: Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Dover Publ. Inc., New York (1957).
- [3] L. K. Hua: Introduction to Number Theory. Springer-Verlag (1952).
- [4] F. G. Frobenius: Über quadratische Formen, die viele Primzahlen darstellen: Sitzungsberichte, 966-980 (1912); Gesam. Abhand., Springer-Verlag, Bd. III, 573-587 (1968).
- [5] G. Rabinovitchi: Eindeutigkeit der Zerlegung in Primzahlfactoren in quadratischen Zahlkörpern. J. reine angew. Math., **142**, 153-164 (1913).
- [6] R. Sasaki: On a lower bound for the class number of an imaginary quadratic field. Proc. Japan Acad., **62A**, 37-39 (1986).