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#### Abstract

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a $p$-connected (linear undirected) graph with prescribed degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ are known for $p=1,2$. In this paper we solve this problem for $p=3$.


Let $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ be positive integers and let $d_{1} \leqq d_{2} \leqq \cdots \leqq d_{n}$.
Lemma. If a triconnected graph $G$ exists with degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots$, $d_{n}$, then
(1) $d_{i} \geqq 3$.
(2) $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ is graphical, i.e., there exists a graph with these degrees.
(3) $d_{n}+d_{n-1} \leqq m-n+4$ where $2 m=\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}$.
(4) If $d_{n}+d_{n-1}=m-n+4$, then $m \geqq 2 n-2$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are evident. To prove (3), let $x_{n}, x_{n-1}$ be the vertices of $G$ with degrees $d_{n}$ and $d_{n-1}$ respectively. Then the number of edges in $G-\left\{x_{n}, x_{n-1}\right\}$ is $m-\left(d_{n}+d_{n-1}-1\right)$ or $m-\left(d_{n}+d_{n-1}\right)$ according as $x_{n}, x_{n-1}$ are adjacent or not adjacent in $G$. Also $G-\left\{x_{n}\right.$, $\left.x_{n-1}\right\}$ is connected, so (3) follows. If now $d_{n}+d_{n-1}=m-n+4$, then

$$
2 m \geqq d_{n}+d_{n-1}+3(n-2)=m+2 n-2 .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Theorem. Conditions (1) to (4) of the lemma are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a triconnected graph with degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$.

Proof. Necessity was proved in the lemma.
To prove sufficiency, first let conditions (1), (3) be satisfied and let $d_{n}+d_{n-1}=m-n+4=n+\lambda$ where $2 \leqq \lambda \leqq n-2$. Let $k$ be the number of $d_{i}$ such that $1 \leqq i \leqq n-2$ and $d_{i}=3$. Then define

$$
e_{i}=d_{i}-2 \text { for } i=k+1, \cdots, n-2 .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} d_{i}=2 m-d_{n}-d_{n-1}=3 n+\lambda-8 \\
& \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-2} e_{i}=3 n+\lambda-8-3 k-2(n-2-k)=n+\lambda-k-4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define now $\eta=n-2-\lambda$ and $\varepsilon=k-\eta$. Then $\eta \geqq 0$, and $\varepsilon \geqq 2$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 m & \geqq m-n+4+3 k+4(n-2-k) \\
& =m+3 n-k-4
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\lambda=m-2 n+4 \geqq n-k
$$

Write now

$$
e_{i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots, \varepsilon \\ 2 & \text { for } i=\varepsilon+1, \cdots, k \\ d_{i}-2 & \text { for } i=k+1, \cdots, n-2\end{cases}
$$

Then $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} e_{i}=2(n-3)$ and so there exists a tree $T$ with degrees $e_{1}, \cdots e_{n-2}$, attained by the vertices $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-2}$, say, in that order [2]. Take two more vertices $x_{n-1}$ and $x_{n}$ and join them. Also join each of $x_{n-1}, x_{n}$ to $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, \varepsilon, k+1, \cdots, n-2$. Of the $\eta$ vertices $x_{\varepsilon+1}, \cdots, x_{k}$, join $d_{n-1}-1-\varepsilon-n+2+k$ to $x_{n-1}$ and the rest ( $d_{n}-1-\varepsilon-n+2+k$ in number) to $x_{n}$. Note that

$$
d_{n-1}-1-\varepsilon-n+2+k=d_{n-1}-\lambda-1 \geqq 0
$$

The graph we thus obtain has degrees $d_{1}, \cdots, d_{n}$ and is triconnected since any vertex of $T$ with degree in $T$ less than 3 is joined to either $x_{n-1}$ or $x_{n}$.

Next let conditions (1), (2) be satisfied and let

$$
d_{n}+d_{n-1} \leqq m-n+3
$$

Then $d_{n}<m-n+2$, so there exists a biconnected graph $G$ with degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ [2]. If $G$ is not triconnected, let $x_{i}, x_{j}$ be two vertices such that $G-\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ is disconnected. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots$ be the components of $G-\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$. By (1), $\left|C_{g}\right| \geqq 2$ for $g=1,2, \cdots$. Also by hypothesis,

$$
m-d_{i}-d_{j} \geqq n-3
$$

so it follows that one of the components, say $C_{1}$, contains a cycle.
We first prove that there exists an edge $(x, y)$ in $C_{1}$ and two chains $\mu_{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime}$ of $G$ connecting $x$ and $y$ such that $(x, y), \mu_{1}, \mu_{1}^{\prime}$ are disjoint except for $x$ and $y$, and $\mu_{1}$ is contained in $C_{1}$. Since $G$ is biconnected, there exists a chain connecting $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$ with all intermediate vertices in $C_{2}$.

If now two vertices $x, y$ with degree two in $C_{1}$ are adjacent and belong to a cycle of $C_{1}$, the required edge is $(x, y)$. So we may take
that no two vertices of degree two in $C_{1}$ can belong to a block (on more than two vertices) and be adjacent. Let $B$ be any block of $C_{1}$ which is not an edge. If some cycle of $B$ has a chord $(x, y)$, then $(x, y)$ is the required edge. Otherwise, by the results of [1], two vertices $y, z$ of degree two in $B$ will be adjacent to a vertex $x$ of degree three in $B$. If $w$ is another vertex of $B$ adjacent to $x$, then there is a chain connecting $w$ to $y$ in $B-\{x\}$. This chain together with ( $x, w$ ) may be taken as $\mu_{1}$. To get $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$, go from $x$ to $z$ along $(x, z)$, from $z$ to $x_{i}$ or $x_{j}$ (through another block of $C_{1}$ at $z$ if necessary), then to $y$. Thus ( $x, y$ ) is the required edge.

Let now $(x, y)$ be an edge of $C_{1}$ chosen as explained above. If $C_{2}$ is a tree, take any edge $(u, v)$ of $C_{2}$. Then $(u, v)$ is a chord of a cycle of $G$. If $C_{2}$ is not a tree, choose an edge $(u, v)$ of $C_{2}$ such that there are chains $\mu_{2}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}$ of $G$ connecting $u$ and $v,(u, v), \mu_{2}, \mu_{2}^{\prime}$ are disjoint except for $u, v$, and $\mu_{2}$ is contained in $C_{2}$.

We define $f_{G}(s, t)$ to be the number of components of $G-\{s, t\}$. Now we will make a modification on $G$ so that the degrees of the vertices are unaltered, $f\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ decreases and $f(s, t)$ does not increase for any two vertices $s$ and $t$.

First we associate with $x$, a subset $A(x)$ of $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ by the following rule. $x_{i} \in A(x)$ if and only if there is a chain $\nu$ connecting $x$ to $x_{i}$ with all intermediate vertices in $C_{1}$ such that $\nu$ is disjoint with $(x, y)$ and $\mu_{1}$ except for $x$. Similarly $A(y)$ is defined. If $C_{2}$ is a tree, put $A(u)=A(v)=\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$. Otherwise $A(u), A(v)$ are defined in a manner similar to that of $A(x)$ and $A(y)$. Now $A(x), A(y)$ are made nonempty by a proper choice of $\mu_{1}$, and $A(u), A(v)$ are made nonempty by a proper choice of $\mu_{2}$ (in case $C_{2}$ is not a tree).

Now suppress the edges $(x, y),(u, v)$ and join $x$ to one of $u, v$ and $y$ to the other as follows. Join $x$ to $u$ if $A(x) \neq A(u)$ and $A(y) \neq A(v)$ whenever such a choice is possible. Let the new graph thus obtained be $H$. To be specific we take that $x$ is joined to $u$ in $H$.

First we show that $H$ is biconnected. Obviously $G_{1}=G-(x, y)$ is biconnected. Now we show that $(u, v)$ is a chord of a cycle of $G_{1}$. If $C_{2}$ is a tree, then the cycle is

$$
(u, x)+\mu_{1}[x, y]+(y, v)+\left[v, \cdots, p_{1}\right]+\left(p_{1}, x_{i}\right)+\left(x_{i}, p_{2}\right)+\left[p_{2}, \cdots, u\right]
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are suitable pendant vertices of $C_{2}$. Otherwise the cycle is

$$
\mu_{2}[u, v]+\mu_{2}^{\prime}[v, u]
$$

where if $\mu_{2}^{\prime}$ contains the edge $(x, y)$, then $(x, y)$ is replaced by $\mu_{1}[x, y]$ and the resulting cycle is made elementary.

Trivially now $f_{G}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=f_{H}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)+1$. Next we will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{G}(s, t) \geqq f_{H}(s, t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any two vertices $s$ and $t$. For this it is enough to show that $x, y$ are connected and $u, v$ are connected in $H-\{s, t\}$.

First let $s=x_{i}$. Now $x, y, u, v$ belong to a cycle in $H-\left\{x_{i}\right\}$, so (5) follows. So we may take $\{s, t\} \cap\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}=\varnothing$.

Now let $s=x$. Then to prove (5) it is enough to show that $u, v$ are connected in $H-\{x, t\}$ when $t \neq u$ and $t \neq v$. This is evident if $C_{2}$ is a tree or $t \notin \mu_{2}$. So let $t \in \mu_{2}$ and $C_{2}$ be not a tree. If $A(u) \cap$ $A(v) \neq \varnothing$, there is a chain connecting $u, v$ in $H-\{x, t\}$. So we take without loss of generality $A(u)=x_{j}$ and $A(v)=x_{i}$. If now $x_{j} \in A(y)$, then $u, v$ are connected through $x_{j}$ and $y$ in $H-\{x, t\}$. So we take $A(y)=x_{i}$. If $x_{j} \in A(x)$, then $y$ would not have been joined to $v$, so $A(x)=x_{i}$. Now in $G, x_{j}$ is connected to some vertex $z$ of $\mu_{1}$ by a chain with all intermediate vertices belonging to $C_{1}$ but not to $\mu_{1}$. Now we obtain a chain connecting $u, v$ in $H-\{x, t\}$ by going from $u$ to $x_{j}, x_{j}$ to $z, z$ to $y$ along $\mu_{1}, y$ to $x_{i}$, and $x_{i}$ to $v$. Thus we may take $\{s, t\} \cap\left\{x_{i}, x_{i}, x, y\right\}=\varnothing$.

Next let $s=u$. If $t \notin \mu_{1}$, then (5) is trivial, so let $t \in \mu_{1}$. Suppose first that $C_{2}$ is a tree. Then we obtain a chain connecting $x, y$ in $H-\{u, t\}$ by going from $x$ to $x_{i}$ or $x_{j}$, then to $v$ through a suitable pendant vertex of $C_{2}$ and then to $y$. If $C_{2}$ is not a tree, the situation is similar to that of the preceding paragraph. Thus we take $\{s, t\} \cap\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}, x, y, u, v\right\}=\varnothing$.

If none of $s, t$ belongs to $\mu_{1}$, then (5) is trivial. So let $s \in \mu_{1}$.
Suppose now that $C_{2}$ is a tree. Then for any fixed vertex $t$, there are chains in $H-\{s, t\}$ from one of $u$, $v$ to both $x_{i}$ and $x_{j}$, and a chain from the other (of the vertices $u, v$ ) to $x_{i}$ or $x_{j}$. Hence $u, v$ are connected and (5) follows.

Suppose next that $C_{2}$ is not a tree. Obviously we may take $s \in \mu_{1}$ and $t \in \mu_{2}$. If now $A(x) \cap A(y) \neq \varnothing$ or $A(u) \cap A(v) \neq \varnothing$, then again (5) follows. So we may take $A(x)=x_{i}, A(y)=x_{j}, A(u)=x_{j}$ $A(v)=x_{i}$. Now we obtain a chain connecting $x, y$ in $H-\{s, t\}$ by going from $x$ to $u, u$ to $x_{j}, x_{j}$ to $y$. This proves (5) completely.

Now by a repeated application of the above procedure we reduce the graph until finally $f(s, t)=1$ for any two vertices. The final graph has degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ and is triconnected and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Perhaps necessary and sufficient conditions, similar to the conditions (1) to (4) above, for the existence of a $p$-connected graph with prescribed degrees $d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{n}$ can be obtained for all $p \geqq 3$, but the authors have not yet succeeded in this.
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