

FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF SIEGEL CUSP FORMS OF DEGREE TWO

YOSHIYUKI KITAOKA

Our purpose is to prove the following

THEOREM. *Let k be an even integer ≥ 6 . Let*

$$f(Z) = \sum a(T)e(\text{tr } TZ)$$

be a Siegel cusp form of degree two, weight k . Then we have

$$a(T) = O(|T|^{k/2-1/4+\varepsilon}) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

This was announced in [3] where we put an assumption on estimates of generalized Kloosterman sums. Here, we give a complete proof with a proof of that assumption.

Every cusp form of degree two, weight $k \geq 6$ ($k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$) is a linear combination of Poincaré series [1,4]. Using their rather formal Fourier expansion given in [1], we prove our theorem.

Notation. By \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{C} we denote the ring of rational integers, the field of rational numbers, the field of real numbers, and the field of complex numbers, respectively. H denotes the upper half-plane of genus two:

$$H = \{Z = X + iY \in M_2(\mathbf{C}) \mid Z = \bar{Z}, \text{Im } Z = Y > 0\}.$$

We set $\Gamma = Sp_2(\mathbf{Z}) = \{M \in M_4(\mathbf{Z}) \mid M^t J M = J\}$ where $J = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \{S \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid S = S^t\}$, and $A^* = \{S = (s_{ij}) \in M_2(\mathbf{Q}) \mid s_{ii} \in \mathbf{Z}, 2s_{12} = 2s_{21} \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. $e(Z)$ means $\exp(2\pi iz)$ for a complex number z .

§ 1.

In this section we prepare two arithmetic lemmas.

Let $C \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$, $|C| \neq 0$. For $P, T \in A^*$, we set

Received October 22, 1982.

$$K(P, T; C) = \sum_D e(\text{tr}(AC^{-1}P + C^{-1}DT)),$$

where D runs over $\{D \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) \bmod C\mathcal{A} \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\}$ and $A \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is any matrix such that $\begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. If $D' \equiv D \bmod C\mathcal{A}$, and $\begin{pmatrix} A' & * \\ C & D' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, then we have $A' \equiv A \bmod \mathcal{A}C$. Hence a generalized Kloosterman sum $K(P, T; C)$ is well-defined. One of our aims in this section is to prove

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $C \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$, $|C| \neq 0$ and $C = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$, $U, V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$, $0 < c_1 c_2$. Then we have*

$$K(P, T; C) = O(c_1^2 c_2^{1/2+\varepsilon} (c_2, t)^{1/2}) \quad \text{for } P, T \in \mathcal{A}^*,$$

where ε is any positive number and t is the $(2, 2)$ -entry of $T[V]$. Moreover $K(P, T; C) = K(T, P; {}^t C)$ holds.

LEMMA 1. *Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $c_1 | c_2$, $c_i > 0$ and $C = FH$ where $F = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & \\ & f_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \\ & h_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $f_1 | f_2$, $h_1 | h_2$, $f_i, h_i > 0$, $(f_2, h_2) = 1$, For integers s, t with $sf_2 + th_2 = 1$, we set $X_1 = sf_2 F^{-1}$, $X_2 = th_2 H^{-1}$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} HA & HB - X_1 {}^t AD \\ F & X_2 D \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} FA & FB - X_2 {}^t AD \\ H & X_1 D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. We note that $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$ is in Γ if and only if ${}^t AD - {}^t CB = 1_2$, and ${}^t AC, {}^t BD$ are symmetric. The ‘‘only if’’-part is proved directly. The ‘‘if’’-part follows immediately from

$$\begin{aligned} A &= X_2(HA) + X_1(FA), \quad D = H(X_2 D) + F(X_1 D) \quad \text{and} \\ B &= 2X_1 X_2 {}^t AD + X_1(FB - X_2 {}^t AD) + X_2(HB - X_1 {}^t AD). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. *Let C, F, H, X_1 and X_2 be those in Lemma 1. The mapping $D \bmod C\mathcal{A} \mapsto (X_2 D \bmod F\mathcal{A}, X_1 D \bmod H\mathcal{A})$ from $\{D \bmod C\mathcal{A} \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\}$ to $\{D \bmod F\mathcal{A} \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ F & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\} \times \{D \bmod H\mathcal{A} \mid \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ H & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\}$ is bijective.*

Proof. The mapping is obviously well-defined. Suppose that $X_2 D_1 \equiv X_2 D_2 \bmod F\mathcal{A}$, $X_1 D_1 \equiv X_1 D_2 \bmod H\mathcal{A}$, then we have $X_2 D \in F\mathcal{A}$, $X_1 D \in H\mathcal{A}$ where $D = D_1 - D_2$. Hence $D = H(X_2 D) + F(X_1 D) \in C\mathcal{A}$ follows from $FH = HF = C$. Conversely suppose $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ F & D_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ H & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. We set $D = HD_1 + FD_2$. Then $X_2 D - D_1 = F(th_2 H^{-1} D_2 - sf_2 F^{-1} D_1) \in F\mathcal{A}$ and $X_1 D - D_2 = H(sf_2 F^{-1} D_1 - th_2 H^{-1} D_2) \in H\mathcal{A}$ imply the surjectiveness of the mapping if $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. To show $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ we have only to prove that $C^{-1}D$ is symmetric and $(C, D) \in M_{2,1}(\mathbf{Z})$ is primitive. The first follows from $C^{-1}D = F^{-1}D_1 + H^{-1}D_2$.

If a prime p does not divide $c_2 = f_2 h_2$, then C is in $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. If $p|h_2$, then $\text{rk}((C, D) \bmod p) = \text{rk}\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} f_1 h_1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} D_1 + F D_2\right) \bmod p\right) = \text{rk}\left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} h_1 & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix}, F D_2\right) \bmod p\right) = \text{rk}((H, D_2) \bmod p) = 2$. Similarly for $p|f_2$, we have $\text{rk}((C, D) \bmod p) = 2$. Thus (C, D) is locally and hence globally primitive.

LEMMA 3. *Let C, F, H, X_1 and X_2 be those in Lemma 1. Then $K(P, T; C) = K(P[X_2], T; F)K(P[X_1], T; H)$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} A & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(AC^{-1}P + C^{-1}DT) \\ &= \text{tr}((X_2 H A + X_1 F A)F^{-1}H^{-1}P + F^{-1}H^{-1}(H X_2 D + F X_1 D)T) \\ &= \text{tr}(X_2 H A F^{-1}H^{-1}P + F^{-1}X_2 D T) + \text{tr}(X_1 F A F^{-1}H^{-1}P + H^{-1}X_1 D T) \\ &= \text{tr}(X_2 H A F^{-1}(X_1 F + X_2 H)H^{-1}P + F^{-1}X_2 D T) \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(X_1 F A F^{-1}(X_1 F + X_2 H)H^{-1}P + H^{-1}X_1 D T) \\ &= \text{tr}(H A F^{-1}P[X_2] + F^{-1}X_2 D T) + \text{tr}(F A H^{-1}P[X_1] + H^{-1}X_1 D T) \\ &\quad + \text{tr}(X_2 H A X_1 H^{-1}P + X_1 F A F^{-1}X_2 P). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $X_2 H A X_1 H^{-1} = t h_2 A X_1 H^{-1} = A X_1 X_2 = s f_2 t h_2 A C^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$X_1 F A F^{-1}X_2 = s f_2 A F^{-1}X_2 = A X_1 X_2 \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus $\text{tr}(AC^{-1}P + C^{-1}DT) \equiv \text{tr}(H A F^{-1}P[X_2] + F^{-1}X_2 D T) + \text{tr}(F A H^{-1}P[X_1] + H^{-1}X_1 D T) \bmod 1$ follows for $P, T \in \mathcal{A}^*$, and then Lemmas 1, 2 complete the proof.

LEMMA 4. *Let p be a prime and $0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2$. Then we have*

$$K\left(P, T; \begin{pmatrix} p^{e_1} & \\ & p^{e_2} \end{pmatrix}\right) = O(p^{2e_1 + e_2/2}(p^{e_2}, t)^{1/2})$$

where t is the (2,2)-entry of T .

Proof.)* Put $C = \begin{pmatrix} p^{e_1} & \\ & p^{e_2} \end{pmatrix}$, $D = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ if and only if $C^{-1}D$ is symmetric and (C, D) is primitive, $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ if and only if $d_3 = p^{e_2 - e_1} d_2$ and (i) $e_1 = e_2 = 0$, (ii) $e_1 = 0, e_2 > 0, p \nmid d_4$, (iii) $0 < e_1 < e_2, p \nmid d_1 d_4$ or (iv) $0 < e_1 = e_2, p \nmid (d_1 d_4 - d_2^2)$.

$D \bmod C\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to $d_1, d_2 \bmod p^{e_1}, d_4 \bmod p^{e_2}$. Suppose that

*) This proof was suggested by Prof. Y.-N. Nakai.

$\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ and tAC is symmetric, $(A {}^tD - 1)C^{-1} \in M_2(\mathcal{Z})$ for $A \in M_2(\mathcal{Z})$. Then we have $\begin{pmatrix} A & (A {}^tD - 1)C^{-1} \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ since $A {}^tD - \{(A {}^tD - 1)C^{-1}\} {}^tC = 1_2$, $A {}^t\{(A {}^tD - 1)C^{-1}\}$ and $C {}^tD$ are symmetric. Set $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2/2 \\ p_2/2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2/2 \\ t_2/2 & t_4 \end{pmatrix} \in \Lambda^*$. When $e_1 = e_2 = 0$, the lemma is obvious. Suppose $e_1 = 0 < e_2$. Then we may suppose $D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ ($d \bmod p^{e_2}, p \nmid d$). Denoting by \bar{d} an integer n which satisfies $nd \equiv 1 \bmod p^{e_2}$, we can take $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$ as A . Thus $K(P, T; C) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \bmod p^{e_2} \\ p \nmid d_1 d_2}} e((\bar{d}p_4 + dt_4)p^{-e_2})$ is an ordinary Kloosterman sum and the lemma holds in this case. Suppose $0 < e_1 < e_2$. Let d be an integer such that $d(d_1 d_4 - p^{e_2 - e_1} d_2^2) \equiv 1 \bmod p^{e_2}$ and set $A = d \begin{pmatrix} d_4 & -p^{e_2 - e_1} d_2 \\ -d_2 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then tAC is symmetric and $(A {}^tD - 1)C^{-1} \in M_2(\mathcal{Z})$. Hence we have

$$K(P, T; C) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \bmod p^{e_1} \\ d_4 \bmod p^{e_2} \\ p \nmid d_1 d_4}} e(d(d_4 p_1 p^{-e_1} - d_2 p_2 p^{-e_1} + d_1 p_4 p^{-e_2}) + d_1 t_1 p^{-e_1} + d_2 t_2 p^{-e_1} + d_4 t_4 p^{-e_2}).$$

Set $\delta = d_1 d_4 - p^{e_2 - e_1} d_2^2$, then $d\delta \equiv 1 \bmod p^{e_2}$ and $d_4 \equiv \bar{d}_1 \delta + p^{e_2 - e_1} \bar{d}_1 d_2^2 \bmod p^{e_2}$ where $d_1 \bar{d}_1 \equiv 1 \bmod p^{e_2}$. Then $K(P, T; C)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \bmod p^{e_1} \\ p \nmid d_1}} e(d_1 t_1 p^{-e_1} + d_2 t_2 p^{-e_1} + \bar{d}_1 p_1 p^{-e_1} + \bar{d}_1 d_2^2 t_4 p^{-e_1}). \\ & \sum_{\substack{\delta \bmod p^{e_2} \\ p \nmid \delta}} e(\{(d_1 p_4 - p^{e_2 - e_1} d_2 p_2 + p^{2e_2 - 2e_1} \bar{d}_1 d_2^2 p_1) d + \bar{d}_1 t_4 \delta\} p^{-e_2}) \\ & = O(p^{2e_1 + e_2/2} (t_4, p^{e_2})^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

since the last sum on δ is an ordinary Kloosterman sum.

Suppose $0 < e_1 = e_2 = e$. Set $\delta = d_1 d_4 - d_2^2$ and let d be an integer such that $d\delta \equiv 1 \bmod p^e$. Then we can take $d \begin{pmatrix} d_4 & -d_2 \\ -d_2 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$ as A . Thus $K(P, T; C)$ equals

$$\sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2, d_4 \bmod p^e \\ p \nmid \delta}} e(\{d(d_4 p_1 - d_2 p_2 + d_1 p_4) + d_1 t_1 + d_2 t_2 + d_4 t_4\} p^{-e}) = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2,$$

where d_2 in Σ_1 is supposed to be $p \mid d_2$ and d_2 in Σ_2 is supposed to be $p \nmid d_2$. We have $\Sigma_1 = O(p^{2e-1+e/2} (t_4, p^e)^{1/2})$ quite similarly to the case (iii). Now we estimate Σ_2 . We define integers $\delta_1, \delta_4, \bar{\delta}$ by $d_1 \equiv d_2 \delta_1$, $d_4 \equiv d_2 \delta_4$, $\bar{\delta}(\delta_1 \delta_4 - 1) \equiv 1 \bmod p^e$; then $\bar{\delta} \equiv d_2^2 d \bmod p^e$, and Σ_2 equals

$$\sum_{\substack{d_2 \bmod p^e \\ p \nmid d_2}} \sum_{\substack{\delta_1, \delta_4 \bmod p^e \\ p \nmid (\delta_1 \delta_4 - 1)}} e(\{\bar{\delta} d_2 (\delta_4 p_1 - p_2 + \delta_1 p_4) + (\delta_1 t_1 + t_2 + \delta_4 t_4) d_2\} p^{-e}),$$

where \bar{d}_2 is an integer such that $d_2\bar{d}_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^e}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O\left(\sum_{\substack{\delta_1, \delta_4(p^e) \\ p^{i(\delta_1\delta_4-1)}}} p^{e/2}(\delta_1 t_1 + t_2 + \delta_4 t_4, p^e)^{1/2}\right) \\ &= O\left(p^{e/2} \sum_{x(p^e)} (x, p^e)^{1/2} \#\left\{\delta_1, \delta_4(p^e) \mid \begin{array}{l} \delta_1\delta_4 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^e} \\ x \equiv \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 + \delta_4 t_4 \pmod{p^e} \end{array}\right\}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Set $p^s = (t_4, p^e)$. If $s = e$, then the lemma holds trivially. Hence we assume $s < e$. Set $t_4 = up^s$, $(u, p) = 1$. Since $x \equiv \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 + \delta_4 t_4 \pmod{p^e}$ implies $x \equiv \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \pmod{p^s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O\left(p^{e/2} \sum_{x(p^e)} (x, p^e)^{1/2} \#\left\{\delta_1, \delta_4(p^e) \mid \begin{array}{l} x \equiv \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \pmod{p^s} \\ u\delta_4 \equiv (x - \delta_1 t_1 - t_2)p^{-s} \pmod{p^{e-s}} \end{array}\right\}\right) \\ &= O(p^{e/2} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq e} p^{(e-i)/2} \sum_{\substack{v(p^i) \\ p \nmid v}} p^s \#\{\delta_1 \pmod{p^e} \mid \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \equiv vp^{e-i} \pmod{p^s}\}) \\ &= O(p^{e+s} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq e} p^{-i/2} \#\{\delta_1 \pmod{p^e}, v \pmod{p^i} \mid p \nmid v, \delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \equiv vp^{e-i} \pmod{p^s}\}). \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{ord}_p t_1, \text{ord}_p t_2 \geq s$, then we have

$$\Sigma_2 = O(p^{e+s} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq e \\ e-i \geq s}} p^{-i/2+e+i}) = O(p^{5e/2+s/2}).$$

If $\text{ord}_p t_1 \geq s$, $a_2 = \text{ord}_p t_2 < s$, then $\delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \equiv vp^{e-i} \pmod{p^s}$ implies $a_2 = e - i$ and $v \equiv t_2 p^{-a_2} \pmod{p^{s-a_2}}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O(p^{e+s-(e-a_2)/2+e+(e-a_2)-(s-a_2)}) \\ &= O(p^{5e/2+a_2/2}) = O(p^{5e/2+s/2}). \end{aligned}$$

If $a_1 = \text{ord}_p t_1 < s$, $a_2 = \text{ord}_p t_2 < a_1$, then $\delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \equiv vp^{e-i} \pmod{p^s}$ implies $a_2 = e - i$ and $t_2 p^{-a_2} \equiv v \pmod{p^{a_1-a_2}}$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O(p^{e+s-(e-a_2)/2+e-a_2-(a_1-a_2)+e-(s-a_1)}) \\ &= O(p^{5e/2+a_2/2}) = O(p^{5e/2+s/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a_1 < s$, $a_2 \geq a_1$, then $\delta_1 t_1 + t_2 \equiv vp^{e-i} \pmod{p^s}$, $p \nmid v$ imply $e - i \geq a_1$ and $\delta_1(t_1 p^{-a_1}) \equiv (vp^{e-i} - t_2)p^{-a_1} \pmod{p^{s-a_1}}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= O(p^{e+s} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq e-a_1} p^{-i/2+i+e-(s-a_1)}) \\ &= O(p^{e+s+(e-a_1)/2+e-s+a_1}) = O(p^{5e/2+a_1/2}) = O(p^{5e/2+s/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have completed a proof of Lemma 4.

The former of Proposition 1 follows easily from Lemmas 3, 4 and $K(P, T; U^{-1}CV^{-1}) = K(P[U], T[V]; C)$.

The latter is proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K(P, T; {}^t C) &= \sum_{D \bmod CA} e(\operatorname{tr}(AC^{-1}P + C^{-1}DT)) \\ &= \sum_{D \bmod CA} e(\operatorname{tr}({}^t D {}^t C^{-1}T + {}^t C^{-1} {}^t AP)), \end{aligned}$$

and $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} {}^t D & {}^t B \\ {}^t C & {}^t A \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$. Suppose $\begin{pmatrix} A_i & B_i \\ C & D_i \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $D_1 \equiv D_2 \pmod{CA}$, then we set $D_1 = D_2 + CS$, $S \in \mathcal{A}$. $\begin{pmatrix} A_2 & * \\ C & D_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & * \\ C & D_1 \end{pmatrix}$ implies $A_2 = A_1 + \bar{S}C$ for some $\bar{S} \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $D_1 \equiv D_2 \pmod{CA}$ implies ${}^t A_1 \equiv {}^t A_2 \pmod{{}^t CA}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(P, T; C) &= \sum_{{}^t A \bmod {}^t CA} e(\operatorname{tr}({}^t D {}^t C^{-1}T + {}^t C^{-1} {}^t AP)) \\ &= K(T, P; {}^t C). \end{aligned}$$

For $G = (g_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we set $e(G) = (g_{11}, g_{22}, 2g_{12})$. Set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mid b, d \in \mathbf{Z}, (b, d) = 1 \right\}.$$

For a fixed natural number n we define an equivalence relation \sim in S by the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} b' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} \text{ iff } \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \equiv w \begin{pmatrix} b' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} \pmod{n}$$

for an integer w prime to n . Set $S(n) = S/\sim$, then another aim in this section is to prove

PROPOSITION 2. *For $P \in \mathcal{A}^*$ we have*

$$\sum_{x \in S(n)} (P[x], n)^{1/2} = O(n^{1+\varepsilon} (e(P), n)^{1/2}) \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0.$$

LEMMA 5. *Let m, n be relatively prime natural numbers and $P \in \mathcal{A}^*$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{x \in S(mn)} (P[x], mn)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{x \in S(m)} (P[x], m)^{1/2} \right) \left(\sum_{y \in S(n)} (P[y], n)^{1/2} \right).$$

Proof. The mapping $x \in S(mn) \mapsto (x \in S(m), x \in S(n))$ is injective. From $(P[x], mn) = (P[x], m)(P[x], n)$ follows the lemma.

Hence we have only to prove Proposition 2 when n is a power of a prime p .

LEMMA 6. *Let p be a prime and e a natural number.*

Put $S' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \mid b, d \in \mathbf{Z}, (b, d, p) = 1 \right\}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} b' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} \text{ iff } \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \equiv w \begin{pmatrix} b' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p^e}$$

for an integer $w (\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p})$. Then we have

$$\sum_{x \in \overline{S(p^e)}} (P[x], p^e)^{1/2} = \sum_{x \in \overline{S'/z}} (P[x], p^e)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from the following fact: if $(b, d, p) = 1$, then there exist $B, D \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $B \equiv b \pmod{p^e}$, $D \equiv d \pmod{p^e}$ and $(B, D) = 1$.

If $V \in M_2(\mathbf{Z})$, $p \nmid |V|$, then we have $V(S'/\approx) = S'/\approx$. Hence we may assume

- (i) $P = \begin{pmatrix} up^{a_1} & \\ & uvp^{a_2} \end{pmatrix}$, $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2$, $p \nmid uv$,
- (ii) $P = 2^a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $a \geq 0$ ($p = 2$), or
- (iii) $P = 2^a \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $a \geq 0$ ($p = 2$).

It is easy to see that we can take as S'/\approx

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (n \pmod{p^e}), \begin{pmatrix} n \\ p^t \end{pmatrix} (p \nmid n, n \pmod{p^{e-t}}, t = 1, 2, \dots, e).$$

Set $\mathfrak{S}(P, p^e) = \sum_{x \in \overline{S(p^e)}} (P[x], p^e)^{1/2}$ and $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2/2 \\ p_2/2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Now we prove Proposition 2.

- (1) Suppose that P is of type (i) and $a_1 \geq e$.

In this case $\mathfrak{S}(P, p^e) \leq p^{e/2}(p^e + \varphi(p^{e-1}) + \dots + \varphi(1)) = O(p^{3e/2})$.

- (2) Suppose that P is of type (i) and $a_1 < e$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(P, p^e) &= p^{a_1/2} \sum_{\substack{(x_1 \\ x_2) \in \overline{S(p^e)}}} (x_1^2 + vx_2^2 p^{a_2-a_1}, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= p^{a_1/2} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{p^e} \\ p \nmid n}} (n^2 + vp^{a_2-a_1}, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} \\ &\quad + p^{a_1/2} \sum_{n \pmod{p^{e-1}}} (p^2 n^2 + vp^{a_2-a_1}, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} \\ &\quad + p^{a_1/2} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq e} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{p^{e-t}} \\ p \nmid n}} (n^2 + vp^{a_2-a_1+2t}, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_1 = a_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(P, p^e) &= p^{a_1/2} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{p^e} \\ p \nmid n}} (n^2 + v, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} + p^{a_1/2+e-1} + p^{a_1/2} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq e} \varphi(p^{e-t}) \\ &= p^{a_1/2} \sum_{\substack{n \pmod{p^e} \\ p \nmid n}} (n^2 + v, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} + 2p^{a_1/2+e-1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $a_1 < a_2$, then

$$\mathfrak{S}(P, p^e) = p^{a_1/2} \varphi(p^e) + p^{a_1/2} \sum_{n(p^{e-1})} (p^2 n^2 + v p^{a_2 - a_1}, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + p^{a_1/2 + e - 1}.$$

Hence we have only to prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 7. $\sum_{\substack{n(p^e) \\ p|n}} (n^2 + v, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} = O(ep^e) = O(p^{e(1+\varepsilon)})$ if $a_1 < e$.

LEMMA 8. $\sum_{n(p^{e-1})} (p^2 n^2 + v p^{a_2 - a_1}, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} = O(p^{e(1+\varepsilon)})$ if $a_1 < a_2$, $a_1 < e$.

Proof of Lemma 7. If p is odd and $(-v/p) = -1$, then Lemma 7 is trivial. Suppose that p is odd and $(-v/p) = 1$, then there exists an integer $g \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$ such that $g^2 + v = 0$. If $n^2 + v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then there exist $m \in \mathbf{Z}_p^\times$, $s \geq 1$ such that $n = \pm g + mp^s$. Then we have $p^s \parallel (n^2 + v)$ since $n^2 + v = p^s(\pm 2gm + m^2 p^s)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n(p^e) \\ p|n}} (n^2 + v, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} &= \sum_{\substack{n(p^e) \\ p|n, n^2 + v \equiv 0(p)}} (n^2 + v, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + \sum_{\substack{n(p^e) \\ p|n, n^2 + v \not\equiv 0(p)}} 1 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq s \leq e} \sum_{\substack{m \pmod{p^{e-s}} \\ p \nmid m}} (p^s, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + p^e \\ &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq s \leq e - a_1} p^{s/2} \varphi(p^{e-s}) + 2 \sum_{e - a_1 < s \leq e} p^{(e - a_1)/2} \varphi(p^{e-s}) + p^e \\ &= O(ep^e). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $p = 2$. If $v \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, $n^2 + v \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ for odd n , and so Lemma 7 is obvious. Assume $v \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and take an integer $g \in \mathbf{Z}_2^\times$ such that $g^2 + v = 0$. Let n be an odd integer and $n = g + 2^r m$ ($r \geq 1$, $2 \nmid m$). Since $n^2 + v = 2^{r+1}(gm + 2^{r-1}m^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n(2^e) \\ 2|n}} (n^2 + v, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} &= \sum_{\substack{m(2^{e-1}) \\ 2 \nmid m}} (2^2(gm + m^2), 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + \sum_{2 \leq r \leq e} \sum_{\substack{m(2^{e-r}) \\ 2 \nmid m}} (2^{r+1}, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n(2^{e-1}) \\ 2|n}} (2^2 n, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + \sum_{2 \leq r \leq e} 2^{e-r-1} (2^{r+1}, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq e-1} 2^{e-2-r} (2^{2+r}, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} + \sum_{2 \leq r \leq e} 2^{e-r-1} (2^{r+1}, 2^{e - a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= O(e2^e). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 8. Suppose $a_2 \geq e$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n(p^{e-1})} (p^2 n^2 + v p^{a_2 - a_1}, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} &= \sum_{n(p^{e-1})} (p^2 n^2, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq r \leq e-1} \varphi(p^{e-1-r}) (p^{2+2r}, p^{e - a_1})^{1/2} \\ &= O(ep^e). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a_2 < e$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n(p^{e-1})} (p^2 n^2 + vp^{a_2-a_1}, p^{e-a_1})^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_{0 \leq r < (a_2-a_1-2)/2} \varphi(p^{e-1-r}) p^{1+r} + \sum_{\substack{r=(a_2-a_1-2)/2 \\ m(p^{e-1-r}) \\ p \nmid m}} p^{(a_2-a_1)/2}. \\
& (m^2 + v, p^{e-a_2})^{1/2} + \sum_{(a_2-a_1-2)/2 < r \leq e-1} \varphi(p^{e-1-r}) p^{(a_2-a_1)/2} \\
&= O(ep^e) + \sum_{\substack{r=(a_2-a_1-2)/2 \\ m(p^{e-1-r}) \\ p \nmid m}} p^{(a_2-a_1)/2} (m^2 + v, p^{e-a_2})^{1/2} \\
&= O(ep^e) + p^{(a_2-a_1)/2} O(ep^{e-1-r}) \text{ by Lemma 7 } (e-1-r > e-a_2) \\
&= O(ep^e) = O(p^{\epsilon(1+\epsilon)}).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) Suppose that P is of type (ii).

If $a \geq e$, then $\mathfrak{S}(P, 2^e) = O(2^{3e/2})$ follows as in case of (1).

Suppose $a < e$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}(P, 2^e) &= \sum_{x \in S(2^e)} \left(2^a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} [x], 2^e \right)^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{a/2} \sum_{\substack{(x_1 \\ x_2) \in S(2^e)}} (x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 + x_2^2, 2^{e-a})^{1/2} \\
&= 2^{a/2} \# S(2^e) = O(2^{a/2+e}).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) Suppose that P is of type (iii).

Similarly to the above we may suppose $a < e$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S}(P, 2^e) &= \sum_{\substack{(x_1 \\ x_2) \in S(2^e)}} (2^a x_1 x_2, 2^e)^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_{n(2^e)} (2^a n, 2^e)^{1/2} + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq e} \sum_{\substack{n(2^{e-t}) \\ 2 \nmid n}} (2^{a+t} n, 2^e)^{1/2} \\
&\quad - \sum_{0 \leq t \leq e} \varphi(2^{e-t}) (2^{a+t}, 2^e)^{1/2} + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq e-1} 2^{e-t-1} (2^{a+t}, 2^e)^{1/2} + (2^{a+e}, 2^e)^{1/2} \\
&= O(e2^{a/2+e}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have completed a proof of Proposition 2.

§ 2.

In this section we give a formal Fourier expansion of Poincaré series

[1]. Let k be an even integer ≥ 6 , and $Q \in \Lambda^*$, $Q > 0$. We set

$$j(M, Z) = |CZ + D| \text{ for } M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma = Sp_2(Z), Z \in H,$$

and

$$\Gamma_1(\infty) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S \\ 0 & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid S \in \Lambda \right\} \subset \Gamma.$$

We define Poincaré series $g(Z, Q)$ by

$$\sum_{M \in \Gamma_1(\infty) \setminus \Gamma} e(\text{tr } \mathbf{Q}M\langle Z \rangle) j((M, Z)^{-k}, \quad Z \in H.$$

It is known ([1], [4]) that any cusp form is a linear combination of Poincaré series. Hence we have only to prove our theorem for Poincaré series. Let \mathfrak{h} be a complete system of representatives of $\Gamma_1(\infty) \setminus \Gamma / \Gamma_1(\infty)$, $\theta(M) = \left\{ S \in \mathcal{A} \mid M \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} \in \Gamma_1(\infty) \right\}$ for $M \in \Gamma$.

LEMMA 1. $\Gamma_1(\infty)M\Gamma_1(\infty) = \bigcup_{S \in \mathcal{A}/\theta(M)} \Gamma_1(\infty)M \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix}$ (disjoint).

Proof. It is obvious.

Thus we have

$$g(Z, \mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{h}} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}/\theta(M)} e(\text{tr } \mathbf{Q} \cdot M\langle Z + S \rangle) j(M, Z + S)^{-k}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Setting } H(M, Z) &= \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}/\theta(M)} e(\text{tr } \mathbf{Q} \cdot M\langle Z + S \rangle) j(M, Z + S)^{-k} \\ &= \sum_{A^* \ni T \geq 0} h(M, T) e(\text{tr } TZ), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$h(M, T) = \int_{X \bmod 1} H(M, Z) e(-\text{tr } TZ) dX,$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the real part of Z and $dX = dx_1 dx_2 dx_1$. If we set $g(Z, \mathbf{Q}) = \sum_{A^* \ni T > 0} a(T) e(\text{tr } TZ)$, then we have

$$a(T) = \sum_{M \in \mathfrak{h}} h(M, T) \quad \text{for } 0 < T \in A^*.$$

Now we determine \mathfrak{h} , $\theta(M)$ explicitly.

LEMMA 2. $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h}$ is parametrized by C and $D \bmod CA$.

Proof. For $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ and $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S_1 \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S_2 \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & CS_2 + D \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies immediately Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. As $\left\{ M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h} \mid C = 0 \right\}$ we can choose $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} {}^t U & \\ & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL(2, Z) \right\}$ and $\theta(M) = \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. It is trivial.

LEMMA 4. As $\left\{M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \text{rk } C = 1\right\}$ we can choose

$$\left\{M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V & U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} U \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \right\} \backslash GL(2, \mathbf{Z}), \quad V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) / \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \right\} \\ c_1 \geq 1, d_4 = \pm 1, (c_1, d_1) = 1, \quad d_1, d_2 \bmod c_1 \end{array} \right\}$$

and $\theta(M) = \left\{S \in \mathcal{A} \mid S[V] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}\right\}$ for the above specialized M .

Proof. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ with $\text{rk } C = 1$. Set $C = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V$, $U, V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$, $c_1 \geq 1$. We can take $U \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \right\} \backslash GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and $V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) / \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \right\}$ since $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Set $D = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$. Since $C {}^t D$ is symmetric, we have $d_3 = 0$. The primitiveness of (C, D) implies that $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is primitive. Hence $d_4 = \pm 1$, and $(c_1, d_1) = 1$ hold. $D \bmod CA$ is equivalent to $d_1, d_2 \bmod c_1$ since

$$CA = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t VA = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t AV^{-1} = U^{-1} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 s_1 & c_1 s_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid s_i \in \mathbf{Z} \right\} V^{-1}.$$

From $M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t D & -{}^t B \\ -{}^t C & {}^t A \end{pmatrix}$ follows $M \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S \\ 0 & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ -CS {}^t C & 1 + CS {}^t A \end{pmatrix}$. Thus $\theta(M) \ni S$ is equivalent to $CS(-{}^t C, {}^t A) = 0$ and so $CS = 0$. Since $CS = 0$ means $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S[V] = 0$, we have completed a proof of Lemma 4 except the uniqueness of U, V, c_1, d_4 .

Suppose $C = U_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V_1 = U_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V_2$, $D = U_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_3 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} V_1^{-1} = U_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d'_1 & d'_2 \\ d'_3 & d'_4 \end{pmatrix} V_2^{-1}$ where U_i, V_i, \dots are supposed to be representatives. Comparing elementary divisors of C , we have $c_1 = c'_1$. Set $U = U_2 U_1^{-1}$, ${}^t V = {}^t V_2 {}^t V_1^{-1}$, then $U \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V$ holds and this implies $U = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ and hence $U_1 = U_2$, $U = 1_2$. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V$ implies $V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$ and then $V_1 = V_2$. Thus $d_i = d'_i$ holds.

LEMMA 5. As $\left\{M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{h} \mid |C| \neq 0\right\}$ we can choose

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \mid |C| \neq 0, D \bmod CA \right\}$$

and $\theta(M) = \{0\}$.

Proof. The former follows from Lemma 2. The latter follows from

$$M \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & S \\ & 1_2 \end{pmatrix} M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ -CS {}^t C & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

§ 3.

Hereafter we fix $0 < Q$, $T \in A^*$, and we assume that T is Minkowski-reduced without loss of generality since $a(T) = a(T[U])$ for $U \in GL(2, Z)$ ($a(T)$ is a Fourier coefficient of $g(Z, Q)$). In this section we estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g} \\ \text{rk } C \leq 1}} h(M, T).$$

First, suppose $M = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t U & \\ & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $U \in GL(2, Z)$, then we have

$$H(M, Z) = e(\text{tr } Q \cdot M \langle Z \rangle) = e(\text{tr } Q [{}^t U] Z)$$

by Lemma 3 in Section 2. This yields $\sum_{M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}} h(M, T) = O(1)$. Next we consider the case of $\text{rk } C = 1$.

LEMMA 1. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V & U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ 0 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$, where $U, V \in GL(2, Z)$, $d_i = \pm 1$, $c_1 > 0$.

Set $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2/2 \\ p_2/2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix} = Q [{}^t U]$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2/2 \\ s_2/2 & s_4 \end{pmatrix} = T [{}^t V^{-1}]$ and a_1 denotes an integer such that $a_1 d_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{c_1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(M, T) &= (-1)^{k/2} \sqrt{2} \pi |Q|^{3/4 - k/2} \delta_{p_4, s_4} |T|^{k/2 - 3/4} s_4^{-1/2} c_1^{-3/2} \\ &\quad \times e(\{(a_1 s_4 d_2^2 - (a_1 d_4 p_2 - s_2) d_2)/c_1 + (a_1 p_1 + d_1 s_1)/c_1 - d_4 p_2 s_2 / (2c_1 s_4)\}) \\ &\quad \times J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||Q|}/c_1 s_4), \end{aligned}$$

where δ is the Kronecker's delta function and J is the ordinary Bessel function.

Proof. At first, we suppose $M = \begin{pmatrix} {}^t U & \\ & U^{-1} \end{pmatrix} M_0 \begin{pmatrix} {}^t V & \\ & V^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, where $M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $ad - bc = 1$, $c > 0$. Then $h(M, T)$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{X \bmod 1} H(M, Z) e(-\operatorname{tr} TZ) dX \\
&= \int_{X \bmod 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}/\theta(M)} e(\operatorname{tr} Q \cdot M \langle Z + S \rangle) j(M, Z + S)^{-k} e(-\operatorname{tr} TZ) dX \\
&= \int_{X \bmod 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}/\theta(M)} e(\operatorname{tr} Q [{}^t U] \cdot M_0 \langle Z[V] + S[V] \rangle) j(M_0, Z[V] + S[V])^{-k} \\
&\quad \times e(-\operatorname{tr} TZ) dX.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $W = X + i \operatorname{Im} Z[V]$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have by virtue of Lemma 4 in Section 2,

$$h(M, T) = \int_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R} \\ x_4 \bmod 1}} e(\operatorname{tr} Q [{}^t U] \cdot M_0 \langle W \rangle) j(M_0, W)^{-k} e(-\operatorname{tr} T [{}^t V^{-1}] W) dX.$$

Since $M_0 \langle W \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} (aw_1 + b)(cw_1 + d)^{-1} & * \\ w_2(cw_1 + d)^{-1} & -(cw_1 + d)^{-1}cw_2^2 + w_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $j(M_0, W) = cw_1 + d$ where $W = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_2 & w_4 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(M, T) &= \int_{\substack{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbf{R} \\ x_4 \bmod 1}} e(p_1\{a/c - c^{-2}(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1}\} + p_2w_2c^{-1}(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1} + p_4w_4 \\
&\quad - p_4(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1}w_2^2 - s_1w_1 - s_2w_2 - s_2w_4)(cw_1 + d)^{-k} dx_1 dx_2 dx_4 \\
&= \delta_{p_4, s_4} e(p_1a/c) \int_{x_1 \in \mathbf{R}} e(-p_1c^{-2}(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1} - s_1w_1)(cw_1 + d)^{-k} dx_1 \\
&\quad \times \int_{x_2 \in \mathbf{R}} e(-p_4(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1}w_2^2 + \{p_2c^{-1}(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1} - s_2\}w_2) dx_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since we know

$$\int_{x_2 \in \mathbf{R}} e(aw_2^2 + \beta w_2) dx_2 = e(-\beta^2/4\alpha)\sqrt{2}^{-1}\sqrt{i|\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C} (\operatorname{Im} \alpha > 0),$$

setting $\alpha = -p_4(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1}$, $\beta = p_2c^{-1}(w_1 + c^{-1}d)^{-1} - s_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(M, T) &= \sqrt{2}^{-1} \delta_{p_4, s_4} s_4^{-1/2} c^{-k} e(-s_2p_2/(2cs_4)) e((p_1a + s_1d)/c) (-1)^{k/2} \\
&\quad \times \int_{x_1 \in \mathbf{R}} e(-s_4^{-1}|T|w_1 - s_4^{-1}c^{-2}|Q|w_1^{-1})(w_1/i)^{-k+1/2} dx_1.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_1 \in \mathbf{R}} e(-aw_1 - bw_1^{-1})(w_1/i)^{-k+1/2} dx_1 &= 2\pi(b/a)^{3/4-k/2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi\sqrt{ab}) \\
&\quad \text{for } a, b > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$h(M, T) = \sqrt{2} \pi |\mathcal{Q}|^{3/4-k/2} \delta_{p_4, s_4} |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2} c^{-3/2} e(-p_2 s_2 / (2c s_4)) (-1)^{k/2} \\ \times e((p_1 a + s_1 d) / c) J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c s_4).$$

Now we come back to the general case.

Let $C = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V$, $D = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & d_2 \\ d_4 & d_4 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$. Then $C = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d_2 d_4 \\ & d_4 \end{pmatrix} U \right)^{-1} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} {}^t V$, $D = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d_2 d_4 \\ & d_4 \end{pmatrix} U \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$ hold. If we set $P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & p_2/2 \\ p_2/2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix} \\ = Q[{}^t U]$, $S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2/2 \\ s_2/2 & s_4 \end{pmatrix} = T[{}^t V^{-1}]$ as in the statement of Lemma 1, then we have $Q\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -d_2 d_4 \\ & d_4 \end{pmatrix} U\right] = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 - p_2 d_2 d_4 + p_4 d_2^2 & * \\ p_2 d_4/2 - p_4 d_2 & p_4 \end{pmatrix}$.

Applying the former, we have

$$h(M, T) = \sqrt{2} \pi |\mathcal{Q}|^{3/4-k/2} \delta_{p_4, s_4} |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2} c_1^{-3/2} (-1)^{k/2} \\ \times e(- (p_2 d_4 - 2p_4 d_2) s_2 / 2c_1 s_4) e((p_1 - p_2 d_2 d_4 + p_4 d_2^2) a_1 + s_1 d_1) / c_1 \\ \times J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c_1 s_4) \\ = (-1)^{k/2} \sqrt{2} \pi |\mathcal{Q}|^{3/4-k/2} \delta_{p_4, s_4} |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2} c_1^{-3/2} \\ \times \{e(\{a_1 s_4 d_2^2 - (a_1 d_4 p_2 - s_2) d_2\} / c_1 + (a_1 p_1 + d_1 s_1) / c_1 - d_4 p_2 s_2 / 2c_1 s_4) \\ \times J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c_1 s_4)\}.$$

Hereafter $M \in \mathfrak{h}$ is supposed to be parametrized by U, V, c_1, d_1, d_2, d_4 as in Lemma 4 of Section 2. From Lemma 1 follows

$$\left| \sum_{d_2 \bmod c_1} h(M, T) \right| \ll \delta_{p_4, s_4} |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2} (s_4, c_1)^{1/2} c_1^{-1} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c_1 s_4),$$

since $\sum_{n \bmod c} e((an^2 + bn)/c) = O((a, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2})$.

Since U is parametrized by the second row up to sign, we have

$$\sum_U \sum_{\substack{d_1 \bmod c_1 \\ (d_1, c_1)=1 \\ d_4 = \pm 1}} \left| \sum_{d_2 \bmod c_1} h(M, T) \right| \ll \sum_{u = \begin{pmatrix} u_3 \\ u_4 \end{pmatrix}} \delta_{Q[u], s_4} |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2} (s_4, c_1)^{1/2} \\ \times |J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c_1 s_4)|,$$

where we set $U = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\ll |T|^{k/2-3/4} s_4^{-1/2+\varepsilon} (s_4, c_1)^{1/2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi \sqrt{|T||\overline{\mathcal{Q}}|} / c_1 s_4),$$

since the number of solutions u to $Q[u] = s_4$ is $O(s_4^2)$. V is parametrized by the first column and $s_4 = T \begin{bmatrix} -v_3 \\ v_4 \end{bmatrix}$ for $V = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ v_3 & v_4 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{U, V} \sum_{\substack{d_1 \bmod c_1 \\ (d_1, c_1) = 1 \\ d_4 = \pm 1}} \sum_{d_2 \bmod c_1} |h(M, T)| \\ \ll |T|^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A(m, T) m^{-1/2+\varepsilon} (m, c_1)^{1/2} |J_{k-3/2}(4\pi\sqrt{|T||Q|}/c_1 m)|,$$

where $A(m, T) = \#\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix} \mid (u_1, u_2) = 1, T \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} = m \right\}$.

We prepare the following

LEMMA 2. *Let t, m be natural numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq c \leq t/m} (m, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2} = O(t^{3/2} m^{-3/2+\varepsilon}) \\ \sum_{c > t/m} (m, c)^{1/2} c^{3/2-k} = O(t^{5/2-k} m^{k-5/2+\varepsilon})$$

and $J_{k-3/2}(x) = O(\min(x^{k-3/2}, 1/\sqrt{x}))$ for $x > 0$.

Proof.

$$\sum_{1 \leq c \leq t/m} (m, c)^{1/2} c^{1/2} \ll \sum_{r|m} \sum_{s \leq t/mr} r^{1/2} (sr)^{1/2} \\ = \sum_{r|m} r \sum_{s \leq t/mr} s^{1/2} \ll \sum_{r|m} r (t/mr)^{3/2} \ll (t/m)^{3/2} \sum_{r|m} r^{-1/2} = O((t/m)^{3/2} m^\varepsilon). \\ \sum_{c > t/m} (m, c)^{1/2} c^{3/2-k} \ll \sum_{r|m} \sum_{s > t/mr} r^{1/2} (rs)^{3/2-k} \\ = \sum_{r|m} r^{2-k} \sum_{s > t/mr} s^{3/2-k} \ll \sum_{r|m} r^{2-k} (t/mr)^{5/2-k} \ll (t/m)^{5/2-k} \sum_{r|m} r^{-1/2} \\ = O((t/m)^{5/2-k} m^\varepsilon).$$

The estimates for the Bessel function is well known.

From Lemma 2 follows

$$\sum_{c_1 \geq 1} (m, c_1)^{1/2} |J_{k-3/2}(4\pi\sqrt{|T||Q|}/c_1 m)| \\ \ll \sum_{c_1 < \sqrt{|T|}/m} (m, c_1)^{1/2} (c_1 m / \sqrt{|T|})^{1/2} + \sum_{c_1 > \sqrt{|T|}/m} (m, c_1)^{1/2} (\sqrt{|T|}/c_1 m)^{k-3/2} \\ \ll (m/\sqrt{|T|})^{1/2} |T|^{3/4} m^{-3/2+\varepsilon} + (\sqrt{|T|}/m)^{k-3/2} |T|^{5/4-k/2} m^{k-5/2+\varepsilon} \\ \ll |T|^{1/2} m^{-1+\varepsilon}.$$

Thus we have $\sum_{\substack{M \in \mathfrak{h} \\ rk \ G=1}} |h(M, T)| \ll |T|^{k/2-1/4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A(m, T) m^{-3/2+2\varepsilon}$. We assumed

that T is Minkowski-reduced, then $T \gg m(T)1_2$ holds where $m(T) = \min_{0 \neq u \in \mathbb{Z}^2} T[u]$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A(m, T) m^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} \leq \sum_{0 \neq u \in \mathbb{Z}^2} T[u]^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} \ll m(T)^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (u_1, u_2) \neq (0, 0)}} (u_1^2 + u_2^2)^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} \\ \ll m(T)^{-3/2+2\varepsilon} = O(1).$$

Hence we have

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{M \in \mathfrak{h} \\ \text{rk } C=1}} h(M, T) \right| = O(|T|^{k/2-1/4}).$$

§ 4.

In this section we estimate $\sum_{\substack{M \in \mathfrak{h} \\ |C| \neq 0}} h(M, T)$.

LEMMA 1. Set $P(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \mid b \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \right\}$. Then

$$\{C \in M_2(\mathbf{Z}) \mid |C| \neq 0\} = \left\{ U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}), V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})/P(c_2/c_1), \\ 0 < c_1 | c_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

and $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)$ corresponds bijectively to $S(c_2/c_1)$ in Section 1, by the mapping $V \mapsto$ the second column of V .

Proof. Set $V = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ v_3 & v_4 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} = |V| \begin{pmatrix} v_4 & -v_2 c_1/c_2 \\ -v_3 c_2/c_1 & v_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

holds, and so $\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$ if and only if $V \in P(c_2/c_1)$.

Suppose that $C = U_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V_1^{-1} = U_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c'_1 & \\ & c'_2 \end{pmatrix} V_2^{-1}$, $U_i, V_i \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$, $0 < c_1 | c_2$, $0 < c'_1 | c'_2$ and that V_1, V_2 are representatives in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)$. Comparing elementary divisors, we have

$$c_i = c'_i \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 U_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & c_2/c_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & c_2/c_1 \end{pmatrix} V_2^{-1} V_1.$$

This implies $V_2^{-1} V_1 \in P(c_2/c_1)$. Hence $V_1 = V_2$ and so $U_1 = U_2$ hold. The second assertion is obvious.

Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, $|C| \neq 0$. By Lemma 5 in Section 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(M, T) &= \int_{X \bmod 1} H(M, Z) e(-\text{tr } TZ) dX \\ &= \int_{X \bmod 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}} e(\text{tr } Q \cdot M \langle Z + S \rangle) j(M, Z + S)^{-k} e(-\text{tr } TZ) dX \\ &= |C|^{-k} e(\text{tr}(QAC^{-1} + TC^{-1}D)) \\ &\quad \times \int_{X \bmod 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{A}} e(-\text{tr}\{Q'C^{-1}(Z + S + C^{-1}D)^{-1}C^{-1} \\ &\quad + T(Z + C^{-1}D)\}) |Z + S + C^{-1}D|^{-k} dX \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{since } M\langle Z \rangle &= AC^{-1} - {}^tC^{-1}(Z + C^{-1}D)^{-1}C^{-1}) \\ &= |C|^{-k}e(\text{tr}(QAC^{-1} + TC^{-1}D)) \\ &\quad \times \int_x e(-\text{tr}(Q[{}^tC^{-1}]Z^{-1} + TZ))|Z|^{-k}dX. \end{aligned}$$

For positive definite matrices $P, S \in GL(2, \mathbf{R})$ we set

$$J(P, S) = \int_x e(-\text{tr}(PZ^{-1} + SZ))|Z|^{-k}dX.$$

Then it is known ([1]) that

$$\begin{aligned} J(P, S) &\text{ does not depend on } \text{Im } Z, \text{ and} \\ J(P, S) &= \|R\|^{3-2k}J(P[R^{-1}], S[{}^tR]) \text{ for } R \in GL(2, \mathbf{R}). \end{aligned}$$

For a positive definite matrix P , we denote by \sqrt{P} a matrix A such that $A^2 = P, A > 0$. Then we have, for $P, S > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(P, S) &= |P|^{3/2-k}J(1_2, S[\sqrt{P}]) \\ &= |P|^{3/2-k}|S[\sqrt{P}]|^{k/2-3/4}J(\sqrt{S[\sqrt{P}]}, \sqrt{S[\sqrt{P}]}) \\ &= |P|^{3/4-k/2}|S|^{k/2-3/4}\tilde{J}(\sqrt{S[\sqrt{P}]}), \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\tilde{J}(P) = J(P, P)$ for $0 < P \in GL(2, \mathbf{R})$.

Since $\tilde{J}(P[F]) = \tilde{J}(P)$ for every orthogonal matrix $F \in GL(2, \mathbf{R})$, $\tilde{J}(P)$ is determined by eigen-values of P .

It is easy to see that for $0 < S \in GL(2, \mathbf{R})$

$$\tilde{J}((4\pi)^{-1}S) = 2(2\pi)^{-3}|2^{-1}S|^{k-3/2}A_{k-3/2}(4^{-1}S^2),$$

where $A_s(M)$ is a generalized Bessel function defined in [2], and it is known

$$A_{k-3/2}(4^{-1}S^2)|2^{-1}S|^{k-3/2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 J_{k-3/2}(s_1t)J_{k-3/2}(s_2t)t(1-t^2)^{-1/2}dt$$

for $S = \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \\ & s_2 \end{pmatrix} > 0$.

Thus we have, for $s_1, s_2 > 0$,

$$\tilde{J}\left(\begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \\ & s_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 2^{-1}\pi^{-4} \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1,2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi s_i t)t(1-t^2)^{-1/2}dt.$$

Hence we have, for $M = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma, |C| \neq 0$,

$$h(M, T) = 2^{-1}\pi^{-4}|\mathbf{Q}|^{3/4-k/2}|T|^{k/2-3/4}\|C\|^{-3/2}e(\operatorname{tr}(AC^{-1}\mathbf{Q} + C^{-1}DT)) \\ \times \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1,2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi s_i t) t(1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt,$$

where s_1, s_2 are eigen-values of $\sqrt{T[\sqrt{\mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}]}]}$, and so

$$\sum_{D \bmod CA} h(M, T) = \kappa |T|^{k/2-3/4}\|C\|^{-3/2}K(\mathbf{Q}, T; C) \\ \times \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1,2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi s_i t) t(1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt,$$

where $\kappa = 2^{-1}\pi^{-4}|\mathbf{Q}|^{3/4-k/2}$ and $K(\mathbf{Q}, T; C)$ is a generalized Kloosterman sum defined in Section 1 and s_1, s_2 are positive numbers such that s_1^2, s_2^2 are eigen-values of $T \cdot \mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}]$. Since $T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & * \\ * & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is supposed to be Minkowski-reduced, we have $T \asymp \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$, that is, there are constants, κ_1, κ_2 such that $T > \kappa_1 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $T < \kappa_2 \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix}$. If $A > 0$, $B \geq B_1 > 0$, then $\operatorname{tr} AB = \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{AB} \sqrt{A} \geq \operatorname{tr} \sqrt{AB_1} \sqrt{A} = \operatorname{tr} AB_1$ holds. Hence we have $\operatorname{tr} T \cdot \mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}] = \operatorname{tr} T[{}^t C^{-1}] \cdot \mathbf{Q} \asymp \operatorname{tr} T[{}^t C^{-1}] \asymp \operatorname{tr} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} [{}^t C^{-1}]$ and $|T \cdot \mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}]| \asymp \left| \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} [{}^t C^{-1}] \right|$. From these follow $s_1^2 \asymp s'_1, s_2^2 \asymp s'_2$ where s'_1, s'_2 are eigen-values of $\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \\ & t_2 \end{pmatrix} [{}^t C^{-1}]$. Set $P = T \cdot \mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}]$, then $\operatorname{tr} P < 1$ implies $s_1^2 + s_2^2 < 1$ and $s_1^2 \ll 1, s_2^2 \ll 1$. $\operatorname{tr} P < 2|P|$ implies $(s_1^2 + s_2^2)/s_1^2 s_2^2 < 2$ and $s_1^2 \gg 1, s_2^2 \gg 1$. If $\operatorname{tr} P \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{tr} P \geq 2|P|$, then we have either $s_1^2 \geq 2/3, s_2^2 \leq 2$ or $s_1^2 < 2/3, s_2^2 > 1/3$. Since $J_{k-3/2}(x) = O(\min(x^{k-3/2}, 1/\sqrt{x}))$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1,2} J_{k-3/2}(4\pi s_i t) t(1-t^2)^{-1/2} dt \right| \ll \begin{cases} |P|^{k/2-3/4} & \text{if } \operatorname{tr} P < 1, \\ |P|^{-1/4} & \text{if } \operatorname{tr} P < 2|P|, \\ |P|^{k/2-3/4}(\operatorname{tr} P)^{(1-k)/2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$\left| \sum_{D \bmod CA} h(M, T) \right| \ll |T|^{k/2-3/4} c_1^{1/2} c_2^{-1+\epsilon} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2} \\ \times \begin{cases} |P|^{k/2-3/4} & \text{if } \operatorname{tr} P < 1, \\ |P|^{-1/4} & \text{if } \operatorname{tr} P < 2|P|, \\ |P|^{k/2-3/4}(\operatorname{tr} P)^{(1-k)/2} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $C = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$, $U, V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$, $0 < c_1 | c_2$ and $P = T \cdot \mathbf{Q}[{}^t C^{-1}]$, and v is the second column of V .

Fix $0 < c_1 | c_2$ and $V \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and let v be the second column of V .

We suppose that $A = T \left[V \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} U_1 \right]$ is Minkowski-reduced for $U_1 \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$. Set $C = U^{-1} U_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$, then $|T \cdot Q[tC^{-1}]| = |Q||A| \asymp |A|$ and $\text{tr}(T \cdot Q[tC^{-1}]) \asymp \text{tr}(T \cdot 1_2[tC^{-1}]) = \text{tr} A[U]$. Thus we have

$$\sum_{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})} \sum_{D \bmod cA} |h(M, T)| \ll |T|^{k/2-3/4} c_1^{1/2} c_2^{-1+\varepsilon} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2} f(A),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \text{tr} A[U] \ll 1}} |A|^{k/2-3/4} + \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \text{tr} A[U] \ll |A|}} |A|^{-1/4} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \\ \text{tr} A[U] \gg 1 \\ \text{tr} A[U] \gg |A|}} |A|^{k/2-3/4} (\text{tr} A[U])^{(1-k)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. Let $A^{(2)} > 0$ be Minkowski-reduced. Then we have

$$f(A) \ll m(A)^\varepsilon \max(1, |A|)^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} |A|^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon},$$

where $m(A) = \min_{0 \neq x \in \mathbf{Z}^2} A[x]$.

Proof. Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$. Since A is Minkowski-reduced, $A \asymp \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & c \end{pmatrix}$, $|A| \asymp ac$, $m(A) \asymp a$, $a \leq c$, and we have only to prove Lemma 2 for $H = \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & c \end{pmatrix}$ instead of A . First we estimate $\#\{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \mid \text{tr} H[U] \ll 1\}$. For $U = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u_2 \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} H \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} U \right] &= a(u_3^2 + u_4^2) \{n + (u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_4)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}\}^2 \\ &\quad + c(u_3^2 + u_4^2) + a(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{tr} H[U] \ll 1$ implies $c \leq c(u_3^2 + u_4^2) \ll 1$ and $a(u_3^2 + u_4^2)(n + *)^2 \ll 1$. For relatively prime numbers u_3, u_4 , we fix $U = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $U' = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ u_3 & u_4 \end{pmatrix} \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ with $|U| = 1$, $|U'| = -1$. Then any element in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ is uniquely decomposed as $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} U$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} U'$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z}) \mid \text{tr} H[U] \ll 1\} &\ll \sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4)=1 \\ u_3^2 + u_4^2 \ll c^{-1}}} \#\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid (n + *)^2 \ll a^{-1}(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}\} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{(u_3, u_4)=1 \\ u_3^2 + u_4^2 \ll c^{-1}}} a^{-1/2} (u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1/2} \\ &\ll \sum_{m \ll c^{-1}} a^{-1/2} m^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \ll a^{-1/2} c^{-1/2-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the first sum in $f(A)$ is $O(a^{k/2-5/4}c^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon})$ if $c \ll 1$, or 0 otherwise. From $(a \leq)c \ll 1$ follows

$$\begin{aligned} & a^{k/2-5/4}c^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon}m(A)^\varepsilon \max(1, |A|)^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} |A|^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon})^{-1} \\ & \asymp \max(1, |A|)^{(k-3)/2-\varepsilon} \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate $\#\{U \in GL(2, Z) | \operatorname{tr} H[U] \ll |H|\}$. $\operatorname{tr} H[U] \ll |H|$ implies $u_3^2 + u_4^2 \ll a$, $(n + *)^2 \ll c(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}$. Similarly to the first sum, we have

$$\#\{U \in GL(2, Z) | \operatorname{tr} H[U] \ll |H|\} \ll \sum_{m \ll a} m^\varepsilon (c/m)^{1/2} \ll c^{1/2} a^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

$u_3^2 + u_4^2 \ll a$ implies $1 \ll a \leq c$. Thus the second sum in $f(A)$ is $O(a^{1/4+\varepsilon}c^{1/4})$ if $1 \ll a$ or 0 otherwise. From $1 \ll a \leq c$ follows

$$\begin{aligned} & a^{1/4+\varepsilon}c^{1/4}(m(A)^\varepsilon \max(1, |A|)^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} |A|^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon})^{-1} \\ & \asymp (ac)^{3/2-k/2+\varepsilon} \max(1, |A|)^{(k-3)/2-\varepsilon} \ll 1. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly we estimate the third sum in $f(A)$. Set

$$X = \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, Z) \\ \operatorname{tr} A[U] \gg 1 \\ \operatorname{tr} A[U] \gg |A|}} |A|^{k/2-3/4} (\operatorname{tr} A[U])^{(1-k)/2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} X & \ll (ac)^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, Z) \\ \operatorname{tr} H[U] \gg \max(1, |H|)}} (\operatorname{tr} H[U])^{(1-k)/2} \\ & \ll a^{-1/4}c^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{\substack{U \in GL(2, Z) \\ \operatorname{tr} B[U] \gg \max(a^{-1}, c)}} (\operatorname{tr} B[U])^{(1-k)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we set $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & d \end{pmatrix}$, $d = c/a (\geq 1)$. Hence we have

$$X \ll a^{-1/4}c^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{(u_3, u_4)=1} (u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{(1-k)/2} \sum_{u_1, u_2} \sum_n g(n, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^{(1-k)/2},$$

where $g(n, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \{n + (u_1u_3 + u_2u_4)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}\}^2 + (u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-2} + d$, u_3, u_4 run over relatively prime integers and for given u_3, u_4 we take integers u_1, u_2 such that $u_1u_4 - u_2u_3 = \pm 1$ and $|(u_1u_3 + u_2u_4)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}| \leq 1/2$, and n runs over integers such that $g(n, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \gg \max(a^{-1}, c)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}$. ($GL(2, Z)$ is parametrized by u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 and n .) It is easy to see

$$g(n, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \asymp n^2 + d,$$

since $|(u_1u_3 + u_2u_4)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}| \leq 1/2$ and $d \geq 1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} X & \ll a^{-1/4}c^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{(u_3, u_4)=1} (u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{(1-k)/2} \sum_{u_1, u_2} \sum_n g(n, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)^{(1-k)/2} \\ & \ll a^{-1/4}c^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{(u_3, u_4)=1} (u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{(1-k)/2} \sum (n^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ must satisfy $n^2 + d \gg \max(a^{-1}, c)(u_3^2 + u_4^2)^{-1}$. Hence we have

$$X \ll a^{-1/4} c^{k/2-3/4} \sum_{m \geq 1} m^{(1-k)/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{n^2+d \gg \max(a^{-1}, c)/m} (n^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2}.$$

We prove

$$Y = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbf{Z} \\ n^2+d \geq \alpha (>0)}} (n^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2} = O(\alpha^{1-k/2}).$$

If $d \geq \alpha$, then

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \sum_n (n + \overline{d})^{(1-k)/2} \ll d^{(1-k)/2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} (n^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2} \\ &\ll d^{(1-k)/2} + \int_0^\infty (x^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2} dx \ll d^{(1-k)/2} + d^{1-k/2} \\ &\ll d^{1-k/2} \leq \alpha^{1-k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If $d < \alpha$, then, denoting by m the least positive integer n that satisfies $n^2 + d \geq \alpha$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= 2 \sum_{n \geq m} (n^2 + d)^{(1-k)/2} = 2 \sum_{n \geq 0} \{(n + m)^2 + d\}^{(1-k)/2} \\ &\ll \sum_{n \geq 0} (n^2 + \alpha)^{(1-k)/2} \ll \alpha^{1-k/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We note $\max(a^{-1}, c)/\max(a, c^{-1}) = c/a = d$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} X &\ll a^{-1/4} c^{k/2-3/4} \left\{ \sum_{m \geq \max(a, c^{-1})} m^{(1-k)/2+\varepsilon} d^{1-k/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m < \max(a, c^{-1})} m^{(1-k)/2+\varepsilon} (\max(a^{-1}, c)/m)^{1-k/2} \right\} \\ &\ll a^{-1/4} c^{k/2-3/4} \left\{ \max(a, c^{-1})^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} d^{1-k/2} + \max(a^{-1}, c)^{1-k/2} \max(a, c^{-1})^{1/2+\varepsilon} \right\} \\ &\asymp a^\varepsilon \max(1, ac)^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} (ac)^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon} \\ &\asymp m(A)^\varepsilon \max(1, |A|)^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} |A|^{k/2-5/4-\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have completed a proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 2 implies immediately

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in GL(2, \mathbf{Z})} \left| \sum_{D \bmod CA} h(M, T) \right| &\ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon} m \left(T \left[V \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right] \right)^\varepsilon \\ &\quad \times \max(1, |T|(c_1 c_2)^{-2})^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} c_1^{3-k+2\varepsilon} c_2^{3/2-k+3\varepsilon} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = U^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1}$, $0 < c_1 | c_2$ and v is the second column of V .

Now we can prove our theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{c_1|c_2} \sum_{V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)} \sum_{U \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})} \sum_{D \bmod CA} |h(M, T)| \ll \sum_{c_1|c_2} \sum_{V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)} |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon} \\
& \quad \times m\left(T \left[V \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right]\right)^\varepsilon \max(1, |T|(c_1c_2)^{-2})^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} c_1^{3-k+2\varepsilon} c_2^{3/2-k+3\varepsilon} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2} \\
& \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{c_1|c_2} \sum_{V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)} \max(1, |T|(c_1c_2)^{-2})^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} \\
& \quad \times c_1^{3-k+\varepsilon} c_2^{3/2-k+2\varepsilon} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $m\left(T \left[V \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right]\right) \ll \left| T \left[V \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & \\ & c_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right] \right|^{1/2}$,
 $= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2$,

where Σ_1 (resp. Σ_2) is a partial sum such that $(c_1c_2)^2 \geq |T|$ (resp. $(c_1c_2)^2 < |T|$).

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 & \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1|c_2 \\ (c_1c_2)^2 \geq |T|}} c_1^{3-k+\varepsilon} c_2^{3/2-k+2\varepsilon} \sum_{V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)} c_1^{1/2} (c_2/c_1, T[v])^{1/2} \\
& \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1|c_2 \\ (c_1c_2)^2 \geq |T|}} c_1^{7/2-k+\varepsilon} c_2^{3/2-k+2\varepsilon} (c_2/c_1)^{1+\varepsilon} (c_2/c_1, e(T))^{1/2} \\
& \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1, n \\ c_1^2 n \geq \sqrt{|T|}}} c_1^{5-2k+3\varepsilon} n^{5/2-k+3\varepsilon} (e(T), n)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geq \alpha} n^{5/2-k+3\varepsilon} (e(T), n)^{1/2} & < \sum_{r|e(T)} \sum_{s \geq \alpha/r} (sr)^{5/2-k+3\varepsilon} r^{1/2} \\
& = \sum_{r|e(T)} r^{3-k+3\varepsilon} \sum_{s \geq \alpha/r} s^{5/2-k+3\varepsilon} \ll \sum_{r|e(T)} r^{3-k+3\varepsilon} (\alpha/r)^{7/2-k+3\varepsilon} \\
& = \alpha^{7/2-k+3\varepsilon} \sum_{r|e(T)} r^{-1/2} = O(e(T)^\varepsilon \alpha^{7/2-k+3\varepsilon}),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 & \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{c_1} c_1^{5-2k+3\varepsilon} e(T)^\varepsilon (\sqrt{|T|}/c_1^2)^{7/2-k+3\varepsilon} \\
& = |T|^{k/2-1/4+\varepsilon} e(T)^\varepsilon \sum_{c_1} c_1^{-2-3\varepsilon} = O(|T|^{k/2-1/4+2\varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_2 & \ll |T|^{k-2-\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1|c_2 \\ (c_1c_2)^2 < |T|}} |T|^{(3-k)/2+\varepsilon} c_1^{-\varepsilon} c_2^{-3/2} \sum_{V \in GL(2, \mathbb{Z})/P(c_2/c_1)} (c_2, T[v])^{1/2} \\
& \ll |T|^{k/2-1/2+\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1|c_2 \\ (c_1c_2)^2 < |T|}} c_1^{-\varepsilon} c_2^{-3/2} c_1^{1/2} (c_2/c_1)^{1+\varepsilon} (c_2/c_1, e(T))^{1/2} \\
& = |T|^{k/2-1/2+\varepsilon/2} \sum_{\substack{c_1, n \\ c_1^2 n < \sqrt{|T|}}} c_1^{-1-\varepsilon} n^{-1/2+\varepsilon} (n, e(T))^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n < \beta} n^{-1/2+\varepsilon} (n, e(T))^{1/2} & < \sum_{r|e(T)} \sum_{s < \beta/r} (sr)^{-1/2+\varepsilon} r^{1/2} \\
& = \sum_{r|e(T)} r^\varepsilon \sum_{s < \beta/r} s^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \ll \sum_{r|e(T)} r^\varepsilon (\beta/r)^{1/2+\varepsilon} \\
& = \beta^{1/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{r|e(T)} r^{-1/2} = O(e(T)^\varepsilon \beta^{1/2+\varepsilon}),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\Sigma_2 \ll |T|^{k/2-1/2+\varepsilon/2} \sum_{c_1} c_1^{-1-\varepsilon} e(T)^\varepsilon (\sqrt{|T|}/c_1^2)^{1/2+\varepsilon}$$

$$= |T|^{k/2-1/4+\varepsilon} e(T)^\varepsilon \sum_{c_1} c_1^{-2-3\varepsilon} = O(|T|^{k/2-1/4+2\varepsilon}).$$

It is easy to see that $\sum h(M, T)$ is absolutely convergent with minor changes. Thus we have completed a proof of our theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Christian, Über Hilbert-Siegelsche Modulformen und Poincarésche Reihen, Math. Ann., **148** (1962), 257–307.
- [2] C. S. Herz, Bessel functions of matrix argument, Ann. of Math., **61** (1955), 474–523.
- [3] Y. Kitaoka, Fourier coefficients of Siegel cusp forms of degree two, Proc. Japan Acad., **58A** (1982), 41–43.
- [4] H. Maass, Über die Darstellung der Modulformen n -ten Grades durch Poincarésche Reihen, Math. Ann., **123** (1951), 125–151.

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464
Japan*

