

## ON LAMBEK TORSION THEORIES, III

MITSUO HOSHINO

(Received September, 1993)

In this note, developing our previous work [8] with S. Takashima, we will characterize rings  $R$  for which every finitely generated submodule of the injective envelope  $E({}_R R)$  is torsionless. Those characterizations would yield recent results of Gómez Pardo and Guil Asensio [6, Theorems 1.5 and 2.2]. Also, we will provide a necessary and sufficient condition for an extension ring  $Q$  of a ring  $R$  to be a quasi-Frobenius maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$ .

Throughout this note,  $R$  stands for an associative ring with identity, modules are unitary modules, and torsion theories are Lambek torsion theories. Sometimes, we consider right  $R$ -modules as left  $R^{\text{op}}$ -modules, where  $R^{\text{op}}$  denotes the opposite ring of  $R$ , and we use the notation  ${}_R X$  (resp.  $X_R$ ) to stress that the module  $X$  considered is a left (resp. right)  $R$ -module. We denote by  $\text{Mod } R$  the category of left  $R$ -modules and by  $( )^*$  both the  $R$ -dual functors. For a module  $X$ , we denote by  $E(X)$  its injective envelope and by  $\varepsilon_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$  the usual evaluation map. A module  $X$  is called torsionless (resp. reflexive) if  $\varepsilon_X$  is a monomorphism (resp. an isomorphism). For an  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ , we denote by  $\tau(X)$  its Lambek torsion submodule. Namely,  $\tau(X)$  is a submodule of  $X$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(\tau(X), E({}_R R)) = 0$  and  $X/\tau(X)$  is cogenerated by  $E({}_R R)$ . A module  $X$  is called torsion (resp. torsionfree) if  $\tau(X) = X$  (resp.  $\tau(X) = 0$ ). A submodule  $Y$  of a module  $X$  is called a dense (resp. closed) submodule if  $X/Y$  is torsion (resp. torsionfree).

Here we recall some definitions. Let  $Y$  be a submodule of a module  $X$ . Then  $X$  is called a rational extension of  $Y$  if  $\text{Hom}_R(X/Y, E(X)) = 0$ . Let  $Q$  be an extension ring of  $R$ , i.e.,  $Q$  is a ring containing  $R$  as a subring with common identity. Then  $Q$  is called a left (resp. right) quotient ring of  $R$  if  ${}_R Q$  (resp.  $Q_R$ ) is a rational extension of  ${}_R R$  (resp.  $R_R$ ). A left quotient ring  $Q$  of  $R$  is called a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$  if  $E({}_R Q)/Q$  is torsionfree. As an extension ring of  $R$ , a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$  is isomorphic to the biendomorphism ring of  $E({}_R R)$  (see, e.g., Lambek [10] for details). An extension ring  $Q$  of  $R$  is called a maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$  if it is both a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$  and a maximal right quotient ring of  $R$ . A ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow Q$  is called a left (resp. right) flat epimorphism if the induced functor  ${}_R Q \otimes_R -$  (resp.  $- \otimes_R Q_R$ ) is a localization functor of  $\text{Mod } R$  (resp.  $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ ),

i.e.,  $Q_R$  (resp.  ${}_R Q$ ) is flat and  $Q \otimes_R Q \simeq Q$  canonically (see, e.g., Silver [17], Lazard [11] and Popescu and Spircu [15] for details). A module  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -finitely generated if it contains a finitely generated dense submodule. A finitely generated module  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -finitely presented (resp.  $\tau$ -coherent) if for every epimorphism (resp. homomorphism)  $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$  with  $Y$  finitely generated,  $\text{Ker } \pi$  is  $\tau$ -finitely generated. A module  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -noetherian (resp.  $\tau$ -artinian) if it satisfies the ascending (resp. descending) chain condition on closed submodules. Finally, a ring  $R$  is called left (resp. right)  $\tau$ -noetherian if  ${}_R R$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) is  $\tau$ -noetherian, left (resp. right)  $\tau$ -artinian if  ${}_R R$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) is  $\tau$ -artinian, and left (resp. right)  $\tau$ -coherent if  ${}_R R$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) is  $\tau$ -coherent.

**1.  $\tau$ -absolutely pure and  $\tau$ -semicompact rings.** In this section, we characterize rings  $R$  for which every finitely generated submodule of  $E({}_R R)$  is torsionless.

**Lemma 1.1** (Hoshino [7, Theorem A]). *For a ring  $R$  the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\tau(X) = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  for every finitely presented  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .
- (a)<sup>op</sup>  $\tau(M) = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_M$  for every finitely presented  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ .

Following [8], we call a ring  $R$   $\tau$ -absolutely pure if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Lemma 1.1. We call a homomorphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  a  $\tau$ -epimorphism if  $\text{Cok } \pi$  is torsion. Then we call a module  $X$   $\tau$ -semicompact if for every inverse system of  $\tau$ -epimorphisms  $\{\pi_\lambda: X \rightarrow Y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  with each  $Y_\lambda$  torsionless, the induced homomorphism  $\varinjlim \pi_\lambda: X \rightarrow \varinjlim Y_\lambda$  is a  $\tau$ -epimorphism. Finally, we call a ring  $R$  left (resp. right)  $\tau$ -semicompact if  ${}_R R$  (resp.  $R_R$ ) is  $\tau$ -semicompact.

**REMARKS.** (1) The  $\tau$ -semicompactness is just the  $R$ -linear compactness, in the sense of Gómez Pardo [5], relative to Lambek torsion theory.

(2) Let  $\text{Mod } R/\tau$  denote the quotient category of  $\text{Mod } R$  over the full subcategory  $\text{Ker}(\text{Hom}_R(-, E({}_R R)))$ . Assume that the image of  ${}_R R$  in  $\text{Mod } R/\tau$  is linearly compact in the sense of Gómez Pardo [5]. Then  $R$  is left  $\tau$ -semicompact.

**Theorem 1.2.** *For a ring  $R$  the following are equivalent.*

- (a) Every finitely generated submodule of  $E({}_R R)$  is torsionless.
- (b)  $\tau(X) = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  for every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .
- (c)  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R)$  is torsion for every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .
- (d)  $R$  is  $\tau$ -absolutely pure and right  $\tau$ -semicompact.

**Proof.** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b). See Hoshino [7, Lemma 5].

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). This is due essentially to Ohtake [14, Lemma 2.3]. Let  $0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow F \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Mod } R$  with  $F$  finitely generated free

and let  $\pi: Y^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(X, R)$  denote the canonical epimorphism. Let  $h \in Y^*$  and form a push-out diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & F & \rightarrow & X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & h \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z & \rightarrow & X \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since  $Z$  is finitely generated,  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_Z$  is torsion. Thus  $\phi^{**} \circ \varepsilon_R = \varepsilon_Z \circ \phi$  is monic, so is  $\phi^{**}$ . Hence  $(\text{Cok } \phi^*)^* \simeq \text{Ker } \phi^{**} = 0$ . Since  $\pi(h)R_R$  is an epimorphic image of  $\text{Cok } \phi^*$ ,  $(\pi(h)R_R)^* = 0$  and thus  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R)$  is torsion.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be finitely generated. Let  $Y$  be a submodule of  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  and let  $j: Y \rightarrow X$  denote the inclusion. Then  $j^* = 0$  and  $Y^*$  embeds in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X/Y, R)$ . Thus  $Y^*$  is torsion, so that  $Y^* = 0$ . Hence  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  is torsion and  $\tau(X) = \text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$ .

(c)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d). This is easily deduced from [8, Lemma 2.7].

REMARK. The equivalence (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d) of Theorem 1.2 would yield a result of Gómez Pardo and Guil Asensio [6, Theorem 2.2].

**Corollary 1.3** (cf. Sumioka [20, Theorem 1]). *Let  $R$  be left perfect. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *Every finitely generated submodule of  $E(R)$  is torsionless.*
- (b)  *$R$  contains a faithful and injective left ideal.*

Proof. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). By Storrer [18]  $R$  contains an idempotent  $e$  with  $ReR$  a minimal dense right ideal. It is obvious that  ${}_R Re$  is faithful. Since by Theorem 1.2  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, Re) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(X, R) \otimes_R Re = 0$  for every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ ,  ${}_R Re$  is injective.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Obvious.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be  $\tau$ -absolutely pure, left and right  $\tau$ -semicompat. Then both  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  and  $\text{Cok } \varepsilon_X$  are torsion for every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .*

Proof. Let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be finitely generated. By Theorem 1.2  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_X$  is torsion. We know from the argument of Jans [9, Theorem 1.1] that  $\text{Cok } \varepsilon_X \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(M, R)$  with  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$  finitely generated. Thus again by Theorem 1.2  $\text{Cok } \varepsilon_X$  is torsion.

REMARK. Assume that  $R$  is a maximal left quotient ring of itself, i. e.,  $E(R)/R$  is torsionfree. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, Y) = 0$  for all torsion  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  and reflexive

$Y \in \text{Mod } R$ . Thus Corollary 1.4 would yield a result of Gómez Pardo and Guil Asensio [6, Theorem 1.5].

**Corollary 1.5.** *Let  $R$  be  $\tau$ -absolutely pure and left  $\tau$ -semicompat. Then every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  is  $\tau$ -semicompat.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be finitely generated. Since every factor module of a  $\tau$ -semicompat module is  $\tau$ -semicompat, we may assume that  $X$  is free. Then the argument of [8, Lemma 2.7] applies.

**2. Flat epimorphic extension rings.** Throughout this section,  $Q$  stands for an extension ring of  $R$ .

The following lemmas seem to be known (cf. Silver [7], Lazard [11], Popescu and Spircu [15], Morita [13] and so on). However, for the benefit of the reader, we include proofs.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a left flat epimorphism.*
- (2)  *$Q \otimes_R X = 0$  for every submodule  $X$  of  ${}_R Q/R$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\pi: Q \otimes_R Q \rightarrow Q$  denote the multiplication map. Then  ${}_Q \text{Ker } \pi \simeq {}_Q Q \otimes_R (Q/R) = 0$ . Next, let  $F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Mod } R$  with each  $F_i$  finitely generated free and put  $Y = \text{Im}(F_1 \rightarrow F_0)$ . We have a sequence of embeddings  $\text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X) \hookrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(Q/R, X) \hookrightarrow (Q/R) \otimes_R Y$ . Let us form a pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Q/R) \otimes_R F_1 & \rightarrow & (Q/R) \otimes_R Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ Z & \rightarrow & \text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X). \end{array}$$

Since  $(Q/R) \otimes_R F_1$  is isomorphic to a finite direct sum of copies of  ${}_R Q/R$ , it follows by induction that  $Q \otimes_R Z = 0$ . Thus, since  $Q \otimes_R Q \simeq Q$  canonically,  $\text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X) \simeq Q \otimes_R \text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  *$Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$ .*
- (2) (a)  *${}_Q Q \otimes_R (Q/R)$  is torsion.*  
 (b)  *${}_Q \text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X)$  is torsion for every  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $\text{Hom}_Q(Q \otimes_R (Q/R), E({}_Q Q)) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(Q/R, \text{Hom}_Q({}_Q Q, E({}_Q Q)))$ ,

and that  $\text{Hom}_Q(\text{Tor}_1^R(Q, X), E(Q)) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(X, \text{Hom}_Q(Q, E(Q)))$  for every  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). It follows that  ${}_R\text{Hom}_Q(Q, E(Q))$  is injective. Thus  $E(Q)$  embeds in  $\text{Hom}_Q(Q, E(Q))$ . It then follows that  $\text{Hom}_R(Q/R, E(Q)) = 0$ .

The next lemma generalizes results of Cateforis [2, Proposition 2.2] and Masaike [12, Proposition 3] (cf. also Morita [13, Theorem 7.2]).

**Lemma 2.3.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a left flat epimorphism.*
- (2) (a)  *$Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$ .*  
 (b)  *${}_Q Q \otimes_R X$  is torsionfree for every submodule  $X$  of  ${}_R Q$ .*

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By Lemma 2.2 (a) follows. It is obvious that (b) holds.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $Y$  be a submodule of  ${}_R Q/R$ . Since  ${}_R Y$  is torsion, so is  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R Y$ . Next, let us form a pull-back diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{j} & Q & \rightarrow & Q/R \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \parallel & \cup & \cup \\
 0 & \rightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X & \rightarrow & Y \rightarrow 0,
 \end{array}$$

where  $j: R \rightarrow Q$  is an inclusion. Since  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R j$  is a split monomorphism, so is  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R \phi$ . Thus  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R Y$  is torsionfree, so that  $Q \otimes_R Y = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1 the assertion follows.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) (a)  *$Q$  is a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ .*  
 (b)  *$E(Q)$  is an injective cogenerator in  $\text{Mod } Q$ .*
- (2) (a)  *${}_R Q/R$  is torsion.*  
 (b)  *$Q \otimes_R X = 0$  for every torsion  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ .*

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By Lemma 2.1 the inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a left flat epimorphism. Thus by Lemma 2.2  $Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$ . Next, let  $X \in \text{Mod } Q$  be torsion. Then  ${}_R X$  is torsion and thus  ${}_Q X \simeq {}_Q Q \otimes_R X = 0$ . Hence  $E(Q)$  is an injective cogenerator in  $\text{Mod } Q$ , so that  $Q$  is a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ .

**3. Flatness of the injective envelope.** Throughout this section,  $Q$  stands for a left quotient ring of  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be left  $\tau$ -noetherian and let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be flat. Then  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R X$  is torsionfree.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a dense left ideal of  $R$ . By Faith [4, Proposition 3.1]  $I$  contains a finitely generated subideal  $J$  with  $I/J$  torsion. Then  $R/J$  is finitely presented torsion, so that  $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, Q \otimes_R X) \simeq \text{Hom}_R(R/J, Q) \otimes_R X = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, Q \otimes_R X) = 0$ . Hence  ${}_R Q \otimes_R X$  is torsionfree, so is  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R X$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be left  $\tau$ -noetherian. Let  $n \geq 1$  and let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  with  $\text{weak dim}_R X \leq n$ . Then  $\text{Tor}_n^R(Q, X) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Mod } R$  with each  $F_i$  free and put  $Y = \text{Cok}(F_{n+1} \rightarrow F_n)$ . Then  $Y$  is flat and thus by Lemma 3.1  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R Y$  is torsionfree. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2  ${}_Q \text{Tor}_n^R(Q, X)$  is torsion. It follows that  $\text{Tor}_n^R(Q, X) = 0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X \in \text{Mod } Q$  with  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R X$  torsionfree. Then  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R X \simeq {}_Q X$  canonically.*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: Q \otimes_R X \rightarrow X$  denote the canonical epimorphism. Then  ${}_R \text{Ker } \pi \simeq {}_R(Q/R) \otimes_R X$  is torsion, so is  ${}_Q \text{Ker } \pi$ . It follows that  $\text{Ker } \pi = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be left  $\tau$ -noetherian. Then every  $X \in \text{Mod } Q$  with  ${}_R X$  flat is flat. In particular,  $E({}_Q Q)$  is flat whenever  $E({}_R R)$  is.*

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \text{Mod } Q$  with  ${}_R X$  flat. Then by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3  ${}_Q Q \otimes_R X \simeq {}_Q X$  canonically. Since both  $-\otimes_Q Q_R$  and  $-\otimes_R X$  are exact, so is  $-\otimes_Q X$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *For a ring  $R$  the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *Arbitrary direct products of copies of  $E({}_R R)$  are flat.*
- (2)  *$R$  is  $\tau$ -absolutely pure and right  $\tau$ -coherent.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By Hoshino and Takashima [8, Lemma 1.4]  $R$  is  $\tau$ -absolutely pure. Next, let  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow R$  be an exact sequence in  $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$  with  $F$  finitely generated free. By Colby and Rutter [3, Theorem 1.3]  $M$  contains a finitely generated submodule  $N$  with  $(M/N) \otimes_R E({}_R R) = 0$ . It suffices to show that  $M/N$  is torsion. For an  $L \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ , there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\theta_L: L \otimes_R E({}_R R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L^*, E({}_R R))$$

such that  $\theta_L(x \otimes y)(\alpha) = \alpha(x)y$  for  $x \in L$ ,  $y \in E({}_R R)$  and  $\alpha \in L^*$ . Now, let  $L$  be a cyclic submodule of  $M/N$  and let  $\pi: R \rightarrow L$  be epic in  $\text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ . Since  $\theta_L \circ (\pi \otimes_R E({}_R R))$

$= \text{Hom}_R(\pi^*, E({}_R R)) \circ \theta_R$  is epic, so is  $\theta_L$ . Note that  $L \otimes_R E({}_R R) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(L^*, E({}_R R)) = 0$  and hence  $L^* = 0$ . It follows that  $M/N$  is torsion.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). See Hoshino and Takashima [8, Proposition 1.6].

**4. Quasi-Frobenius quotient rings.** In this section, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition for an extension ring  $Q$  of  $R$  to be a quasi-Frobenius maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be left  $\tau$ -noetherian and let  $Q$  be a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ . Assume that  $\text{weak dim } {}_R Q \leq 1$ . Then the inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a ring epimorphism.*

*Proof.* We claim that  $(Q/R) \otimes_R Q = 0$ . Let  $I$  be a dense left ideal of  $R$ . By Faith [4, Proposition 3.1]  $I$  contains a finitely generated subideal  $J$  with  $I/J$  torsion. Note that  $J$  is also a dense left ideal of  $R$ . It follows that  $(Q/R)_R$  is an epimorphic image of the direct sum  $\bigoplus \text{Hom}_R(R/J, Q/R)_R$ , where  $J$  runs over all finitely generated dense left ideals of  $R$ . Let  $J$  be a finitely generated dense left ideal of  $R$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_R(R/J, Q/R)_R \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, R)$ , we have only to show that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, R) \otimes_R Q = 0$ . For an  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ , there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\delta_X : X^* \otimes_R Q \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, Q)$$

such that  $\delta_X(\alpha \otimes q)(x) = \alpha(x)q$  for  $\alpha \in X^*$ ,  $q \in Q$  and  $x \in X$ . As we remarked in [8], there exists an epimorphism  $\pi : X \rightarrow J$  with  $X$  finitely presented and  $\text{Ker } \pi$  torsion. Note that by Auslander [1, Proposition 7.1]  $\delta_X$  is monic. Since  $\pi^*$  is an isomorphism,  $\text{Hom}_R(\pi, Q) \circ \delta_J = \delta_X \circ (\pi^* \otimes_R Q)$  is monic, so is  $\delta_J$ . Next, let  $j : J \rightarrow R$  denote the inclusion. Since  $\text{Hom}_R(j, Q)$  is an isomorphism, so is  $\text{Hom}_R(j, Q) \circ \delta_R = \delta_J \circ (j^* \otimes_R Q)$ . Thus  $\delta_J$  is epic. Hence  $\delta_J$  is an isomorphism, so is  $j^* \otimes_R Q$ . It follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/J, R) \otimes_R Q \simeq \text{Cok}(j^* \otimes_R Q) = 0$ .

In case  $Q = R$ , the next theorem is due to Faith [4, Corollary 5.4].

**Theorem 4.2.** *For an extension ring  $Q$  of  $R$  the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $Q$  is a quasi-Frobenius maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$ .
- (2) (a)  $R$  is left  $\tau$ -noetherian.  
 (b)  ${}_R Q/R$  is torsion.  
 (c)  $Q_R$  is injective.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). For an  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ , there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\theta_X: Q \otimes_R X \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X^*, Q)$$

such that  $\theta_X(q \otimes x)(\alpha) = q\alpha(x)$  for  $q \in Q$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in X^*$ . Since  $Q_R$  is injective,  $\theta_X$  is an isomorphism for every finitely presented  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ . Let  $I$  be a dense left ideal of  $R$ . By Faith [4, Proposition 3.1]  $I$  contains a finitely generated subideal  $J$  with  $I/J$  torsion. Then  $R/J$  is finitely presented torsion, so that  $Q \otimes_R (R/J) \simeq \text{Hom}_R((R/J)^*, Q) = 0$ . Thus  $Q \otimes_R (R/I) = 0$ . It follows that  $Q \otimes_R X = 0$  for every torsion  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ . Hence by Lemma 2.4,  $Q$  is a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ , and  $E(Q)$  is an injective cogenerator in  $\text{Mod } Q$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1  $Q_R$  is flat as well as injective, so that  $E(R_R)$  is flat. Hence by Hoshino and Takashima [8, Proposition 1.7] and Masaike [12, Proposition 2]  $Q$  is a right quotient ring of  $R$ . It follows that  $Q$  is a right selfinjective maximal right quotient ring of  $R$ . On the other hand, since  $R$  is left  $\tau$ -noetherian, so is  $Q$ . Thus  $Q$  is left noetherian. Hence by Faith [4, Theorem 2.1]  $Q$  is quasi-Frobenius.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $R$  be left and right noetherian and let  $Q$  be a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $Q$  is a quasi-Frobenius maximal two-sided quotient ring of  $R$ .
- (2)  ${}_R Q$  is flat and  $\text{inj dim } {}_R Q \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). By Lemma 2.3  ${}_R Q$  is flat. Also,  ${}_R Q$  is injective by Lambek [10, §5].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). By Lemmas 4.1 and 2.2  $Q$  is a right quotient ring of  $R$ . Next, we claim that  ${}_R Q$  is injective. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_2^R(E(R_R), X) &\simeq \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R), E(R_R)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_R(\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R), \text{Hom}_Q({}_R Q_Q, E(Q_Q))) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_Q(\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) \otimes_R Q, E(Q_Q)) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_Q(\text{Ext}_R^2(X, Q), E(Q_Q)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every finitely generated  $X \in \text{Mod } R$ , we have  $\text{weak dim } E(R_R) \leq 1$ . Thus by Hoshino [7, Propositions *F* and *C*] every finitely generated submodule of  $E({}_R R)$  is torsionless. Let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be finitely generated. Since by Theorem 1.2  $X/\tau(X)$  is torsionless, there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X/\tau(X) \rightarrow F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$  in  $\text{Mod } R$  with  $F$  free. Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, Q) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^1(X/\tau(X), Q) \simeq \text{Ext}_R^2(Y, Q) = 0$ . Hence  ${}_R Q$  is injective and by Theorem 4.2 the assertion follows.

**REMARK.** Let  $R$  be left noetherian and let  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  be flat. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(Y, R) \otimes_R X \simeq \text{Ext}_R^i(Y, X)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and finitely generated  $Y \in \text{Mod } R$ , so that  $\text{inj dim } {}_R X \leq \text{inj dim } {}_R R$ . Thus, together with Lemma 2.3, Corollary 4.3 would



yield a result of Sato [16, Theorem].

**5. Appendix.** Throughout this section,  $Q$  stands for an extension ring of  $R$ . We make some remarks on submodules of  $Q_R$ .

The argument of Sumioka [19, Proposition 6] suggests the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$ .
- (2) (a)  ${}_R Q/R$  is torsion.  
 (b)  $R \cap I \neq 0$  for every nonzero two-sided ideal  $I$  of  $Q$ .

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Put  ${}_Q E = \text{Hom}_R({}_R Q_Q, E({}_R R))$ . Then  ${}_R E \simeq E({}_R R)$  canonically, so that the composite of ring homomorphisms  $\text{End}(E({}_R R)) \rightarrow \text{End}({}_Q E) \rightarrow \text{End}({}_R E)$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\text{End}({}_Q E) = \text{End}({}_R E)$  and hence  $\text{Biend}({}_Q E) = \text{Biend}({}_R E)$ . Let  $\phi: Q \rightarrow \text{Biend}({}_Q E)$  denote the canonical ring homomorphism. Since  ${}_R E$  is faithful,  $R \cap \text{Ker } \phi = 0$  and thus  $\text{Ker } \phi = 0$ . Since  $\text{Biend}({}_R E)$  is a maximal left quotient ring of  $R$ , the assertion follows.

**Lemma 5.2** (cf. Masaïke [12, Proposition 2]). *Assume that  $Q$  is a right quotient ring of  $R$ . Let  $M$  be a submodule of  $Q_R$  containing  $R$  and put  $I = \{a \in R \mid aM \subset R\}$ . Then  $M$  is torsionless if and only if  $({}_R R/I)^* = 0$ .*

Proof. Let  $j: R_R \rightarrow M_R$  denote the inclusion. Then  $j$  is an essential monomorphism, so that  $\text{Ker } \varepsilon_M = 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ker } j^{**} = 0$ . It suffices to show that  $\text{Ker } j^{**} \simeq ({}_R R/I)^*$ . Identify  $(R_R)^*$  with  ${}_R R$ . We claim that  $\text{Im } j^* = I$ . It is obvious that  $I \subset \text{Im } j^*$ . Conversely, let  $h \in M^*$ . Since  $E(Q_Q)_R \simeq E(R_R)$  is injective,  $h$  extends to some  $\phi: Q_R \rightarrow E(Q_Q)_R$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi$  is  $Q$ -linear. Thus  $h(1)x = \phi(1)x = \phi(x) = h(x) \in R$  for all  $x \in M$  and hence  $j^*(h) = h(1) \in I$ .

For an  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ , there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\eta_M: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(\text{Hom}_R(M, Q), Q)$$

such that  $\eta_M(x)(\alpha) = \alpha(x)$  for  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, Q)$ , and for an  $X \in \text{Mod } R$  there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\zeta_X: X^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_Q(Q \otimes_R X, Q)$$

such that  $\zeta_X(\alpha)(q \otimes x) = q\alpha(x)$  for  $\alpha \in X^*$ ,  $q \in Q$  and  $x \in X$ . Also, for  $L, M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$  there exists a natural homomorphism

$$\delta_{L, M}: L \otimes_R M^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, L)$$

such that  $\delta_{L,M}(x \otimes \alpha)(y) = x\alpha(y)$  for  $x \in L$ ,  $\alpha \in M^*$  and  $y \in M$ .

For each  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ , we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\eta_M} & \text{Hom}_Q(\text{Hom}_R(M, Q), Q) \\
 \varepsilon_M \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hom}_Q(\delta_{Q,M}, Q) \\
 M^{**} & \xrightarrow{\zeta_{M^*}} & \text{Hom}_Q(Q \otimes_R M^*, Q)
 \end{array}$$

which yields the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ . Assume that both  $\eta_M$  and  $\text{Hom}_Q(\delta_{Q,M}, Q)$  are monic. Then  $M$  is torsionless.*

Also, for each  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ , we have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 R \otimes_R M^* & \rightarrow & Q \otimes_R M^* & \rightarrow & (Q/R) \otimes_R M^* & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \delta_{R,M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{Q,M} & & \downarrow \delta_{Q/R,M} & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, R) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, Q) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, Q/R).
 \end{array}$$

Note that, in case  $M$  is finitely generated,  $\text{Hom}_R(M, Q/R)$  embeds in a direct sum of copies of  ${}_R Q/R$ . Thus Snake lemma yields the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Assume that  ${}_R Q/R$  is torsion. Then both  ${}_R \text{Ker } \delta_{Q,M}$  and  ${}_R \text{Cok } \delta_{Q,M}$  are torsion for every finitely generated  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ .*

**Lemma 5.5.** *Assume that the inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a left flat epimorphism. Then  $\delta_{Q,M} \simeq_Q Q \otimes_R \delta_{Q,M}$  is an isomorphism for every finitely generated  $M \in \text{Mod } R^{\text{op}}$ .*

We are now in a position to formulate results of Masaike [12] as follows.

**Proposition 5.6** (Masaike [12]). *For an extension ring  $Q$  of  $R$  the following hold.*

- (1) *If  $Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$ , every finitely generated submodule of  $Q_R$  is torsionless.*
- (2) *If the inclusion  $R \rightarrow Q$  is a left flat epimorphism, every finitely generated submodule of  $Q_R$  embeds in a free module.*
- (3) *Assume that  $Q$  is a right quotient ring of  $R$ . Then  $Q$  is a left quotient ring of  $R$  if and only if every finitely generated submodule of  $Q_R$  is torsionless.*

Proof. (1) By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4.

- (2) By Lemma 5.5.  
 (3) By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

---

**References**

- [1] M. Auslander: *Coherent functors*, Proc. Conf. Cat. Algebra, 189–231, Springer, Berlin 1966.  
 [2] V.C. Cateforis: *Two-sided semisimple maximal quotient rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 339–349.  
 [3] R.R. Colby and E.A. Rutter, Jr.:  $\Pi$ -flat and  $\Pi$ -projective modules, Arch. Math. **22** (1971), 246–251.  
 [4] C. Faith: *Rings with ascending condition on annihilators*, Nagoya Math. J. **27** (1966), 179–191.  
 [5] J.L. Gómez Pardo: *Counterinjective modules and duality*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **61** (1989), 165–179.  
 [6] J.L. Gómez Pardo and P.A. Guil Asensio: *Morita dualities associated with  $R$ -dual functors*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **93** (1994), 179–194.  
 [7] M. Hoshino: *On Lambek torsion theories*, Osaka J. Math. **29** (1992), 447–453.  
 [8] M. Hoshino and S. Takashima: *On Lambek torsion theories II*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 729–746.  
 [9] J.P. Jans: *Duality in noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 829–835.  
 [10] J. Lambek: *On Utumi's ring of quotients*, Canad. J. Math. **15** (1963), 363–370.  
 [11] D. Lazard: *Epimorphismes plats d'anneaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **266** (1968), 314–316.  
 [12] K. Msaiké: *On quotient rings and torsionless modules*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku **A11** (1971), 26–30.  
 [13] K. Morita: *Localization in categories of modules I*, Math. Z. **114** (1970), 121–144.  
 [14] K. Ohtake: *A generalization of Morita duality by Localizations*, preprint  
 [15] N. Popescu and T. Spircu: *Sur les epimorphismes plats d'anneaux*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **268** (1969), 376–379.  
 [16] H. Sato: *On localizations of a 1-Gorenstein ring*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku **A13** (1977), 188–193.  
 [17] L. Silver: *Noncommutative localizations and applications*, J. Algebra **7** (1976), 44–76.  
 [18] H.H. Storrer: *Rings of quotients of perfect rings*, Math. Z. **122** (1971), 151–165.  
 [19] T. Sumioka: *On finite dimensional  $QF$ -3' rings*, Proceedings of 10<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Ring Theory, 79–105, Okayama Univ., Okayama, Japan 1978.  
 [20] T. Sumioka: *On  $QF$ -3 and 1-Gorenstein rings*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 395–403.

Institute of Mathematics  
 University of Tsukuba  
 Ibaraki, 305  
 Japan

