

## TORSION FREE EXTENDING MODULES

MAHMOUD A. KAMAL AND BRUNO J. MÜLLER

(Received August 14, 1987)

### 1. Introduction

We recall that a module is extending if every complement submodule is a direct summand. In [6] we showed that, over a commutative domain  $R$ , a non-torsion module is extending if and only if it is of the form “injective  $\oplus$  extending torsion free reduced”, and that a torsion free reduced module is extending if and only if it is a finite direct sum of uniform submodules each pair of which is extending.

In Theorem 1, we provide now a characterization of the extending property for such pairs, and thereby complete the description of non-torsion extending  $R$ -modules. (For the torsion case, cf. [7]). The drawback of this characterization, viz. that it is formulated in terms of local data, is removed in Theorems 5 and 6, under the assumption that a certain natural overring  $S$  of  $R$  is noetherian. The subsequent corollaries state what can be said if  $R$  itself is noetherian.

The last section presents a number of examples, which demonstrate that our various technical conditions cannot be relaxed.

Throughout this paper  $R$  will be a commutative domain with quotient field  $K$ .

A submodule  $N$  of a module  $M$  is a complement submodule, if there is another submodule  $N'$  such that  $N$  is maximal with respect to  $N \cap N' = 0$ .

Let  $T$  be an overring of  $R$ . The conductor of  $R$  in  $T$  is the largest ideal of  $R$  which is also an ideal of  $T$ .

### 2. Direct sums of two uniform modules over commutative domains

In this section we characterize all torsion free (reduced) extending modules which are direct sums of two uniform submodules.

Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be torsion free reduced uniform  $R$ -modules. Since the  $M_i$  are embeddable into the quotient field  $K$  of  $R$ , we may assume  $M_i \subseteq K$ . Let  $A := \{q \in K : qM_1 \subset M_2\}$  and  $B := \{q \in K : qM_2 \subset M_1\}$ . For any  $R$ -submodule  $X$  of  $K$ , let  $O(X) := \{q \in K : qX \subset X\}$ . Denote  $O(M_1) \cap O(M_2)$  by  $S$ . If  $M_i \neq 0$ , then  $A \cong \text{hom}_R(M_1, M_2)$ , and  $B \cong \text{hom}_R(M_2, M_1)$ , and  $O(M_i) \cong \text{end}_R(M_i)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  be a torsion free reduced  $R$ -module, where the  $M_i$  are uniform. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- 1)  $M$  is extending;
- 2) for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ ,  $O(A_P)$  coincides with  $O(B_P)$ , and is a valuation ring with maximal ideal  $W\subset A_P B_P$ . If  $A_P\cong W\cong B_P$ , then  $O(A_P)$  is discrete.

Proof. 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): Let  $M$  be extending. By [6], Corollary 8, we have that  $A$  and  $B$  are non-zero. By [6], Theorem 7, we obtain  $q^{-1}A\cap S+qB\cap S=S$  for each  $0\neq q\in K$ . It follows that  $q^{-1}A_P\cap S_P+qB_P\cap S_P=S_P$  for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ , and hence  $q\in A_P$  or  $q^{-1}\in B_P$ .

By the same argument as in [7], Theorem 20, we can show that  $O(A_P)$  coincides with  $O(B_P)$  and is a valuation ring with maximal ideal  $W\subset A_P B_P$ , and that if  $A_P\cong W\cong B_P$ , then  $O(A_P)$  ( $=O(B_P)$ ) is discrete.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  1): The same argument as in [7], Theorem 20, shows that  $q\in A_P$  or  $q^{-1}\in B_P$  for all  $0\neq q\in K$  and every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ . It follows that  $q^{-1}A_P\cap S_P+qB_P\cap S_P=S_P$ , and hence  $q^{-1}A\cap S+qB\cap S=S$ . Therefore  $M$  is extending, by [6], Theorem 7.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  be an extending  $R$ -module as in Theorem 1. Then  $O(A)$  coincides with  $O(B)$ , and is integrally closed.*

Proof. By Theorem 1,  $O(A_P)=O(B_P)$  is a valuation ring for all maximal ideals  $P$  of  $S$ . Since any valuation ring is integrally closed, we have that  $\bigcap_P O(A_P)$  ( $=\bigcap_P O(B_P)$ ) is integrally closed. It is clear that  $AO(A_P)\subset A_P$  for all  $P$ ; hence  $A[\bigcap_P O(A_P)]\subset \bigcap_P A_P=A$ , i.e.  $\bigcap_P O(A_P)\subset O(A)$ . Thus  $\bigcap_P O(A_P)=O(A)$ . Similarly  $\bigcap_P O(B_P)=O(B)$ .

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $N$  be a uniform torsion free reduced  $R$ -module. Then  $N^*$  is extending if and only if  $O(N)$  is a Prüfer domain.*

Proof. Theorem 1, and [6], Theorem 11.

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of a commutative domain  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- 1)  $P\oplus R$  is extending;
- 2)  $O(P)$  is a Prüfer domain and  $P$  is a maximal ideal of  $O(P)$ .  $R_Q$  is a valuation ring for all maximal ideals  $Q$  different from  $P$ .

Proof. Theorem 1, Corollary 3, and observing that  $(R:P)P=R$  or  $(R:P)P=P$ .

### 3. Direct sums of uniform modules over noetherian domains

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M=M_1\oplus M_2$  be a torsion free reduced  $R$ -module, where*

the  $M_i$  are uniform. Let  $S$  be noetherian. Then the following statements are equivalent :

- 1)  $M$  is extending ;
- 2)  $O(A)$  coincides with  $O(B)$ , and is a Dedekind domain.  $AB$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $O(A)$ . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $O(A)$  and the maximal ideals of  $S$ , via contraction ;
- 3) the integral closure  $S'$  of  $S$  is Dedekind and is a (maximal) equivalent order. There is a one-to-one correspondence, via contraction (and extension), between the maximal ideals of  $S$  and of  $S'$ . The conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $S'$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$  (or  $S$ ).  $A$  and  $B$  are  $S'$ -modules with  $AB=D$ .

Proof. 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2). Let  $M$  be extending. By Corollary 2,  $O(A)=O(B)$  is integrally closed. By [6], Corollary 8,  $AB$  is a non-zero ideal of  $S$  which is also an ideal of  $O(A)$ . Then  $AB$  is contained in the conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $O(A)$ . Since  $S$  is noetherian and  $D \neq 0$ , we have that  $O(A)$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module. It follows that  $O(A)$  is noetherian and integral over  $S$ , and hence  $O(A)$  is the integral closure of  $S$ .

Since  $A$  is a fractional ideal of  $S$  and hence finitely generated,  $O(A)_P=O(A_P)$  is a rank one discrete valuation ring, for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ , by Theorem 1. Since  $O(A)_P$  is integral over  $S_P$ , it follows that  $S_P$  is one dimensional for all  $P$ . Hence  $S$  is one dimensional, and thus  $O(A)$  is Dedekind.

We show that for each maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$  there exists a unique maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $O(A)$  such that  $P=\mathfrak{P} \cap S$ . The existence of such  $\mathfrak{P}$  is due to  $O(A)$  being integral over  $S$ . The uniqueness follows from the fact that  $O(A)_P=O(A)_{\mathfrak{P}}$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $O(A)$  lying over  $P$ . This establishes the one-to-one correspondence, via contraction.

Now we show that  $AB$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $O(A)$ . Since  $O(A)_P=O(A)_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ , where  $\mathfrak{P} \cap S=P$ , we have  $(AB)_{\mathfrak{P}}=(AB)_P$ . Hence  $(AB)_{\mathfrak{P}}=\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}$  for any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $O(A)$  containing  $AB$ , by Theorem 1. On the other hand,  $AB$  is an ideal of  $O(A)$ ,  $AB=\prod \mathfrak{P}^{n(\mathfrak{P})}$ . It follows that  $(AB)_{\mathfrak{P}}=\mathfrak{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}^{n(\mathfrak{P})}$ , and by comparison we conclude that  $n(\mathfrak{P})=1$ .

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3). By 2),  $O(A)=O(B)=: S'$  is Dedekind and is the integral closure of  $S$ , hence a maximal equivalent order.

Now let  $D$  be the conductor of  $S$  in  $S'$ ; it is clear that  $AB \subset D$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are non zero,  $M_1 S'$  and  $M_2 S'$ , as  $S'$ -modules, can be embedded in each other. By [5], Lemma 12,  $M_1 S'=M_2 I$  where  $I$  is a fractional ideal of  $S'$ . Since  $M_i D \subset M_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ), it follows that  $M_2 I D \subset M_1$  and  $M_1 I^{-1} D \subset M_2$ . Hence  $ID \subset B$  and  $I^{-1} D \subset A$ , and thus  $D^2 \subset AB \subset D$ . Since  $AB$ , by 2), is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$ , we deduce  $AB=D$ .

Now we show that for any maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ , the unique maximal ideal of  $S'$  lying over  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{P}=PS'$ . This means that the inverse of the one-to-one correspondence via contraction (in Condition 2)) is given by extension. Since

$P = \mathfrak{P} \cap S \subset \mathfrak{P}$ , we have  $PS' \subset \mathfrak{P}$ . Hence  $PS' = \mathfrak{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n > 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}D \subset \mathfrak{P} \cap S = P \subset PS' = \mathfrak{P}^n$ , and thus  $D \subset \mathfrak{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{P}$ . Therefore  $D \subset \mathfrak{P} \cap S \subset \mathfrak{P}^n$ , which contradicts that  $D$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$ .

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1): Condition 2) of Theorem 1 can be easily verified, by using that  $A$  and  $B$  are  $S'$ -modules, and  $AB = D$ .

For more than two uniform modules  $M_i$ , we use the notations  $A_{ij} := \{q \in K : qM_i \subset M_j\}$ ,  $A_{ii} = O(M_i)$ , and  $S_{ij} = O(M_i) \cap O(M_j)$ .

We combine Theorem 6 with Theorem 11 from [6] to obtain the following:

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$  be a torsion free reduced  $R$ -module, where the  $M_i$  are uniform. Let  $S := \bigcap_{i=1}^n O(M_i)$  be noetherian. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

1)  $M$  is extending ;

2) the integral closure  $S'$  of  $S$  is Dedekind, and is a (maximal) equivalent order. There is a one-to-one correspondence, via contraction (and extension) between the maximal ideals of  $S'$  and of  $S$ . The conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $S'$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$  (or  $S$ ). For all  $i \neq j$ , the  $A_{ij}$  are  $S'$ -modules, and  $D \subset A_{ij}A_{ji} \subset S'$  ;

3) there is a Dedekind domain  $R \subset L \subset K$ , maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{P}_k (k=1, 2, \dots, n)$  of  $L$ , and subfields  $F_k$  of  $L/\mathfrak{P}_k$  such that the  $A_{ij}$  are  $L$ -modules and  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_k \subset A_{ij}A_{ji} \subset L$  ( $i \neq j$ ), and  $S$  is the full inverse image of  $\bigoplus_{k=1}^n F_k$  under the natural homomorphism  $L \rightarrow L / \bigcap_{k=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_k \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^n L/\mathfrak{P}_k$ .

Proof. 1)  $\Rightarrow$  2): Let  $M$  be extending. Then  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is extending for all  $i \neq j$ . It is clear that  $I := A_{12}A_{23} \cdots A_{n-1n}A_{n1}$  is a non zero ideal of  $S$  which is also an ideal of  $S_{ij}$ . Since  $S$  is noetherian,  $S_{ij}$  is noetherian for all  $i \neq j$ . By Theorem 5, the  $O(A_{ij})$  are Dedekind. Since  $0 \neq I \subset D$  (the conductor of  $S$  in  $O(A_{ij})$ ), it follows that  $O(A_{ij})$  is the integral closure of  $S$ ; and hence all  $O(A_{ij})$  coincide. We denote this ring by  $S'$ .

We show that  $(S_{ij} : D)D = D_{ij}$ , where  $D_{ij}$  is the conductor of  $S_{ij}$  in  $S'$ . It is clear that  $(S_{ij} : D)D \subset D_{ij}$ . Now let  $x \in D_{ij}$  be arbitrary. For any  $y \in (S' : D)$ , we have  $yD \subset S'$  and hence  $xyD \subset xS' \subset S_{ij}$ . It follows that  $xy \in (S_{ij} : D)$ , hence  $x(S' : D) \subset (S_{ij} : D)$ . Thus  $x \in xS' = x(S' : D)D \subset (S_{ij} : D)D$ . Therefore  $(S_{ij} : D)D = D_{ij}$ , and hence  $O(D) = O(S_{ij} : D) = S'$ .

By Theorem 5 and since  $O(D) = O(S_{ij} : D) = S'$ , we have that  $D \oplus S_{ij}$  is extending for all  $i \neq j$ . 2) follows, by Theorem 5, once we show that  $D \oplus S$  is extending. Since  $S$  is noetherian, it is enough to show that  $D_P \oplus S_P$  is extending, for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ . To this end we consider two cases:

Case 1.  $P \supset D$ . Since  $D \oplus S_{ij}$  is extending for all  $i \neq j$ , we have that  $D_P \oplus (S_{ij})_P$

is extending. Since  $O(D_P) = O(D)_P = S'_P$  is local, we have, by [6], Theorem 7, that  $D_P$  and  $q(S_{ij})_P$  are comparable for every  $q \in K$ . If  $q(S_{ij})_P \subset D_P$  for some  $i \neq j$ , then  $qS_P \subset q(S_{ij})_P \subset D_P$ . On the other hand, if  $D_P \subset q(S_{ij})_P$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then  $D_P \subset \bigcap_{i \neq j} q(S_{ij})_P = qS_P$ . It follows that  $D_P$  and  $qS_P$  are comparable for every  $q \in K$ , and hence, by [6], Theorem 7,  $D_P \oplus S_P$  is extending whenever  $P \supset D$ .

Case 2.  $P \not\supset D$ . Then  $D_P = S_P = S'_P$  is a rank one discrete valuation ring, and thus  $D_P \oplus S_P$  is extending.

2)  $\Rightarrow$  3): From 2), the conductor of  $S$  in  $S'$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$ , i.e.  $D = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_i \cap S =: P_i$ , it follows that  $D = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$ ; and hence  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n k_i := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S/P_i \cong S / \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i = S/D \subset S' / \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S' / \mathfrak{P}_i$ , where  $k_i = S/P_i = S/\mathfrak{P}_i \cap S \cong S + \mathfrak{P}_i / \mathfrak{P}_i \subset S' / \mathfrak{P}_i$ .

By 2), the  $A_{ij}$  are  $S'$ -modules and  $A_{ij}A_{ji} \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i$ .

3)  $\Rightarrow$  1) Let  $I := \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{P}_i$ . Since  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $S$  which is also an ideal of  $L$ , the conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $L$  is nonzero. Since  $S$  is noetherian, we obtain that  $L$  is the integral closure of  $S$ . Since  $A_{ij}A_{ji} \supset I$ , we get that  $A_{ij}A_{ji}$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $L$ .

From  $S/I = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k_i \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^n L/\mathfrak{P}_i = L/I$ , we see that the maximal ideals of  $S$  and of  $L$  containing  $I$  are in one-to-one correspondence. On the other hand, for any maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$  not containing  $I$ ,  $S_P = L_P$  is a rank one discrete valuation ring. Therefore we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between all the maximal ideals of  $L$  and of  $S$ . Since the  $A_{ij}$  are  $L$ -modules, it follows that  $O(A_{ij}) = L$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Since  $S_{ij} \supset S$ , Condition 2) of Theorem 5 is satisfied for all  $i \neq j$ , and hence  $M_i \oplus M_j$  is extending. Therefore  $M$  is extending, by [6] Theorem 11.

REMARKS. (i) We note that Condition 2) of Theorem 6 mainly deals with the relationship between  $S$  and its integral closure, and that further data from  $M$  enter only in the last sentence. Loosely speaking, this condition says that  $S$  is "almost integrally closed" and the  $M_i$  are "almost isomorphic".

(ii) Condition 3) is convenient for the construction of examples, starting with an arbitrary Dedekind domain.

(iii) Even if the ring  $R$  is noetherian,  $S$  need not be, and then Theorem 6 does not apply. However, if  $R$  is noetherian of Krull dimension one, then every overring is noetherian of Krull dimension one ([8], Theorem 13), hence in particular  $S$  is noetherian and  $S'$  is Dedekind ([8], Theorem 96). Thus in this case, the rest of Condition 2) yields a complete characterization of all torsion free reduced extending  $R$ -modules.

Conversely, again if  $R$  is noetherian, and if one of the  $M_i$  is finitely generated (and hence all  $M_i$  are isomorphic to ideals of  $R$ , cf. [6], Corollary 8),

then it follows that the Krull dimension is one.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian domain. Let  $M_1, M_2$  be finitely generated torsion free reduced uniform  $R$ -modules. If  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is extending, then  $R$  has Krull dimension one.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow O(M_1) \cong \text{hom}_R(M_1, M_1) \twoheadrightarrow \text{hom}_R(R^n, M_1) \cong M_1^n$ . Since  $M_1^n$  is noetherian, it follows that  $S$  is noetherian and integral over  $R$ . Thus  $S$  and  $R$  have the same Krull dimension.

Now if  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is extending, then, by Theorem 5,  $S$  is one dimensional, and therefore so is  $R$ .

We now prove a generalization of Corollary 4, for arbitrary ideals, in case  $R$  is noetherian.

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian domain, and  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- 1)  $R \oplus I$  is extending ;
- 2) the integral closure  $R'$  of  $R$  is Dedekind. There is a one-to-one correspondence, via contraction (and extension), between the maximal ideals of  $R$  and of  $R'$ . The conductor  $D$  of  $R$  in  $R'$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $R'$ .  $I$  is an ideal of  $R'$  ;
- 3)  $O(I)$  is Dedekind, and  $(R : I)I$  is a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $O(I)$ .  $O(I)_P$  is a discrete rank one valuation ring for all maximal ideal  $P$  of  $R$  containing  $(R : I)I$ .

*Proof.* Corollary 7, and Theorem 5.

#### 4. Examples

The first example shows that the condition “if  $A_P \cong W \cong B_P$ , then  $O(A_P)$  is discrete” in Theorem 1 does not follow from the rest of Condition 2).

**EXAMPLE 9.** Let  $V$  be a valuation ring which is not discrete, with maximal ideal  $W$ , and  $V/W = \mathbf{Q}$  the field of rational numbers. Choose additive subgroups  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ,  $W \subset M_1$ ,  $M_2 \subset V$ , such that  $M_1/W, M_2/W$  are of incomparable types  $\mathfrak{X}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_2$ , and such that  $\mathfrak{X}_1(P), \mathfrak{X}_2(P)$  are not both  $\infty$  for any prime number  $P$ . Then  $O(M_1) \cap O(M_2) = S$  is the full inverse image of  $\mathbf{Z}$  under the natural homomorphism  $V \rightarrow V/W = \mathbf{Q}$ . One can show that  $A_P = A = W = B = B_P$ , for every maximal ideal  $P$  of  $S$ . Consequently one has  $O(A_P) = O(B_P) = O(W) = V$  and  $W = A_P B_P$ .

Our second example shows that, in contrast to Corollary 3, if  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is extending with  $M_1 \cong M_2$ , then neither  $O(M_2)$  nor  $O(M_1) \cap O(M_2) =: S$  need be Prüfer domains.

EXAMPLE 10. Let  $F[[t]]$  be the ring of formal power series over a field  $F$ . Let  $k$  be a proper subfield of  $F$ . Let  $M_1 := tF[[t]]$  and  $M_2 := k + tF[[t]]$ . By Corollary 4,  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is extending.  $O(M_2) = S = k + tF[[t]]$  is local but not a valuation ring, hence not a Prüfer domain.

The following example refers to Theorem 5 (3). It shows that, if the integral closure  $S'$  of  $S$  is Dedekind, and there is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of  $S'$  and of  $S$ , via contraction and extension, then the conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $S'$  need not be a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$ .

EXAMPLE 11. Let  $S' := F[t]$  be the polynomial ring over a field  $F$ . Let  $k$  be a proper subfield of  $F$  such that  $F$  is a finite dimensional over  $k$ . Let  $S := k + kt + t^2S'$ . Then the conductor  $D$  of  $S$  in  $S'$  is  $t^2S'$ , and hence  $S'$  is a maximal equivalent order. Since  $S/t^2S' \cong k[t]/t^2k[t]$ , we see that  $P := kt + t^2S'$  is the only maximal ideal of  $S$  containing  $D$ . It is easy to show that  $PS' = tS'$  and  $tS' \cap S = P$ . This suffices to establish the one-to-one correspondence, via contraction and extension, between all maximal ideals of  $S'$  and of  $S$ . But  $D = t^2S'$  is not a product of distinct maximal ideals of  $S'$ .

The next example shows that the statement “ $A$  and  $B$  are  $S'$ -modules” does not follow from the rest of condition 3) of Theorem 5.

EXAMPLE 12. Let  $S' := F[t]$  and let  $k$  be as in Example 11. Let  $S = k + tS'$ . Then  $D = tS'$  is the conductor. Let  $V$  be a proper  $k$ -subspace of  $F$  such that  $\dim_k V \geq 2$ , and let  $M_1 := Vt + t^2S'$  and  $M_2 := S$ .

Then  $B = M_1$  and  $A = (S : B)$ . Since  $BS' = (Vt + t^2S')S' = tS' \subset S$ , we have  $S' \subset A$ . Now let  $a \in A$ , hence  $aB \subset S$ . It follows that  $at^2S' \subset S$ , and thus  $at^2 \in D = tS'$ . Then  $at \in S'$ , and therefore  $at = x + yt$  with  $x \in F$  and  $y \in S'$ . On the other hand,  $atV = (x + yt)V \subset S$ ; it follows that  $xV \subset k$ . Since  $\dim_k V \geq 2$ , we obtain that  $x = 0$  and  $at = yt \in tS'$ . Therefore  $A = S'$  and  $AB = tS' = D$ . The one-to-one correspondence, via contraction and extension, between the maximal ideals of  $S'$  and of  $S$  can be easily established. Hence all the conditions of Theorem 5 (3) are satisfied, except that  $B$  is not an  $S'$ -module.

The last example shows that, in contrast to Theorem 5 and Corollary 7, if  $S$  is not noetherian, then  $S$  need not be of Krull dimension one, and if  $R$  is noetherian but the  $M_i$  are infinitely generated then  $R$  need not be of Krull dimension one.

EXAMPLE 13. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian domain with quotient field  $K$ , and with  $\text{Krull dim}(R) > 1$ . There exists a valuation ring  $R \subset V \subset K$  such that  $\text{Krull dim}(V) > 1$ ; hence  $V$  is not noetherian (cf. [9] Chapter V Exercise 3). By Corollary 3,  $V \oplus V$  is extending as an  $R$ -module; and obviously

$S=V$ .

---

### References

- [1] F.W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller: *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer Verlag, New York (1973).
- [2] A.W. Chatter and C.R. Hajarnavis: *Rings in which every complement right ideal is a direct summand*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **28** (1977), 61–80.
- [3] M. Harada: *On modules with extending property*, Osaka J. Math. **19** (1982), 203–215.
- [4] L. Fuchs: *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Acad. Press, New York (1973).
- [5] M. Harada and K. Oshiro: *On extending property on direct sums of uniform modules*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 767–785.
- [6] M.Kamal and B.J. Müller: *Extending modules over commutative domains*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 531–538.
- [7] M. Kamal and B.J. Müller: *The structure of extending modules over noetherian rings*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 539–551.
- [8] I. Kaplansky: *Commutative Rings*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago (1974).
- [9] M.D. Larsen and P.J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Acad. Press, New York (1971).

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
McMaster University  
Hamilton, Ontario, Canada  
L8S 4K1